Calibration of sealed HCl cells used for TCCON instrumental line shape monitoring
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Abstract

The TCCON (Total Carbon Column Observing Network) FTIR network provides highly accurate observations of greenhouse gases column-averaged dry-air mole fractions. As an important component of TCCON quality assurance measures, sealed cells filled with approx. 5 mbar of HCl are used for instrumental line shape (ILS) monitoring at all TCCON sites. Here, we introduce a calibration procedure for the HCl cells which applies a refillable, pressure-monitored reference cell filled with C$_2$H$_2$. Using this method, we identify residual variations of HCl purity between the TCCON cells as a non-negligible disturbance.

1 Introduction

The Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON) is an international network of ground-based Fourier Transform Spectrometers that record direct solar spectra in the near-infrared (Wunch et al., 2011). The column-averaged abundances of radiatively-important greenhouse gases CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, as well as of a suite of other atmospherically significant trace gases (CO, N$_2$O, H$_2$O, HDO, and HF), are derived from these spectra. Investigating the global distribution of greenhouse gases such as CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ has, until recently, primarily relied on in situ measurements from surface station networks. Remote sensing of greenhouse gas columns (or vertically integrated mixing ratios) is thought to improve flux estimates since variations in the gas columns are more directly relatable to mass fluxes than surface concentration measurements (Keppel-Aleks et al., 2011). However, the gradients in column CO$_2$, for example, are small, requiring a precision and accuracy of <1 ppm (<0.25%, Olsen and Randerson, 2004; Miller et al., 2007). The instrumental requirements for the remote sensing of greenhouse gas columns from near-infrared solar spectra are therefore very exacting.

TCCON has developed strict data acquisition and analysis protocols to attempt to minimize differences between sites. Interferograms are obtained with similar instru-
ments operated with common detectors and acquisition electronics. These interferograms are processed to spectra and then to retrieved products using a common pipeline processing system. Nevertheless, biases at individual sites and between sites can arise due to the behaviour of individual spectrometers, if not characterised. These differences may result from non-ideal instrument electronics (Messerschmidt, 2010) or a misalignment of the interferometer. Alignment of the interferometer can change as a consequence of operator intervention or due to mechanical degradation over the many months between visits to automated sites.

The next version of the TCCON data processing system will account for differences in the ILS of the instruments. Mis-sampling of the interferograms will be removed as described by Dohe et al. (2013). ILS differences due to misalignment of the interferometers will be accounted for using the spectrum of HCl recorded with a lamp source and recorded simultaneously with the solar spectra using an internal gas cell included in all TCCON instruments.

The instrumental line shape (ILS) describes the smearing of spectral line shapes in measured spectra due to the limited spectral resolution of the applied spectrometer. In the context of high-resolution Fourier Transform InfraRed (FTIR) spectrometers, recorded spectra can be approximated by the convolution of the irradiated spectrum with an ILS, as the ILS itself depends only weakly on wave number. The quantitative analysis of atmospheric spectra relies on a comparison of the measured spectra with simulated spectra. In an iterative procedure, relevant fit parameters are adjusted until a simulated spectrum converges to an observed spectrum. The simulation of spectra involves a radiative transfer model, spectral line lists, and includes instrumental effects as the ILS convolution. In order to generate high-precision-and-accuracy data products – as required for TCCON, as the variability of the target species is so low – significant deviations from the expected nominal ILS should be avoided and the residual deviations of the ILS from the expected shape should be carefully quantified. Typically, TCCON FTIR spectrometers are the high-resolution 125HR model manufactured by Bruker Optics, Germany. The nominal ILS of these spectrometers is determined by
the maximum scan path, the solid angle covered by the interferometer’s circular field stop, the wave number, and the numerical apodization function which is used (Davis et al., 2001). A successful alignment scheme for high-resolution spectrometers of the kind used in TCCON and the NDACC (Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change, Kurylo, 1991) was proposed about a decade ago and in the meanwhile became the standard procedure within both networks (Hase and Blumenstock, 2001). For the quantification of the residual deviations from the nominal ILS due to misalignment, optical aberrations and deviations from the nominal circular field stop, low-pressure gas cells are applied for ILS verification. The low-pressure gas inside the cell is chosen such that its absorption lines are preferably narrower than any spectral details observed in the atmospheric spectra. The FTIR network within the NDACC, which records spectra in the mid-infrared spectral region, uses HBr cells of 2 cm length filled with 2 mbar of HBr. These cells were provided by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR). More details on the HBr cell procedure and possible future enhancements are provided by Hase, 2012, and references therein. TCCON uses 10 cm-long cells filled with 5 mbar of HCl, provided by Caltech (Washenfelder, 2006). Several cells of 20 cm length filled with 3 mbar of HCl are also in use. For the retrieval of the ILS from the gas cell spectra, the software LINEFIT is used in both networks (Hase et al., 1999).

