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Background

Extensive research has been carried out on the types of inferences that occur when reading narrative texts. Bridging inferences are believed to be drawn online because they are necessary for achieving overall textual coherence. Studies have consistently shown that reading time for two sentences increases as the causal relatedness between them decreases. However, no published studies have measured online inference processes while reading original poetry.

Our aim was to investigate whether authentic instances of figurative language that require bridging inferences are processed in the same way or differently from literal versions of the same texts.

Stimuli

Highly symbolic haiku were selected from a number of haiku collections. The chosen haiku juxtapose two seemingly unrelated images prompting the reader to draw inferences about their relationship. A pilot study established which word was the most symbolic in each text (the keyword hereafter). In the literal haiku, keywords were substituted with words matched for frequency and length.

The effect of the keyword manipulation was measured on:

- overall reading times (trial dwell time);
- dwell time on the referent (‘tug’), the word that allowed for a symbolic reading of the text when connected to the keyword;
- dwell time on the last word (‘sleeve’) to measure wrap-up effects.

Prediction: Overall trial dwell time and dwell time on the referent and last-word regions should be longer for haiku with the symbolic keyword than for those with the literal keyword.

Methods

- 31 native English speakers (10 males, mean age 21).
- a block of 13 symbolic haiku followed by a block of 13 literal haiku.

Trial sequence:

Trial dwell time (TDT): sum of all fixations on the text.
First run dwell time (FRDT): sum of all fixations on the text during first reading pass.
Further runs dwell time: sum of all fixations on the text during second or further re-readings of the text (TDT minus FRDT).

Dwell time: sum of all the fixations to a word throughout the trial.

No significant difference between the two keywords indicating that stimuli were well controlled.

Longer processing time for the referent and last in original than literal haiku
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Conclusions

- The first pass reading patterns for the two versions of the texts were very similar. Differences arose when participants re-read the text reflecting an additional inferential step.
- This suggests that the symbolic meaning of original haiku was discovered late.
- Eye tracking can be used to study the processing of inferences in original literary texts.
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