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1. Introduction

String theories, and particularly superstrings and their possible merging at the non-perturbative level in an elusive M-theory, are often viewed in the double perspective of a consistent quantum gravity theory and of fundamental interactions unification. It is of interest to inquire into the symmetries which would underlie the M-theory project, using as a guide symmetries rooted in its conjectured classical low energy limit, namely 11-dimensional supergravity whose bosonic action is

\[ S^{(11)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{11}} \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g^{(11)}} \left( R^{(11)} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4!} F_{\mu \nu \sigma \tau} F^{\mu \nu \sigma \tau} + \frac{1}{(144)^2} \epsilon^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{11}} F_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_4} F_{\mu_5 \cdots \mu_8} A_{\mu_9 \mu_{10} \mu_{11}} \right). \]

Scalars in the dimensional reduction of the action eq. (1.1) to three space-time dimensions realise non-linearly the maximal non-compact form of the Lie group $E_8$ as a coset $E_8/ SO(16)$ where $SO(16)$ is its maximal compact subgroup. Here, the symmetry of the $(2+1)$ dimensionally reduced action has been enlarged from the $GL(8)$ deformation group of the compact torus $T^8$ to the simple Lie group $E_8$. This symmetry enhancement stems from the detailed structure of the action eq. (1.1).

Coset symmetries were first found in the dimensional reduction of 11-dimensional supergravity \[ \] to four space-time dimensions \[ \] but appeared also in other theories. They have been the subject of much study, and some classic examples are given in \[ \] – \[ \]. In
fact, all simple maximally non-compact Lie groups $G$ can be generated from the reduction down to three dimensions of suitably chosen actions $[9]$. In particular the effective action of the 26-dimensional bosonic string without tachyonic term yields $D_{24}$ and pure gravity in $D$ space-time dimensions yields $A_{D-3}$.

It has been suggested that such actions, or possibly some unknown extensions of them, possess a much larger symmetry than the one revealed by their dimensional reduction to three space-time dimensions in which all fields, except $(2 + 1)$-dimensional gravity itself, are scalars. Such hidden symmetries would be, for each simple Lie group $G$, the Lorentzian ‘overextended’ $G^{++} [10]$ or the ‘very-extended’ $G^{+++} [11–13]$ Kac-Moody algebras generated respectively by adding 2 or 3 nodes to the Dynkin diagram defining $G$. One first adds the affine node, then a second node connected to it by a single line to get the $G^{++}$ Dynkin diagram and then similarly a third one connected to the second to generate $G^{+++}$.

In particular, the $E_8$ invariance of the dimensional reduction to three dimensions of 11-dimensional supergravity would be enlarged to $E^+_8 \equiv E_{10} [3,14]$ or to $E^{+++}_8 \equiv E_{11} [13]$. In our quest for the symmetries of M-theory we shall restrict here our considerations to $E_{10}$ and $E_{11}$ and their gravity subalgebras $A^{++}_{9}$ and $A^{+++}_{9}$. The extension of the Dynkin diagram of $E_8$ to $E_{11}$ is depicted in figure 1.

To explore the possible fundamental significance of these huge symmetries a Lagrangian formulation $[14]$ explicitly invariant under $E_{10}$ has been proposed. It was constructed as a reparametrisation invariant $\sigma$-model of fields depending on one parameter $t$, identified as a time parameter, living on the coset space $E_{10}/K_{10}$. Here $K_{10}$ is the subalgebra of $E_{10}$ invariant under the Chevalley involution. The $\sigma$-model contains an infinite number of fields and is built in a recursive way by a level expansion of $E_{10}$ with respect to its subalgebra $A_9 [14,16]$ whose Dynkin diagram is the ‘gravity line’ defined in figure 1, with the node 1 deleted.\footnote{Level expansion of $G^{+++}$ algebras in terms of a subalgebra $A_{D-1}$ have been considered in $[17,18]$.}

The level of an irreducible representation of $A_9$ occurring in the decomposition of the adjoint representation of $E_{10}$ counts the number of times the simple root $\alpha_{11}$ not pertaining to the gravity line appears in the decomposition. The $\sigma$-model, limited to the roots up to level 3 and height 29, reveals a perfect match with the bosonic equations of motion of 11-dimensional supergravity in the vicinity of the spacelike singularity of the cosmological billiards $[13–21]$, where fields depend only on time. It was conjectured that space derivatives are hidden in some higher level fields of the $\sigma$-model $[14]$. We shall label this one-dimensional $\sigma$-model $S^{\text{cosmo}}$.

An alternate $E_{10}$ $\sigma$-model parametrised by a space variable $x^1$ can be formulated on a coset space $E_{10}/K_{10}$, where $K_{10}$ is invariant under a ‘temporal’ involution ensuring the Lorentz invariance $SO(1,9)$ (rather than $SO(10)$ in $S^{\text{cosmo}}$) at each level in the $A_9$ decomposition of $E_{10}$. This $\sigma$-model provides a natural framework for studying static solutions. It yields all the basic BPS solutions of 11D supergravity $[22]$, namely the KK-wave, the M2 brane, the M5 brane and the KK6-monopole, smeared in all space dimensions but one, as well as their exotic counterparts. We shall label the action of this $\sigma$-model $S^{\text{brane}} [23]$. The algebras $K^+_{10}$ and $K^-_{10}$ are both subalgebras of the algebra $K_{11}$ invariant under the temporal involution defined on $E_{11}$, which selects the Lorentz group $SO(1,10) =
Figure 1: The Dynkin diagram of $E_{11}$ and its gravity line. The roots 3 and 2 extend $E_8$ to $E_{10}$ and the additional root 1 to $E_{11}$. The Dynkin diagram of the $A_{10}$ subalgebra of $E_{11}$, represented in the figure by the horizontal line is its ‘gravity line’.

$K_{11}^{-1} \cap A_{10}$ in the $A_{10}$ decomposition of $E_{11}$ [22, 23].

Elucidating the role of the infinite number of $E_{10}$ and $E_{11}$ generators is an important problem in the Kac-Moody approach to M-theory. In this paper we focus on the real roots of $E_{10}$, and in particular to those belonging to its regular affine subalgebra $E_9$. We consider fields parametrising the Borel representatives of the coset space $E_{10}/K_{10}^-$, that is the Cartan and the positive generators of $E_{10}$. $E_{10}$ is taken to be embedded regularly in $E_{11}$. The Dynkin diagrams of $E_{10}$ and $E_9$ are obtained from the Dynkin diagram of figure 1 by deleting successively the nodes 1 and 2. We find that each positive real root determines a BPS state in space-time,\(^2\) where a BPS state is defined by the no-force condition allowing superposition of configurations centred at different space-time points. We obtain explicitly an infinite $E_9$ multiplet of BPS static solutions of 11D supergravity depending on two non-compact space variables. They are all related by U-dualities realised by the $E_9$ Weyl transformations.

An obvious question at this point concerns the relation between our results and the conjecture of [25], according to which the BPS solitons of the toroidally compactified theory should transform under the arithmetic group $E_9(\mathbb{Z})$. Like [26], we here consider only the Weyl group of $E_9$, realized as a subgroup of $E_9(\mathbb{Z})$. More specifically, we consider the Weyl groups of various affine $A_1^+ \equiv A_1(1)$ subgroups of $E_9$. Each one of these is then found to act via inversions and integer shifts on a certain analytic function characterising the given BPS solution, cf. eq. (3.52) — much like the modular group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (see appendix G for details on the embedding of the Weyl group into the Kac-Moody group itself). There is also an action on the conformal factor, which is, however, more complicated and cannot be interpreted in this simple way (and which can be locally undone by a conformal coordinate transformation). The remaining Weyl reflections ‘outside’ the given $A_1^+$, and associated to the simple roots of the $E_9$, are realized as permutations. However, we should like to stress that the full $E_9(\mathbb{Z})$ contains many more transformations than those considered here.

We show that the BPS states we find using the $E_9$ Weyl group admit an equivalent description as solutions of effective actions, where the infinite set of $E_9$ fields in the Borel representative of $E_{10}/K_{10}^-$ play the role of matter fields in a ‘dual’ metric. We label the

\(^2\)This is in line with the analysis of [22] and [24] where BPS states are associated with $E_{11}$ roots.
description in terms of 11D supergravity fields the ‘direct’ one and the description in terms of Borel fields the ‘dual’ one. Comparing the direct with the dual description sheds some light on the significance of the $E_9$ real roots. We see indeed that the Borel fields corresponding to these roots are related to the supergravity fields by an infinite set of ‘non-closing dualities’ generalizing the Hodge duality. This is a feature which is well-known in the context of the Geroch symmetry of standard $D = 4$ gravity reduced to two dimensions \cite{Geroch}. There one also defines an infinite set of dual potentials from the standard fields and uses the infinite Geroch group to generate new solutions. The Geroch group is the affine $A_{1+}^+$ extension of SL(2) and we will make use of various subgroups of $E_9 \subset E_{10}$ isomorphic to this basic affine group.

One of the original motivations for the present work was to get a better understanding of the significance of the higher level fields in $E_{10}$ and maybe expand the known dictionary beyond level three (rather height 29). Since the role of some of these higher levels is understood in the two-dimensional $E_9$ context in terms of the generalised dual potentials one can anticipate that they will play a similar role in the one-dimensional $\sigma$-models $S^{brane}$ and $S^{cosmo}$. Indeed this is what we find in the BPS case but we have not obtained an analytic form for these non-closing dualities in the form of an extended dictionary. Still it is clear that their definition is not restricted to BPS states.

Borel fields attached to roots of $E_{10}$ that are not roots of $E_9$ define BPS states depending on one non-compact space variable. These may not admit a direct description in terms of 11D supergravity fields but their dual description is well defined. The explicit BPS solution attached to a level 4 root of $E_{10}$ is obtained, in agreement with reference \cite{24}, and describes the M9 solution in 11 dimensions which is the ‘uplifting’ of the D8-brane of Type IIA string theory.

The paper is organised as follows.

In section 2 we review the construction of the $\sigma$-model $S^{brane}$ and its relation to the basic BPS solutions of 11D supergravity and of its exotic counterparts.

In section 3, we classify all $E_9$ generators in $A_{1+}^+$ subgroups with central charge in $E_{10}$. We select particular $A_{1+}^+$ subgroups containing two infinite ‘brane’ towers of generators, or one infinite ‘gravity’ tower. The tower generators are recurrences of the generators defining the basic M2 and M5, or the KK-wave and the KK6-monopole. The other $A_{1+}^+$ subgroups needed to span all $E_9$ generators are obtained from the chosen ones by Weyl transformations in the $A_8 \subset E_9$ gravity line. The fields characterising the basic BPS solutions smeared to two space dimensions are encoded as parameters in Borel representatives of $E_{10} / K_{10}$: each basic solution is fully determined by a specific positive generator associated to a specific positive real root. All $E_9 \subset E_{10}$ real roots are related by Weyl transformations. We use sequences of Weyl reflexions to reach any positive real root from roots corresponding to basic BPS solutions. We then express through dualities and compensations the fields defined by a given root in terms of the 11-dimensional metric and the 3-form potential. We verify that these fields yield a new solution of 11D supergravity or of its exotic counterparts. In this way we generate an infinite multiplet of $E_9$ BPS solutions depending on two space variables. In the string theory context this constitutes an infinite sequence of U-dualities realised as Weyl transformations of $E_9$. It is shown that the full BPS multiplet of states
is characterised by group transformations preserving the analyticity of the Ernst potential originally introduced in the context of the Geroch symmetry of 4-dimensional gravity with one time and one space Killing vectors.

Section 4 discusses the nature of the different BPS states. One introduces the dual formalism which proves a convenient tool to analyse the charge and mass content of the $E_9$ BPS states. The masses are defined and computed in the string theory context. We show that the $E_9$ multiplet can be split into three different classes according to the $A_9$ level $l$. For $0 \leq l \leq 3$ one gets the basic BPS states smeared to two non-compact space dimensions. For levels 4, 5 and 6 the BPS states depending on two non-compact space variables can not be ‘unsmeared’ in higher space dimensions. We qualify the eight remaining space dimensions, and the time dimension, as longitudinal ones. For $l > 6$ all BPS states admit nine longitudinal dimensions, including time, and we argue that they are all compact.

Section 5 shows that $E_{10}$ fields associated to real roots which are not in $E_9$ are BPS solutions of $S^\text{brane}$ and admit thus a space-time description with one non-compact transverse space dimension. They may not admit a direct description but the dual description is still well defined. These facts are exemplified by a level 4 field which yields the M9 brane.

We summarize the results in section 6 and discuss the emergence of non-closing dualities.

Several appendices complement arguments in the main part of the paper.

2. Basic BPS states in $E_{10} \subset E_{11}$

2.1 From $E_{11}$ to $E_{10}$ and the coset space $E_{10}/K_{10}$

We recall that the Kac-Moody algebra $E_{11}$ is entirely defined by the commutation relations of its Chevalley generators and by the Serre relations [31]. The Chevalley presentation consists of the generators $e_m, f_m$ and $h_m$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, 11$ with commutation relations

$$ \left[ h_m, h_n \right] = 0 \ , \quad \left[ h_m, e_n \right] = a_{mn} e_n \ , \quad \left[ h_m, f_n \right] = -a_{mn} f_n \ , \quad \left[ e_m, f_n \right] = \delta_{mn} h_m , \quad (2.1) $$

where $a_{mn}$ is the Cartan matrix which can be expressed in terms of scalar products of the simple roots $\alpha_m$ as

$$ a_{mn} = 2 \frac{\langle \alpha_m, \alpha_n \rangle}{\langle \alpha_m, \alpha_m \rangle} . \quad (2.2) $$

The Cartan subalgebra is generated by $h_m$, while the positive (negative) step operators are the $e_m$ ($f_n$) and their multi-commutators, subject to the Serre relations

$$ \left[ e_m, [e_m, \ldots, [e_m, e_n] \ldots] \right] = 0, \quad [f_m, [f_m, \ldots, [f_m, f_n] \ldots]] = 0 , \quad (2.3) $$

where the number of $e_m$ ($f_m$) acting on $e_n$ ($f_n$) is given by $1 - a_{mn}$. The Cartan matrix of $E_{11}$ is encoded in its Dynkin diagram depicted in figure 1. Erasing the node 1 defines the regular embedding of its $E_{10}$ hyperbolic subalgebra and erasing the nodes 1 and 2 yields the regular embedding of the affine $E_9$.\footnote{We will usually not distinguish in notation between group and algebra since it should be clear from the context which is meant.}
$E_{11}$ contains a subgroup $GL(11)$ such that $SL(11) \equiv A_{10} \subset GL(11) \subset E_{11}$. The generators of the $GL(11)$ subalgebra are taken to be $K^a_b \ (a,b = 1,2,\ldots,11)$ with commutation relations

$$[K^a_b, K^c_d] = \delta^c_b K^a_d - \delta^a_d K^c_b. \quad (2.4)$$

The relation between the commuting generators $K^a_a$ of $GL(11)$ and the Cartan generators $h_m$ of $E_{11}$ in the Chevalley basis follows from comparing the commutation relations eqs. (2.1) and (2.4) and from the identification of the simple roots of $E_{11}$. These are

$$e_m = \delta^a_m K^a_{a+1} \quad m = 1, \ldots, 10 \quad e_{11} = R^{9\,10\,11}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $R^{abc}$ is a generator in $E_{11}$ that is a third rank anti-symmetric tensor under $A_{10}$. One gets

$$h_m = \delta^a_m (K^a_a - K^{a+1}_{a+1}) \quad m = 1, \ldots, 10 \quad (2.6)$$

$$h_{11} = -\frac{1}{3}(K^1_1 + \ldots + K^9_9) + \frac{2}{3}(K^9_9 + K^{10\,10} + K^{11\,11}). \quad (2.7)$$

The positive (negative) step operators in the $A_{10}$ subalgebra are the $K^a_b$ with $b > a$ ($b < a$). The adjoint representation of $E_{11}$ can be written as an infinite direct sum of representations of the $GL(11)$ generated by the $K^a_a$. This is known as the $A_{10}$ level decomposition of $E_{11}$ [14, 17, 16]. The $K^a_a$ define the level zero positive (negative) step operators. The positive (negative) level $l$ step operators are defined by the number of times the root $\alpha_{11}$ appears in the decomposition of the adjoint representation of $E_{11}$ into irreducible representations of $A_{10}$. At level 1, one has the single representation spanned by the anti-symmetric tensor $R^{abc}$. At each level the number of irreducible representations of $A_{10}$ is finite and the symmetry properties of the irreducible tensors $R^{c_1 \ldots c_r}$ ($R_{c_1 \ldots c_r}$) are fixed by the Young tableaux of the representations. In what follows, positive level step operators will always be denoted with upper indices and negative level ones with lower ones. For positive level $l$ the number of indices on a generator $R^{c_1 \ldots c_r}$ is $r = 3l$.

The Borel group formed by the Cartan generators and the positive level 0 generators can be taken as representative of the coset space $GL(11)/SO(1,10)$ and hence the parameters of the Borel group can be used to define in a particular gauge the 11-dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ which spans this coset space at a given space-time point. We note that the subgroup $SO(1,10)$ of $GL(11)$ is the subgroup invariant under a temporal involution $\Omega^0$ which generalizes the Chevalley involution by allowing the identification of the tensor index 1 to be the time index. Namely we define $\Omega^0$ by the map

$$K^a_b \overset{\Omega^0}{\mapsto} -\epsilon_a \epsilon_b K^b_a, \quad (2.8)$$

with $\epsilon_a = -1$ if $a = 1$ and $\epsilon_a = +1$ otherwise. This suggests to define in general all $E_{11}$ fields as parameters of the coset space $E_{11}/K_{11}$ where $K_{11}$ is invariant under the more general temporal involution $\Omega$ with map

$$K^a_b \overset{\Omega}{\mapsto} -\epsilon_a \epsilon_b K^b_a, \quad R^{c_1 \ldots c_r} \overset{\Omega}{\mapsto} -\epsilon_{c_1} \ldots \epsilon_{c_r} R_{c_1 \ldots c_r}. \quad (2.9)$$
Here $R_{c_1 \cdots c_r}$ is the negative step operator corresponding to the positive one $R^{c_1 \cdots c_r}$. One sees that $K_{11}^{-} \cap A_{10} = SO(1, 10)$. We shall henceforth label the $A_{10}$ Dynkin subdiagram of $E_{11}$, the ‘gravity line’ depicted in figure 1.

For the regular embedding of $E_{10}$ in $E_{11}$ obtained by deleting the node 1 in figure 1, the description in terms of $GL(10)$ follows from the description of $E_{11}$ in terms of $GL(11)$. Omitting the generators $K^1_2, K^2_1$ and $K^1_1$, the relations eqs. (2.4), (2.6) remain valid and eq. (2.7) becomes

$$h_{11} \rightarrow - \frac{1}{3}(K^2_2 + \cdots + K^8_8) + \frac{2}{3}(K^9_9 + K^{10}_{10} + K^{11}_{11}).$$

(2.10)

The temporal involution $\Omega$, $\varepsilon_a = +1$ for $a = 2, 3, \ldots, 11$ reduces to the Chevalley involution acting on the $E_{10}$ generators. It leaves invariant a subalgebra $K^+_{10}$ of $E_{10}$. The Borel representative of the coset space $E_{10}/K^+_{10}$ is now parametrized by $A_9$ tensor fields in the Euclidean metric $GL(10)/SO(10)$. Taking these fields to be functions of the remaining time coordinate 1, one can build a $\sigma$-model on this coset space. This model has been used mainly to study cosmological solutions [21, 32] and we shall label the action of this $\sigma$-model $S^\text{cosmo}$ as $S^\text{cosmo}$. We could of course have chosen 2 instead of 1 as time coordinate in $GL(11) \subset E_{11}$. This change of time coordinate can be obtained by performing the $E_{11}$ Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_1}$ sending $\alpha_1 \rightarrow -\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Choosing the gravity line of figure 1 to be the reflected one, one finds that its time coordinate has switched from 1 to 2. This results from the fact that the temporal involution does not in general commute with Weyl reflexions [33, 34, 23], a property that has far reaching consequences, as reviewed in appendix A. Deleting the node 1 in figure 1 we obtain the Dynkin diagram of $E_{10}$ endowed with the temporal involution $\Omega_{(\lambda)}$, $\lambda = 2$, with $\varepsilon_a = -1$ for $a = 2$ and $+1$ for $a = 3, 4, \ldots, 11$ in eq. (2.3). This involution leaves invariant a subalgebra $K^{-}_{10}$ of $E_{10}$. The coset space $E_{10}/K^{-}_{10}$ accommodates the Lorentzian metric $GL(10)/SO(1, 9)$. Performing products of $E_{10}$ Weyl reflexions on the gravity line $W_{\alpha_i}$, $i = 2, \ldots, 10$, one obtains ten possible different identifications of the time coordinate from $\Omega_{(\lambda)}$, $\lambda = 2, 3, \ldots, 11$. The $\sigma$-model build upon the coset $E_{10}/K^{-}_{10}$ can be constructed for any choice of $\lambda$ in $\Omega_{(\lambda)}$. These formulations of the $\sigma$-model are all equivalent up to the field redefinitions by $E_{10}$ Weyl transformations and we shall label them by the generic notation $S^\text{brane}$, leaving implicit the choice of the time coordinate $\lambda$.

For sake of completeness, we recall the construction of $S^\text{brane}$ [22, 23]. We take as representatives of $E_{10}/K^{-}_{10}$ the elements of the Borel group of $E_{10}$ which we write as

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ a & c \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad a(c - b) = 1,$$

since the lightlike first column vector cannot be Lorentz boosted to a spacelike one.
\[ \mathcal{V}(x^1) = \exp \left[ \sum_{a \geq b} h_a(x^1) K_b \right] \exp \left[ \sum_{r!} \frac{1}{r!} A_{a_1...a_r}(x^1) R^{a_1...a_r} + \cdots \right], \tag{2.11} \]

where from now on all indices run from 2 to 11. The first exponential contains only level zero operators and the second one the positive step operators of \( E_{10} \) of levels strictly greater than zero. Define

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu(x^1) &= \frac{d\mathcal{V}}{dx^1} \mathcal{V}^{-1} \\
\tilde{v}(x^1) &= -\Omega(\lambda) v(x^1) \\
v_{\text{sym}} &= \frac{1}{2}(v + \tilde{v}),
\end{align*}
\tag{2.12}
\]

with \( \lambda \) equal to the chosen time coordinate. Using the invariant scalar product \( \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \) for \( E_{10} \) one obtains a \( \sigma \)-model constructed on the coset \( E_{10}/K_{10} \)

\[
S_{\text{brane}} = \int dx^1 \frac{1}{n(x^1)} \langle v_{\text{sym}}(x^1) | v_{\text{sym}}(x^1) \rangle,
\tag{2.13}
\]

where \( n(x^1) \) is an arbitrary lapse function ensuring reparametrisation invariance on the world-line. Explicitly, defining

\[
\begin{align*}
e^m_\mu &= (e^h)_\mu^m \\
g_{\mu\nu} &= e^m_\mu e^n_\nu \eta_{mn},
\end{align*}
\tag{2.14}
\]

where \( \eta_{mn} \) is the Lorentz metric with \( \eta_{\lambda\lambda} = -1 \), one writes eq. (2.13) as

\[
S_{\text{brane}} = S_0 + \sum_A S_A,
\tag{2.15}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
S_0 &= \frac{1}{4} \int dx^1 \frac{1}{n(x^1)} \left( g^{\mu\nu} \frac{g_{\sigma\tau}}{dx^1} - g^{\mu\sigma} \frac{g_{\nu\tau}}{dx^1} \right) \frac{dg_{\mu\nu}}{dx^1} \frac{dg_{\sigma\tau}}{dx^1} \\
S_A &= \frac{1}{2r!} \int dx^1 \frac{1}{n(x^1)} \left[ \frac{DA_{\mu_1...\mu_r}}{dx^1} \frac{g_{\mu_1\nu_1}...g_{\mu_r\nu_r}}{dx^1} \frac{DA_{\nu_1...\nu_r}}{dx^1} \right].
\end{align*}
\tag{2.16}
\]

Here \( D/dx^1 \) is a group covariant derivative and all indices run from 2 to 11. Note that in eq. (2.14) one may extend the range of indices to include \( \mu, \nu, m, n = 1 \), using the embedding relation \( E_{10} \) in \( E_{11} \) which reads \[13\]

\[
h^1_a = \sum_{a=2}^{11} h_a^a, \quad h^a_1 = 0 \quad a = 2, 3, \ldots 11.
\tag{2.17}
\]

Up to level 3 and height 29, the fields in eqs. (2.16) and (2.16) can be identified with fields of 11D supergravity [14]. They can be used, as shall now be recalled, to characterise its basic BPS solutions depending on one non-compact space variable.
2.2 The basic BPS solutions in 1 non-compact dimension

2.2.1 Generalities and Hodge duality

The basic BPS solutions of 11D supergravity are the 2-brane (M2) and its magnetic counterpart the 5-brane (M5), and in the pure gravity sector the Kaluza-Klein wave (KK-wave) whose magnetic counterpart is Kaluza-Klein monopole (KK6-monopole). These are static solutions which, wrapped on tori, leave respectively 8, 5, 9 and 3 non-compact space dimensions. It is convenient to express the magnetic solutions in terms of an ‘electric’ potential with a time index. This is done by trading on the equations of motion the field strength for its Hodge dual. For the M5 the field $F_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} = 4 \partial_{[\mu_1} A_{\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4]}$ has as dual the field $\tilde{F}_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\nu_5\nu_6\nu_7} = 7 \partial_{[\nu_1} A_{\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\nu_5\nu_6\nu_7]}$ defined by

$$\sqrt{-g} F^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\nu_5\nu_6\nu_7} = \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\nu_5\nu_6\nu_7\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} F_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} , \quad (2.18)$$

For the KK6-monopole the KK-potential $A_{\mu}^{(\nu)}$ in terms of the vielbein $e_{\mu}^{\nu}$ is given by $A_{\mu}^{(\nu)} = -\epsilon_{\mu}^{\nu}(e^{-1})_{\nu}^{\nu}$ where $\mu$ labels the non-compact directions, $\nu$ is the Taub-NUT direction in coordinate indices and $n$ is the Taub-NUT direction in flat frame indices and there is no summation on $n$. The field strength is $F_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\nu)} = \partial_{[\mu_1} A_{\mu_2]}^{(\nu)} - \partial_{[\mu_2} A_{\mu_1]}^{(\nu)}$. Its dual is $\tilde{F}_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\nu_5\nu_6\nu_7\nu_8|\nu_9} = 9 \partial_{[\nu_1} A_{\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\nu_5\nu_6\nu_7\nu_8]} |\nu_9\rangle$ where

$$\sqrt{-g} F_{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\nu_5\nu_6\nu_7\nu_8|\nu_9} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4\nu_5\nu_6\nu_7\nu_8\nu_9\mu_1\mu_2} F_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{(\nu_9)} , \quad (2.19)$$

These BPS solutions depend on space variables in the non-compact dimensions only. One may further compactify on tori some of these ‘transverse’ directions. This increases accordingly the number of Killing vectors and one obtains in this way new ‘smeared’ solutions depending only on the space variables in the remaining non-compact dimensions.\(^5\) As we shall see the smearing process is straightforward, except for the smearing of magnetic solutions to one non-compact dimensions, which can only be performed in the electric language, hinting on the fundamental significance of the dual formulation as will indeed be later confirmed.