Using sealed cells with compact cell bodies is advantageous because it provides a simple, very manageable method of ILS characterisation. Choosing suitable gases allows constant monitoring of the cell in the solar beam for control purposes, although dedicated regular lamp measurements are regarded as indispensable for the most accurate ILS determination. The drawback of the compact cells is that it is not possible to attain sufficiently low pressures for achieving pure Doppler line shapes, as the observed absorption lines would then be too weak. Therefore, the spectral lines provided by the cells suffer from non-negligible pressure broadening. Although the lines are not significantly wider than Doppler-limited Gaussian lines in the chosen pressure range, the line shape is nonetheless sensitive to the amount of pressure in the cell. During the
process of filling and sealing individual cells, deviations from the desired target gas column might arise. As long as these deviations are moderate (so that the spectral scene provided by each cell is similar), this is not an issue, as the retrieval of the ILS also retrieves the target gas column. The evaluator simply has to consider that the set of retrieved variables is self-consistent insofar as the retrieved column is compatible with the assumed partial pressure of the target gas, the cell length and cell temperature. However, even if we could rely on the assumption that the sealed cells contain pure gas, a calibration of the method is still required, as the assumed pressure broadening parameters or the band intensity may be incorrect, or the line shapes in the pressure range of a few mbar might be affected by narrowing effects (Dicke, 1953). This would introduce a systematic bias of the ILS parameters derived from a certain kind of cell.

Much more critical is the contamination of the cell content with other gases which remain undetected in the cell spectrum, e.g. due to intrusion of ambient air during the filling and sealing process. In this case, the actual total pressure in the cell exceeds the partial pressure of the target gas and results in an additional pressure broadening of the target gas lines. This additional broadening would – if it remains unnoticed – be erroneously attributed to the ILS width. Moreover, this unnoticed contamination might vary from cell to cell. In that case, this problem would cause a station-to-station bias within the FTIR network, which is much more detrimental than a common bias in all ILS records. Hase (2012) suggested a calibration method for the HBr cells used within NDACC by using refillable pressure-monitored N₂O cells in the 0.1 mbar pressure range. The proposed method is very robust because the spectrally close-by signatures provided by the HBr and N₂O cells are observed in the same beam, so that any ILS imperfections of the spectrometer used cancel out in the calibration process.

Here, we present a similar calibration scheme for the HCl cells used by TCCON. First, we investigate the impact of an ILS error on XCO₂ results and derive a target accuracy for the ILS knowledge and the HCl cell parameters. Next, we introduce the proposed method and describe the C₂H₂ reference cell used for the calibration. As the result of the calibration procedure, an effective pressure and target gas column is assigned.
to each cell. When the sealed HCl cell is used for operational ILS determination, this effective pressure is used for the line shape calculation instead of the pressure derived from the observed column, temperature and cell length. As the pressure inside a sealed cell is proportional to absolute temperature (unless the cell’s gas column changes), the effective pressure for a mixture of ideal gases should behave in the same manner. Using a heated cell, we verify that this scaling law for the effective pressure allows us to retrieve consistent ILS parameters from a cell operated at different temperatures. Next, we estimate the precision and accuracy of the calibration method. Finally, we present results for a considerable set of HCl cells used in TCCON. We show that the cells have variable proportions of contamination (that is, that the ratio of the observed pressure broadening to the HCl column differs from cell to cell). The paper closes with a summary and an outlook on planned activities.

2 Propagation of ILS error into TCCON XCO2

In this section, we investigate the sensitivity of TCCON XCO2 data with respect to an error in the ILS width. This results in a requirement for the knowledge of the associated ILS parameters. Next, we quantify the impact of cell contamination on the ILS width as derived from HCl cell spectra. These considerations finally allow determining the acceptable amount of unnoticed contamination of the cell content, which increases the width of the target HCl lines used for the ILS retrieval.

FTIR spectrometers actually measure interferograms (which are connected to final spectra via the Fourier transformation), therefore it is an appealing approach to quantify and report the imperfections of the ILS in the interferogram domain (as the actual measurement process happens in the optical path difference – OPD – domain). The LINEFIT software used for the ILS analysis within TCCON, retrieves a complex modulation efficiency (ME) as a function of OPD, which is represented by a ME amplitude and a ME phase error. The ME amplitude is related to the width of the ILS while the ME phase error quantifies the degree of ILS asymmetry. If the spectrometer meets the
nominal ILS characteristics, the ME amplitude would be unity, and the ME phase error would be zero along the whole interferogram. Further details are provided by Hase (2012).