As recalled below, all BPS solutions, smeared up to one non-compact dimensions are solutions of the $\sigma$-model $S^{brane}$ given by eq. (2.13) where $x^1$ is identified with the non-compact space dimension \(^22\ \text{ or } 23\).

2.2.2 Levels 1 and 2: The 2-brane and the 5-brane

For the 2-brane (M2) solution of 11D supergravity wrapped in the directions 10 and 11, we choose 9 as the time coordinate, so that the only non vanishing component of the 3-form

\(^5\text{In the string language, the smearing process amounts to introducing image branes in the compact dimensions and averaging them over the torus radii (or equivalently considering in the non-compact dimensions distances large compared to these radii). Compact dimensions which cannot be ‘unsmeared’ are labelled ‘longitudinal’. It will be convenient in what follows to take the time dimension as compact (and longitudinal). Decompacting longitudinal space-time dimensions does not affect the field dependence of the solutions. However longitudinal dimensions cannot always be decompactified, as exemplified by the Taub-NUT direction of the KK6-monopole. This feature will be studied in detail in section } 4.4\)
potential is $A_{9\,10\,11}$. For the 5-brane (M5) wrapped in the directions 4,5,6,7,8, we choose 3 as time coordinate so that the 3-form potential is still $A_{9\,10\,11}$ and the Hodge dual eq. (2.18) is $A_{3\,4\,5\,6\,7\,8}$. One gets for these BPS solutions the following metric and fields

\[
M2 : \begin{align*}
g_{11} &= g_{22} = H^{1/3}, \\
g_{33} &= g_{44} = \cdots = g_{88} = H^{1/3}, \\
-g_{99} &= g_{10\,10} = g_{11\,11} = H^{-2/3}, \\
A_{9\,10\,11} &= \frac{1}{H},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
M5 : \begin{align*}
g_{11} &= g_{22} = H^{2/3}, \\
-g_{33} &= g_{44} = \cdots = g_{88} = H^{-1/3}, \\
g_{99} &= g_{10\,10} = g_{11\,11} = H^{2/3}, \\
A_{3\,4\,5\,6\,7\,8} &= \frac{1}{H}.
\end{align*}
\]

Here $H$ is a harmonic function of the non-compact space dimensions [(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8) for the M2 and (1,2,9,10,11) for the M5] with $\delta$-function singularities at the location of the branes. Smearing simply reduces the number of variables in the harmonic functions to those labelling the remaining non-compact dimensions. For instance a single M2 (M5) brane smeared to two non-compact dimensions, located at the origin of the coordinates $(x^1, x^2)$, is described by $H = (q/2\pi) \ln r = (q/2\pi) \ln \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2}$ where $q$ is an electric (magnetic) charge density. When smeared to one non-compact dimension one gets $H = (q/2)|x^1|$. We see that in the one-dimensional case only the electric dual description of the magnetic M5 brane is available, as the duality relating the 6-form eq. (2.18) to the 3-form supergravity potential requires at least two transverse dimensions. Note that this one-dimensional solution is still a solution of 11D supergravity because the replacement in the equations of motion of the 4-form field strength by the 7-form dual is valid as long as the Chern-Simons term contributions vanish, as is indeed the case for the above brane solutions. Actually the electric description of the M5, eq. (2.21), is a solution of the following effective action (in any number of transverse non-compact dimensions)

\[
S_{M5}^{(11)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{11}} \int d^{11}x \sqrt{-g^{(11)}} \left[ R^{(11)} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 7!} \tilde{F}_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6\mu_7} \tilde{F}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6\mu_7} \right].
\]

We recall [22] how the M2 solution of 11D supergravity smeared over all dimensions but one can be obtained as a solution of the the $\sigma$-model by putting in $S^{brane}$ (eq. (2.15)) all non-Cartan fields to zero except the level 1 3-form component $A_{9\,10\,11}$ with time in 9. Similarly the M5 solution smeared in all directions but one solves the equations of motion of this $\sigma$-model by retaining for the non-Cartan fields only the component $A_{3\,4\,5\,6\,7\,8}$ of the level 2 6-form with time in 3. These are respectively parameters of the Borel generators

\[
R_{1}^{[3]} \overset{def}{=} R^{9\,10\,11},
\]

\[
R_{2}^{[6]} \overset{def}{=} R^{3\,4\,5\,6\,7\,8},
\]

where the subscripts denote the $A_9$ level in the decomposition of the adjoint representation of $E_{10}$. As we will see in more detail below, the roots corresponding to the elements $R_{1}^{[3]}$
and $R_2^{[6]}$ have scalar product $-2$ and thus give rise to an infinite-dimensional affine $A_1^+$ subalgebra.

These two solutions of the $\sigma$-model are characterised by Cartan fields $h_a^a, a = 2, 3, \ldots, 11$. One has\textsuperscript{6} \cite{22}

\begin{align}
\text{M2} : & \quad h_a^a = \left\{ \frac{-1}{6}, \frac{-1}{6}, \frac{-1}{6}, \frac{-1}{6}, \frac{-1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 1 \right\} \ln H, \\
& \quad h_b^b = 0 \text{ for } a \neq b \\
& \quad h_a^a K_a^a = 1 \ln H \cdot h_{11}, \\
& \quad A_{91011} = \frac{1}{H},
\end{align}

\begin{align}
\text{M5} : & \quad h_a^a = \left\{ \frac{-1}{3}, \frac{-1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{-1}{3}, \frac{-1}{3}, 1 \right\} \ln H, \\
& \quad h_b^b = 0 \text{ for } a \neq b \\
& \quad h_a^a K_a^a = \frac{1}{2} \ln H \cdot (h_{11}^2 - K_{22}^2), \\
& \quad A_{345678} = \frac{1}{H},
\end{align}

where we used eq. (2.10) and $H(x^4)$ is a harmonic function. To the left of the $|$ symbol in the first line of eqs. (2.25) and (2.26), we have added the field $h_1^1$, evaluated from the embedding of $E_{10}$ in $E_{11}$ eq. (2.17). All other quantities in these equations are defined in $E_{10}$. From eq. (2.14), the $\sigma$-model results eqs. (2.25) and (2.26) are equivalent to the supergravity results eqs. (2.20) and (2.21) for branes smeared to one space dimension.

2.2.3 Levels 0 and 3: The Kaluza-Klein wave and the Kaluza-Klein monopole

We now examine the BPS solutions involving only gravity.

First consider the KK-wave solution. The supergravity solution with time in 3 and torus compactification in the 11 direction, is

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\text{d}s^2 &= -H^{-1}(dx^3)^2 + (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^4)^2 + \cdots + (dx^{10})^2 + H[dx^{11} - A_{3}^{(11)} dx^3]^2, \\
A_{3}^{(11)} &= (1/H) - 1.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

Smearing over any number of space dimensions results in taking $H$ as a harmonic function of only the remaining non-compact space variables. For non-compact space dimension $d > 2$, the constant $-1$ in eq. (2.28) makes the potential vanish in the asymptotic Minkowskian space-time if the limit of $H$ at spatial infinity is chosen to be one. For $d = 2$ or 1 space is

\textsuperscript{6}For simplicity we have chosen zero for the integration constants in the solutions of the equations of motion of the fields $A_{91011}$ and $A_{345678}$.
not asymptotically flat and we keep for convenience the non vanishing constant in eq. (2.28) to be one.

Smearing the KK-wave to one non-compact dimension, the above supergravity solution is recovered from the σ-model eq. (2.13) by putting to zero all fields parametrising the positive roots in the Borel representative eq. (2.11) except the level 0 field \( h_{3,11} \), taking 3 as the time coordinate. To see this, it is convenient to rewrite eq. (2.11) by disentangling the Cartan generators and the level zero positive step operators in two separate exponentials. One writes

\[
\mathcal{V}(x^1) = \exp \left[ \sum_{a=2}^{11} h_{a}^{11}(x^1) K_a^{11} \right] \exp \left[ A_{3}^{11}(x^1) K_{3}^{11} \right].
\]  

(2.29)

The expression of \( A_{3}^{11}(x^1) \) in terms of the vielbein defined by eq. (2.14) is given in appendix B by eq. (B.6), namely

\[
A_{3}^{11} = -e_{3}^{11}(e^{-1})_{11}^{11}.
\]

(2.30)

The solution is [22], taking into account the embedding relation eq. (2.17),

\[
\text{KKW : } \quad h_{a}^{11} = \begin{cases} 0 | 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \ln H,
\]

\[
h_{a}^{11} K_{a}^{11} = \frac{1}{2} \ln H \cdot (K_{3}^{11} - K_{11}^{11}),
\]

\[
A_{3}^{11} = \frac{1}{H} - 1,
\]

(2.31)

which, using eqs. (2.14) and (2.30), is equivalent to the KK-wave solution eqs. (2.27) and (2.28) of general relativity.

Consider now the KK6-monopole solution. In 11 dimensions, it has 7 longitudinal dimensions (see footnote 5). Taking 11 as the Taub-NUT direction and 4 as the timelike direction, the general relativity solution reads

\[
d s^2 = H \left[ (d x^1)^2 + (d x^2)^2 + (d x^3)^2 \right] - (d x^4)^2 + (d x^5)^2 + \cdots + (d x^{10})^2
\]

\[
+ H^{-1} \left[ d x^{11} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} A_{i}^{11} d x^i \right]^2
\]

(2.32)

and

\[
F_{ij}^{(11)} = \partial_i A_j^{(11)} - \partial_j A_i^{(11)} = -\varepsilon_{ijk} \partial_k H,
\]

(2.33)

where \( H(x^1, x^2, x^3) \) is the harmonic function. It can be smeared to 2 spatial dimensions by taking the index \( j \) in eq. (2.33) to label a compact dimension, say 3,

\[
\partial_i A_{3}^{11} = \varepsilon_{ik} \partial_k H \quad i, k = 1, 2
\]

(2.34)

and \( H(x^1, x^2) \) is now harmonic in two dimensions. The metric eq. (2.32) becomes

\[
d s^2 = H \left[ (d x^1)^2 + (d x^2)^2 + (d x^3)^2 \right] - (d x^4)^2 + (d x^5)^2 + \cdots + (d x^{10})^2
\]

\[
+ H^{-1} \left[ d x^{11} - A_{3}^{11} d x^3 \right]^2
\]

(2.35)
and is a solution of Einstein’s equations.

The smearing to one space dimension is more subtle. As for the magnetic 5-brane, it requires a dual formulation which in this case is defined by the duality relation eq. (2.19). However the reformulation of the supergravity action is now less straightforward. To understand the dual formulation we first show how to use it for the unsmeared KK6-monopole given by eqs. (2.32) and (2.33). We rewrite the metric by setting $e_{3}^{11}$, hence $A_{3}^{11}$, to zero and substitute for it the field dual to $A_{3}^{11}$, defined with field strength $\tilde{F}_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}\nu_{4}\nu_{5}\nu_{6}\nu_{7}\nu_{8}\nu_{9}|\nu_{9}} = 9 \partial_{[\nu_{1}}A_{\nu_{2}\nu_{3}\nu_{4}\nu_{5}\nu_{6}\nu_{7}\nu_{8}|\nu_{9}]}$, where the dual field strength $\tilde{F}$ is defined by eq. (2.19). The dual (diagonal) metric and the dual potential read

$$
\text{KK6M}:
\begin{align*}
g_{11} &= g_{22} = g_{33} = H,
-g_{44} = g_{55} \cdots = g_{1010} = 1,
g_{1111} &= H^{-1},
A_{456789101111} &= \frac{1}{H},
\end{align*}
$$

(2.36)

One verifies that the dual description of the KK6-monopole given by eq. (2.36) can be derived from an effective action in analogy with the action eq. (2.22) for the M5. Here the dual field plays the role of a matter field. In the gauge considered here one takes as effective action action

$$
S_{\text{KK6}}^{(11)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{11}} \int x^{11} \sqrt{-g^{(11)}} \left[ R^{(11)} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{F}_{i11}^{(45678910111111)} \tilde{F}^{i456789101111} \right],
$$

(2.37)

where $i$ runs over the three non-compact dimensions 1, 2, 3. In this dual description we may trivially smear the KK monopole to two or to one non-compact space dimensions by letting the index $i$ in eq. (2.37) run over the remaining non-compact dimensions. In two non-compact space dimensions, one obtains the dual of the description eqs. (2.34) and (2.35) and in one dimension one gets in this way a definition of the smeared KK6-monopole which inherits its charge and mass from the parent one with 3 non-compact dimensions.

The charge carried by the KK6-monopoles in three or less non-compact dimensions can be obtained in the dual formulation from the equations of motion of the field $A_{\nu_{1}\nu_{2}\nu_{3}\nu_{4}\nu_{5}\nu_{6}\nu_{7}\nu_{8}\nu_{9}|\nu_{9}}$. From eq. (2.37), one gets

$$
\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \partial_{i}(\sqrt{-g}g^{ij}g^{44}g^{55}g^{66}g^{77}g^{88}g^{99}g^{1010}(g^{1111})^{2} \partial_{j}A_{456789101111}) = 0,
$$

(2.38)

and using eq. (2.36) one finds

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \partial_{i}\partial_{i}H = 0,
$$

(2.39)

outside the source singularities of the harmonic function $H$. If the latter yields in eq. (2.39) only $\delta$-function singularities $\sum_{k} q_{k}\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{k})$ located in non-compact space points $\vec{r}_{k}$, one may extend eq. (2.39) to the whole non-compact space. We write

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \partial_{i}\partial_{i}H \propto \sum_{k} q_{k}\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{k}),
$$

(2.40)
where $q_k$ is the charge of the monopole located at $\vec{r}_k$. For instance a single KK6-monopole located at the origin in 2 non-compact space is described by $H = (q/2\pi) \ln r$.

For 3 or 2 non-compact dimensions, writing eq. (2.33) or eq. (2.34) as $F_{\mu \nu}^{(11)}$ one recovers from eq. (2.40) the charge of the monopoles from the conventional surface integral

$$
\int F \equiv \int (1/2) F^{(11)}_{\mu \nu} \, dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \propto \sum_k q_k,
$$

(2.41)

where the surface integral enclosed the charges $q_k$. Eq. (2.41) is equivalent to eq. (2.40). For KK6-monopoles smeared to one non-compact dimension, the surface integral loses its meaning but the direct definition of charge eq. (2.40) is still valid. In this way the magnetic KK6-monopole in any number of non-compact transverse dimensions is suitably described (as the magnetic 5-brane by eq. (2.22)) by a dual effective action eq. (2.37). As expected for a BPS solution, the charge of the KK6-monopole, smeared or not, is equal to its tension evaluated in string theory, as recalled in section 4 and in appendix C.1 where the mass of the KK6-monopole is derived from T-duality.

The above KK6-monopole solution smeared over all dimensions but one can again be obtained as a solution of the $\sigma$-model by putting in $S^{brane}$, with time in 4, all non-Cartan fields to zero except the level 3 component $A_{456789101111}$. This is the parameter of the Borel generator

$$
R_{3}^{[8,1]} \overset{def}{=} R_{456789101111}^{[22]},
$$

(2.42)

where the subscript labels the $A_9$ level in the decomposition of the adjoint representation of $E_{10}$. The solution is, taking into account the embedding relation eq. (2.17)

$$
KK6M : \quad h_a^a = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1/2 \end{array} \right\} \ln H,
$$

(2.43)

$$
h_a^a K^a = 1/2 \ln H \cdot (-K^2 - K^3 + K^{11}),
$$

$$
A_{45...101111} = 1/H,
$$

with $H(x^1)$ harmonic. From eqs. (2.14) one indeed recovers the KK6-monopole solution of the dual action eq. (2.37) displayed in eq. (2.36).

### 2.2.4 The exotic BPS solutions

As a consequence of the non-commutativity of Weyl reflexions with the temporal involution, the $E_{10} \sigma$-model $S^{brane}$ living on $E_{10}/K_{10}$ was expressed in 10 different ways according to the choice of the time coordinate in eq. (2.15) in the global signature (1,9). These are related through Weyl transformations of $E_{10}$ from roots of the gravity line. Adding the Weyl reflexion $W_{a11}$ one gets in addition equivalent expressions for $S^{brane}$ where the signatures [23] in eq. (2.15) are globally different. This equivalence realises in the action

---

7We use the bar symbol to distinguish within the same irreducible level 3 $A_9$ representation the generator $R_{3}^{[8,1]}$ corresponding to a real $E_{10}$ root from the generator $R_{3}^{[8,1]} = [R_{3}^{[3]}, R_{2}^{[6]}]$ pertaining to the degenerate null root, see also below in section 3.2.
formalism the general analysis of Weyl transformations by Keurentjes \cite{33, 35}. Starting with the global signature (1,9) in 10 dimensions, or (1,10) in 11 dimensions, one reaches different signatures \((t, s, \pm)\) in 11 dimensions where \(t\) is the number of timelike directions, \(s\) is the number of spacelike directions and \(\pm\) encodes the sign of the kinetic energy term of the level 1 field in the action eq. (2.16), \(+\) being the usual one and \(−\) the ‘wrong’ one. These are \cite{23}: \((1,10, +), (2,9, −), (5,6, +), (6,5, −)\) and \((9,2, +)\). The signature changes under the Weyl transformations used in the following sections are presented in appendix A.

The results obtained in the \(\sigma\)-model eq. (2.14) are in complete agreement with the interpretation of the Weyl reflexion \(W_{\alpha 11}\) as a double T-duality in the direction 9 and 10 plus exchange of the two directions \cite{13, 36, 37}. Indeed, it has been shown that T-duality involving a timelike direction changes the signature of space-time leading to the exotic phases of M-theory \cite{39, 40}. The signatures found by Weyl reflexions are thus in perfect agreement with the analysis of timelike T-dualities.

The brane scan of the exotic phases has been studied \cite{11, 22}. Their different BPS branes depend on the signature and the sign of the kinetic term. The number of longitudinal timelike directions for a given brane is constrained. As an example if we consider the so-called M\(^\ast\) phase characterised by the signature \((2,9, −)\), the wrong sign of the kinetic energy term implies that the exotic M2 brane must have even number of timelike directions. There are thus two different M2 branes in M\(^\ast\) theory denoted \((0,3)\) and \((2,1)\) where the first entry is the number of timelike longitudinal directions and the second one the number of spacelike longitudinal directions. For instance, the metric of a \((2,1)\) exotic M2 brane with timelike directions 10 , 11, and spacelike direction 9 is

\[
\begin{align*}
M2^\ast : & \quad g_{11} = g_{22} = H^{1/3} \\
& \quad g_{33} = g_{44} = \cdots = g_{88} = H^{1/3}, \\
& \quad g_{99} = -g_{10\cdot10} = -g_{11\cdot11} = H^{-2/3},
\end{align*}
\] (2.44)

where \(H\) is the harmonic function in the transverse non-compact dimensions.

When smeared in all directions but one this metric is also a solution of the \(\sigma\)-model \(S^{brane}\) with the correct identification in eq. (2.15) of time components and sign shifts in kinetic energy terms. More generally, all the exotic branes smeared to one non-compact space dimension are solutions of this \(\sigma\)-model living on the coset \(E_{10}/K_{−10}\) \cite{23}.

3. \(E_9\)-branes and the infinite U-duality group

In this section we construct an infinite set of BPS solutions of 11D supergravity and of its exotic counterparts depending on two non-compact space variables. They are related by the Weyl group of \(E_9\) to the basic ones reviewed in section 2 and constitute an infinite multiplet of U-dualities viewed as Weyl transformations.

\footnote{The analysis of the different possible signatures related by Weyl reflexions has been extended to all \(\mathcal{G}^{++}\) in \cite{34, 36}.}
3.1 The working hypothesis

Our working hypothesis is that the fields describing BPS solutions of 11D supergravity depending on two non-compact space variables \((x^1, x^2)\) are coordinates in the coset \(E_{10}/K_{10}\), in the regular embedding \(E_{10} \subset E_{11}\). The coset representatives are taken in the Borel gauge, subject of course to the remark in footnote 3.

We first express in this way the basic solutions of section 2, smeared to two space dimensions.

Consider the M2 and M5 branes. Their Borel representatives are

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{M2} : \mathcal{V}_1 &= \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \ h_{11} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R_1^{[3]} \right] \\
\text{M5} : \mathcal{V}_2 &= \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \ (-h_{11} - K^2_2) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R_2^{[6]} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(R_1^{[3]}\) and \(R_2^{[6]}\) are defined in eqs. (2.23) and (2.24), respectively, and \(h_{11}\) was defined in eq. (2.10). The Cartan fields and the potentials \(A_{91011}(x_1, x_2)\) for the M2 and \(A_{345678}(x_1, x_2)\) for the M5 are given by eqs. (2.23), (2.26) with \(H\) now a function of the two variables \(x^1, x^2\). Their metric eqs. (2.20) and (2.21) are encoded in eq. (2.14) giving the relation of the Cartan fields to the vielbein and in eq. (2.17) expressing the embedding of \(E_{10}\) in \(E_{11}\). The Hodge duality relations

\[
\sqrt{|g|} g^{11} g^{99} g^{1010} g^{1111} \partial_1 A_{91011} = \partial_2 A_{345678}
\]

\[
\sqrt{|g|} g^{22} g^{99} g^{1010} g^{1111} \partial_2 A_{91011} = -\partial_1 A_{345678}
\]

read both for M2 and M5, using eqs. (2.14), (2.23) and (2.26),

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_1 H &= \partial_2 B, \\
\partial_2 H &= -\partial_1 B,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(B = A_{345678}\) for the M2 and \(B = A_{91011}\) for the M5. In this way, due to the particular choice we made for the tensor components defining the branes, the fields \(A_{91011}\) and \(A_{345678}\) are interchanged between the M2 and the M5 when their common value switches from \(1/H(x^1, x^2)\) to \(B(x^1, x^2)\). Note however that for the M2 (M5) the time in \(A_{345678} (A_{91011})\) is still 9 (3). Eqs. (3.3) and (3.6) are the Cauchy-Riemann relations for the analytic function

\[
E_1 = H + iB,
\]

and \(H\) and \(B\) are thus conjugate harmonic functions. The duality relations eqs. (3.3) and (3.6) allow for the replacement of the Borel representatives \(\mathcal{V}_1\) and \(\mathcal{V}_2\) by

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{M2} : \mathcal{V}'_1 &= \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \ h_{11} \right] \exp \left[ B R_2^{[6]} \right] \\
\text{M5} : \mathcal{V}'_2 &= \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \ (-h_{11} - K^2_2) \right] \exp \left[ B R_1^{[3]} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

\(^9\text{We chose zero for the integration constants of the dual fields }A_{91011} \text{ and } A_{345678} \text{ (cf footnote 3).}^9\)
Note that the representative of the M5 in eq. (3.9) is, as the representative of the M2 in eq. (3.1), expressed in terms of the supergravity metric and 3-form potential in two non-compact dimensions.

Consider now the purely gravitational BPS solutions. According to eqs. (2.31) and (2.43) the Borel representatives are

\[ \text{KKW} : V_0 = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln H (K^3 - K^{11} ) \right) \exp \left( (H^{-1} - 1) K^3_{11} \right) \]  
\[ \text{KK6M} : V_3 = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln H (K^2 - K^3 + K^{11} ) \right) \exp \left( H^{-1} R^{4567891011} \right) \]

with \( H = H(x^1, x^2) \). Using the duality relations eq. (2.19) between \([8,1]\)-form and \( A^{(11)} \), one may express the Borel representative of the KK6-monopole, as the representative of the KK-wave eq. (3.10), in two space dimensions in terms of the 11-dimensional metric.

Transforming by \( E_9 \) Weyl transformations the Borel representatives of the basic solutions given here, we shall obtain for all \( E_9 \) generators associated to its real positive roots, representatives expressed in terms of the harmonic functions \( H = H(x^1, x^2) \). These will be transformed through dualities and compensations to Borel representatives expressed in terms of new level 0 fields and 3-form potentials \( A_9^{(11)} \). This potential and the metric encoded in the level 0 fields through the embedding relation eq. (2.17) and eq. (2.14) will be shown to solve the equations of motions of 11D-supergravity. In this way we shall find an infinite set of \( E_9 \) BPS solutions related to the M2, M5, KK-waves and KK6-monopoles by U-duality, viewed as \( E_9 \) Weyl transformations.

### 3.2 The M2 - M5 system

#### 3.2.1 The group-theoretical setting

Generalizing the previous notation for generators to all levels by using subscripts denoting the \( A_9 \) level, we write \( R^{[3]}_1 \equiv R^{91011} \), \( R^{[3]}_{-1} \equiv R^{91011} \) and \( R^{[6]}_2 \equiv R^{345678} \), \( R^{[6]}_{-2} \equiv R^{345678} \). One has

\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ R^{[3]}_1, R^{[3]}_{-1} \right] &= h_{11} , & \left[ h_{11}, R^{[3]}_1 \right] &= 2R^{[3]}_1 \\
\left[ R^{[6]}_2, R^{[6]}_{-2} \right] &= -h_{11} - K^2 , & \left[ h_{11}, R^{[6]}_2 \right] &= -2R^{[6]}_2 \\
\left[ R^{[3]}_1, R^{[6]}_{-2} \right] &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

These commutation relations form a Chevalley presentation of a group with Cartan matrix

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

and one verifies that the Serre relations are satisfied. This group is the affine \( A^+_1 \) and hence isomorphic to the standard Geroch group which is also the affine extension of \( SL(2) \). The central charge is \( k \) and derivation \( d \), whose eigenvalues define the affine level, are given by the embedding of the \( A^+_1 \) in \( E_{10} \) as

\[
k = -K^2 , \quad d = -\frac{1}{3} K^2 + \frac{2}{9} (K^4 + \cdots + K^9) - \frac{1}{9} (K^3 + K^{10} + K^{11}) .
\]
The level counting operator $d$ is not fixed uniquely by the present embedding.

The multicommuntators satisfying the Serre relations form three towers. The positive generators, normalized to one, are

$$R_{1+3n}^{[3]} = 2^{-n} \left[ R_1^{[3]} \left[ R_2^{[3]} \left[ R_1^{[3]} \ldots \left[ R_2^{[3]} \left[ R_1^{[3]}, R_2^{[3]} \right] \ldots \right] \right] \ldots \right] \right] n \geq 0 \tag{3.15}$$

$$R_{3n}^{[8,1]} = 2^{-(n-1/2)} \left[ R_1^{[8,1]} \left[ R_2^{[8,1]} \left[ R_1^{[8,1]} \ldots \left[ R_2^{[8,1]} \left[ R_1^{[8,1]}, R_2^{[8,1]} \right] \ldots \right] \right] \ldots \right] \right] n > 0 \tag{3.16}$$

$$R_{1+3n}^{[6]} = 2^{-(n-1)} \left[ R_2^{[6]} \left[ R_1^{[6]} \ldots \left[ R_2^{[6]} \left[ R_1^{[6]}, R_2^{[6]} \right] \ldots \right] \right] \right] n > 0, \tag{3.17}$$

where the affine level $n$ is equal to the number of $R_{2}^{[6]}$ in the tower. ¹⁰ The $R_{3n}^{[8,1]}$ tower correspond to the null roots $n \delta$ where

$$\delta = \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 4\alpha_6 + 5\alpha_7 + 6\alpha_8 + 4\alpha_9 + 2\alpha_{10} + 3\alpha_{11},$$

which has the properties

$$\langle \delta, \delta \rangle = 0 \quad \langle \delta, \alpha_i \rangle = 0 \quad i = 3, \ldots, 11. \tag{3.19}$$

In particular $R_{3}^{[8,1]}$ is a linear combination $R_{3}^{34567891011}$ of level 3 tensors with all indices distinct. Its height is 30 and thus exceeds the ‘classical’ limit 29 of [14].

Substituting $h_{11} = -h_{11}^{2} = K^{2}$ in eq. (3.12) one obtains a presentation with $R_{1}^{[3]}$ and $R_{2}^{[6]}$ interchanged. While the $A_{1}^{+}$ group in the presentation eq. (3.12) appears associated with the M2 brane, one could associate the alternate presentation with the M5 brane. The two presentations differ by shifts in the affine level but not by the generators of the $A_{1}^{+}$ group pertaining to the real roots of the M2-M5 system appear in figure 2a and in figure 2b.

All the real roots of $E_{9}$ can be reached by $E_{9}$ Weyl transformations acting on (say) $\alpha_{11}$ defining the generator $R_{1}^{[3]}$. We shall find convenient for our construction of the infinite set of the $E_{9}$ BPS-branes to generate all the real roots from two different real roots, namely $\alpha_{11}$ and $-\alpha_{11} + \delta$ characterising respectively the generators $R_{1}^{[3]}$ and $R_{2}^{[6]}$. In this section we obtain the generators of the $R_{1+3n}^{[3]}$ and $R_{1+3n}^{[6]}$ towers eqs. (3.14) and (3.17) and their negative counterparts by the Weyl reflexions $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ and $W_{-\alpha_{11} + \delta}$ acting in alternating sequences, starting from their action on the generators $R_{1}^{[3]}$ and $R_{2}^{[6]}$. This is depicted in figure 2a. The Weyl reflexions $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ and $W_{-\alpha_{11} + \delta}$ generate the Weyl group of the affine subgroup $A_{1}^{+}$ of $E_{9}$ depicted in figure 6.¹² Its formal structure is discussed in appendix G.

For the simply laced algebra considered here, with real roots normed to square length 2, the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha}(\beta)$ in the plane perpendicular to the real root $\alpha$ acting on the arbitrary weight $\beta$ is given by

$$W_{\alpha}(\beta) = \beta - \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \alpha \tag{3.20}$$

Footnotes:

¹⁰Shifts in the affine level by one unit corresponds to shifts in $A_{9}$ levels by three units, see also [43]. In what follows, when the term level is left unspecified, we always mean the $A_{9}$ level.

¹¹We note that in $A_{1}^{+}$ not all real roots are Weyl equivalent but there are two distinct orbits as we will see in more detail below.

¹²This is a Coxeter group whose presentation is $(W_{\alpha_{11}}, W_{-\alpha_{11} + \delta})/(W_{\alpha_{11}}W_{-\alpha_{11} + \delta})^{\infty} = id)$. 
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Figure 2: $A_1^+$ group for the M2-M5 system. (a) The Weyl group: the M2 sequence is depicted by solid lines and the M5 sequence by dashed lines. Horizontal lines represent Weyl reflexions $W_{\alpha_{11}}$, and diagonal ones $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$. (b) The SL(2) subgroups: the solid lines label SL(2) subgroups from the 3-tower eq. (3.15) and the dashed ones the SL(2) subgroups from the 6-tower eq. (3.17).

Consider the Weyl reflexion $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$ acting on $\alpha_{11} + n\delta$, which defines $R^{[3]}_{1+3n}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $R^{[6]}_{1+3n}$ for $n < 0$. One gets

$$W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}(\alpha_{11} + n\delta) = \alpha_{11} + n\delta - \langle\alpha_{11} + n\delta, -\alpha_{11} + \delta\rangle(-\alpha_{11} + \delta) = -\alpha_{11} + (n+2)\delta,$$  

(3.21)

where we have used eq. (3.19). The real root $-\alpha_{11} + (n+2)\delta$ defines the generator $R^{[6]}_{-1+3(n+2)}$ for $n \geq 0$ and $R^{[6]}_{-1+3(n+2)}$ for $n < 0$. The Weyl reflexion has induced an $A_9$ level increase of four ‘units’ since $\delta$ from (3.18) has three units of $A_9$ level and $\alpha_{11}$ has one.

Similarly, acting on the root $-\alpha_{11} + n\delta$, which defines the generator $R^{[6]}_{-1+3n}$ for $n > 0$ and $R^{[3]}_{-1+3n}$ for $n \leq 0$, with the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_{11}}$, one gets

$$W_{\alpha_{11}}(-\alpha_{11} + n\delta) = -\alpha_{11} + n\delta - \langle-\alpha_{11} + n\delta, \alpha_{11}\rangle\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{11} + n\delta.$$  

(3.22)

This Weyl reflexion induces an $A_9$ level increase of two units. Thus acting successively with the Weyl reflexions eqs. (3.21) and (3.22) on any root $\alpha_{11} + n\delta$ or in the reverse order on the root $-\alpha_{11} + n\delta$ one induces a level increase of six units, or equivalently of two affine
levels. Of course interchanging the initial and final roots and the order of the two Weyl reflexions, one decreases the affine level by two units. Thus starting from the roots $\alpha_{11}$ and $-\alpha_{11} + \delta$, we obtain the real roots defining all the generators of the $R^{[3]}_{1+3n}$ and $R^{[6]}_{1+3n}$ towers eqs. (3.13) and (3.17) and their negative counterparts. These form two sequences depicted in figure 2a. The ‘M2 sequence’ originates from the $\alpha_{11}$ root (and thus from the generator $R^{[3]}_{1}$) and reads

$$\ldots \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_{11}+\delta}{2} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{-7} \rightarrow R^{[6]}_{-5} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{-3} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{2} \rightarrow R^{[6]}_{3} \rightarrow R^{[6]}_{5} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{6} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_{11}+\delta}{2} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{7} \rightarrow \cdots$$

(3.23)

The ‘M5 sequence’ originates from $-\alpha_{11} + \delta$ (and thus from the generator $R^{[6]}_{2}$) and reads

$$\ldots \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_{11}}{2} \rightarrow R^{[6]}_{-8} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{-4} \rightarrow R^{[6]}_{2} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{2} \rightarrow R^{[6]}_{4} \rightarrow R^{[6]}_{8} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{10} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_{11}}{2} \rightarrow R^{[3]}_{12} \rightarrow \cdots$$

(3.24)

Both sequences are represented in figure 2a.

The Hodge duality relations eqs. (3.3) and (3.4) will play an essential role in the determinations of the $E_9$ BPS-branes. The Hodge dual generators $R^{[3]}_{1}$ and $R^{[6]}_{2}$ have commutation relations

$$[R^{[3]}_{1}, R^{[6]}_{2}] = R^{[8,1]}_{3}.$$  

(3.25)

The roots of the $E_9$ subalgebra do not contain $\alpha_{2}$ when expressed in terms of simple roots. Hence from eq. (3.19) any Weyl transformation from an $E_9$ real root leaves invariant (possibly up to a sign) the right hand side of eq. (3.23). Therefore, the image of the basic pair $R^{[3]}_{1}, R^{[6]}_{2}$ by any such $E_9$ Weyl transformation are pairs whose $A_9$ level sum is equal to three and we have:

**Theorem 1.** The set of Weyl transformations in $E_9$ mapping the $A_9^+$ group eq. (3.13) into itself either transforms the pair $(R^{[3]}_{1}, R^{[6]}_{2})$ into itself or into one of the pairs $(R^{[3]}_{1+3p}, R^{[3]}_{-1-3(p-1)}), (R^{[6]}_{-1+3(p+1)}, R^{[6]}_{-1-3p})$ where $p$ is a positive integer.

This theorem applies to the above Weyl transformations and is easily checked from figure 2a.

The $A_9^+$ group eq. (3.12) admits two infinite sets of SL(2) subgroups

$$[R^{[3]}_{1+3p}, R^{[3]}_{-1-3p}] = h_{11} - p K^2_2, \left[ h_{11} - p K^2_2, R^{[3]}_{x(1+3p)} \right]$$

$$= \pm 2 R^{[3]}_{x(1+3p)} \quad (p \geq 0),$$  

(3.26)

$$[R^{[6]}_{-1+3p}, R^{[6]}_{-1-3p}] = -h_{11} - p K^2_2, \left[ -h_{11} - p K^2_2, R^{[6]}_{x(-1+3p)} \right]$$

$$= \pm 2 R^{[6]}_{x(-1+3p)} \quad (p > 0).$$

(3.27)

As all Weyl reflexions send opposite roots to opposite transforms, one has

**Theorem 2.** The set of Weyl transformations in $E_9$ mapping the $A_9^+$ group eq. (3.13) into itself exchanges the SL(2) subgroups between themselves.

The subgroups eq. (3.26) and (3.27) are depicted in figure 2b.
3.2.2 The M2 sequence

We take as representatives of the M2 sequence all the Weyl transforms of the M2 representative eq. (3.1). The time coordinate is 9. Following in figure 2a the solid line towards positive step generators, we encounter Weyl transforms of the SL(2) subgroup generated by \((h_{11}, R_{1}^{[3]}, R_{-1}^{[3]})\) represented by a solid line in figure 2b. Theorem 2 determines from eqs. (3.24) and (3.27) the Weyl transform of the Cartan generators of eq. (3.1) and we write

\[
V_{1+6n} = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln H (h_{11} - 2nK^{2}_{2}) \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{H} R_{1+6n}^{[3]} \right) \quad n \geq 0 \tag{3.28}
\]

\[
V_{-1+6n} = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln H (-h_{11} - 2nK^{2}_{2}) \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{H} R_{-1+6n}^{[6]} \right) \quad n > 0. \tag{3.29}
\]

We shall trade the tower fields \(A_{1+6n}^{[3]}, A_{-1+6n}^{[6]}\) parametrising the generators in eqs. (3.28) and (3.29) in favour of the supergravity potential \(A_{9 10 11}\) and construct from them BPS solutions of 11D supergravity. We have not indicated sign shifts induced by the Weyl transformations in the tower fields from the sign of the lowest level \(n = 0\) field which is taken to be \((+1/H)\). This is here the only relevant sign, as discussed below.

Let us consider explicitly the first two steps. These will introduce the two essential features of our construction: compensation and signature changes.

**From level 1 to level 5: compensation.** Following in figure 2a the solid line towards positive step generators, we first encounter the Weyl reflexion \(W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}\) sending the level 1 generator \(R_{1}^{[3]}\) to the level 5 generator \(R_{5}^{[6]}\). eq. (3.29) for \(n = 1\) reads

\[
V_{5} = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln H (-h_{11} - 2K^{2}_{2}) \right) \exp \left( \frac{1}{H} R_{5}^{[6]} \right). \tag{3.30}
\]

As can be seen in figure 2a, the Weyl reflexion \(W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}\) sending \(R_{1}^{[3]}\) to \(R_{5}^{[6]}\) sends its dual \(R_{2}^{[6]}\) to \(R_{-2}^{[6]}\), in accordance with Theorem 1. We call \(R_{-2}^{[6]}\) the dual generator of \(R_{5}^{[6]}\) and we get by acting with \(W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}\) on the dual representative for the M2, eq. (3.8), the ‘dual’ representative of eq. (3.30),

\[
V'_{5} = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln H (-h_{11} - 2K^{2}_{2}) \right) \exp \left( -B R_{-2}^{[6]} \right). \tag{3.31}
\]

The \(-\) sign in front of \(B\) arises as follows. The generators \(-h_{11} - K^{2}_{2}, R_{2}^{[6]}, R_{-2}^{[6]}\) form an SL(2) group, depicted by a dashed line in figure 2b. We use the representation\(^{13}\)

\[
h_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad f_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K^{2}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.32}
\]

with \(-h_{11} - K^{2}_{2} = h_{1}, R_{2}^{[6]} = e_{1}, R_{-2}^{[6]} = f_{1}\), where we have also included a representation for the central element \(K^{2}_{2}\). The Weyl reflexion \(W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}\) is generated by the group conjugation matrix \(U_{5}\) of SL(2) \(\text{SL}(2)\)

\[
U_{5} = \exp R_{-2}^{[6]} \exp (-R_{2}^{[6]}) \exp R_{-2}^{[6]}, \tag{3.33}
\]

\(^{13}h_{1}, e_{1}, f_{1}\) are the Chevalley generators of an \(\text{SL}(2) \subset E_{9}\).
which can be represented by
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and thus
\[
U_5 R_2^{[6]} U_5^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = -R_2^{[6]}.
\]

One may verify that the conjugation matrix $U_5$ acting on the Cartan generator of the representative of the M2 in dual form eq. (3.38) yields the same Cartan generator in the dual representative $\mathcal{V}_5'$ in eq. (3.31) as in the direct form $\mathcal{V}_5$, which was obtained from the level 1 representative of the M2 eq. (53).

We now write eq. (3.31) as an SL(2) matrix times a factor coming from the $K_{22}$ contribution. One has
\[
\mathcal{V}_5' = H^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} H^{1/2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & H^{-1/2} & -B & 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
(3.36)
\]
The negative root in eq. (3.31) can be transferred to the original Borel gauge by a compensating element of $K_{-10}$. To this effect we multiply on the left the matrix eq. (3.36) by a suitable element of the group $SO(2) = SL(2) \cap K_{-10}$. For a well chosen $\theta$ we get
\[
\mathcal{V}_5' = H^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ H^{1/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -B & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
= \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(H^2 + B^2) K_{22} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{H}{H^2 + B^2} h_{11} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{B}{H^2 + B^2} R_2^{[6]} \right].
\]
\[
(3.37)
\]
Using the embedding relation eq. (2.17) we get from eq. (2.14) the metric\(^{14}\) encoded in the representative eq. (3.38)

Level 5 :
\[
g_{11} = g_{22} = (H^2 + B^2) \tilde{H}^{1/3}
\]
\[
g_{33} = g_{44} = \cdots = g_{88} = \tilde{H}^{1/3}
\]
\[
- g_{99} = g_{1010} = g_{1111} = \tilde{H}^{-2/3},
\]
\[
(3.38)
\]
where
\[
\tilde{H} = H^{1/2} + B^2.
\]
\[
(3.39)
\]
Using this metric and the duality equations eq. (3.3), (3.4), one obtains the supergravity 3-form potential $A_9_{1011}$ dual to $A_{345678} = -B/(H^2 + B^2)$
\[
A_{9_{1011}} = \frac{1}{H},
\]
\[
(3.40)
\]
\(^{14}\)This solution of 11D supergravity has been derived previously in a different context [44].
and the dual representative of $\nabla_5$, expressed in terms of the potential eq. (3.40) is
\[
\nabla_5 = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln (H^2 + B^2) K^2_2 \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \tilde{H} h_{11} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R_1^{[3]} \right].
\tag{3.41}
\]
The dual pair $\tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{B} \equiv -B/(H^2 + B^2)$ are the conjugate harmonic functions defined by the analytic function $\mathcal{E}_2$ given by
\[
\mathcal{E}_2 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}_1} = \tilde{H} + i \tilde{B}.
\tag{3.42}
\]
We shall verify later that the metric eq. (3.38) and the potential eq. (3.40) solve the equations of motion of 11D supergravity.

**From level 5 to level 7: signature change.** Pursuing further in figure 2a the solid line towards positive step generators, we encounter the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ leading from level 5 to the level 7 root $R_{[3]}^[-1]$. eq. (3.28) reads
\[
\mathcal{V}_7 = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H (h_{11} - 2K^2_2) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R_7^{[3]} \right].
\tag{3.43}
\]
In the computation of the level 5 solution we have followed the sequence of duality transformations and the compensation depicted on the second horizontal line in figure 3. The sequence of operations required to transform $\mathcal{V}_7$ to a representative expressed in terms of the supergravity 3-form potential parametrizing $R_1^{[3]}$ is depicted in the third line of figure 3. As discussed below in more details in the analysis of the full M2 sequence, all steps appearing in the figure on the same column at levels 5 and 7 are related by the same Weyl transformation $W_{\alpha_{11}}$. Hence one may short-circuit the first two dualities and the first compensation and evaluate directly the Borel representative pertaining to the last column of the level 5 line in figure 3. This amounts to take the Weyl transform by $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ of $\nabla_5$ given in eq. (3.41). The generators $h_{11}, R_{-1}^{[3]}, R_1^{[3]}$ generate as above an SL(2) group represented here by a solid line in figure 2b. The Weyl conjugation matrix $U_7$ sending $R_1^{[3]}$ to $R_{-1}^{[3]}$ is
\[
U_7 = \exp R_{-1}^{[3]} \exp (-R_1^{[3]}) \exp R_{-1}^{[3]},
\tag{3.44}
\]
which yields the result corresponding to eq. (3.33), namely
\[
U_7 R_1^{[3]} U_{-1}^{-1} = -R_{-1}^{[3]}.
\tag{3.45}
\]
One gets
\[
\nabla_7 = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln (H^2 + B^2) K^2_2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln \tilde{H} h_{11} \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{H} R_{-1}^{[3]} \right].
\tag{3.46}
\]
To convert the negative root into a positive one we have to perform a second compensation. Here a new phenomenon appears: the space-time signature changes because the temporal involution $\Omega$ does not commute with the above Weyl transformation. As explained in appendix A.2.1 the signature $(1,10,+)\rightarrow (2,9,-)$ with time coordinates 10 and
11 and negative kinetic energy for the field strength. The signature change affects the compensation matrix. The intersection of the SL(2) group generated by $h_{11}, R^{[3]}_1, R^{[3]}_3$ with $K_{10}$ is not SO(2) but SO(1,1). Indeed the transformed involution $\Omega'$ resulting from the action of the Weyl reflexion $\alpha_{11} \Rightarrow R^{[3]}_1 \Rightarrow \Omega' R^{[3]}_1$ is not SO(2) but SO(1,1). Indeed the transformed involution $\Omega'$ resulting from the action of the Weyl reflexion $\alpha_{11} \Rightarrow R^{[3]}_1 \Rightarrow \Omega' R^{[3]}_1$ is not SO(2) but SO(1,1).

Recall that the field $1/\tilde{H}$ in eq. (3.46) is inherited from eq. (3.41) which was obtained from eq. (3.38) using Hodge duality. The latter is a differential equation and we have hitherto chosen for simplicity the integration constant to be zero. This choice would lead at level 7 to a singular compensating matrix (see footnote 4) and we therefore will use instead the field $1/\tilde{H} - 1 (we could keep an arbitrary constant $\gamma \neq 0$ instead of $-1$ but that would unnecessarily complicate notations). Using the matrices eq. (3.32) with $h_{11} = h_1, R^{[3]}_1 = e_1, R^{[3]}_{-1} = f_1$, we get for a suitable choice of $\eta$ the compensated representative

$$V_7 = (H^2 + B^2)^{-1/2} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \cosh \eta \sinh \eta & 0 \\ \sinh \eta \cosh \eta & \tilde{H}^{-1/2} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ \tilde{H}^{1/2} & -\tilde{H}^{-1} + 1 \end{array} \right]$$

or

$$V_7 = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(H^2 + B^2) K^2_2 \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln(2 - \tilde{H}) h_{11} \right] \exp \left[ (1 - \frac{1}{2 - \tilde{H}}) R^{[3]}_1 \right]. \quad (3.47)$$

As shown below, this yields a solution of the supersymmetric exotic partner of 11D supergravity with times in 10 and 11 and a negative kinetic energy term. One has

$$A_{91011} = -\frac{1}{2 - \tilde{H}}, \quad (3.48)$$

where we dropped in the 3-form potential the irrelevant constant $-1$. The metric encoded in the representative eq. (3.47) is, from eqs. (2.14) and (2.14),

$$\text{Level 7 : } \quad g_{11} = g_{22} = (H^2 + B^2)^{1/3} \tilde{H}^{1/3}$$

$$g_{33} = g_{44} = \cdots = g_{88} = \tilde{H}^{1/3}$$

$$g_{99} = -g_{1010} = -g_{1111} = \tilde{H}^{-2/3}$$

where

$$\tilde{H} = 2 - \tilde{H}. \quad (3.50)$$

From the duality relations eqs. (3.3) and (3.4), we see that the field $\tilde{B}$ dual to $\tilde{H}$ is equal to $-\tilde{B}$. The dual pair $\tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{B}$ are conjugate harmonic functions associated to the analytic function

$$E_3 = 2 - E_2 = \tilde{H} + i\tilde{B}. \quad (3.51)$$
Figure 3: The construction of BPS states for the M2 sequence. The superscript \(d\) labels a duality and the superscript \(c\) labels a compensation. The horizontal rows are connected by Weyl reflexions as indicated.

The complete M2 sequence. The M2 sequence is characterized by the generators \(R[^6]_{-1+6n}, n > 0\) and \(R[^3]_{1+6n}, n \geq 0\). These are reached by following in figure 2a the solid line starting from \(R[^3]_1\) towards the positive roots. The representatives are given in eqs. (3.25) and eq. (3.28).

A glance on figure 3 shows that at any level of this sequence one may trade this representative either by performing the Weyl transformation \(W_{\alpha_{11}}\) on the preceding level representative expressed in terms of the generator \(R[^6]_1\) (as was done to reach the level 7 from the level 5 representative), or by the Weyl transformation \(W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}\) on its dual representative in terms of \(R[^6]_2\) (as was done to reach the level 5 from the level 1 representative). In this way, one bypasses all the steps depicted on horizontal lines in figure 3 which involve complicated duality relations and compensations to solely perform a single compensation by a \(SO(2)\) or \(SO(1,1)\) matrix and a known Hodge duality defined by eqs. (3.3) and (3.4), as exemplified by the detailed analysis of the two first levels of the sequence. The nature of the compensation needed in the construction of the M2 sequence alternates at each step on a horizontal line of figure 3 between \(SO(2)\) and \(SO(1,1)\) as shown in appendix A.2.1. The representatives of the M2 sequence in terms of the supergravity fields are easily written in terms of complex potentials \(\mathcal{E}_n\). These are obtained by operating on the analytic function \(\mathcal{E}(z)_1 = H(z, \bar{z}) + iB(z, \bar{z}), z = x^1 + ix^2, \bar{z} = x^1 - ix^2\), successively by inversions and translations according to

\[
\mathcal{E}(z)_{2n} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}(z)_{2n-1}}, \quad \mathcal{E}(z)_{2n+1} = 2 - \mathcal{E}(z)_{2n} \quad n > 0.
\]  

These formulæ summarise the action of the \(E_9\) Weyl group on BPS states which are largely characterised by the harmonic functions \(\mathcal{E}\). From eq. (3.52) one sees that the action consists of inversion and shift in a way very similar to the modular group \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\). In order to see that there is more than just the action of an \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\) one must consider the action of the transformations on the full metric, including in particular the conformal factor. For the full solution one gets, defining \(\mathcal{F}_{2n-1} = \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_3 \ldots \mathcal{E}_{2n-1}\) for \(n > 0\) (and \(\mathcal{F}_{-1} = 1\), the
straightforward generalisation of eqs. (3.4), (3.41) and (3.47),

\[
\mathcal{V}_{1+6n} = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\mathcal{F}_{2n-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{2n-1}) \right] K^{22} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}_{2n+1} h_{11} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{(-1)^n}{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}_{2n+1}} R_1^{[3]} \right]
\]

\( n \geq 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (3.53)

\[
\mathcal{V}_{-1+6n} = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\mathcal{F}_{2n-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{2n-1}) \right] K^{22} \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}_{2n} h_{11} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{\mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}_2} R_1^{[3]} \right]
\]

\( n > 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (3.54)

where \( \bar{\mathcal{F}} \) denotes the complex conjugate of \( \mathcal{F} \) and the signatures in eq. (3.53) are \((1, 10, +)\) with time in 9 for \( n \) even and \((2, 9, -)\) with times in 10,11 for \( n \) odd. In eq. (3.54) the signatures are \((2, 9, -)\) with times in 10,11 for \( n \) even and \((1, 10, +)\) with time in 9 for \( n \) odd. The detailed analysis of the signatures for the M2 sequence is done in appendix A.2.1 and the final results are summarised in table 3. To interchange the role of even and odd \( n \) in the above signatures, one simply builds another M2 sequence starting from the exotic M2 of the theory \((2, 9, -)\) whose metric is given in eq. (2.44). It has two longitudinal times in 10 and 11 and one longitudinal spacelike direction 9.