In the following, we quantify how the CO$_2$ dry-air mole fractions (XCO$_2$) reported by TCCON are affected by a deviation of the ME amplitude from unity. We do not treat the phase error/ILS asymmetry in the following, as the quantification of spectral line asymmetry is not critically affected by the assumed width of the HCl signatures provided by the cell. It is important to recollect that a XCO$_2$ result provided by TCCON is calculated from the ratio of CO$_2$ and O$_2$ columns derived from the same spectrum. This appealing strategy minimizes the error propagation of various instrumental and model errors into the reported dry-air mole fractions. For estimating the propagation of the ILS error, we apply a disturbance on the ME amplitude. The shape of this disturbance as a function of optical path difference is inspired by a common misalignment scenario due to a lateral shear offset of the interferometer’s fixed cube corner (further details on this instrument-specific issue are provided by Hase, 2012). A correct error propagation needs to take into account that the misalignment affects both the CO$_2$ and O$_2$, so it cancels out in XCO$_2$ to a large extent. However, because a wave front error provoked by a given geometric displacement scales inversely with wave length, the impact of a misalignment on ME amplitude increases with wave number. The wave number ratio between the O$_2$ and CO$_2$ bands used is 0.75.

Figure 1 shows the assumed disturbances of the ME amplitudes for CO$_2$ and O$_2$, taking the appropriate scaling law into account. The ME amplitude is shown up to 45 cm optical path difference, which is the recommended maximum optical path difference (OPD$_{\text{max}}$) applied within TCCON. We find that the induced column change depends slightly on the airmass. This result is not unexpected, as the signatures are not optically thin. Therefore, we quantified the effect for solar elevation angles of 30 and 60°. The change of the O$_2$ column amounts to 0.38 % (60°: 0.47 %), whereas the change in the CO$_2$ column is 0.46 % (60°: 0.54 %). The residual error in XCO$_2$ which is not compensated by the ratio amounts to 0.07 % (≈ 0.3 ppmv) for the assumed ILS disturbance.
and does not significantly depend on the solar elevation. In the example, the ME amplitude disturbance at 45 cm OPD amounts to about 8%. Therefore, we can estimate that the resulting error in XCO2 is on the order of 0.035 % for a ME amplitude change of 4 % at OPDmax. The aspired station-to-station bias for the TCCON XCO2 product is 0.1 %, hence – for ensuring that the ILS error is of secondary importance – we set a minimum performance metric for the error of the ME amplitude due to variations between HCl cells to be less than 4 %.

In the current configuration, the retrieved ME amplitudes can change by 4 % at 45 cm OPD if the HCl pressure is in error by 0.5 mbar in the ILS retrieval setup. This requires that the description of the spectral line broadening should be correct within a range corresponding to a $\pm 0.25$ mbar pressure interval of HCl for matching the requirements of the TCCON network performance. Since the self-broadening coefficients are larger than the air-broadening parameters by a factor of about four, the allowed pressure interval for the partial pressure of contaminating air would be accordingly larger. Nevertheless, for sake of clarity, we determine and report in the course of the calibration procedure described in Sect. 4 only effective pressure parameters for a fictive cell containing pure HCl (i.e. without ambient air or water vapour contamination). A separate treatment of self- and foreign broadening effects would require adjustments of two pressure values per cell. Such an extended approach would be complicated by uncertainties in the line parameters, by the fact that we work in a pressure region where the Voigt line shape model becomes questionable, and by the fact that we cannot safely assume that any of the investigated cells contains pure HCl. The only advantage of the extended approach would be that the resulting description of pressure broadening as function of rotational quantum number might be slightly more realistic. However, using the simplified approach, we achieved nearly noise-limited fit residuals for all HCl cell spectra (signal-to-noise ratio around 2500), so we do not feel that introducing this complication would be commensurate with its benefits.
3 The pressure-monitored C$_2$H$_2$ reference cell

Our reference cell used has 400 mm effective length, a free diameter of 70 mm and uses wedged CaF$_2$ windows. A precise capacitive pressure gauge with a 10 mbar working range is attached to the cell. The TCCON spectrometer in Karlsruhe is located in an air-conditioned container and is equipped with an optical board flanged to the spectrometer, which offers enough room for an external source, the reference cell and the cell under test. Three mirrors, one plane mirror, a 30° off-axis paraboloid, and a spherical mirror (used under a moderate off-axis angle) couple the beam into the spectrometer. Figure 2 shows the setup in front of the Bruker IFS125HR spectrometer.

It should be noted that due to the use of wedged cell windows (in order to avoid channeling), the HCl cells noticeably impact the beam steering, so upon any cell exchange, the spherical mirror is slightly realigned in order to readjust the position of the source image on the field stop of the spectrometer.

The gas C$_2$H$_2$ offers a convenient band at 6550 cm$^{-1}$ in the vicinity of the CO$_2$ bands used for TCCON retrievals. Contrary to the mid infrared, where a cell length of even 200 mm is sufficient for generating strong N$_2$O lines with near-Gaussian line shape in the 0.1 mbar range, we have to use 3 mbar pressure to generate absorption lines of favourable strength. Figure 3 shows a measured spectrum, the C$_2$H$_2$ band can be seen in the right part of the figure, the HCl band on the left.

In order to minimise biases between the individual cell results, the same reference spectral scene (generated by the 400 mm cell filled with 3.00 mbar of C$_2$H$_2$, measurements performed at temperatures in the range 291 to 294 K) is used for the calibration of all HCl cells. Nevertheless, as we do not work in the Doppler limit with the reference cell, it is appropriate to quantify the systematic bias of the calibration process due to C$_2$H$_2$ line shape issues, which is transferred into the HCl effective pressure parameters, as the derived values of these parameters rely on the ILS parameters derived from C$_2$H$_2$. Therefore, we performed a pair of dedicated test measurements: the first measurement was performed with a reference cell filled with 3.00 mbar C$_2$H$_2$ and a TC-
CON HCl cell. The second measurement was performed with the same TCCON HCl cell in the beam and in addition two 400 mm reference cells in a row, both reference cells were filled with 1.50 mbar of C$_2$H$_2$. The discrepancy in the ILS parameters derived from C$_2$H$_2$ between both experiments reveals the systematic error of the method due to incorrect pressure broadening (and/or line strength) parameters of C$_2$H$_2$ and the applied Voigt line shape model. A sealed HCl cell (cell #1, see Sect. 6 and Table 1 for assignment of cell IDs) in the beam was added for detecting real alignment changes between the two experiments.

Figure 4 shows the reconstructed ME amplitudes for both setups. Indeed, the ME amplitudes derived from the HCl cell are in excellent agreement: the retrieved ME amplitudes differ by 0.3 % @ 45 cm OPD. Therefore, we can assume that the alignment of the spectrometer did not change between the measurements. The bump indicated in the 3.00 mbar C$_2$H$_2$ ME amplitude result is significantly dampened in the 1.50 mbar solution. In Sect. 5, we will use this discrepancy for an estimation of the systematic uncertainty inherent to the proposed HCl cell calibration method. All results indicate a moderate ME amplitude rise along the inner part of the interferogram, rising to about 2, . . . , 2.5 % at 45 cm OPD (which is the OPDmax value recommended for TCCON). Beyond this OPD value, the HCl retrievals indicate a further pronounced increase, whereas the C$_2$H$_2$ analyses indicate a decrease of ME amplitude. It should be noted that the shape of the ME amplitude beyond 45 cm is reconstructed from minor details of the observed line shapes, so even very slight deficiencies of the line shape model affect the ME amplitude in this region of the interferogram. Measurements with a low-pressure N$_2$O cell taken with the same spectrometer (but using a different liquid-nitrogen cooled detector) in the mid-infrared region do not indicate such a pronounced rise of ME amplitude beyond 45 cm OPD. This indicates that the effect is most likely an artefact from the HCl cell itself (actual HCl line shape differs from assumed Voigt line shape).
4 Calibration procedure for TCCON HCl cells