Eqs. (3.53) and (3.54) yield from eqs. (2.14) and (2.17) the metric and three-form potential

\[
ds^2 = \mathcal{F}_{2n-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{2n-1} H_{2n+1}^{1/3} [(dx^1)^2 + (dx^j)^2] + H_{2n+1}^{1/3} [(dx^3)^2 + \cdots + (dx^8)^2]
\]

\[
+ H_{2n+1}^{2/3} [(-1)^{(n+1)} (dx^9)^2 + (-1)^n (dx^{10})^2 + (-1)^n (dx^{11})^2] \hspace{1cm} (n \geq 0)
\]

\[
A_{91011} = \frac{(-1)^n}{H_{2n+1}}
\]

\[
ds^2 = \mathcal{F}_{2n-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{2n-1} H_{2n}^{1/3} [(dx^1)^2 + (dx^j)^2] + H_{2n}^{1/3} [(dx^3)^2 + \cdots + (dx^8)^2]
\]

\[
+ H_{2n}^{2/3} [(-1)^n (dx^9)^2 + (-1)^{n+1} (dx^{10})^2 + (-1)^{(n+1)} (dx^{11})^2] \hspace{1cm} (n > 0)
\]

\[
A_{91011} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{H_{2n}}
\]

with \( H_p = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{E}_p \). We stress that an important effect of the action of the affine Weyl group on the BPS solutions is the change in the conformal factor which is expressed through \( \mathcal{F}_{2n-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{2n-1} \).

For each level on the M2-sequence, these equations satisfy the equations of motion of 11D supergravity or of its exotic counterpart outside the singularities of the functions \( H_p \).

There, the factor \( \mathcal{F}_{2n-1}\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{2n-1} \) can indeed be eliminated by a change of coordinates and the functions \( H_p \) are still harmonic functions in the new coordinates. Eqs. (3.55) and (3.56) have then the same dependence on \( H_p \) as the M2 metric and 3-form have on \( H_1 = H \) and differ thus from the M2 solution only through the choice of the harmonic function. They therefore solve the Einstein equations. This is also discussed more abstractly in section 3.4.

To obtain these results, we have chosen a particular path to reach from level 1 the end of any horizontal line in figure 3. Along this path, all signs of the fields in the representatives were fixed by the choice \(+1/H\) at level 1 and by the Hodge duality relations eqs. (3.3) and (3.4). Thus, we do not have to explicitly take into account signs which might affect higher level tower fields in eqs. (3.28) and (3.29).
Figure 4: The construction of BPS states for the M5 sequence. The superscript $d$ labels a duality and the superscript $c$ labels a compensation.

The consistency of the procedure used in this section to obtain solutions related by U-dualities viewed as Weyl transformations rests however on the arbitrariness of the path chosen to reach from level 1 the end of any horizontal line in figure 3. Dualities for levels $l > 2$ are in principle defined by the Weyl transformations. Consistency is thus equivalent to commuting Weyl transformations with compensations. Compensations and Weyl transformations do indeed commute, as proven in appendix E.

3.2.3 The M5 sequence

We follow the same procedure as for the M2 sequence. We take as representatives of the M5 sequence all the Weyl transforms of the M5 representative eq. (3.2) with fields eq. (2.26) and time coordinate 3. Following in figure 2a the dashed line towards positive step generators, we encounter Weyl transforms of the SL(2) subgroup generated by $(-h_{11} - K^{22}, R^{[6]}_2, R^{[6]}_{-2})$ represented by a dashed line in figure 2b. Theorem 2 determines from eqs. (3.26) and (3.27) the Weyl transform of the Cartan generators of eq. (3.2) and we write

$$V_{2n} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \left(-h_{11} - (2n + 1)K^{22}\right) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R^{(6)}_{2+6n} \right] \quad n \geq 0 \quad (3.57)$$

$$V_{-2n} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \left(h_{11} - (2n - 1)K^{22}\right) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R^{(3)}_{-2+6n} \right] \quad n > 0. \quad (3.58)$$

We shall trade the tower fields $A_{2n+6n}^{[6]} = A_{-2n+6n}^{[3]}$ in favour of the supergravity potential $A_{91011}$ and construct from them BPS solutions of 11D supergravity.

For the M5 itself, we take the dual representative $V'_5$ expressed in terms of the 3-form potential, which is given in eq. (3.3). As previously the first two steps, levels 4 and 8, contain the essential ingredients of the whole sequence.

Following in figure 2a the dashed line towards positive step generators, we first encounter the Weyl reflexion $\alpha_{11}$ sending the level 2 generator $R^{[6]}_2$ to the level 4 generator $R^{[3]}_4$, or equivalently, as exhibited in figure 4, the dual generator $R^{[3]}_1$ to the generator $R^{[3]}_{-1}$. Applying this Weyl reflexion to eq. (3.9) and performing an $SO(2)$ compensation we get

\begin{table}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Time & Level & \\
\hline
$(3+)$ & 2 & $R^{[6]}_2 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_1$ \\
$(3+)$ & 4 & $R^{[6]}_2 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_1 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_4 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_{-1}$ \\
$(45678+)$ & 8 & $R^{[6]}_2 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_1 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_4 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_{-1}$ \\
$(45678+)$ & 10 & $R^{[6]}_2 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_1 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_4 \leftrightarrow R^{[3]}_{-1}$ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
the representative
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{V}_4 = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln (H^2 + B^2) K^2_2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln (\tilde{H} (h_{11} + K^2_2)) \right] \exp \left[ \tilde{B} R^{[3]}_1 \right],
\end{equation}
which yields $A_{9\,10\,11} = \tilde{B}$ and the metric\(^{15}\)
\begin{equation}
\text{Level 4 :} \quad \begin{array}{l}
g_{11} = g_{22} = (H^2 + B^2) \tilde{H}^{2/3} \\
g_{33} = g_{44} \cdots = g_{88} = \tilde{H}^{-1/3} \\
g_{99} = g_{10\,10} = g_{11\,11} = \tilde{H}^{2/3},
\end{array}
\end{equation}
with $H$ and $\tilde{B}$ as before. The level 4 results are in agreement with the interpretation of the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ as a double T-duality in the directions 9 and 10 plus interchange of the two directions \[37, 26, 28, 13\]. We recover indeed the level 4 metric and 3-form by applying Buscher’s duality rules to the M5 smeared in the directions 9, 10 and 11. This is shown in appendix B. The next step leads to level 8. As for the computation of the level 7 representative in the M2 sequence, we may skip the two first dualities and the first compensation indicated in the third line of figure 4. It suffices to perform the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_{11}+\delta}$ on the dual representative of eq. (3.59) followed by a $SO(1, 1)$ compensation and a Hodge duality. One gets
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{V}_8 = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln (H^2 + B^2) K^2_2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln (\tilde{H} (h_{11} + K^2_2)) \right] \exp \left[ \tilde{B} R^{[3]}_1 \right],
\end{equation}
which yields $A_{9\,10\,11} = -\tilde{B}$ and the metric
\begin{equation}
\text{Level 8 :} \quad \begin{array}{l}
g_{11} = g_{22} = (H^2 + B^2) \tilde{H}^{2/3} \\
g_{33} = g_{44} \cdots = g_{88} = \tilde{H}^{-1/3} \\
g_{99} = g_{10\,10} = g_{11\,11} = \tilde{H}^{2/3}.
\end{array}
\end{equation}
As shown in appendix A.2.2 the signature is now $(5, 6, +)$ with times in 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.

The full M5 sequence is characterized by the roots $R^{[3]}_{2+6n}, n > 0$ and $R^{[6]}_{2+6n}, n \geq 0$. These are reached by following in figure 2a the dashed line starting at $R^{[6]}_2$ towards the positive roots. The representative is defined by the Cartan generator given in eq. (3.24) or eq. (3.27) and by the field $1/H$ multiplying the positive root. As for the M2 sequence, the generalisation to all levels to the lowest ones eqs. (3.9), (3.59) and (3.61) is straightforward. As indicated in figure 4, one obtains iteratively the representatives in terms of the supergravity 3-form by solely performing a single compensation by a $SO(2)$ or $SO(1, 1)$ matrix and a known Hodge duality defined by eqs. (3.3) and (3.4). One alternates after two steps between representatives with a single time in 3 and exotic ones with times in

\[15\] This solution of 11D supergravity has already been derived in a different context \cite{44}.
4, 5, 6, 7, 8. The nature of the compensation changes at each step. One has

\[
\mathcal{V}_{2+6n} = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\mathcal{F}_{2n-1} \mathcal{F}_{2n-1}) \right] K^2 \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln \Re \mathcal{E}_{2n+1} (h_{11} + K^2) \right] \\
\cdot \exp \left[ (-1)^n \Im \mathcal{E}_{2n+1} R_1^{[3]} \right] \quad n \geq 0
\]

\[
\mathcal{V}_{-2+6n} = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln(\mathcal{F}_{2n-1} \mathcal{F}_{2n-1}) \right] K^2 \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln \Re \mathcal{E}_{2n} (h_{11} + K^2) \right] \\
\cdot \exp \left[ (-1)^{n+1} \Im \mathcal{E}_{2n} R_1^{[3]} \right] \quad n > 0
\]

(3.63)

where in eq. (3.63) one has the signatures (1, 10, +) with time in 3 for \( n \) even and (5, 6, +) with times in 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 for \( n \) odd, and in eq. (3.64) the signatures are (1, 10, +) with time in 3 for \( n \) odd and (5, 6, +) with times in 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 for \( n \) even. As previously it is always possible to interchange at each pair of levels the two signatures by choosing an exotic M5 to initiate the sequence. The detailed analysis of the signatures for the M5 sequence and of the compensations required is done in appendix A.2.2 and summarised in table 5.

These representative yield the metric and 3-form potential for all states on the M5 sequence. We get from eqs. (3.63) and (3.64)

\[
ds^2 = \mathcal{F}_{2n-1} \mathcal{F}_{2n-1} H_{2n+1}^{2/3} ((dx)^2 + (dx^1)^2) + H_{2n+1}^{2/3} ((dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2 + (dx^{11})^2) \quad (n \geq 0)
\]

\[
A_{91011} = (-1)^n B_{2n+1}
\]

(3.65)

\[
ds^2 = \mathcal{F}_{2n-1} \mathcal{F}_{2n-1} H_{2n+1}^{2/3} ((dx)^2 + (dx^1)^2) + H_{2n+1}^{2/3} ((dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2 + (dx^{11})^2) \quad (n > 0)
\]

\[
A_{91011} = (-1)^{n+1} B_{2n}
\]

with \( B_p = \Im \mathcal{E}_p \).

For each level on the M5-sequence, these equations satisfy the equations of motion of 11D supergravity or of its exotic counterpart outside the singularities of the harmonic functions \( H_p \) and \( B_p \). There, the factor \( \mathcal{F}_{2n-1} \mathcal{F}_{2n-1} \) can indeed be eliminated by a change of coordinates and the functions \( H_p \) and \( B_p \) are still conjugate harmonic functions of the new coordinates. Eqs. (3.63) and (3.64) have then the same dependence on \( H_p \) and \( B_p \) as the M5 metric and 3-form have on \( H_1 \equiv H \) and \( B_1 \equiv B \) and differ thus from the M5 solution only through the choice of the harmonic functions. They therefore solve the Einstein equations.

### 3.3 The gravity tower

The affine \( A_1 \) group generated by \( R_1^{[3]} \equiv R^{1011} \) and \( R_2^{[6]} \equiv R^{345678} \) spans three towers of generators. We found BPS solutions for each positive generator of the 3-tower eq. (3.13) and of the 6-tower eq. (3.17). All these generators correspond to real roots while those in the third tower eq. (3.16) generators correspond to null roots of square length zero. Each generator of the third tower at level 3\( (n+1) \quad n \geq 0 \) belongs to an irreducible representation.
of $A_8 \subset E_9$ whose lowest weight is the real root $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_5 + 3\alpha_6 + 4\alpha_7 + 5\alpha_8 + 3\alpha_9 + \alpha_{10} + 3\alpha_{11} + n\delta$. We now show that the lowest weight generators belong to a $A_1^+$ subgroup of $E_9$ generated by $R^{45...1011|11}$ which sits at level 3 and by $K^{3}_{11}$, which is defined by the level 0 real root $\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9 + \alpha_{10}$.

These two generators are related as follows

$$K^{3}_{11} \leftrightarrow \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9 + \alpha_{10} \equiv \lambda,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.67)

$$R^{45...1011|11} \leftrightarrow \alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 3\alpha_6 + 4\alpha_7 + 5\alpha_8 + 3\alpha_9 + \alpha_{10} + 3\alpha_{11} = -\lambda + \delta,$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.68)

where the last equality in eq. (3.68) is easily checked using eq. (3.18). They are Weyl transforms of the generators $R^{91011}$ and $R^{45678910}$ of the the $A_1^+$ group defined in eq. (3.12). To see this, first perform the Weyl transformation interchanging 9 and 3. The $A_1^+$ generators eq. (3.12) are transformed to (all Weyl transforms of step generators are written up to a sign)

$$R^{91011} \rightarrow R^{31011},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.69)

$$R^{45678910} \rightarrow R^{45678910119},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.70)

defined by the roots $\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_{11}$ and $\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 3\alpha_6 + 4\alpha_7 + 5\alpha_8 + 4\alpha_9 + 2\alpha_{10} + 2\alpha_{11}$. Then perform the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ to get the generators

$$R^{31011} \rightarrow K^{3}_{9},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.71)

$$R^{45678910} \rightarrow R^{45678910119},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.72)

defined by the roots $\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8$ and $\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 3\alpha_6 + 4\alpha_7 + 5\alpha_8 + 4\alpha_9 + 2\alpha_{10} + 3\alpha_{11}$. Finally perform the Weyl transformation exchanging 9 and 11 to get

$$K^{3}_{9} \rightarrow K^{3}_{11},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.73)

$$R^{45678910119} \rightarrow R^{45678910111},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.74)

whose defining roots are $\lambda$ and $-\lambda + \delta$. The transformed Cartan generators are $K^{3}_{3} - K^{11}_{11} - K^{2}_{2} + K^{11}_{11} - K^{3}_{3}$. Under these transformations, the M2-brane generator is mapped onto the Kaluza-Klein wave generator in the direction 11. The M5-brane generator is mapped to the dual Kaluza-Klein monopole generator $R^{45678910111|11}$. These generate the ‘gravity $A_1^+$ group’ conjugate in $E_9$ to the ‘brane $A_1^+$ group’ eq. (3.12).

We now find the BPS solutions of 11D pure gravity (which are of course solution of 11D supergravity) associated to each positive real root of the gravity $A_1^+$ group. One could redo the analysis of the M2-M5 system starting from the representatives of the KK-wave and KK6-monopole given in eqs. (3.10) and (3.11) and the duality relations eq. (2.19). It is however simpler to take advantage of the Weyl mapping of the two $A_1^+$ subgroups of $E_9$

$$R^{91011} \leftrightarrow K^{3}_{11},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.75)

$$R^{456789} \leftrightarrow R^{45678910111|11},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.76)

$$\alpha_{11} \leftrightarrow \lambda \hspace{1cm} \delta \leftrightarrow \delta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.77)
Figure 5: Mapping of the brane $A^+_1$ group (figure 5a) to the gravity $A^+_1$ group (figure 5b). M2 and wave sequences are depicted by solid lines, M5 and monopole sequences by dashed lines. In figure 5a (figure 5b) horizontal lines represent Weyl reflections by $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ ($W_{\lambda}$), diagonal lines by $W^{-\alpha_{11}} + \delta$ ($W^{-\lambda} + \delta$).

The generators $R_{1+3n}^{[3]}$ of the 3-tower eq. (3.15) are mapped to generators of level $3n$. We label these generators $R_{3n}^{[0]}$ ($R_{0}^{[0]} \equiv K_{311}$). The generators $R_{-1+3n}^{[6]}$ of the 6-tower eq. (3.17) are also mapped to generators of level $3n$ ($n > 0$). We label these generators $R_{3n}^{[8,1]}$ ($R_{3}^{[8,1]} \equiv R_{11}^{15}789101111$). In the mapping the signature changes as shown in appendix A.3. In particular, the KK-wave $R_{0}^{[0]}$ yields a single time in 3 and the KK6-monopole $R_{3}^{[8,1]}$ becomes exotic with two times 9 and 10. This mapping of the M2-M5 sequences of figure 2a to the gravity sequences is illustrated in figure 5. To the M2 sequence corresponds a wave sequence starting with the KK-wave and to the M5 sequence a monopole sequence starting with the (exotic) KK6-monopole. Note that there is a duplication in each sequence of states with the same level $3n$ for $n > 0$. We shall show that this duplication is spurious in the sense that the two states are related by a switch of coordinates.

From the correspondence we immediately get from the representatives of the M2 sequence (3.28), (3.29), and of the M5 sequences (3.57) and (3.58), the representatives of the KK-wave sequence eqs. (3.78), (3.79) and of the KK-monopole sequence eqs. (3.80), (3.81)
in terms of the $R^{[0]}_{3n}$ and $R^{[8,1]}_{3n'}$ generators

$$
\nu_{6n} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \left( K^3_3 - K^{11}_{11} - 2nK^2_2 \right) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R^{[0]}_{6n} \right] \quad (3.78)
$$

$$
n \geq 0
$$

$$
\nu_{6n'} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \left( -K^3_3 + K^{11}_{11} - 2n'K^2_2 \right) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R^{[8,1]}_{6n'} \right] \quad (3.79)
$$

$$
n' > 0
$$

$$
\nu_{3+6n'} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \left( -K^3_3 + K^{11}_{11} - (2n' + 1)K^2_2 \right) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R^{[8,1]}_{6n'+3} \right] \quad (3.80)
$$

$$
n' \geq 0
$$

$$
\nu_{-3+6n} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \left( K^3_3 - K^{11}_{11} - (2n - 1)K^2_2 \right) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R^{[0]}_{6n-3} \right] \quad (3.81)
$$

$$
n > 0
$$

In these equations we distinguish the representatives of the [0]-tower depicted in the right column of figure 5b from those of the [8,1]-tower depicted in the left column by labelling the former by $n$ and the latter by $n'$.

To get the representatives for the wave sequence in terms of the gravitational potential $A^{(11)}_3$ given by eq. (2.30), we apply the mapping eqs. (3.75), (3.76) and (3.77) to the representative of the M2 sequence in terms of the supergravity 3-form potential, eqs. (3.53) and (3.54).

$$
\nu_{6n} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln J \frac{F_{2n-1}F_{2n-1}}{2} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \overline{\epsilon} \overline{\epsilon}_{n+1} \left( K^3_3 - K^{11}_{11} \right) \right]
$$

$$
\exp \left[ (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{\overline{\epsilon} \overline{\epsilon}_{2n+1}} - 1 \right) K^3_3 \right] \quad n \geq 0 \quad (3.82)
$$

$$
\nu_{6n'} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln J \frac{F_{2n'-1}F_{2n'-1}}{2} \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \overline{\epsilon} \overline{\epsilon}_{n'} \left( K^3_3 - K^{11}_{11} \right) \right]
$$

$$
\exp \left[ (-1)^{n'+1} \left( \frac{1}{\overline{\epsilon} \overline{\epsilon}_{2n'+1}} - 1 \right) K^3_3 \right] \quad n' \geq 0 \quad (3.83)
$$

where in eq. (3.82) one has the signatures (1, 10, +) with time in 3 for $n$ even and in 11 for $n$ odd, and in eq. (3.83) the signatures are (1, 10, +) with time in 3 for $n'$ odd and in 11 for $n'$ even (see appendix A.3).

It is proven in appendix F that the KK-wave sequence contains a redundancy of the solutions for $n > 0$, namely eq. (3.82) and eq. (3.83) lead to identical metric up to interchange of the time coordinates 3 and 11. The full wave sequence for $n > 0$ has metric:

$$
ds^2_{6n'} = F_{2n'-1}F_{2n'-1} \left[ (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right] + (-1)^nH^{-1}_{2n'}(dx^3)^2 + \left[ (dx^4)^2 + \cdots + (dx^{10})^2 \right]
$$

$$
+ (-1)^{n'+1}H_{2n'} \left[ dx^{11} - \left( (-1)^{n+1}H^{-1}_{2n'} + (-1)^n \right) dx^3 \right]^2 \quad (3.84)
$$

---

16We have added an integration constant $-1$ to the field $A^{(11)}_3$ as in the discussion below eq. (2.28).
where $H_p = \text{Re} \mathcal{E}_p$. For $n = 0$ it is given by eq. (2.27). All metrics in the KK-wave are solutions of 11D supergravity. The factor $\mathcal{F}_{2n' - 1} \mathcal{F}_{2n' - 1}$ can again be eliminated by a (singular) coordinate change, preserving the harmonic character of $H_p$.

From the representatives of the M5 sequence in terms of the supergravity 3-form potential, eqs. (3.63) and (3.64), we get the representatives for the monopole sequence in terms of the gravitational potential $A_3^{(11)}$

$$
\mathcal{V}_{3+6n'} = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln (\mathcal{F}_{2n' - 1} \mathcal{F}_{2n' - 1}) K^2_2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln \text{Re} \mathcal{E}_{2n' + 1} (K^3_3 - K^{11}_{11} + K^2_2) \right] \exp \left[ (-1)^n' \text{Im} \mathcal{E}_{2n' + 1} K^3_{11} \right] \quad n' \geq 0
$$

(3.85)

$$
\mathcal{V}_{-3+6n} = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln (\mathcal{F}_{2n'} \mathcal{F}_{2n'}) K^2_2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln \text{Re} \mathcal{E}_{2n} (K^3_3 - K^{11}_{11} + K^2_2) \right] \exp \left[ (-1)^n \text{Im} \mathcal{E}_{2n} K^3_{11} \right] \quad n > 0
$$

(3.86)

where in eq. (3.85) one has the signatures $(2, 9, -)$ with time in 9,10 for $n'$ even and $(5, 6, +)$ with time in 4,5,6,7,8 for $n'$ odd, and in eq. (3.86) the signatures are $(2, 9, -)$ with time in 9,10 for $n$ odd and $(5, 6, +)$ with time in 4,5,6,7,8 for $n$ even (see appendix A.3).

In analogy with the KK-wave sequence, the metric in eqs. (3.85) and (3.86) are equivalent up to a redefinition of the time coordinates (see appendix B). There is thus only one gravity tower, the left and the right tower of figure 5b are equivalent, each of them contains the full wave and monopole sequences.

The full monopole sequence has the metric:

$$
ds_{3+6n'}^2 &= \mathcal{F}_{2n' - 1} \mathcal{F}_{2n' - 1} H_{2n' + 1} \left[ (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right] + H_{2n' + 1} (dx^3)^2 \\
&+ (-1)^n' \left[ (dx^4)^2 + \cdots + (dx^8)^2 \right] + (-1)^n' \left[ (dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2 \right] \\
&+ H_{2n' + 1}^{-1} \left[ dx^{11} - \left( (-1)^n' B_{2n' + 1} \right) dx^3 \right]^2,
$$

(3.87)

where $B_p = \text{Im} \mathcal{E}_p$.

Again the metric of the monopole sequence solve the Einstein equations.

The generators $R^{[1]}_{1+3p}$, $R^{[5]}_{2+3p}$, $R^{[8,1]}_{3+3p}$, $p \geq 0$, and $K^3_{11}$ span the M2, M5 and gravity towers for positive real roots and define distinct BPS solutions. All positive real roots of $E_9$ can be reached from these by permuting coordinate indices in $A_8$ or equivalently by performing Weyl transformations $W_{\alpha}$ from the gravity line depicted in figure 1 with nodes 1 and 2 deleted. In this way we reach all $E_9$ positive real roots and the related BPS solutions. In what follows we shall keep the above notation for all towers of positive real roots differing by $A_8$ indices, and specify the coordinates when needed.

### 3.4 Analytic structure of BPS solutions and the Ernst potential

We have obtained an infinite U-duality multiplet of $E_9$ BPS solutions of 11D supergravity depending on two non-compact space variables. This was achieved by analysing various $A_1^+ \equiv A_1^{(1)}$ subalgebras of $E_9$, which allow us to reach all positive roots within such a
subalgebra from sequences of Weyl reflexions starting from basic BPS solutions reviewed
in section 2. A striking feature of the method is that each solution is determined by a pair of
conjugate harmonic functions \( H_p \) and \( B_p \), which can be combined into an analytic function
\( \mathcal{E}_p = H_p + iB_p \), where \( p \) characterises the level of the solution. This feature emerges
from the action of the affine \( A_{1+}^+ \) subgroup on the representatives and is clearly not restricted
to supergravity. In this section, we establish the link with another \( A_{1+}^+ \) subgroup of \( E_9 \),
namely the Geroch group of general relativity. As is well known \[27-29\], the latter acts on
stationary axisymmetric (or colliding plane wave) solutions in four space-time dimensions
(which can be embedded consistently into 11D supergravity) via ‘non-closing dualities’
generating infinite towers of higher order dual potentials. Here we explain the action of the
Geroch group on BPS solutions, for which the so-called 
Ernst potential (see (3.95) below)
is an analytic function, and hence is entirely analogous to the function \( E \) encountered
above. As we will see this action (so far not exhibited in the literature to the best of
our knowledge) ‘interpolates’ between free field dualities and the full non-linear action of
the Geroch group on non-analytic Ernst potentials — exactly as for the M2-M5 sequence
discussed in section 3.2. To keep the discussion simple we will restrict attention to four-
dimensional Einstein gravity with two commuting Killing vectors, that is, depending only
on two (spacelike) coordinates.