The calibration process of an HCl cell relies on a single lamp transmission spectrum. This spectrum is recorded with both the HCl to be tested and the 400 mm reference cell in the beam, the latter filled with 3.00 mbar C₂H₂. In order to achieve a spectral SNR on the order of 3000, a number of 80 scans at metering laser fringe scanning velocity 20 kHz are recorded using a room-temperature InGaAs detector; a resolution of 0.014 cm⁻¹ (OPDmax = 64.3 cm) is applied. The first step of the analysis process is to perform an ILS retrieval from the C₂H₂ band (spectral window: 6560.5 to 6609.5 cm⁻¹), jointly with temperature. Figure 5 shows a typical C₂H₂ fit of ILS parameters. We essentially use the HITRAN 2008 (Rothman et al., 2008) line list for C₂H₂, but corrected a few aberrant line positions. However, these modifications are essentially cosmetic interventions, and they negligibly affect the resulting ILS parameters. In the second step, a temperature retrieval is performed for the HCl band in the same spectrum. The consistency between the temperature retrievals from C₂H₂ and HCl is satisfactory: we do not find a significant bias and a standard deviation of about 0.3 K. Finally, we insert the ME result from C₂H₂ (and the temperature retrieved for the HCl cell) into a subsequent retrieval of HCl effective pressure (spectral window: 5172.0 to 5782.0 cm⁻¹), again from the same spectrum. As we observe signatures of both isotopologues H35Cl and H37Cl, we retrieve two effective pressure values. These effective pressure values and the HCl columns for both isotopologues comprise the final result of the cell calibration. If a repetition of the HCl temperature fit using these effective pressure values reveals a different temperature than retrieved in the previous step, the HCl analysis process is repeated until a final self-consistent solution is found. Please note that for the determination of the effective pressure values, we exclusively use temperatures retrieved from the HCl band, as this procedure can be repeated at any TCCON site without the need for a temperature sensor on the cell body. Figure 6 shows a typical HCl spectral fit. The original HITRAN 2008 spectroscopic line list for HCl is used without any modifications.
It is to be expected that the effective pressure parameters depend on temperature. Since the effective pressure affects the correction of the pressure broadening in the HCl cell, it is plausible to assume that it is proportional to the absolute temperature. Nevertheless, we want to check this assumption, as one might argue that the effective pressure is not actually a physical pressure value. Note that the procedure outlined in the following implicitly includes a test of whether the temperature dependence of the line broadening parameters specified in HITRAN are compatible with our calibration approach. The test uses a pair of spectra from the same sealed HCl cell (cell #2), one spectrum is recorded at lab temperature (294.0 K), the other spectrum is recorded with the cell heated to 320.0 K. The C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} cell has been co-observed in both runs to ensure that the ILS was unchanged. The cell body of the HCl cell was wrapped with an electrical heating foil and an insulating foam film, such that only the window faces were in contact with the surrounding lab air. The cell temperature was monitored by a PT100 temperature sensor in contact with the cell body. The temperature value retrieved from the HCl band and the temperature value directly measured with the sensor are in good agreement for the measurement taken at lab temperature (retrieval: 294.66 K, sensor: 294.95 K), for the heated cell the retrieved temperature (319.87 K) is about 3 K lower than the sensor temperature (322.90 K). Due to the fact that the window faces were in contact with the ambient air, it is plausible that the temperature of the gas content is slightly lower than the temperature measured between the heating foil and the outer side of the cell body. The retrieved ME amplitudes are shown in Fig. 7 and are in reasonable agreement (1 % ME amplitude discrepancy at 45 cm OPD). Please note that the temperature used in this test is further away from the calibration point (we performed the calibration measurements in the 291.0 to 294.0 K range) than we would expect to meet in practice, as the TCCON spectrometers are typically operated under controlled conditions in laboratories or in air-conditioned containers.
5 Uncertainty characterisation of the proposed calibration method

For an estimate of the precision of the calibration, we compare the effective pressure parameters for HCl cell #1, which have been determined three times in March, May, and June 2013. In between these measurements, interventions on the spectrometer were performed, e.g. a realignment and an exchange of the InGaAs detector element, so they can not be regarded as a pure repetition of the identical measurement. The measurements were performed at retrieved cell temperatures of 291.3, 294.0, and 295.4 K. The scatter of the effective pressure (normalized to a reference temperature of 296 K) is 0.019 mbar for the main isotopologue H\textsubscript{35}Cl, and 0.043 mbar for H\textsubscript{37}Cl. This repeatability indicates that the precision of the method is well within the target precision of 0.25 mbar estimated in Sect. 2. The new calibration method is therefore accurate enough for safely eliminating any significant station-to-station bias due to ILS effects within TCCON target requirements.

For an estimate of the systematic bias of the calibration method due to deficiencies of the line shape model used for C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}, we compare the effective pressure parameters which result either from adopting the ME from the 1.5 mbar, 80 cm path length measurement presented in Sect. 3 or from adopting the ME from the 3.0 mbar, 40 cm standard setup. Using the 1.5 mbar ME instead of the 3.0 mbar ME reduces the effective pressure by 0.015 mbar (H\textsubscript{35}Cl) and 0.027 mbar (H\textsubscript{37}Cl), respectively. The suspect ME amplitude bump at 45 cm OPD is damped by about a factor of 0.75 by halving C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} pressure. For estimating the full systematic bias, one possible assumption would be that an extrapolation of the observed ME amplitude change towards zero C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} pressure would provide the real ME. Under this assumption the observed bias reveals half of the actual bias and the empirical numbers given above should be multiplied with a factor of 2 (and the ME amplitude bump as retrieved from C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} would be overestimated, but not a spurious feature as a whole). Following this line of argument, we can conclude that the systematic bias of the calibration method is 0.03 mbar (H\textsubscript{35}Cl) and 0.054 mbar (H\textsubscript{37}Cl), respectively. An alternative – more pessimistic – assumption would
be that the complete ME amplitude bump is an artefact of the C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} analysis. Under this assumption, we can estimate the effect by applying a modified ME amplitude without bump for the retrieval of the HCl effective pressure parameters. Following this latter line of argument, we can conclude that the systematic bias of the calibration method is 0.14 mbar (\textsuperscript{35}Cl) and 0.15 mbar (\textsuperscript{37}Cl), respectively, which is still well within the target precision. Moreover, as stated before, this systematic bias propagates into the results of all calibrated HCl cells, so it can without difficulty be absorbed into the overall calibration factors applied in the TCCON network for matching the WMO reference (Wunch, 2010).