Before we specialise to the case of BPS solutions we present the more general formalism.
The general line element in this case is of the form
\[
ds^2 = H^{-1}e^{2\sigma}(dx^2 + dy^2) + (-\rho^2H^{-1} + H\hat{B}^2)dt^2 + 2H\hat{B}dt\,dz + Hdz^2. \tag{3.88}
\]
Here, \( \partial_t \) and \( \partial_z \) are Killing vectors, hence the metric coefficients depend only on the space
coordinates \((x, y) \equiv (x^1, x^2)\). Furthermore, we have adopted a conformal frame for the
\((x, y)\) components of the metric, with conformal factor \( e^{2\sigma} \). \( \hat{B} \) is the called the Matzner-
Misner potential and related to the Ehlers potential \( B \) through the duality relation
\[
\epsilon_{ij}\partial_j\hat{B} = \rho^{-1}H^2\partial_i\hat{B}, \tag{3.89}
\]
where \( i, j = 1, 2 \). There is no need to raise or lower indices, as the metric in \((x, y)\) space
is the flat Euclidean metric, with \( \epsilon_{12} = \epsilon^{12} = 1 \). Therefore the inverse duality relation is
\[
\epsilon_{ij}\partial_j\hat{B} = -\rho H^{-2}\partial_iB.
\]

The vacuum Einstein equations for the line element eq. (3.88) in terms of the Matzner-
Misner potential \( \hat{B} \) read
\[
H\partial_i(\rho\partial_iH) = \rho \left( \partial_iH\partial_iH - \rho^{-2}H^4\partial_i\hat{B}\partial_i\hat{B} \right)
\]
\[
\rho H^{-1}\partial_i(\rho\partial_i\hat{B}) = 2\rho \partial_i \left( \frac{\rho}{H} \right) \partial_i\hat{B}, \tag{3.90}
\]
Rewritten in terms of the Ehlers potential \( B \) these give, using eq. (3.84),
\[
H\partial_i(\rho\partial_iH) = \rho (\partial_iH\partial_iH - \partial_iB\partial_iB)
\]
\[
H\partial_i(\rho\partial_iB) = 2\rho \partial_iH\partial_iB, \tag{3.91}
\]
where the two sets of equations eqs. (3.90) and (3.91) are related by the so-called Kramer-
Neugebauer transformation \( B \leftrightarrow \hat{B}, H \leftrightarrow \rho/H \). In addition, there are equations for \( \rho \) and
the conformal factor $\sigma$. These are two (compatible) first order equations for the conformal factor

$$\rho^{-1}\partial_x \rho \partial_y \sigma = \frac{1}{4}(H^{-1}\partial_x H)(H^{-1}\partial_y H) + \frac{1}{4}(H^{-1}\partial_x B)(H^{-1}\partial_y B),$$

$$\rho^{-1}\partial_x \rho \partial_x \sigma - \rho^{-1}\partial_y \rho \partial_y \sigma = +\frac{1}{4}(H^{-1}\partial_x H)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(H^{-1}\partial_y B)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(H^{-1}\partial_y H)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(H^{-1}\partial_x B)^2,$$  
(3.92)

while $\rho$ satisfies the two-dimensional Laplace equation without source

$$\partial_i \partial_i \rho = 0.$$  
(3.93)

A second order equation for $\sigma$ can be deduced by varying $\rho$, or alternatively from the constraints and the dynamical equations for the metric eq. (3.90) [or eq. (3.91)]; it reads

$$\partial_i \partial_i \sigma = -\frac{1}{4}\rho H^{-2}(\partial_i H \partial_i H + \partial_i B \partial_i B),$$  
(3.94)

If $\rho$ is different from a constant (as is the case generally with axisymmetric stationary or colliding plane wave solutions), we can integrate the first order eqs. (3.92), which determine the conformal factor up to one integration constant; the second order equation eq. (3.94) is then automatically satisfied as a consequence of the other equations of motion. On the other hand, as we will see below, the BPS solutions are characterized by $\rho = \text{constant}$, for which the l.h.s. of eq. (3.92) vanishes identically (whence the r.h.s. must also vanish identically). In this case, we are left with the second order eq. (3.94), and the conformal factor is only determined modulo a harmonic function in $(x, y)$.

The equations of motion eq. (3.91) can be rewritten conveniently in terms of the complex Ernst potential [cf. eq. (3.7)]

$$\mathcal{E} = H + iB,$$  
(3.95)

satisfying the Ernst equation

$$H \partial_i (\rho \partial_i \mathcal{E}) = \rho \partial_i \mathcal{E} \partial_i \mathcal{E}.$$  
(3.96)

As we will see below this equation is trivially satisfied for BPS solutions in the sense that both sides vanish identically.

### 3.4.1 BPS solutions

In our analysis of the 11-dimensional gravity tower, the lowest level BPS solution is the KK-wave eq. (2.27). It stems from the generator $K^3_{11}$ with time in 3. In 4D gravity with $x^1, x^2$ as non compact space variables, the corresponding wave solution is associated to the Chevalley generator $K^3_4$ depicted in figure 6 by the node 3. Taking the timelike direction to be 3, we get $[(x, y) \equiv (x^1, x^2), (t, z) \equiv (x^3, x^4)]$

$$ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + (H - 2)dt^2 - 2(1 - H)dt dz + Hdz^2.$$  
(3.97)
Here, \( H = H(x,y) \) is a\textit{ harmonic function} in \( x, y \), which, for the brane with a source at \( x = y = 0 \) we choose to be 
\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \ln(x^2 + y^2) = \ln |\zeta| 
\]
in terms of the complex coordinate \( \zeta = x + iy \). 

Comparing eq. (3.97) with eq. (3.88), we see that for this BPS solution the general fields \( \hat{B}, \sigma \) and \( \rho \) are expressed in terms of \( H \) as
\[
e^{2\sigma} = H, \quad \hat{B} = b - H^{-1}, \quad \rho = 1. \tag{3.99}
\]
Using the duality relation eq. (3.89) one obtains the Ehlers potential \( B \) up to an integration constant. Indeed, as already mentioned above, with eq. (3.99), the duality relations eq. (3.88) just become the Cauchy-Riemann equations for the Ernst potential (3.95), to wit
\[
\partial_x B = -\partial_y H, \quad \partial_y B = \partial_x H, \tag{3.100}
\]
or, in short notation, \( \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j H = -\partial_i B \). Conversely, for eq. (3.88) to reduce to the Cauchy-Riemann relations, we must have \( \hat{B} = 1/H + \text{constant} \) and \( \rho \) constant. \textit{Therefore, the Cauchy-Riemann equations for the Ernst potential are equivalent to the BPS (‘no force’) condition and may thus be taken as the defining equations for BPS solutions.} In a supersymmetric context these (first order) equations would be equivalent to the Killing spinor conditions defining the BPS solution.

For \( H = \frac{1}{2} \ln(x^2 + y^2) \), we immediately obtain
\[
B = \arctan \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) + \text{constant} = \arg(\zeta) + \text{constant}, \tag{3.101}
\]
whence the Ernst potential is simply
\[
\mathcal{E}(\zeta) = \ln |\zeta| + i \arg(\zeta) + \text{constant} = \ln \zeta + \text{constant}, \tag{3.102}
\]
and so is an\textit{ analytic} function of \( \zeta \). It is then easy to see that the equations of motion and the constraint equations are satisfied for \textit{any} analytic Ernst potential \( \mathcal{E} \) if \( \rho \) is constant (and in particular, with \( \rho = 1 \)). Namely both the Ernst equation eq. (3.96) as well as eq. (3.92) reduce to the identity \( 0 = 0 \) for all such solutions. Because eq. (3.92) is void, the conformal factor must then be determined from the second order equation eq. (3.94). For holomorphic \( \mathcal{E} \), eq. (3.94) can be rewritten as
\[
\partial_\zeta \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \sigma = - \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{\partial_\zeta \mathcal{E} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}} \tag{3.103}
\]
and only in this case the solution to this equation can be given in closed form. It reads
\[
\sigma(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(\mathcal{E} + \bar{\mathcal{E}}) \tag{3.104}
\]

\footnote{The constant \( b \) for \( \hat{B} \) should be chosen as 1 in order to obtain an asymptotically flat solution in more than four space-time dimensions. From the point of view of the two-dimensional reduction, however, it does not matter and can be chosen arbitrarily. Note also, that constant shifts of \( \hat{B} \) are part of the Matzner-Misner SL(2), see below.
Figure 6: Dynkin diagram of $A_1^+$ and its ‘horizontal’ extensions $A_1^{++}$ and $A_1^{+++}$. The Matzer-Misner SL(2) is represented by the node 3 and the Ehlers SL(2) by the node 4.

The ambiguity involving harmonic functions left by eq. (3.94) is related to the covariance of the equations of motion under conformal analytic coordinate transformations of the complex coordinate $\zeta = x + iy$, which leave the 2-metric in diagonal form, viz.

$$\zeta \rightarrow \zeta' = f(\zeta) .$$

(3.105)

As is well known, the conformal factor transforms as

$$\sigma(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) \rightarrow \sigma(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}) + \frac{1}{2} \ln |f(\zeta)|^2 ,$$

(3.106)

under such transformations, where the second term on the r.h.s. is indeed harmonic. We already used this fact when we removed the conformal factors $F_{2n-1}F_{2n-1}$ to prove that the metric in eqs. (3.55), (3.56), (3.65), (3.66), (3.84) and (3.87) solve the Einstein equations outside the singularities of the harmonic functions.

3.4.2 Action of Geroch group

The Geroch group for (3 + 1)-dimensional gravity in the stationary axi-symmetric case discussed here is affine $\hat{\text{SL}}(2)$ with central extension ($\equiv A_1^+$); this is the same structure we encountered for the M2 and M5 towers. It is depicted in figure 6 by the Dynkin diagram formed by the nodes 3 and 4. Extending the diagram with node 2 to the overextended $A_1^{++}$, we may as previously identify the central charge with a Cartan generator of $A_1^{++}$ ($-K_2^2$ in $E_{10} \equiv E_8^{++}$). Adding the node 1 leads to the very extended $A_1^{+++}$ which is the pure gravity counterpart (in $D = 4$) of $E_{11}$.

The two distinguished SL(2) subgroups of $A_1^+$ corresponding to the Matzner-Misner and the Ehlers cosets appear in different real forms. The timelike Killing vector $\partial_t$ turns the Matzner-Misner coset into SL(2)/SO(1,1) (with non-compact denominator group), whereas the Ehlers coset is SL(2)/SO(2) (with compact denominator group). With 3 or 4 as time direction, the temporal involution eq. (2.9) leaves indeed invariant the Lorentz generator $\Omega (K_3^4 + K_4^3) = K_3^4 + K_4^3$ in the Matzner-Misner SL(2), but preserves the rotation generator $\Omega (R_{4|4}^{|4} - R_{4|4}^{|4}) = R_{4|4}^{|4} - R_{4|4}^{|4}$ in the Ehlers SL(2). Here $R_{4|4}^{|4}$ is the simple positive step operator corresponding in four dimensions to the 11-dimensional generator $R^{156789101111}$ of section 3.3.

For the underlying split real algebra $A_1^+$ we use a simple Chevalley-Serre basis consisting of $e_i, f_i, h_i$ ($i = 3, 4$) and derivation $d$ (see also appendix [3]). The central element
is \( c = h_3 + h_4 \). The index ‘4’ refers to the Ehlers SL(2) and the index ‘3’ refers to the Matzner-Misner SL(2), as depicted in figure 6.

**Ehlers group.** The Ehlers SL(2) acts by Möbius transformations on the (analytic) Ernst potential. Using the standard notation for Möbius transformation generators

\[
L_{-1} = -\partial_x, \quad L_0 = -\xi^2 \partial_\xi, \quad L_1 = -\xi^2 \partial_x ;
\]

the Ehlers generators are

\[
e_4 = iL_{-1}, \quad h_4 = 2L_0, \quad f_4 = iL_1 ,
\]

with the resulting transformation of the real and imaginary components of \( \mathcal{E} \)

\[
f_4 H = 2HB, \quad f_4 B = B^2 - H^2 ,
\]

\[
h_4 H = -2H, \quad h_4 B = -2B ,
\]

\[
e_4 H = 0, \quad e_4 B = -1.
\]

**Matzner-Misner group.** The infinitesimal action of the Matzner-Misner group on general SL(2)/SO(1, 1) coset fields \( H \) and \( \hat{B} \) is (for \( \rho = 1 \))

\[
f_3 H = -2H\hat{B}, \quad f_3 \hat{B} = \hat{B}^2 + H^{-2} ,
\]

\[
h_3 H = 2H, \quad h_3 \hat{B} = -2\hat{B},
\]

\[
e_3 H = 0, \quad e_3 \hat{B} = -1.
\]

In order to compute its action on the Ernst potential \( \mathcal{E} \), we have to exploit the duality relation eq. (3.89) between the potentials \( \hat{B} \) and \( B \). It is straightforward to work out the action of \( e_2 \) and \( h_2 \), with the result

\[
h_3 B = 2B, \quad e_3 B = 0,
\]

where some constants have been fixed from the commutation relations. Finally, the action of \( f_2 \) on \( B \) follows from

\[
\epsilon_{ij}\partial^j(f_3 B) = f_3(H^2\partial_i\hat{B}) = -2H^2\hat{B}\partial_i\hat{B} + H^2\partial_i(H^{-2}).
\]

Using \( \hat{B} = b - H^{-1} \) and the Cauchy-Riemann equation, this yields

\[
\epsilon_{ij}\partial^j(f_3 B) = -2b\partial_i H = -2\epsilon_{ij}\partial^j B.
\]

Therefore we find

\[
f_3 B = -2b B,
\]

---

\(^{18}\)Note that these are Möbius transformations on \( \mathcal{E} \) and not on the complex coordinate \( \zeta \). The system admits an additional conformal symmetry acting on the complex coordinate \( \zeta \), which shows again that any analytic Ernst potential solves the equations of motion.

\(^{19}\)The factors \( i \) are understood from studying the invariant bilinear form for \((L_{-1}, L_0, L_1)\) which is non-standard from the Kac-Moody point of view.
setting an integration constant equal to zero. We note that in order to satisfy $[e_3, f_3] = h_3$ on $B$ we need to have a non-trivial action of $e_3$ on the integration constant $b$, namely $e_3 b = -1$ which is consistent with the general shift property of the Matzner-Misner group eq. (3.110) and $\hat{B} = b - H^{-1}$ for this solution. In a sense, one can view the Matzner-Misner group as acting via Möbius transformations on the variable $b$. However, closing this action with the Ehlers Möbius transformations on $E$ leads one to introduce new constants (notably in $f_4 \hat{B}$) which transform non-trivially under the remaining generators. For completeness we note the transformation rules

$$e_4 \hat{B} = 0, \quad h_4 \hat{B} = 2 \hat{B}, \quad (3.115)$$

which are true generally and

$$f_4 \hat{B} = 2BH^{-1} + \lambda, \quad (3.116)$$

where $\lambda$ is an example of a new constant. This last relation is true on the solution $\hat{B} = b - H^{-1}$; generally the result would be some non-local expression.

Combining eq. (3.110) and eq. (3.114), the action of $f_2$ on the full Ernst potential is

$$f_3 E = -2bE - 2. \quad (3.117)$$

This shows that the action of $f_3$ on $E$ does not yield a new transformation, but simply a linear combination of previous ones (to wit, $e_4$ and $h_4$). Hence, under the action of the Matzner-Misner SL(2), a BPS solution will remain a BPS solution. The formula eq. (3.117) agrees with our findings in eq. (3.52).

The almost ‘trivial’ action of the Geroch group on the BPS solutions – which essentially acts only via Möbius transformations on the Ernst potential $E$ — confirms our previous finding for M2 and M5 branes (3.52), but is in marked contrast to its action on non-BPS stationary axisymmetric solutions [29]. There $E(x, y)$ is not analytic, and $\rho(x, y)$ is a non-constant function, often identified with a radial coordinate (so-called Weyl canonical coordinates). When starting from the vacuum solution to obtain say, the Schwarzschild or Kerr solution, the $(x, y)$ dependence of the Ernst potential is precisely the one induced by the $(x, y)$ dependence of the spectral parameter whose coordinate dependence, in turn, hinges on the coordinate dependence of $\rho$. Since we have $\rho = 1$ for BPS solutions, this mechanism does not work, confirming our conclusion that the action of the Geroch group cannot turn an analytic Ernst potential into a non-analytic one, hence leaves the class of BPS solutions stable.

The results of this section can be summarised by saying that the Weyl group of $A_1^+$ acts via shifts and inversions on the complex Ernst potential and at the same time transforms the conformal factor but leaves invariant the set of analytic Ernst potentials.

---

20The constant parameters $b, \lambda, \ldots$ do not influence the analyticity of the solution, although they are essential for the action of the Geroch group.
4. Dual formulation of the $E_9$ multiplet

4.1 Effective actions

We showed in section 2.2 that the basic magnetic BPS solutions of 11D supergravity (M5 and KK6-monopole) smeared in all directions but one are expressible in terms of the dual potentials $A_3^{45678}$ and $A_4^{567891011}$ parametrising the Borel generators $R_2^{[6]}$ and $\bar{R}_3^{[8,1]}$. In higher non-compact transverse space dimensions these potentials are related by Hodge duality to the supergravity fields $A_9^{1011}$ and $A_4^{(11)}$. The dual potentials take on the solutions, up to an integration constant, the same value $1/H$ as do the fields $A_9^{1011}$ and $A_3^{(11)}$ for the basic electric BPS solutions, namely the M2-branes at level 1 and the KK-waves at level 0. $H$ is, in any number of non-compact transverse spacelike directions, a harmonic function with $\delta$-function singularities at the location of the sources.

In section 3 we constructed BPS solutions of 11D supergravity in two transverse spacelike directions for all $E_9$ positive real roots. We shall label such description of the BPS states in terms of the supergravity metric and 3-form the ‘direct’ description. Each solution was obtained by relating through dualities and compensations the ‘generalised dual potential’ $1/H$ parametrising an $E_9 \subset E_{10}$ positive root in the Borel representative of $E_{10}$ to the supergravity metric and 3-form.

In this section, we will present a space-time description of the BPS states directly in terms of the generalised dual potentials. We label it the ‘dual’ description. We shall show that the dual description of the BPS solutions can be derived from gauge fixed effective actions

$$S^{(11)}_{(q)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{11}^{(11)}} \int d^{11}x \sqrt{|g|} \left( R^{(11)} - \frac{1}{2} F_i^{(q)} F^i^{(q)} \right),$$  (4.1)

where $i$ runs over the two non-compact dimensions 1,2 and $\epsilon$ is +1 if the action involves a single time coordinate (or an odd number of time coordinates) and −1 if the number of time coordinates is even. $F_i^{(q)} = \partial_i A_i^{(q)}$ where $\{q\}$ stands for the tensor indices of the $A_p^{[N]}$ potential multiplying $R_p^{[N]}$ in the Borel representative. Here $p$ is the level and [N] labels a tower [3], [6], [0] or [8, 1] for any set of $A_8 \subset E_9$ tensor indices. The set of indices is fixed by the $A_8^{[1]}$ group selected by a Weyl transformed in $A_8$ of the $A_8^{[1]}$ subgroup of $E_{10}$ chosen in eq. (3.12).

We first consider the M2-M5 system of section 3.2. Explicitly $A_{1+3n}^{[3]} = A_{91011,[34...1011],n}$ for the 3-tower depicted in the right column of figure 2a and $A_{2+3n}^{[6]} = A_{345678,[34...1011],n}$ for the 6-tower depicted in the left column. Here the symbol $n$ is the number of times the antisymmetric set of indices $[34...1011]$ must be taken. That this is the correct tensor structure follows from the structure of the ‘gradient representations’ in [13, 14, 15].

For all BPS states in the M2-M5 system, we take

$$A_p^{[N]} = 1/H.$$  (4.2)

The metric associated to $A_p^{[N]}$ is encoded in the Borel representatives of the M2 sequence eqs. (3.28), (3.29) and the M5 sequence (3.57), (3.58). We combine eqs. (3.28) and (3.58)
to form the 3-tower eq. (3.15) and eqs. (3.29) and (3.57) to form the 6-tower eq. (3.17).

We have

\[ V_{1+3n} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H (h_{11} - nK_{2}^2) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R_{1+3n}^{[3]} \right] n \geq 0 \] (4.3)

\[ V_{2+3n} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H (-h_{11} - (n+1)K_{2}^2) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} R_{2+3n}^{[6]} \right] n \geq 0, \] (4.4)

which yield for the 3-tower eq. (4.3) the metric eq. (4.5) and for the 6-tower eq. (4.4) the metric eq. (4.6)

\[ |g_{11}| = |g_{22}| = H^{1/3+n} \]
\[ |g_{33}| = |g_{44}| = \cdots = |g_{88}| = H^{1/3} \]
\[ |g_{99}| = |g_{1010}| = |g_{1111}| = H^{-2/3}, \] (4.5)

\[ |g_{11}| = |g_{22}| = H^{2/3+n} \]
\[ |g_{33}| = |g_{44}| = \cdots = |g_{88}| = H^{-1/3} \]
\[ |g_{99}| = |g_{1010}| = |g_{1111}| = H^{2/3}. \] (4.6)

For the time components of the metric one multiplies the absolute values of the metric components by a minus sign. The time components for the 3- and 6-towers are specified in section 3.2 for the Weyl orbits initiated by the M2 with time in 9 and by the M5 with time in 3. Note that we could as well take the Weyl orbit initiated by the M2 with times in 9, 10 and by the M5 with times in 4, 5, 6, 7, 8. Alternatively we could mix the two orbits to avoid for instance at all level exotic solutions and have always time in 9 for the M2 sequence and in 3 for the M5 sequence depicted in figure 2a. Note that in all cases, climbing the 3-tower or the 6-tower by steps of one unit of \( n \) amounts to alternate between BPS states on the M2 sequence and the M5 sequence. We shall comment on this feature in section 6.

We now verify that the matter term in eq. (4.1) solves the Einstein equations with \( A_{p}^{[N]} = 1/H \) and the metric given in eqs. (4.3) and (4.6). For the 3-tower the matter Lagrangian reads

\[ \mathcal{L} = -\epsilon \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|g|} F_{i\{q\}} F^{i\{q\}} \]
\[ = -\epsilon \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sqrt{|g|} \bar{g}^{ii} g_{99} g_{1010} g_{1111} [g_{33} g_{44} \cdots g_{1111}]^{n} \left( \partial_{i} A_{[3]}^{[9]_{1011},[34\ldots1011],n} \right)^{2}, \] (4.7)

while for the 6-towers one gets

\[ \mathcal{L} = -\epsilon \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sqrt{|g|} \bar{g}^{ii} g_{33} g_{44} g_{55} g_{66} g_{77} g_{88} [g_{33} g_{44} \cdots g_{1111}]^{n} \left( \partial_{i} A_{[6]}^{[345678,34\ldots1011],n} \right)^{2}. \] (4.8)
One computes from eqs. (4.7) and (4.8) the energy-momentum tensors for the 3-tower

\[ T_1^1 = T_2^2 = - \frac{1}{4} H^{-7/3-n} \left[ (\partial_1 H)^2 - (\partial_2 H)^2 \right], \]
\[ T_3^3 = T_4^4 = \ldots T_8^8 = - \frac{2n-1}{4} H^{-7/3-n} \left[ (\partial_1 H)^2 + (\partial_2 H)^2 \right] \]

(4.9)

and for the 6-tower

\[ T_1^1 = - T_2^2 = - \frac{1}{4} H^{-8/3-n} \left[ (\partial_1 H)^2 - (\partial_2 H)^2 \right], \]
\[ T_3^3 = T_4^4 = \ldots T_8^8 = - \frac{2n+1}{4} H^{-8/3-n} \left[ (\partial_1 H)^2 + (\partial_2 H)^2 \right] \]

(4.10)

The fact that \( T_{\mu \nu} \) in eqs. (4.9) and (4.10) does not depend on \( \epsilon \) results from the cancellation between negative signs arising from the kinetic energy term in the action eq. (4.1) and from the concomitant even numbers of time metric components. From the metric eqs. (4.5) and (4.6) one easily verifies that the Einstein equations

\[ R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} R = T_{\mu \nu}, \]

(4.11)

with \( T_{\mu \nu} \) given by eqs. (4.9) and (4.10), are satisfied. This result holds for \( \epsilon = \pm 1 \) because the left hand side of eq. (4.11) turns out to be independent of \( \epsilon \).

Using the mapping eqs. (3.75), (3.76), (3.77) of the brane \( A_1^+ \) group eq. (3.12) to the gravity towers \( A_1^+ \) group, one maps the 3-tower to the 0-tower and the 6-tower to the \([8,1]\)-tower depicted respectively on the right and left columns of figure 5b. We combine eqs. (3.79) and (3.80) to form the \([8,1]\)-tower. One has

\[ \mathcal{V}_{3+3n} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln H \left( -K_{3} 3 + K_{11}^{11} - (n+1)K_{2} 2 \right) \right] \exp \left[ \frac{1}{H} \tilde{R}_{[8,1]}^{3n+3} \right], \quad n \geq 0. \]

(4.12)

The corresponding dual metric are

\[ |g_{11}| = |g_{22}| = H^{n+1} \]
\[ |g_{33}| = H \]
\[ |g_{1111}| = H^{-1} \]
\[ |g_{aa}| = 1 \quad a \neq 1, 2, 3, 11. \]

(4.13)

As expected, up to the interchange of the coordinates 3 and 11, the same result holds for the redundant 0-tower (except for the level 0 of \( E_{10} \), which is the KK-wave). The verification
of the Einstein equations derived from the actions eq. (4.1) duplicates that of the M2-M5 system. Note that in this generalized dual formulation, the metric of the gravity tower are all diagonal.

The above results for the 3- and 6-towers and for the gravity tower have been established for a chosen set of tensor indices, namely the set determined by the choice of the $A_1^+$ subgroup of $E_{10}$ for which $R_{[3]}^3$ is identified with $R_{10}^{10,11}$. The validity of the effective action eq. (4.1) for all $E_9 \subset E_{10}$ fields associated to its positive real roots follows from permuting the $A_8$ tensor indices, that is from performing Weyl transformations of the gravity line.

4.2 Charges and masses

The charge content of the $E_9$ BPS solutions is easier to analyse in the dual description because the dual potential is not mixed with fields arising from the compensations. Outside the sources, the equation of motion for the dual field $A_{(q)}$ is from eq. (4.1)

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \partial_i \left( \sqrt{|g|} g_{(q)} \partial_i A_{(q)} \right) = 0 , \]  

where

\[ g_{(q)} = g_{ii} g_{99} g_{10,10} g_{1111}^n [g_{33} g_{44} \ldots g_{1111}]^n \] \hspace{1cm} 3-tower : level $(1+3n)$  

\[ g_{(q)} = g_{ii} g_{33} g_{44} g_{55} g_{66} g_{77} g_{88} [g_{33} g_{44} \ldots g_{1111}]^n \] \hspace{1cm} 6-tower : level $(2+3n)$  

\[ g_{(q)} = g_{ii} g_{44} g_{55} \ldots g_{10,10} g_{1111}^2 [g_{33} g_{44} \ldots g_{1111}]^n \] \hspace{1cm} $[8,1]$-tower : level $(3+3n)$ ,

with $n \geq 0$. The appearance of the $n$-fold blocks of antisymmetric metric factors $g_{33} g_{44} \ldots g_{1111}$ is again due to the embedding of $E_9$ in $E_{10}$, see \[13\]. From eqs. (4.5), (4.6), (4.13), and from the embedding relation in $E_{11}$ eq. (2.17), we get for all towers and hence, by permutation of tensor indices in $A_8$, for all $E_9$ BPS states

\[ \sqrt{|g|} g_{(q)} = \pm H^2 . \]

As, up to an integration constant, one has always $A_{(q)} = 1/H$, the field equation eq. (4.14) reduces to

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \partial_i \partial_i H = 0 . \]  

Here, as for the KK-monopole discussed in section 2.2, eq. (4.18) is valid outside the sources and the latter are determined by fixing the singularities of the function $H$. Labelling the positions of the smeared M2 by $x_1^k, x_2^k$ and their charges by $q_1^k$, one takes

\[ H(x^1, x^2) = \sum_k \frac{q_k}{2\pi} \ln \sqrt{(x^1 - x_1^k)^2 + (x^2 - x_2^k)^2} , \]  

and, in analogy with eq. (2.40), the extension of eq. (4.18) including the sources reads\(^{21}\) for all $E_9$ BPS solutions

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{2} \partial_i \partial_i H = \sum_k \frac{q_k}{2\pi} \delta(x - x_k) . \]

\(^{21}\)We fix the M5 charges by the Weyl transformation relating the M2 to the M5, which for convenience was not explicitly used in our general derivation of the $E_9$ BPS solutions in section 3.
Thus we obtain, for all BPS states, the same charge value as for the M2, as expected from U-dualities viewed as \( E_9 \) Weyl reflexions. Our identification of \( q_k \) with a charge is however not the conventional one as long as our solutions with 2 non-compact space dimensions have not been identified with static solutions in 2+1 space-time dimensions. This raises the question whether we are allowed to decompactify the time. This will be examined in the following sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2. We wish to stress that decompactification of time or space dimensions is not the same as ‘unsmearing’. The latter term refers to the undoing of the smearing process by which the dependence of the harmonic functions characterising our BPS solutions is reduced by one or more variables through compactification. Thus unsmearing implies decompactification of space dimensions but the converse is not necessarily true. When it is true we call the decompactified dimensions ‘transverse’. For the basic BPS solution smeared to two space dimensions, unsmearing is of course possible up to the space dimensions of the defining solution given in section 2.2 (8 for the M2, 5 for the M5, 9 for the KK-wave and 3 for the KK-monopole). For all higher level BPS states in 2 non-compact space dimensions, unsmearing is impossible. It is indeed straightforward to show that the Einstein equation eq. (4.11) is not satisfied if the harmonic function \( H \) entering the right hand side of the equation is extended to three dimensions.

One verifies that in the dual formulation all \( E_9 \) BPS states can be smeared to one space dimension, the charge being still defined by eq. (4.20) with \( i \) equal to 1. These solutions are also solutions of the \( \sigma \)-model \( S^{brane} \) eq. (2.15).

A criterion for decompactification of longitudinal spacelike directions and of timelike directions will be obtained from the requirement that the tensions should be finite. These quantities will be evaluated in the string context from string dualities and uplifting to eleven dimensions. For each BPS state characterised by a dual potential \( A_p^{(N)} \) we define an action \( A \) given in Planck units by the product of all spatial and temporal compactification radii, each of them at a power equal to the number of times the corresponding index occurs in \( A_p^{(N)} \). One gets from eqs. (4.15), (4.16) and (4.17) the action \( A_l \) of the level \( l \) solution22

\[
A_{1+3n} = \frac{1}{l_p^{n+3}} R_9 R_{10} R_{11} [R_3 R_4 \ldots R_{11}]^n \quad 3\text{-tower} : \text{level } (1+3n) \tag{4.21}
\]

\[
A_{2+3n} = \frac{1}{l_p^{n+6}} R_3 R_4 R_5 R_7 R_8 [R_3 R_4 \ldots R_{11}]^n \quad 6\text{-tower} : \text{level } (2+3n) \tag{4.22}
\]

\[
A_{3+3n} = \frac{1}{l_p^{n(n+1)}} R_4 R_5 \ldots R_{10} (R_{11})^2 [R_3 R_4 \ldots R_{11}]^n \quad [8,1]\text{-tower} : \text{level } (3+3n), \tag{4.23}
\]

where \( l_p \) is the 11-dimensional Planck constant (\( l_p^0 = 8\pi G_{11} \)). We identify for non-exotic states \( A \) to \( M R_t \) where \( M \) is the mass of the source and \( R_t \) the compactification time radius. We derive in appendix C.5 the actions \( A \), eqs. (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23), from the interpretation in the context of string theory of the Weyl reflexions used to construct the BPS solutions, both for exotic and non-exotic states. Requiring finiteness of the action density implies that \( A_{(q)} \) be linear in the radii for those directions, spatial or temporal,

22Similar actions were considered also in [46].
which can be decompactified. For non-exotic states this is equivalent to requirement of finite tension.

It is immediately checked that for the basic branes \( n = 0 \) one obtains the correct mass formula for the M2 (time in 9) eq. (C.11), the M5 (time in 3) eq. (C.3) and the KK-monopole (time in 4) eq. (C.5). The KK-monopole mass is in agreement with the calculation of the ADM mass of the unsmeared KK6-monopole \[47\]. Our criterion confirms that time and all longitudinal space dimensions can be taken to be non-compact except for the Taub-Nut direction 11 of the KK6-monopole which occurs quadratically in eq. (4.23) and hence cannot be decompactified. This fact is in agreement with the fact that the KK monopole solution in 3 transverse space dimensions, characterised by an harmonic function \( H = 1 + q/r \) where \( r^2 \equiv (x_1)^2 + (x_2)^2 + (x_9)^2 \), has, in order to avoid a conical singularity, its radius \( R_{11} \propto q \) \[48 - 50\] and hence finite.

We now examine further the nature of the BPS solutions for level higher than 3, that is outside the realm of the basic BPS solutions of section 2.2.

### 4.2.1 From level 4 to level 6

The actions \( A_l \) defined in eqs. (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23) are in agreement with the computation of the masses for level 4 eq. (C.6) with time in 3, level 5 eq. (C.12) with time in 9, level 6 eq. (C.13) with time in 3 obtained in appendix C in the string context. Thus time occur linearly in \( A \) and can be non-compact. Examining the dependence of \( A \) in the spatial radii, we see that the spacial directions that can be decompactified are \( (4, 5, 6, 7, 8) \) for \( l = 4 \), \( (10, 11) \) for \( l = 5 \) and none for \( l = 6 \).

U-duality requires that the dimensionally reduced metric in 2+1 dimensions be identical for these solutions and in addition be equivalent to the (2+1)-dimensional metric for the basic BPS solutions of section 2.2.\(^{23}\) We now show that this requirement is fulfilled, both in the direct and in the dual formalism.

To perform the dimensional reduction we write in general the 11-dimensional metric in the following form

\[
ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + \sum_r h_{rr} (dx^r)^2 , \tag{4.24}\]

where \( \mu, \nu = 1, 2, a \) and \( r \neq 1, 2, a \), labelling \( a \) as the time coordinate. To find the canonical Einstein action in \( d = 3 \) dimensions, the components of the reduced metric have to be Weyl rescaled

\[
\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{1}{h} \right)^{1/2} = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{h} , \tag{4.25}\]

where \( h = \det h_{rs} \).

We first consider the level 4 state. In the direct formulation the level 4 metric is given by eq. (3.60) and for the dimensional reduction to 3 dimensions we get using eq. (4.24) with \( h = H^{1/3} \) and time in 3

\[
d s_{l=4,3D}^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = H[(dx_1)^2 + (dx_2)^2] - (dx_3)^2 . \tag{4.26}\]

\(^{23}\)All BPS solutions for \( l \leq 6 \) should then form a multiplet of \( E_8 \) which is the symmetry of 11D supergravity reduced to (2+1) dimensions.
The same metric is obtained in the reduction of the dual metric eq. (4.3) with \( n = 1 \), where now \( h = H^{-1/3} \). Similarly the level 5 solution with time in 9, given in the direct formulation by eq. (3.84) and in the dual one by eq. (4.16) with \( n = 1 \) with respectively \( h = H^{2/3} \) and \( h = H^{-2/3} \), yields from eq. (4.25)

\[
 ds^2_{7=5,3D} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = H[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2] - (dx^9)^2. 
\]

(4.27)

The level 6 solution with the timelike direction 3 is given in the direct formulation by eq. (3.84) with \( n = 1 \), namely

\[
 ds^2_{6=6,3D} = (H^2 + B^2)[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2] - \tilde{H}^{-1}(dx^3)^2 + [(dx^4)^2 + (dx^5)^2 + (dx^10)^2] 
+ \tilde{H}[dx^{11} - (\tilde{H}^{-1} - 1)dx^3]^2. 
\]

(4.28)

and in the dual formulation by eq. (4.13) with \( n = 1 \), that is

\[
 ds^2_{6=6,3D} = H^2[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2] - H(dx^3)^2 + [(dx^4)^2 + (dx^10)^2] + H^{-1}(dx^{11})^2, 
\]

(4.29)

Reducing the level 6 metric eqs. (4.28) and (4.29) to 3 dimensions, we again find

\[
 ds^2_{6=3D} = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = H[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2] - (dx^3)^2. 
\]

(4.30)

One easily checks that the same 3-dimensional metric (with suitable time coordinate) are recovered for all basic BPS solutions recalled in section 2.

We have thus verified that all the BPS solutions of 11D supergravity, for levels \( l \leq 6 \) are equivalent in 2+1 dimensions. These solutions constitute an \( E_8 \subset E_9 \) multiplet of branes (see footnote 24). The \( E_8 \) multiplet is the same as the one studied some time ago algebraically as a consequence of M-theory compactified on \( T^8 \) for which the masses of the different BPS states of the multiplet has been derived (see [25] and in particular table 11), and their space-time interpretation was obtained in reference [14]. We recover here these results from the Weyl group of \( E_9 \) endowed with the temporal involution, and from the interpretation of these Weyl transformation in the context of string theory. This \( E_9 \) containing a timelike direction is the correct setting to describe all the BPS solutions with two unsmeared spacelike directions in a group theoretical language. We summarise below for all the levels \( 0 < l \leq 6 \) its mass content 24 in the form \( A_l = MR_l \), where \( A_l \) is the level \( l \) action eqs. (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23); \( M \) the mass and \( R_l \) the time radius (which can be taken to \( \infty \)), to exhibit their striking relation with the \( E_9 \) dual potentials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( l )</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E_9 ) field</td>
<td>( A_{9,10,11} )</td>
<td>( A_{3,8} )</td>
<td>( A_{4,10,11,11} )</td>
<td>( A_{3,11,9,10,11} )</td>
<td>( A_{3,11,3,8} )</td>
<td>( A_{3,11,4,11,11} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_l = MR_l )</td>
<td>( \frac{R_0 R_{10} R_{11}}{t_0} )</td>
<td>( \frac{R_3 R_8}{t_p} )</td>
<td>( \frac{R_4 R_{10} R_{11}}{t_p} )</td>
<td>( \frac{R_3 R_{11}}{t_p^2} )</td>
<td>( \frac{R_0 R_{10} R_{11}}{t_p} )</td>
<td>( \frac{R_3 R_{11}}{t_p^2} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These BPS states already reach levels beyond the classical levels \( l \leq 3 \) for which a dictionary between \( E_{10} \) fields and space-time fields depending on one coordinate exists [14].

In the next section we discuss the solutions for \( l > 6 \).

24For the level 3 KK-monopole potential we have put the time in 4 as in section 2 instead of 9, 10 in the general metric eq. (3.87) to avoid here exotic states.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II.A M-theory</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>KK6</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>M9</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The D8 brane obtained from the D6 brane by performing the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha 11}$, i.e. a double T-duality plus exchange of the directions $x^9$ and $x^{10}$.

### 4.2.2 The higher level solutions

The action formulae eqs. (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23) are all in agreement with the evaluation of $A$ in the string context, as seen from section C.5. No time or longitudinal space radius occurs linearly in $A$. Thus time is compact and the only non-compact space radii are the transverse two dimensions.

All these states can only be reached from basic non-exotic solutions through a timelike T-duality.

U-duality does no more imply that the dimensionally reduced metric in (2+1) dimensions should be identical to that of the basic ones and one indeed verifies that they are distinct. However metric and the induced dilaton field are expected to be identical when reduced to 2 dimensions. This is indeed the case as we now show.

Reducing all the solutions down to three dimensions$^{25}$, 1, 2 and $r$, with $r \in 3 \ldots 11$, we get$^{26}$

$$ds_{13D}^2 = \tilde{g}_{11}(H,B)((dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2) + (dx^r)^2. \quad (4.31)$$

Because for all the solutions we have unity in front of $(dx^r)^2$, reducing on $x^r$ down to two dimensions and performing a Weyl rescaling all the metric reduce to a flat two-dimensional space with zero dilaton field.

### 5. Transcending 11D supergravity

We have seen in section 4 that all $E_9$ BPS solutions (including the basic ones discussed in section 2.2) can be smeared to one space dimension in the dual formalism. There is in fact no such description in the direct formalism, except for the M2 and the KK-wave. More generally, the dual formalism in one non-compact space dimension is equivalent to the $\sigma$-model eq. (2.15) restricted to a single $l > 0$ field. In that case indeed the matter term is the same in both formalisms as no covariant derivative arises in the $\sigma$-model$^{[14, 23]}$ and the Einstein term$^{[8]}$ coincides with its level zero.

We now consider BPS solutions obtained from positive real roots of $E_{10}$ not present in $E_9$. These solutions can be obtained by performing Weyl transformations on $E_9$ BPS solutions smeared to one dimension. They depend on one non-compact space variable and may have no counterpart in 11D supergravity. We illustrate the construction of such solutions by one example.

---

$^{25}$The spacelike or timelike nature of $x^r$ is irrelevant for this argument.

$^{26}$In the dual formalism this formula holds with $\tilde{g}_{11}$ depending only on $H$. 

---
We shall obtain the M9 (namely the ‘uplifting’\(^{27}\) of the D8 brane of massive Type IIA supergravity)\(^{28}\) by performing a Weyl transformation on the KK6-monopole smeared in all directions but one described in section 2.2.3. We start with a D6 along the spatial directions 2, 3, \ldots, 7 and we choose 8 as time coordinate. The D6 is smeared in the directions 9 and 10 and thus depends only on the non-compact variable \(x^1\). The uplifting to M-theory of the D6 yields a KK6-monopole with Taub-NUT direction 11 (see table 1). To obtain the D8 and its uplifting M9, we perform the Weyl reflexion \(W_{\alpha_{11}}\) which may be viewed as a double T-duality in the directions 9 and 10 plus exchange of the two radii \([37, 26, 38, 13]\).

The KK6-monopole, in the longitudinal directions 2, \ldots, 7 with timelike direction 8 and Taub-NUT direction 11, smeared in 9 and 10, is described in the \(\sigma\)-model by a level 3 generator\(^{29}\) \(R_{23456789101111}\). The solution is given in eq. (2.43) up to a permutation of indices. Defining \(p^a = -h_a^a\) one has

\[
\begin{align*}
p^1 &= p^9 = p^{10} = \frac{1}{2} \ln H(x^1) \\
p^{11} &= -\frac{1}{2} \ln H(x^1) \\
p^j &= 0 \quad i = 2 \ldots 8 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{23456781111} = \frac{1}{H(x^1)}. \quad (5.1)
\end{align*}
\]

The level 3 root \(\alpha^{(3)}\) corresponding to \(R_{23456789101111}\) is \(\alpha^{(3)} = \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 4\alpha_5 + 5\alpha_6 + 6\alpha_7 + 7\alpha_8 + 4\alpha_9 + \alpha_{10} + 3\alpha_{11}\). Performing the Weyl reflexion \(W_{\alpha_{11}}\), we get

\[
W_{\alpha_{11}}(\alpha^{(3)}) = \alpha^{(4)} = \alpha^{(3)} + \alpha_{11}. \quad (5.2)
\]

The root \(\alpha^{(4)}\) is the lowest weight of the \(A_9\) irreducible representation of level 4 \([13]\) whose Dynkin labels are \((2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\). This \(A_9\) representation in the decomposition of the adjoint representation of \(E_{10}\) is not in \(E_9\). The action of \(W_{\alpha_{11}}\) on the Cartan fields is \([13]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
p^a &= p^a + \frac{1}{3} (p^9 + p^{10} + p^{11}) \quad a = 2 \ldots 8 \\
p^a &= p^a - \frac{2}{3} (p^9 + p^{10} + p^{11}) \quad a = 9, 10, 11. \quad (5.3)
\end{align*}
\]

Using the embedding relation eq. (2.17), the Weyl transform of eqs. (5.1) yields the solution

\[
\begin{align*}
p^1 &= 4 \frac{6}{5} \ln H(x^1) \\
p^a &= \frac{1}{6} \ln H(x^1) \quad a = 2 \ldots 10 \\
p^{11} &= -\frac{5}{6} \ln H(x^1). \quad (5.5)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
A_{23456789101111} = \frac{1}{H(x^1)} \quad (5.7)
\]

\(^{27}\)There is a no-go theorem stating that massive 11-dimensional supergravity does not exist \([51]\). The concept of uplifting the D8 seems thus puzzling. However a definition of ‘massive 11D supergravity’ has been proposed \([52]\) for a background with an isometry generated by a spatial Killing vector. In that theory the M9 solution does exist \([53]\). Existence of the M9 is also suggested by the study of the central charges of the M-theory superalgebra \([54, 55]\).

\(^{28}\)The metric of the D8 brane has previously been discussed in the context of \(E_{11}\) in \([24]\).

\(^{29}\)This level 3 step operator contains the index 2 and belongs to a \(E_9\) conjugate in \(E_{10}\) to the \(E_9\) we used in the previous sections.
The level 4 field eq. (5.7) contains the antisymmetric set of indices 2, 3 \ldots 11. These are not apparent in its $A_9$ Dynkin labels given above but are needed in the 11-dimensional metric stemming from the embedding $E_{10}\subset E_{11}$ encoded in eq. (2.17). The $A_{10}\subset E_{11}$ Dynkin labels of this field in this embedding are indeed $(2,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1)$. From eq. (2.14) one gets the 11-dimensional metric
\[ ds_{M9}^2 = H^{4/3}(dx^1)^2 + H^{1/3}[(dx^2)^2 + \ldots - (dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2] + H^{-5/3}(dx^{11})^2. \] (5.8)

One verifies the validity of the dual formalism equation eq. (4.14) for the field eq. (5.7), namely
\[ \frac{d}{dx^1} \left( \sqrt{|g|} g^{22} g^{33} \ldots g^{10\,10} [g^{11\,11}]^3 \frac{d}{dx^1} A_{23456789\,10\,11\,11\,11} \right) = \frac{d^2}{d(x^1)^2} H(x^1) = 0. \] (5.9)

The metric eq. (5.8) describes the M9, which reduced to 10 dimensions gives the D8 of massive type IIA. The computation of the M9-mass in the string context eq. (C.1) agrees with our general action formula
\[ A_{M9} = R_8 M_{M9} = R_2 R_3 R_4 R_5 R_6 R_7 R_8 R_9 R_{10} R_{11}^3 / l_p^{12}, \] (5.10)
indicating that $R_{11}$ is compact.

6. Summary and comments

We have constructed an infinite $E_9$ multiplet of BPS solutions of 11D supergravity and of its exotic counterparts depending on two non-compact variables. These solutions are related by U-dualities realised as Weyl transformations of the $E_9$ subalgebra of $E_{11}$ in the regular embedding $E_9 \subset E_{10} \subset E_{11}$. Each BPS solution stems from an $E_9$ potential $A_p^{[N]}$, multiplying the generator $R_p^{[N]}$, in the Borel representative of the coset space $E_{10}/K_{10}$ where $K_{10}$ is invariant under a temporal involution. $A_p^{[N]}$ is related to the supergravity 3-form and metric through dualities and compensations. This $E_9$ multiplet of states split into three classes according to the level $l$. For $0 \leq l \leq 3$ we recover the basic BPS solutions, namely, the KK-wave, the M2, the M5 and the KK-monopole. For $4 \leq l \leq 6$, the solutions have 8 longitudinal space dimensions. We argue that for higher levels, all 9 longitudinal directions, including time, are compact. Each BPS solution can be mapped to a solution of a dual effective action of gravity coupled to matter expressed in terms of the $E_9$ potential $A_p^{[N]}$. In the dual formulation the BPS solutions can be smeared to one non-compact space dimension and coincides then with solutions of the $E_{10}$ $\sigma$-model build upon $E_{10}/K_{10}$. The $\sigma$-model yields in addition an infinite set of BPS space-time solutions corresponding to all real roots of $E_{10}$ which are not roots of $E_9$. These appear to transcend 11D supergravity, as exemplified by the lowest level ($l = 4$) solution which is identified to the M9.

The relation between the 11D supergravity 3-form and metric, and the $E_9$ potentials $A_p^{[N]}$ has significance beyond the realm of BPS solutions. To see this we first recall that the $E_9$ potentials can be organised in towers defined by decomposing $E_9 \subset E_{10}$ into $A_1^+$ subgroups with central charge $-K^2\in E_{10}$. Each of these $A_1^+$ subgroup contains two
brane’ towers $[N] = [3],[6]$ or one ‘gravity tower’ $[N] = [8,1]$ of real roots (the two gravity towers $[N] = [8,1]$ and $[0]$ are redundant except for the lowest level representing the KK-wave). We first examine the brane towers.

The recurrences of the 3-tower $A^{[3]}_{1+3n}$ alternate in nature at each step: they switch from states on the M2 sequence to those on the M5 sequence. This feature is illustrated in figure 2a where the 3-tower recurrences are depicted on the right column. On the other hand, each recurrence of the 3-tower is related by duality-compensation pairs to the supergravity 3-form potential which we denote by $(A^{[3]}_{1})_q$, as seen in the horizontal lines of both figure 3 and figure 4. Here we designated by the integer $q$ the number of duality-compensation pairs needed to reach the field $(A^{[3]}_{1})_q$ from $A^{[3]}_{1+3n}$. In the realm of BPS states studied in this paper each field $A^{[3]}_{1+3n}$ defines a different BPS solution of 11D supergravity defined by $(A^{[3]}_{1})_q$ and the related metric. Comparing figure 2a with figure 3 and 4 we see that $q$ is equal to $n$. This relation expresses the fact that the number of steps needed to climb the 3-tower up to the field $A^{[3]}_{1+3n}$ is equal to the number of duality-compensation pairs needed to reach from $A^{[3]}_{1+3n}$ the 11D supergravity 3-form defining the corresponding BPS solution. However the relation $q = n$ does not rely on the BPS character of the solution and hence has general significance, which can be pictured as follows.

Were all the compensations matrices put equal to unity no new solutions could be generated by Weyl transformations from any solution of 11D supergravity defined by its 3-form $A^{[3]}_{1}$ (or from its Hodge dual) and metric. Indeed, because of the Weyl equivalence of all dualities depicted in figure 3 and figure 4 one would simply get

$$A^{[3]}_{1+3n} = A^{[3]}_{1}, \quad n \text{ even} \quad (6.1)$$

$$A^{[3]}_{1+3n} = A^{[6]}_{2}, \quad n \text{ odd} \quad (6.2)$$

where $A^{[6]}_{2}$ is taken to be the Hodge dual of $A^{[3]}_{1}$ and the superscript I means that all compensations have been formally equated to unity. A similar analysis of the 6-tower depicted in the left column of figure 2a would yield

$$A^{[6]}_{2+3n} = A^{[6]}_{2}, \quad n \text{ even} \quad (6.3)$$

$$A^{[6]}_{2+3n} = A^{[3]}_{1}, \quad n \text{ odd} \quad (6.4)$$

The same phenomenon would appear in the gravity tower, where it is somewhat hidden in the redundant 0-tower of figure 5b.

The non-trivial content of the $E_9$ tower potentials is entirely due to compensations. These prevent ‘duals of duals’ to be equivalent to unity and one may view the $E_9$ towers as defining ‘non-closing dualities’, familiar from the standard Geroch group. They translate through the compensation process the genuine non-linear structure of gravity.
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A. Signature changes and compensations

Expressing a Weyl transformation $W$ as a conjugation by a group element $U_W$ of $E_{11}$ ($E_{10}$), one defines the involution $\Omega'$ operating on the conjugate elements by

$$\Omega'(T') = U_W \Omega(U_W^{-1}T'U_W)U_W^{-1},$$

(A.1)

where $T$ and $T'$ are any conjugate pair of generators in $E_{11}$ ($E_{10}$). The subgroup invariant under $\Omega$ is conjugate to the subgroup invariant under $\Omega'$. However, Weyl reflexions in general do not commute with the temporal involution [33, 35].