6 Results for TCCON HCl cells

Table 1 collects the results for all investigated HCl cells, namely the retrieved columns and effective pressure values for both isotopologues (referenced to a common temperature of 296.0 K). The cells with identifier #1—#16 belong to the same batch (effective cell body length of 100 mm), these cells have been provided by the California Institute of Technology in spring 2013. This batch will be referred to as CT1303 (the batch identifier is explained in the caption of Table 1). Cells #18, #19, and #20 are from batches which were distributed by Caltech in earlier years. Cell #17 is a unique specimen, as the cell body has been provided by Caltech, but filling and sealing has been performed by a German company (Neoplas, Greifswald). In addition, we investigated three HCl cells with effective body lengths of 200 mm, recently distributed by Caltech (batch CT1304) and one cell of 300 mm length produced by Neoplas. Figure 8 shows the ratio of the effective pressure values resulting from the calibration to pressure values calculated from the observed HCl column. This ratio is – at least for cells with the same length and comparable HCl column – an accurate measure of HCl purity in the cell. The results for the weaker H\textsuperscript{37}Cl show slightly more scatter, but the consistency between the H\textsuperscript{35}Cl and H\textsuperscript{37}Cl is satisfactory and consistent with the precision estimation provided
In Sect. 5. On average, the H$^{37}$Cl values are slightly smaller by 0.2 %, the scatter of the difference between the two isotopologues is on the order of 0.026 mbar.

It is apparent that even the cells belonging to a common batch are not equivalent. For example, cell #13 seems to be significantly contaminated by foreign gases: for this cell the pressure broadening is 20 % larger than for the remainder of the CT1303 batch (we would like to emphasize here that the cell identifiers were assigned before the calibration spectra were analyzed). The unique cell #17 shows similar performance to the majority of the cells in batch CT1303 (cell #13 excluded). The two cells #18 and #19, distributed by Caltech in earlier years suffer from foreign gas contamination, showing about half of the excess broadening observed in cell #13. Cell #20 shows the highest amount of excess broadening among all tested cells. There are several more cells from this early batch in use which still have to be characterised. Two of the new 200 mm cells, #35 and #36 are quite comparable with the majority of cells from the CT1303 100 mm cell batch. However, the third 200 mm cell #37 of the CT1304 batch resembles the earlier cells #18 and #19. This is also the case for the Neoplas 300 mm cell #38.

The non-negligible scatter of effective pressure values found between the investigated cells underlines the value of the proposed calibration procedure. ILS retrievals from cell #13 or cell #20 without due consideration of the additional broadening would give rise to errors in the ME amplitude that exceed the criteria in Sect. 2 to meet TC-CON requirements. Even the discrepancy between the earlier cells #17 and #18 and the reminder of the CT1303 batch would conflict with these requirements. Since variability was found even within batches filled and sealed together we suspect that subtle differences in cell handling procedures are significant at the level of precision desired for these calibrations. Potential sources of these subtle differences include the removal of water from the cell walls prior to the filling procedure and the leakage of air into the cell prior to cell sealing. In the outgassing scenario the reservoir of residual water in the cell depends on the amount of time the cell was evacuated prior to filling; in the air leakage scenario the amount of air leakage depends on the integrity of the Teflon
stopcock valve and the amount of time between filling and sealing. In both cases similar times were utilized for cells in the same batch, and pairs of cells were pumped and filled simultaneously. Nevertheless, variability is observed even among the paired cells. We note that water broadening would be expected to be similar to self-broadening rather than air-broadening, such that the effect would be noticeable with relatively small amounts of residual water vapour.