A.1 The gravity line

First consider the gravity line of $E_{11}$ endowed with the temporal involution eq. (2.9). The Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_1}$ in the hyperplane perpendicular to $\alpha_1$ changes the time index in the $A_{10}$ tensors from 1 to 2. Indeed applying eq. (A.1) to the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_1}$ generates from $\Omega_1 \equiv \Omega$ a new involution $\Omega_2 \equiv \Omega'$ such that

$$U_1 \Omega_1 K^2_1 U_1^{-1} = \rho K^2_1 = \rho \Omega_2 K^1_2,$$

$$U_1 \Omega_1 K^3_1 U_1^{-1} = \sigma K^3_2 = \sigma \Omega_2 K^2_3,$$

$$U_1 \Omega_1 K^i_{i+1} U_1^{-1} = -\tau K^{i+1}_i = \tau \Omega_2 K^i_{i+1} \quad i > 2.$$

(A.2)

Here $\rho, \sigma, \tau$ are plus or minus signs which may arise as step operators are representations of the Weyl group up to signs. Eq. (A.2) illustrate the general result that such signs always cancel in the determination of $\Omega'$ because they are identical in the Weyl transform of corresponding positive and negative roots, as their commutator is in the Cartan subalgebra which forms a true representation of the Weyl group. The content of eq. (A.2) is represented in table 2. The signs below the generators of the gravity line indicate the sign in front of the negative step operator obtained by the involution: a minus sign is in agreement with the conventional Chevalley involution and indicates that the indices in $K^m_{m+1}$ are both either space or time indices while a plus sign indicates that one index must be time and the other space.

Table 2 shows that the time coordinates in $E_{11}$ must now be identified either with 2, or with all indices $\neq 2$. We choose the first description, which leaves unaffected coordinates attached to planes invariant under the Weyl transformation. More generally, performing
Table 2: Involution switches from $\Omega_1$ to $\Omega_2$ in $E_{11}$ due to the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_1}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gravity line</th>
<th>$K_1^1$</th>
<th>$K_2^2$</th>
<th>$K_3^3$</th>
<th>$K_4^4$</th>
<th>$\cdots$</th>
<th>$K_{D-1}^{D-1}$</th>
<th>time coordinate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_1$</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_2$</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weyl reflexions from roots of the gravity line, we can identify the time index to any $A_{10}$ tensor index.

The Weyl transformations on the gravity line of $E_{11}$ (or $E_{10}$) simply changes the time coordinate but do not modify the global signature $(1,10)$ (or $(1,9)$). This need not be the case for Weyl transformations from roots pertaining to higher levels. We shall determine the different signatures for the M2 and M5 sequences. In order to do that, we have to study the effect of the Weyl reflexions $W_{\alpha_1}$ and $W_{-\alpha_1+\delta}$ on the involutions characterising the M2 and the M5 we started with. We consider separately the two sequences. We will also see that the nature of the compensation transformations ($SO(2)$ or $SO(1,1)$) is determined and follows from this analysis. Finally we shall consider the signatures induced on the gravity tower by the mapping eqs. (3.75), (3.76) and (3.77).

A.2 The brane towers
A.2.1 Signatures of the M2 sequence

We start with the conventional M2 described by the solution eq. (3.1) of 11-dimensional supergravity with the signature $(1,10,+)$. Here the first entry denotes the number of timelike directions (in our case the single direction 9), the second denotes the number of spacelike directions and the third gives the sign of the kinetic energy term in the action (+ being the usual one). We want to determine the space-time signature for all the solutions of the M2 sequence. The M2 of level 1 is characterised by the involution $\Omega_1 \equiv \Omega_0$ fixing 9 as a time coordinate.

To determine the signature at level 5, we perform the Weyl transformation $W_{-\alpha_1+\delta}$ to find the corresponding new involution $\Omega_5$. The generators of the gravity line affected by this reflexion are $K_3^2$ and $K_8^9$. From eq. (A.1) we have (from now on, we drop irrelevant signs $\rho, \sigma, \tau$ appearing in eq. (A.2))

$$\Omega_5 K_3^2 = U_{W_{-\alpha_1+\delta}} \Omega_1 R^{245678} U^{-1}_{W_{-\alpha_1+\delta}} = -K_3^2$$

$$\tag{A.3}$$

$$\Omega_5 K_8^9 = U_{W_{-\alpha_1+\delta}} \Omega_1 R_{345679} U^{-1}_{W_{-\alpha_1+\delta}} = +K_8^9.$$ 

$$\tag{A.4}$$

The signature of the level 5 solution is thus unchanged, the only time coordinate is still 9. The action of the involution $\Omega_5$ on $R_{91011}^{10}$ follows from $W_{-\alpha_1+\delta}(\alpha_1) = 2\delta - \alpha_{11}$. We get

$$\tag{A.5}$$
levels \((n > 0)\) times \((t, s, \pm)\) compensation
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
1 & 9 & \((1, 10, +)\) & -- \\
\hline
5 & 9 & \((1, 10, +)\) & \(SO(2)\) \\
\hline
\(1+6n\), n odd & 10,11 & \((2, 9, \mp)\) & \(SO(1, 1)\) \\
\(-1+6(n+1)\), n odd & 10,11 & \((2, 9, \mp)\) & \(SO(2)\) \\
\hline
\(1+6n\), n even & 9 & \((1, 10, +)\) & \(SO(1, 1)\) \\
\(-1+6(n+1)\), n even & 9 & \((1, 10, +)\) & \(SO(2)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3: Involution switches from \(\Omega\) to \(\Omega_{\pm1+6n}\) in the M2 sequence due to the application of the successive Weyl reflexions \(W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}\) and \(W_{\alpha_{11}}\).

As 9 is a timelike coordinate, this yields the usual sign for this generator and one sticks to the signature \((1, 10, +)\) as it should. We have \(\Omega_{l_5} \left( R_{2}^{6} - R_{-2}^{6} \right) = R_{2}^{6} - R_{-2}^{6} \) and the compensation for the \(SL(2)\) of level 5 (see eqs. (3.36)–(3.38)) lies in its \(SO(2)\) subgroup.

We now perform the Weyl reflexion \(W_{\alpha_{11}}\) to reach level 7. We have

\[
\Omega_{l_7} K_{9}^{8} = U_{W_{\alpha_{11}}} \Omega_{l_5} R_{81011}^{81011} U_{W_{\alpha_{11}}}^{-1} = -K_{9}^{8} \\
\Omega_{l_7} R_{91011}^{91011} = U_{W_{\alpha_{11}}} \Omega_{l_5} R_{91011}^{91011} U_{W_{\alpha_{11}}}^{-1} = +R_{91011}^{91011}.
\]

(A.6)

(A.7)

From eq. (A.6), we deduce immediately that the time coordinates are now 10 and 11 and from eq. (A.7) we deduce that the sign of the kinetic terms is the ‘wrong’ one, namely it corresponds to the \((2,9,\mp)\) theory as it should. We have \(\Omega_{l_7} \left( R_{91011}^{91011} + R_{91011}^{91011} \right) = R_{91011}^{91011} + R_{91011}^{91011}\) and the compensation for the \(SL(2)\) of level 7 solution eq. (3.47) lies in its \(SO(2)\) subgroup.

We can now repeat the analysis to all levels of the M2 sequence. We use \(W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}\) to go from level 1+6\(n\) to level \(-1+6(n+1)\). Replacing in eqs. (A.3), (A.4) and (A.5) \(\Omega\) by \(\Omega_{1+6n}\) and \(\Omega_{l_5}\) by \(\Omega_{l_{-1+6(n+1)}}\), we see that the signature of the theory is unchanged. Furthermore, analysing the action of \(\Omega_{l_{-1+6(n+1)}}\) on \(R_{2}^{6}\), we conclude that the compensation for level \(-1+6(n+1)\) is always an \(SO(2)\) one. We use \(W_{\alpha_{11}}\) to go from level \(-1+6(n+1)\) to level \(1+6(n+1)\). Replacing in eqs. (A.6) and (A.7) \(\Omega_{l_5}\) by \(\Omega_{l_{-1+6(n+1)}}\) and \(\Omega_{l_7}\) by \(\Omega_{l_{1+6(n+1)}}\), we see that theories \((1, 10, +)\) and \((2,9,-)\) are interchanged. The action of \(\Omega_{l_{1+6(n+1)}}\) on \(R_{3}^{3}\) shows that the compensation at level \(1+6(n+1)\) lies always in \(SO(1, 1)\). The results are summarised in table 3.

A.2.2 Signatures of the M5 sequence

We start with the non-exotic M5 described by eq. (3.2) solution of 11D supergravity with the signature \((1,10,+)\) and time in 3. We want to determine the space-time signature of all the solutions of the M5 sequence depicted in figure 2. The M5 of level 2 is characterised by the involution \(\Omega_{l_2} \equiv \Omega_3\) fixing 3 as a time coordinate.
To determine the signature of level 4, we perform the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha 11}$ to find the new involution $\Omega_{l4}$. The generator of the gravity line affected by this reflexion is $K_{89}$. From eq. (A.1) we have

$$\Omega_{l4} K_{89} = U W_{\alpha 11} \Omega_{l2} R_{91011} U^{-1} W_{\alpha 11} = -K_{89}$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(A.8)}$$

$$\Omega_{l4} R_{91011} = U W_{\alpha 11} \Omega_{l2} R_{91011} U^{-1} W_{\alpha 11} = -R_{91011}$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(A.9)}$$

From eq. (A.8), we deduce immediately that there is no change of signature and thus 3 remains the only timelike direction. From eq. (A.9) the sign of the kinetic terms is unchanged and the phase is still (1,10,+) as it should. We have $\Omega_{l4}(R_{91011} - R_{91011}) = R_{91011} - R_{91011}$. Hence the coset characterising the level 4 solution is $SL(2)/SO(2)$ and the compensation at level 4 (see eq. (3.59)) lies in $SO(2)$.

To determine the signature of level 8, we perform the Weyl reflexion $W_{-\alpha 11+\delta}$ to find the new involution $\Omega_{l8}$. We have

$$\Omega_{l8} K_{23} = U W_{-\alpha 11+\delta} \Omega_{l4} R^{245678} U^{-1} W_{-\alpha 11+\delta} = -K_{23}$$

$$\Omega_{l8} K_{89} = U W_{-\alpha 11+\delta} \Omega_{l4} R_{345679} U^{-1} W_{-\alpha 11+\delta} = +K_{89}.$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(A.10)}$$

The flip of sign in $K_{23}$ and $K_{89}$ illustrated in table 4 shows that the resulting theory comprises the 5 time coordinates 4,5,6,7,8. The involution $\Omega_{l8}$ acts on $R_{91011}$ according to

$$\Omega_{l8} R_{91011} = U W_{-\alpha 11+\delta} \Omega_{l4} R_{6}^{[6]} U^{-1} W_{-\alpha 11+\delta} = -R_{91011}.$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{(A.11)}$$

The directions 9,10,11 being spacelike, the action of the involution on $R_{91011}$ yields the ‘right’ kinetic energy terms. The new theory is (5,6,+) as it should \([39, 40]\). We have $\Omega_{l8}(R_{2}^{[6]} + R_{-2}^{[6]}) = R_{2}^{[6]} + R_{-2}^{[6]}$. Hence the compensation at level 8 (see eq. (3.61)) lies in $SO(1,1)$.

We can repeat the analysis to find the signature of all the levels of the M5 sequence. Again we find only the two signatures found at the lower levels alternating every two steps while, as for the M2 sequence, the nature of the compensation alternates at each step. The results are summarised in table 5.

### A.3 The gravity towers

The gravity towers were obtained by performing Weyl transformations on the brane towers. In section 3.3. we have showed that the M2 sequence is mapped to the wave sequence and the M5 sequence to the monopole sequence. We shall take advantage of this Weyl mapping to find the signatures of the gravity towers.

The brane towers comprise 4 different signatures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>$K_3^2$</th>
<th>$K_4^3$</th>
<th>$K_5^4$</th>
<th>$K_6^5$</th>
<th>$K_7^6$</th>
<th>$K_8^7$</th>
<th>$K_9^8$</th>
<th>$K_{10}^9$</th>
<th>$K_{11}^{10}$</th>
<th>Times</th>
<th>$(t, s, \pm)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(1, 10, +)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,5,6,7,8</td>
<td>$(5, 6, +)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Involutions at level 4 and 8.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>levels ($n &gt; 0$)</th>
<th>times</th>
<th>$(t, s, \pm)$</th>
<th>compensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(1, 10, +)$</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(1, 10, +)$</td>
<td>$SO(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2+6n$, $n$ odd</td>
<td>4,5,6,7,8</td>
<td>$(5, 6, +)$</td>
<td>$SO(1, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2+6(n+1)$, $n$ odd</td>
<td>4,5,6,7,8</td>
<td>$(5, 6, +)$</td>
<td>$SO(2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2+6n$, $n$ even</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(1, 10, +)$</td>
<td>$SO(1, 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-2+6(n+1)$, $n$ even</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$(1, 10, +)$</td>
<td>$SO(2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5:** Involution switches from $\Omega_{l_2}$ to $\Omega_{l_2+6n}$ in the M5 sequence due to the application of the successive Weyl reflexions $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ and $W^{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$.

- $(1, 10, +)$ with time in 9 and $(2, 9, -)$ with time in 10 and 11 for the M2 sequence,
- $(1, 10, +)$ with time in 3 and $(5, 6, +)$ with time in 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 for the M5 sequence.

We perform the Weyl mapping on the 4 signatures in three steps. The first Weyl transformation $W(1)$ interchanges 9 and 3 on the gravity line. The second Weyl transformation is the Weyl reflexion $W(2) \equiv W_{\alpha_{11}}$ and the last Weyl transformation $W(3)$ interchanges 9 and 11 on the gravity line.

The first and last Weyl transformations $W(1)$ and $W(3)$ permute tensor indices and do not alter the global signature. Only $W(2)$ can change the global signature $(t, s, \pm)$. There are 2 simple roots affected by $W(2) \equiv W_{\alpha_{11}}$: $\alpha_8$ and $\alpha_{11}$ defining respectively the generators $K_9^8$ and $R_{9\,10\,11}^{9\,10\,11}$. From eq. (A.1) we get, dropping irrelevant signs,

\[
\Omega' K_9^8 = s_1 K_8^9 = U_{W(2)} \frac{\Omega}{s_1 \, R_{8\,10\,11}} U_{W(2)}^{-1}
\]

\[
\Omega' R_{9\,10\,11}^{9\,10\,11} = s_2 R_{9\,10\,11} = U_{W(2)} \frac{\Omega}{s_2 \, R_{9\,10\,11}} U_{W(2)}^{-1}
\]

where $s_1$ and $s_2$ are signs. The possible change of signatures by the Weyl transformation $W(2)$ will be deduced from the signs $s_1$ and $s_2$.

**A.3.1 Signatures of the wave sequence**

**Mapping of the signature (1, 10, +) with time in 9.** The global signature $(1, 10, +)$ is not modified by $W(1)$ but the time coordinate is no longer in 9 but in 3. From eqs. (A.12) and (A.13), with $s_1 = -1$ and $s_2 = -1$, we deduce that the signature is unchanged by the second Weyl reflexion $W(2)$. The last transformation $W(3)$ does not change the signature either.

The signature $(1, 10, +)$ with time in 9 is thus mapped by the three successive Weyl transformations to the signature $(1, 10, +)$ with time in 3.

**Mapping of the signature (2, 9, -) with time in 10 and 11.** The first Weyl transformation $W(1)$ does not modify the signature. We then perform the Weyl transformation $W(2)$. From eq. (A.12) with $s_1 = +1$ we find that the time coordinate becomes 9 and from eq. (A.13) with $s_2 = +1$, we deduce the sign of the kinetic term. This sign is the ‘usual’
Table 6: Signatures of the wave sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>levels ((n, n' &gt; 0))</th>
<th>times</th>
<th>((t, s, \pm))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>((1, 10, +))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6n), (n) odd</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>((1, 10, +))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6n), (n) even</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>((1, 10, +))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6n', n') odd</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>((1, 10, +))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6n', n') even</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>((1, 10, +))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

one and the signature becomes \((1, 10, +)\) with time coordinate 9. The last Weyl reflexion \(W_{(3)}\) does not change the global signature but puts the time coordinate in 11.

The signature \((2, 9, -)\) with times in 10 and 11 is thus mapped by the three successive Weyl transformations to the signature \((1, 10, +)\) with time in 11.

**Signatures of the wave sequence.** The coset representatives of the M2 sequence \(V_{i+6n}\) eq. (3.53) and \(V_{-i+6n}\) eq. (3.54) are mapped respectively to the coset representatives of the wave sequence \(V_{6n}\) eq. (3.82) and \(V_{6n'}\) eq. (3.83). All the signatures of the wave sequence are summarised in table 6.

**A.3.2 Signatures of the monopole sequence**

**Mapping of the signature \((1, 10, +)\) with time in 3.** The global signature \((1, 10, +)\) is not modified by \(W_{(1)}\) but the time coordinate is no longer in 3 but in 9. We then perform the Weyl transformation \(W_{(2)}\). From eq. (A.12) with \(s_1 = -1\), we find that the time coordinates become 10 and 11 and from eq. (A.13) with \(s_2 = +1\) we deduce the sign of the kinetic term. This sign is the ‘wrong’ one and the signature becomes \((2, 9, -)\). The last Weyl reflexion \(W_{(3)}\) does not change the global signature \((2, 9, -)\) but puts the time coordinates in 9 and 10.

The signature \((1, 10, +)\) with time in 3 is mapped by the three successive Weyl transformations to the signature \((2, 9, -)\) with times in 9 and 10.

**Mapping of the signature \((5, 6, +)\) with times in 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.** The first Weyl transformation \(W_{(1)}\) does not modify the signature. From eqs. (A.12) and (A.13) with \(s_1 = +1\) and \(s_2 = -1\) we see that the signature is invariant under the second Weyl reflexion \(W_{(2)}\). The last Weyl reflexion \(W_{(3)}\) also leaves the signature unchanged.

The signature \((5, 6, +)\) with time in 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 is left invariant by the Weyl mapping.

**Signatures of the monopole sequence.** The coset representatives of the M5 sequence \(V_{2+6n}\) eq. (3.63) and \(V_{-2+6n}\) eq. (3.64) are mapped respectively to the coset representatives of the monopole sequence \(V_{3+6n}\) eq. (3.85) and \(V_{-3+6n}\) eq. (3.86). All the signatures of the monopole sequence are summarised in table 7.
Table 7: Signatures of the monopole sequence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>levels ((n, n' &gt; 0))</th>
<th>times ((t, s, \pm))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(9, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3 + 6n', n' odd)</td>
<td>(4, 5, 6, 7, 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3 + 6n', n' even)</td>
<td>(9, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-3 + 6n, n odd)</td>
<td>(9, 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-3 + 6n, n even)</td>
<td>(4, 5, 6, 7, 8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Coset representatives of the gravity tower

We want to rewrite
\[
\mathcal{V}_1 = \exp[h_{33}^3(K_{33}^3 - K_{1111}^{11}) + h_{311}^{11}K_{311}^3],
\] (B.1)
in terms of a product of two exponentials, the first one containing only the Cartan generators, namely we want to determine \(A_{3}^{(11)}\) in
\[
\mathcal{V}_2 = \exp[h_{33}^3(K_{33}^3 - K_{1111}^{11})]\exp[A_{3}^{(11)}K_{311}^3].
\] (B.2)

In terms of the SL(2) matrices defined in eq. (3.32), \(K_{33}^3 - K_{1111}^{11} = e_1\) and \(K_{311}^3 = e_3\). One has
\[
\mathcal{V}_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} h_{33}^3 & h_{311}^{11} \\ 0 & -h_{33}^3 \end{array} \right]^n = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} e_{11}^{11} & -e_{3}^{11} \\ 0 & e_{3}^{3} \end{array} \right] \] (B.3)
\[
e_3^{3} = e^{-h_{33}^3} \quad e_{11}^{11} = e^{h_{33}^3} \quad e_{3}^{11} = -\frac{h_{311}^{11}}{2h_{33}^3}(e^{h_{33}^3} - e^{-h_{33}^3}),
\] (B.4)
where the \(e_{\mu}^{n}\) are the vielbein eq. (2.14) characterising the KK-solution (see also appendix B of [22]). On the other hand, from eq. (B.4), one gets
\[
\mathcal{V}_2 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} e_{11}^{11} \quad e_{11}^{11} \quad A_{3}^{(11)} \\ 0 \quad e_{3}^{3} \end{array} \right].
\] (B.5)

Equating \(\mathcal{V}_1\) eq. (B.3) and \(\mathcal{V}_2\) eq. (B.5), we have
\[
A_{3}^{(11)} = -e_{3}^{11}(e_{11}^{11})^{-1}.
\] (B.6)

and the metric corresponding to the representative eq. (B.1) with time in 3 is thus
\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{ds}^2 &= (dx_1^1)^2 + (dx_2^2)^2 + (dx_4^4)^2 + \cdots + (dx_{10}^{10})^2 - (e_3^{3})^2(dx_3^3)^2 + (e_{11}^{11})^2 \left[ dx_{11}^{11} - A_{3}^{(11)}dx_3^3 \right]^2.
\end{aligned}
\] (B.7)

C. Masses and U-duality

We first review the KK6-monopole mass and then derive all the masses or actions \(A_i\) of the \(E_9\) multiplet in the M-theory context from the Weyl reflexions interpreted as T-dualities. Their spacelike or timelike nature is discussed.
C.1 The level 3 solution

The KK6-monopole mass can be derived from the M5 mass using the relation between 11-dimensional supergravity and type IIA theory and T-duality. We recall that the relations between the 11-dimensional parameters $R_{11}$ and the Planck length $l_p$ and the string parameters $g$ and $l_s$ are (we neglect all numerical factors):

$$ l_p = g^{1/3} l_s, \quad R_{11} = g l_s. \quad \text{(C.1)} $$

On the other hand if one compactifies a direction of type IIA theory on a circle of radius $R$, the T-duality along this direction acts as:

$$ R \rightarrow \frac{l_s}{R}, \quad g \rightarrow \frac{g l_s}{R}. \quad \text{(C.2)} $$

We start with a M5 along the directions $4 \ldots 8$ and 3 is the longitudinal timelike direction. The mass of this elementary M5 is given by

$$ M_{I=2} = \frac{R_{4 \ldots 8}}{l_p^6}. \quad \text{(C.3)} $$

We smear this M5 along the directions 10 and 11. Reducing along the eleventh direction, using eq. (C.1), one obtains a NS5 in type IIA then performing a T-duality along the direction 10, using eq. (C.2) one get the KK5-monopole of type IIA with Taub-NUT direction 10

$$ M_{kk5} = \frac{R_{4 \ldots 8} R_{10}^2}{g_s^2 l_s^8 l_p^2}. \quad \text{(C.4)} $$

Uplifting back to eleven dimension, using eq. (C.1), one obtains a KK6 monopole with longitudinal directions $4 \ldots 8, 11$ and with Taub-NUT direction 10. Using eqs. (C.1), (C.2), one find the mass of this KK6-monopole

$$ M_{I=3} = \frac{R_{4 \ldots 8} R_{11} R_{10}^2}{l_p^8}. \quad \text{(C.5)} $$

C.2 The level 4 solution

To go to level 4 from the M5 considered in eq. (C.3) we perform as in section 3.2.3 the Weyl reflexion $W_{11}$ interpreted here as a double T-duality plus exchange in the direction 9 and 10. We thus smear the KK5-monopole with mass given in eq. (C.4) in the direction 9 and perform a further T-duality in this direction. From eqs. (C.1), (C.2), we find the mass of the level 4 solution

$$ M_{I=4} = \frac{R_{4 \ldots 8} R_{9} R_{10}^2 R_{11}^2}{l_p^{12}}. \quad \text{(C.6)} $$

\footnote{The KK6-monopole discussed here has timelike direction is 3 and the Taub-NUT direction is 10. The level 3 KK6-monopole of the gravity tower in the mapping from the 6-tower in sections 3 and 4 have timelike directions 9 and 10 and Taub-NUT direction 11. Note that in section 2.2.3 the non-exotic KK6-monopole has its single timelike direction in 4 and Taub-NUT direction in 11.}
C.3 The level 5 solution

As in section 3.2.2, to reach the level 5 solution we perform, the Weyl reflexion $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$ sending the level 1 generator $R_1^{[3]}$ to the level 5 generator $R_5^{[6]}$.

We first decompose the Weyl reflexion $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$ in terms of simple Weyl reflexions which have an interpretation in terms of permutations of coordinates and double T-duality plus exchange of the directions 9 and 10. This decomposition will permit us to compute the mass of the level 5 solution and also to check that the timelike direction 9 is unaffected by $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$. We write

$$W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta} = s_i s_j \ldots s_k,$$

where $s_i \equiv s_{\alpha_i}$ is the simple Weyl reflexion corresponding to the simple root $\alpha_i$. To perform this decomposition, we can use the following lemma (whose proof is straightforward computing both sides of the equality):

**Lemma.** If a real root $\gamma$ can be written as the sum of two real roots $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ such that $<\gamma_1, \gamma_2> = -1$, then the Weyl reflexion $s_\gamma$ can be decomposed as $s_\gamma = s_{\gamma_1} s_{\gamma_2} s_{\gamma_1}$.

One may write the root $-\alpha_{11} + \delta$ as the sum $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ where $\gamma_1$ is the root associated to $R_{345}$ and $\gamma_2$ is the root associated to $R^{678}$. Using the lemma, we get

$$W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta} = s_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2} = s_{\gamma_1} s_{\gamma_2} s_{\gamma_1},$$

with

$$s_{\gamma_1} = T_{39} T_{410} T_{511} s_{\alpha_{11}} T_{511} T_{410} T_{39},$$

$$s_{\gamma_2} = T_{69} T_{710} T_{811} s_{\alpha_{11}} T_{811} T_{710} T_{69},$$

where $T_{ij}$ is the Weyl reflexion of the gravity line permuting the $i$ and $j$ indices namely it permutes the compactified radii $R_i \leftrightarrow R_j$ and $s_{\alpha_{11}}$ is the simple Weyl reflexion with respect to $\alpha_{11}$ interpreted in type IIA as a double T-duality in the directions 9 and 10 followed by an exchange of the two radii. We can directly check that there are no timelike T-dualities when we perform the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_{11}}$. The reason is that the $T_{69}$ and $T_{39}$ replace always the time coordinate 9 by 3 or 6 before any double T-duality.

We can now compute the mass of the level $l = 5$ solutions by applying the Weyl reflexion $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$ to the expression eq. (C.11) for the mass of the M2 with longitudinal spacelike directions 10, 11 and smeared in all spacelike directions but two 1 and 2. The M2 mass is given by

$$M_{M2} = \frac{R_{10} R_{11}}{l^3}.$$

To perform the sequence of simple Weyl reflexions in the decomposition of $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$, we insist on two points:

- the permutations of the radii $R_i \leftrightarrow R_j$ are always performed in 11 dimensions;
the T-dualities are performed in 10 dimensions.

Then, when reaching any $s_{\alpha_{11}}$ in a sequences of Weyl reflexions, we reduce the 11-dimensional theory to type IIA to perform the double T-duality plus exchange of radii, and then do an uplifting to 11 dimensions. Performing successive permutations of radii, reduction on type IIA, T-duality, exchange of radii, uplifting to 11 dimensions, and so on, we find the mass of the level 5 solution

$$M_{l=5} = \frac{(R_3 R_4 \cdots R_{10})^2 R_{11}}{l_p^{15}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.12)

C.4 The level 6 solution

To obtain the mass of the level 6 solution we start from the expression for the level 5 mass eq. (C.12) and use the brane to gravity tower map eqs. (3.75)–(3.77). In the string language this amounts to perform 3 steps: first, exchange the radii $R_9$ and $R_3$ in 11 dimensions, second, reduce to type IIA and, using eqs. (C.1)–(C.2), perform a double T-duality in the directions 9 and 10 plus exchange of the radii $R_9$ and $R_{11}$, finally uplift back to 11 dimensions and exchange the radii $R_9$ and $R_{11}$. The result is

$$M_{l=6} = \frac{(R_4 \cdots R_{10})^2 R_{11}^3}{l_p^{18}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.13)

C.5 Beyond level 6

To cope with time-like T-dualities and exotic states involving more than one timelike direction, we first compactify time to a radius $R_t$ for the non-exotic states. We define the action $A = M R_t$, where $M$ is the mass. Applying the relations eqs. (C.1) and (C.2) to $A = M_t R_t$ for the U-dualities performed in sections C.1–C.4 leaves all computations of masses unchanged. As $A$ treats space and time symmetrically it is natural to assume that U-duality can be extended to timelike T-dualities by applying the relations eqs. (C.1) and (C.2) directly to $A$ for both space and time radii.

We can now compute $A_l$ for any level $l$ from the $l \leq 6$ non-exotic solutions: we use the double T-duality plus inversion of radii encoded in the Weyl transformations $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ and $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$ used in section 3 to reach all $E_9$ BPS states. It is easy to show by recurrence that one recovers in this way for all levels the results eqs. (4.21), (4.22) and (4.23).

Indeed, consider first the brane towers depicted in figure 2a. Perform the Weyl transformation $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ and assume that the formula eq. (4.22) is true for level 2 + 3$m$. Using eqs. (C.1) and (C.2) one obtains eq. (4.21) for $n = m + 1$. Perform the Weyl transformation $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$ and assume that the formula eq. (4.21) is valid for level 1 + 3$m$. Using the decomposition of $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$ described in section C.3 one finds eq. (4.22) for $n = m + 1$. The validity of assumption at the levels $l < 6$ yields eqs. (4.21) and (4.22).

For the [8,1]-gravity tower, assume that the formula eq. (4.22) is valid for all level 2 + 3$m$ and use the gravity tower map eqs. (3.75)–(3.77) translated in string language as in section C.4. One finds eq. (4.23) for $n = m$, QED.

\footnote{Note that as the previous cases no timelike T-duality has been performed.}
D. Level 4 by Buscher’s duality

We review the Buscher formulation \cite{57,58} of T-duality in 10-dimensional superstring theories for backgrounds admitting one Killing vector. In Buscher’s construction one starts with a manifold $\mathcal{M}$ with metric $g_{ij}$ in the string frame, dilaton background $\phi$ and NS-NS background potential $b_{ij}$. If the background is invariant under $x^{10}$ translations, it becomes under T-duality in the direction 10 ($a, b = 1 \ldots 9$)

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{g}_{1010} & = 1/g_{1010}, \\
\tilde{g}_{10a} & = b_{10a}/g_{1010} \\
\tilde{g}_{ab} & = g_{ab} - (g_{10a}g_{10b} - b_{10a}b_{10b})/g_{1010} \\
\tilde{b}_{10a} & = g_{10a}/g_{1010} \\
\tilde{b}_{ab} & = b_{ab} - (g_{10a}b_{10b} - b_{10a}g_{10b})/g_{1010} \\
\tilde{\phi} & = \phi - \frac{1}{2} \ln g_{1010}.
\end{align*}
$$

We apply these transformations to a M5 brane with longitudinal spacelike directions $4 \ldots 8$ and longitudinal timelike direction 3 to generate the level 4 BPS solution. We smear the M5 in the directions 9, 10, 11. We perform a double T-duality in the directions 9 and 10. Upon dimensional reduction along $x^{11}$ to type IIA, this M5 yields a NS5 brane smeared in the directions 9,10 with non-compact transverse directions are 1 and 2. The smeared NS5 is given in the string frame by

$$
\begin{align*}
ds_{5}^{2} & = -(dx^{3})^{2} + (dx^{4})^{2} + \cdots + (dx^{8})^{2} \\
& \quad + H \left[ (dx^{1})^{2} + (dx^{2})^{2} + (dx^{9})^{2} + (dx^{10})^{2} \right] \\
H(r) & = \ln r, \\
r^{2} & = (x^{1})^{2} + (x^{2})^{2}, \\
e^{\phi} & = H^{1/2} \\
\tilde{F}_{345678} & = \partial_{r}(1/H),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{F}_{345678}$ is the Hodge dual of the 4-form NS field strength $db$. We use the dual because the smearing procedure is always performed in the ‘electric’ description of a brane.

We first perform the T-duality in the direction 10. Performing a T-duality on a direction transverse to a NS5 yields a KK5 monopole with the Taub-NUT direction in this transverse direction. Thus the T-duality on the configuration eqs. (D.2) generate a KK5 monopole with Taub-NUT direction 10 and smeared along 9. To find from Buscher’s rule the transformed configuration, we need the value of the non-zero $b$ field. Using the Hodge duality and eqs. (D.2), we find that the non-zero component of $b$ is

$$
b_{910} = \arctg(x^{2}/x^{1}) = B.
$$

\footnote{Here we are interested in NS-NS backgrounds as it was discussed originally \cite{57,58}, thus the formula apply not only for type II but also to the bosonic string in 26 dimensions. We will not need here the generalisation to R-R backgrounds \cite{59}.}
Using eqs. (D.1), we find the metric of the smeared KK5 monopole,

\[ ds_{skk5}^2 = -(dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2 + \cdots + (dx^8)^2 + H \left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + (dx^9)^2\right] + H^{-1} \left[(dx^{10})^2 - B(dx^9)^2\right] \]  

(D.4)

and \( \phi = 0 \), as it should. We then perform the second T-duality in the direction 9, applying eqs. (D.1) on eq. (D.4). We get (in the string frame)

\[ ds^2 = -(dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2 + \cdots + (dx^8)^2 + H \left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2\right] + \tilde{H} \left[(dx^9)^2\right] \]
\[ e^\phi = \tilde{H}^{1/2} \]
\[ b_{910} = -B, \]  

(D.5)

where \( \tilde{H} = H/(H^2 + B^2) \) and \( \tilde{B} = -B/(H^2 + B^2) \). Finally uplifting the configuration eq. (D.5) back to eleven dimension, we find

\[ ds^2 = H\tilde{H}^{-1/3} \left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2\right] + \tilde{H}^{-1/3} \left[-(dx^3)^2 + (dx^4)^2 + \cdots + (dx^8)^2\right] + \tilde{H}^{2/3} \left[(dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2 + (dx^{11})^2\right] \]
\[ A_{91011} = \tilde{B}. \]  

(D.6)

The solution eq. (D.6) of 11D supergravity is exactly the level 4 solution eq. (3.60) obtained starting with the level 2 solution (describing the double smeared M5) and performing a Weyl reflexion \( W_{\alpha_{11}} \) to go to level 4. This result is in agreement with the interpretation of the Weyl reflexion \( W_{\alpha_{11}} \) as a double T-duality in the directions 9,10 plus the interchange of the two directions [37, 26, 38, 13].

E. Weyl transformations commute with compensations

We will show that the set of dualitites, compensations and Weyl transformations needed to express, in the M2 and M5 sequences, the Borel representative at a given level in terms of the level 1 supergravity field does not depend on the path chosen in figure 3 and figure 4. Equivalently we will prove that Weyl transformations and compensations do commute. The same proof can be done for the gravity tower.

We note that the nature of the compensation matrix, i.e. \( SO(2) \) or \( SO(1,1) \), is unaltered by the Weyl reflexions \( W_{\alpha_{11}} \) or \( W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta} \). In other words it is the same along a column in figure 3 and figure 4. Indeed eq. (3.4) shows that the Weyl reflexion mapping the level \( k \) generator to the level \( k + n \) acts on the involution \( \Omega R_k = \epsilon R_{-k} \) where \( \epsilon \) is a sign, to yield \( \Omega' R_{k+n} = U \Omega R_k U^{-1} = \epsilon R_{-k-n} \) with the same sign (irrelevant signs in the Weyl transformed have been dropped). Taking this fact into account, we will analyse simultaneously the \( SO(2) \) and \( SO(1,1) \) compensations.

We start at a given level from the Borel representative given by eqs. (3.28) and (3.29) for the M2 sequence and by eqs. (3.57) and (3.58) for the M5 sequence. After a number
Table 8: Commutation of compensations and Weyl transformations: the paths depicted by simple arrows “→” and by double arrows “⇒” lead to the same result. The table applies to both M2 and M5 sequences described in figure 3 and figure 4. The Weyl transformations are $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ and $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$, and $1 < p$, $0 < r$, $n < p, r$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>levels</th>
<th>compensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1+3p</td>
<td>$R_{-1+3p}^{[6]} \ldots R_i \equiv R_{-1-3n}^{[6]} \rightarrow R_{-1+3n}^{[6]} \ldots$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| $W_{\alpha_{11}}$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$
| 1+3p | $R_{1+3p}^{[3]} \ldots R_{-1-3n}^{[3]} \Rightarrow R_f \equiv R_{1+3n}^{[3]} \ldots$ |
| -1+3(r+2) | $R_{-1+3(r+2)}^{[6]} \ldots R_{1-3(n+2)}^{[6]} \Rightarrow R_f \equiv R_{-1+3(n+2)}^{[6]} \ldots$

E.1 Case 1: $R_i \equiv R_{-1-3n}^{[6]}$ and $R_f \equiv R_{1+3n}^{[3]}$

Let $V_a$ be a coset representative along a path joining $R_i$ to $R_f$. We write the Cartan contribution $V_a^{(0)}$ to $V_a$ as

$$V_a^{(0)} = \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \Re e \mathcal{E}_a \right] C_a + \Xi K^2_2 \right]. \quad (E.1)$$

Here we isolated in $V_a^{(0)}$ a contribution $\Xi K^2_2$ left invariant along the paths by both compensations and Weyl transformations. The relevant contribution of the Cartan generators is the transformed $[(1/2) \ln \Re e \mathcal{E}_a C_a]$ of $[(1/2) \ln \Re e \mathcal{E}_i] C_i$ at $R_i$ where $C_i$ is the linear combination of the Cartan generators $h_{11}$ and $K^2_2$ pertaining to the SL(2) subgroup containing $R_{-1-3n}^{[6]}$. From eq. (3.27), we have $C_i = \alpha(-h_{11} - nK^2_2)$, and $\alpha = +1 [-1]$ if the compensation is in $SO(2) [SO(1,1)]$. The Ernst potential $\mathcal{E}_a$ is invariant along a column of table 8.

We now examine the transformations of $V_a^{(0)}$ along the paths.

- path “→” After compensation, both for the $SO(2)$ and $SO(1,1)$ compensations, one
gets $C_c = -C_i = \alpha(h_{11} + nK^2_2)$. Performing the Weyl transformation $W_{\alpha_{11}}$, $K^2_2$ is left invariant and the sign of $h_{11}$ changes. The final expression for $C_a$ is thus $C_f = \alpha(-h_{11} + nK^2_2)$.

- path “⇒”

Performing the Weyl reflexion $W_{\alpha_{11}}$, we get $C_{W_{\alpha_{11}}} = \alpha(h_{11} - nK^2_2)$. To perform the subsequent compensation, we must identify the Cartan generator of $SL(2)$ subgroup containing $R_{-1-3n}^3$. From eq. (3.26) this is precisely $C_{W_{\alpha_{11}}}$. After compensation we then obtain $C_f = -C_{W_{\alpha_{11}}} = \alpha(-h_{11} + nK^2_2)$.

The two different paths yield the same $C_f$, QED.

The proof of path equivalence for the contribution of the step operators to $V_a$ is immediate except for possible sign shifts in the Weyl transformations. Taking these into account, one easily verifies that this affects in the same way both columns of figure 8 connecting $R_i$ to $R_f$, as these columns list generators corresponding to opposite roots (see discussion after eq. (A.2)). This completes the proof.

E.2 Case 2: $R_i \equiv R_{-1-3n}^3$ and $R_f \equiv R_{-1+(3n+2)}^6$ Our starting point is eq. (E.1). In the relevant contribution of the Cartan generators, $C_i$ is here the linear combination of $h_{11}$ and $K^2_2$ pertaining to the $SL(2)$ subgroup containing $R_{-1-3n}^3$. From eq. (3.26), we have $C_i = \alpha(h_{11} - nK^2_2)$.

- path “⇒”

After compensation, both for the $SO(2)$ and $SO(1,1)$ compensations, one gets $C_c = -C_i = \alpha(-h_{11} + nK^2_2)$. Performing the Weyl transformation $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$, $K^2_2$ is left invariant and $h_{11} \rightarrow -h_{11} - 2K^2_2$. The final expression for $C_a$ is thus $C_f = \alpha(h_{11} + (n+2)K^2_2)$.

- path “⇐”

Performing the Weyl reflexion $W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}$, we get $C_{W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}} = \alpha(-h_{11} - (n+2)K^2_2)$. To perform the subsequent compensation, we must identify the Cartan generator of $SL(2)$ subgroup containing $R_{-1-3(n+2)}^6$. From eq. (3.27) this is precisely $C_{W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}}$. After compensation we then obtain $C_f = -C_{W_{-\alpha_{11}+\delta}} = \alpha(h_{11} + (n+2)K^2_2)$.

The two different paths yield the same $C_f$. It can also be checked that not only the actions on the generators commute but that also the corresponding fields are transformed in the same way. QED.

F. Redundancy of the two gravity towers

We will show that there are redundancies at each level 3n of the wave sequence and of the monopole sequence.

---

33The action of the compensation on $C_i$ is obtained by straightforward generalisation of the compensations performed in sections 3.2.2 and 3.2.3.
Let us now consider the monopole sequence. The metric of the wave sequence solutions follow from the representatives eqs. (3.82) and (3.83). One has

\[ ds_{6n}^2 = g_{ab} \, dx^a \, dx^b \]
\[ = F_{2n-1} \tilde{F}_{2n-1} \left[ (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right] + (-1)^{n+1} H_{2n+1}^{-1} (dx^3)^2 + \left[ (dx^4)^2 \cdots + (dx^{10})^2 \right] \]
\[ + (-1)^n H_{2n+1} \left[ dx^{11} - \left( (-1)^n H_{2n+1}^{-1} + (-1)^{n+1} \right) dx^{11} \right]^2 , \]  

\[ (F.1) \]
\[ ds_{6n'}^2 = \tilde{g}_{ab} \, dx^a \, dx^b \]
\[ = F_{2n'-1} \tilde{F}_{2n'-1} \left[ (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right] + (-1)^{n'} H_{2n'}^{-1} (dx^3)^2 + \left[ (dx^4)^2 \cdots + (dx^{10})^2 \right] \]
\[ + (-1)^{n'+1} H_{2n'} \left[ dx^{11} - \left( (-1)^{n'+1} H_{2n'}^{-1} + (-1)^{n'} \right) dx^{11} \right]^2 , \]  

\[ (F.2) \]

where \( H_p = \Re e \, \mathcal{E}_p \).

We now show that these metric are identical at each level \( 6n = 6n' \) up to interchange of the coordinates 3 and 11. Using the relation

\[ \mathcal{E}(z)_{2n+1} = 2 - \mathcal{E}(z)_{2n} \implies H_{2n+1} = 2 - H_{2n}, \]  

\[ (F.3) \]
in eq. (F.1) and performing the following coordinate transformation

\[ \begin{cases} 
  x'_3 = - x_{11}, \\
  x'_{11} = x_3, \\
  x'_a = x_a & a \neq 3, 11,
\end{cases} \]  

\[ (F.4) \]

we get the transformed metric

\[ ds'^2_{6n} = F_{2n-1} \tilde{F}_{2n-1} \left[ (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right] + (-1)^{n+1} (2 - H_{2n})^{-1} (dx^{11})^2 + \left[ (dx^4)^2 \cdots + (dx^{10})^2 \right] \]
\[ + (-1)^n (2 - H_{2n}) \left[ dx^{11} - \left( (-1)^n (2 - H_{2n})^{-1} + (-1)^{n+1} \right) dx^{11} \right]^2 . \]  

\[ (F.5) \]

We thus conclude that \( ds'^2_{6n} = ds^2_{6n'} \) for \( n = n' \):

\[ g'_{11,11} = (-1)^{n+1} H_{2n} = \tilde{g}_{11,11}, \]
\[ g'_{33} = (-1)^n (2 - H_{2n}) = \tilde{g}_{33}, \]
\[ g'_{311} = -1 + H_{2n} = \tilde{g}_{311}, \]
\[ g'_{a,a} = \tilde{g}_{a,a} & a \neq 3, 11. \]  

\[ (F.6) \]

Let us now consider the monopole sequence. The metric of the monopole sequence solutions
We thus conclude that $ds^2_{3+6n'} = g_{ob} dx^a dx^b$

$$ds^2_{3+6n'} = \mathcal{F}_{2n'-1} \mathcal{F}_{2n'-1} H_{2n'+1} \left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + H_{2n'+1}(dx^3)^2 \right. + \left. (dx^3)^2 \right]$$

$$+ H_{2n'+1} \left[(dx^4)^2 \cdots + (dx^8)^2 \right] + (dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2 \right]$$

$$+ H_{2n'+1} \left[(dx)^{11} - \left((1)^{n+1} B_{2n'+1}\right) (dx^3)^2 \right].$$ (F.7)

$$ds^2_{3-6n} = \tilde{g}_{ab} dx^a dx^b$$

$$= \mathcal{F}_{2n+1} \mathcal{F}_{2n+1} H_{2n} \left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 + H_{2n}(dx^3)^2 + (dx^3)^2 \right.$$

$$+ \left. (dx^4)^2 \cdots + (dx^8)^2 \right] + (dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2 \right]$$

$$+ H_{2n} \left[(dx)^{11} - \left((1)^{n+1} B_{2n+1}\right) (dx^3)^2 \right].$$ (F.8)

where $B_p = Im \mathcal{E}_p$.

We now show that these metric are identical at each level $3 + 6n' = -3 + 6n$, i.e. $n = n' + 1$, up to interchange of the coordinates $3$ and $11$. Replacing in eq. (F.8) $n$ by $n' + 1$, we get

$$ds^2_{3+6(n'+1)} = \mathcal{F}_{2n'-1} \mathcal{F}_{2n'+1} \mathcal{E}_{2n'+1} H_{2n'+2} \left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right]$$

$$+ H_{2n'+2}(dx^3)^2 + (dx^3)^2 \right] + (dx^4)^2 \cdots + (dx^8)^2 \right]$$

$$+ \left. (dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2 \right] + H_{2n'+1} \left[(dx)^{11} - \left((1)^{n'} B_{2n'+2}\right) (dx^3)^2 \right].$$ (F.9)

Using the relation

$$\mathcal{E}(z)_{2n'+2} = (\mathcal{E}(z)_{2n'+1})^{-1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad H_{2n'+2} = \frac{H_{2n'+1}}{\mathcal{E}_{2n'+1}^{\mathcal{E}_{2n'+1}}};$$ (F.10)

$$\Rightarrow \quad B_{2n'+2} = -\frac{B_{2n'+1}}{\mathcal{E}_{2n'+1}^{\mathcal{E}_{2n'+1}}};$$ (F.11)

in eq. (F.3) and performing the transformation of coordinates eq. (F.4), we get the transformed metric

$$ds_{3+6(n'+1)}^2 = \mathcal{F}_{2n'-1} \mathcal{F}_{2n'+1} H_{2n'+1} \left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right]$$

$$+ \left. (dx^3)^2 \right] + (dx^4)^2 \cdots + (dx^8)^2 \right] + (dx^9)^2 + (dx^{10})^2 \right]$$

$$+ \left. (dx^3)^2 \right] + \left((1)^{n'+1} B_{2n'+1}\right) \left(\frac{B_{2n'+1}}{\mathcal{E}_{2n'+1}^{\mathcal{E}_{2n'+1}}}\right) \left[(dx)^{11} \right].$$ (F.12)

We thus conclude that $ds_{3+6(n'+1)}^2 = ds^2_{3+6n'}$:

$$g'_{1111} = H_{2n'+1}^{-1} = g_{1111},$$

$$g'_{33} = H_{2n'+1}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{E}_{2n'+1}^{\mathcal{E}_{2n'+1}}\right) = g_{33},$$

$$g'_{211} = (1)^{n'+1} B_{2n'+1} H_{2n'+1}^{-1} = g_{311},$$

$$g'_{a a} = g_{a a} \quad a \neq 3, 11.$$ (F.13)
We see that there is only one gravity tower, the left and the right tower of figure 5b being equivalent (except for the level 0 KK-wave) as each of them contains the full wave and monopole sequences.

G. Structure of the $A_1^+$ U-duality group

Our U-duality group in two non-compact dimensions is the infinite order Weyl group $W$ of an affine group. The structure of such Weyl groups is known in terms of translations and the finite Weyl group of the underlying finite group of rank $r$ \[1\], namely the affine Weyl group is the semi-direct product of translations $\mathbb{Z}$ and the finite Weyl group. For the case of affine $A_1^+$, which features prominently in this paper, the affine Weyl group is simply

\[ W = \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}. \]  \( \text{(G.1)} \)

To derive this fact it is useful to denote the two simple roots of $A_1^+$ by $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$. These can be identified to $\alpha_{11}$ and $-\alpha_{11} + \delta$ for the M2 and the M5 sequences, and to $\lambda$ and $-\lambda + \delta$ for the KK-wave and the KK-monopole sequences. The simple roots $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are a basis of the root lattice (they span the ladder diagrams of figure 2 and figure 5). To describe the fundamental reflexions $W_1, W_2$ in these two roots, it is sufficient to give their action on a basis:

\[
\begin{align*}
W_1(\alpha_1) &= -\alpha_1, & W_1(\alpha_2) &= \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_1, \\
W_2(\alpha_1) &= \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2, & W_2(\alpha_2) &= -\alpha_2.
\end{align*}
\]  \( \text{(G.2)} \)

We take the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ to be generated by the horizontal Matzner-Misner reflexion $W_2$. The Coxeter relations for this Weyl group are \[(W_1W_2)\infty = \text{id} \text{ and } (W_2W_1)^\infty = \text{id},\] in other words there are no mixed relations. Therefore all Weyl group elements are of the form

\[(W_1W_2)^n, \quad (W_2W_1)^n, \quad W_1(W_2W_1)^n, \quad W_2(W_1W_2)^n, \quad \text{id}, \]  \( \text{(G.3)} \)

for some $m, n \geq 0$. Defining $T^n = (W_1W_2)^n$ for $n \geq 0$ and $T^n = (W_2W_1)^{-(n)}$ for $n \leq 0$ one deduces for the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ generated by $W_2$ the structure

\[ W_2T^n = T^{-n}W_2, \]  \( \text{(G.4)} \)

illustrating the semi-directness of the product in this case. The set of all elements of the infinite order Weyl group is thus

\[ W = \{ T^n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cup \{ W_2T^n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \}, \]  \( \text{(G.5)} \)

with relations

\[
\begin{align*}
W_2W_2 &= 1, \\
W_2T^n &= T^{-n}W_2, \\
T^nT^m &= T^{n+m}.
\end{align*}
\]  \( \text{(G.6)} \)
The translations $T$ act vertically in the diagrams of figure 2a, figure 3, figure 4, figure 5a and figure 5b. They connect the points lying both on the same tower and on the same sequence.

We can act with the Weyl group on any integrable representation $\rho : A_1^+ \to \text{End}(V)$, by letting

$$U_i = \exp(\rho(f_i)) \exp(-\rho(e_i)) \exp(\rho(f_i)) \in GL(V). \quad (G.7)$$

Here $e_i$ and $f_i$ are the simple Chevalley generators. It is not hard to see that this definition implies that $U_i$ is actually an element of $SO(V)$ in the sense that $U_i U_i^T = \text{id}_V$ for $i = 1, 2$ where the Chevalley transposed element is $U_i^T = \exp(\rho(e_i)) \exp(-\rho(f_i)) \exp(\rho(e_i))$.

From eq. (G.7) it is straightforward to show that Weyl reflections are always elements of the compact subgroup of the split real form of the associated group, so in our case this means $K_{10}^+$. 
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