Figure 9 shows a H$_2$O absorption line of useful intensity to reveal water vapour absorption inside the cell. This spectral window has been used to quantify the water vapour contamination in the HCl cells. The narrow dip appended to the line center is due to absorption at low pressure, while the strong underlying absorption is generated in the lab air path. Unfortunately, the C$_2$H$_2$ reference cell might also suffer from some minor degree of H$_2$O contamination, so we can only quantify the total amount of water vapour in both cells from the available spectra (which were recorded with both the HCl cell under test and the reference C$_2$H$_2$ cell in the beam). However, the same C$_2$H$_2$ content was applied for the calibration of cells #1–#17. We might speculate that the lowest H$_2$O column observed within this sample actually resides in the reference cells. Following this assumption, the H$_2$O column in the C$_2$H$_2$ cell was $9.9 \times 10^{20}$ molecm$^{-2}$. This column amount would correspond to a water vapour partial pressure of about 0.1 mbar in the 400 mm C$_2$H$_2$ cell body. Please note that this is not a relevant effect for the ILS determination from the reference cell, as it would result in 0.5 % ME amplitude change @ 45 cm OPD, even if we assume that line broadening by H$_2$O is as efficient as C$_2$H$_2$ self-broadening. Figure 10 shows the effective pressure parameters as a function of the water vapour columns observed at low pressure. The amount of the water vapour column which resides in the C$_2$H$_2$ cell causes a common offset of all data points towards higher abscissa values in this diagram. The data point in the upper right corner of the diagram refers to cell #13. The fact that the cell with the strongest additional broadening of the HCl lines in this sub-sample also suffers from the highest amount of water vapour supports the outgassing scenario. However, the retrieval of the water vapour amount inside the cells based on the weak superimposed absorption dip
shown in Fig. 9 is not accurate enough to exclude air leakage as an additional impact factor.

Although a discussion of spectroscopic data is outside the focus of this paper, we would like to point to the fact that the observed line widths for the purest cells are narrower than predicted by HITRAN 2008: the pressure broadening is about 12% lower than expected. This might indicate wrong band intensity or self-broadening coefficients, but as our measurements are performed in a pressure range which might be affected by narrowing effects, one should avoid drawing hasty conclusions. A new study of the HCl self-broadening and shift parameters is underway at JPL.

7 Summary and outlook

We have presented a novel accurate method for calibrating the sealed HCl cells used by TCCON for ILS monitoring. We have applied the method for investigating a larger sample of cells and found non-negligible scatter of cell performance. We have derived effective cell parameters to ensure that all cells can be used for determining consistent ILS parameters. In the future, we plan to include all HCl cells which are used in TCCON and we will repeat the HCl cell characterisation within regular intervals. In addition, we will exploit the HCl column amounts determined for each cell in the course of the calibration for additional crosschecks of spectrometer performance within TCCON.
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Table 1. Calibration results for all investigated HCl cells. Abbreviations: CT: cell provided by California Institute of Technology, batch indicated in YYMM format; CT/NP: cell body provided by California Institute of Technology, but filled and sealed by company Neoplas, Greifswald, Germany; NP: cell body, filling and sealing by Neoplas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell identifier, origin, batch and location</th>
<th>Cell length in mm</th>
<th>Effective pressure $^{35}$Cl @ 296 K [hPa]</th>
<th>Effective pressure $^{37}$Cl @ 296 K [hPa]</th>
<th>HCl total column derived from $^{35}$Cl ( [1 \times 10^{22} \text{molec}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}] )</th>
<th>HCl total column derived from $^{37}$Cl ( [1 \times 10^{22} \text{molec}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (100) CT1303 IMK-ASF</td>
<td>4.732</td>
<td>4.747</td>
<td>1.3133</td>
<td>1.3067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (100) CT1303 IMK-ASF</td>
<td>4.702</td>
<td>4.683</td>
<td>1.3263</td>
<td>1.3196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (100) CT1303 BIRA</td>
<td>5.111</td>
<td>5.119</td>
<td>1.3845</td>
<td>1.3781</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (100) CT1303 BIRA</td>
<td>5.070</td>
<td>5.056</td>
<td>1.3893</td>
<td>1.3828</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (100) CT1303 BIRA</td>
<td>4.949</td>
<td>4.979</td>
<td>1.3433</td>
<td>1.3384</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 (100) CT1303 FMI</td>
<td>4.853</td>
<td>4.844</td>
<td>1.3415</td>
<td>1.3348</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 (100) CT1303 FMI</td>
<td>4.863</td>
<td>4.890</td>
<td>1.3294</td>
<td>1.3253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 (100) CT1303 FMI</td>
<td>4.850</td>
<td>4.843</td>
<td>1.3262</td>
<td>1.3200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 (100) CT1303 FMI</td>
<td>5.127</td>
<td>5.147</td>
<td>1.4154</td>
<td>1.4094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 (100) CT1303 IUP Bremen</td>
<td>4.971</td>
<td>5.007</td>
<td>1.3247</td>
<td>1.3190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (100) CT1303 IUP Bremen</td>
<td>4.997</td>
<td>5.022</td>
<td>1.3294</td>
<td>1.3232</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (100) CT1303 IUP Bremen</td>
<td>5.802</td>
<td>5.835</td>
<td>1.2967</td>
<td>1.2873</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (100) CT1303 IUP Bremen</td>
<td>4.830</td>
<td>4.880</td>
<td>1.3150</td>
<td>1.3103</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 (100) CT1303 IUP Bremen</td>
<td>4.964</td>
<td>4.991</td>
<td>1.3503</td>
<td>1.3458</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 (100) CT1303 IUP Bremen</td>
<td>4.948</td>
<td>4.924</td>
<td>1.3450</td>
<td>1.3385</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 (100) CT1303 MPI/BGC</td>
<td>4.386</td>
<td>4.356</td>
<td>1.1932</td>
<td>1.1899</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (100) CT1303 IMK-IFU</td>
<td>5.070</td>
<td>5.133</td>
<td>1.2644</td>
<td>1.2567</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (100) CT0805 (cell #3) Izana Observatory</td>
<td>5.077</td>
<td>5.074</td>
<td>1.2729</td>
<td>1.2652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 (100) CT0805 (cell #6) Izana Observatory</td>
<td>5.578</td>
<td>5.603</td>
<td>1.2235</td>
<td>1.2170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 (100) CT0805 (cell #3) IUP Bremen</td>
<td>3.147</td>
<td>3.123</td>
<td>1.6971</td>
<td>1.6870</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (100) CT0805 (cell #6) IUP Bremen</td>
<td>3.017</td>
<td>3.054</td>
<td>1.6375</td>
<td>1.6289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 (200) CT1304 IMK-ASF</td>
<td>3.402</td>
<td>3.412</td>
<td>1.6541</td>
<td>1.6477</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (300) NP1012 NIWA</td>
<td>3.672</td>
<td>3.643</td>
<td>2.6070</td>
<td>2.6305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$ The LPMAA laboratory will be included into the new LERMA2 laboratory infrastructure from January 2014 onwards.
Fig. 1. Disturbance of ME amplitude assumed for characterisation of TCCON XCO2 product sensitivity with respect to ILS uncertainty.
Fig. 2. Setup for HCl cell calibration at the TCCON site Karlsruhe. The source is on the right side in front, left from the source an HCl cell under test is located (protected by a heat shield to limit heating of the cell by the thermal radiation emitted by the source). The first mirror is on the left outside the figure. The long steel cylinder is the pressure monitored C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} cell, the two mirrors in the rear right corner of the image steer the radiation into the spectrometer.
Fig. 3. Transmission spectrum recorded with the C$_2$H$_2$ and a TCCON HCl cell in the beam. The band to the right is due to absorption by C$_2$H$_2$, the band to the left is due to HCl absorption. Some H$_2$O signatures emerging in the lab air path can be detected at wave numbers below 5550 cm$^{-1}$. 
Fig. 4. ME amplitudes retrieved from a 40 cm cell filled with 3.00 mbar of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \) and two 40 cm cells in a row, each filled with 1.50 mbar of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \). For comparison, the ME amplitudes derived from an HCl cell (same cell was used for both measurements, effective cell parameters applied for ILS retrieval) is shown. The HCl results prove that the alignment status of the spectrometer did not change between the two measurements.
Fig. 5. Typical fit quality achieved for an ILS retrieval using C$_2$H$_2$ as described in Sect. 4. The green residual (vertically shifted for clarity) refers to a use of the original HITRAN 2008 line list.
Fig. 6. Typical fit quality achieved after adjustment of the HCl effective pressure parameters (described in Sect. 4).
Fig. 7. ME amplitudes retrieved from HCl cell #2 at ambient temperature and heated to about 320 K. The derived ME amplitudes are in good agreement under the assumption that the effective pressure values are proportional to absolute temperature. The ME amplitudes derived from C$_2$H$_2$ are also shown and prove that the alignment status of the spectrometer did not change. The dash-dotted green line would result if the effective pressure parameters are kept to their values at 294.7 K in the ILS analysis instead of converting them to the actual temperature.
Fig. 8. The effective pressure values ratioed over pressure derived from observed column for the calibrated cells listed in Table 1. The dashed vertical lines separate different batches or different cell dimensions.
Fig. 9. A $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ line as observed in a calibration measurement. The narrow dip appended to the line center is due to absorption at low pressure, while the strong underlying absorption is generated in the lab air path. The superimposed dashed lines are synthetic spectra generated with LINEFIT.
Fig. 10. The excess line broadening derived for H\textsuperscript{35}Cl as function of water vapour contamination for the cell batch CT1303. The data point in the upper right corner of the diagram refers to cell #13.