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The term Tensor Network States (TNS) refers to a number of families of states that represent different ansätze for the efficient description of the state of a quantum many-body system. Matrix Product States (MPS) are one particular case of TNS, and have become the most precise tool for the numerical study of one dimensional quantum many-body systems, as the basis of the Density Matrix Renormalization Group method. Lattice Gauge Theories (LGT), in their Hamiltonian version, offer a challenging scenario for these techniques. While the dimensions and sizes of the systems amenable to TNS studies are still far from those achievable by 4-dimensional LGT tools, Tensor Networks can be readily used for problems which more standard techniques, such as Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations, cannot easily tackle. Examples of such problems are the presence of a chemical potential or out-of-equilibrium dynamics. We have explored the performance of Matrix Product States in the case of the Schwinger model, as a widely used testbench for lattice techniques. Using finite-size, open boundary MPS, we are able to determine the low energy states of the model in a fully non-perturbative manner. The precision achieved by the method allows for accurate finite size and continuum limit extrapolations of the ground state energy, but also of the chiral condensate and the mass gaps, thus showing the feasibility of these techniques for gauge theory problems.
1. Introduction

Tensor Network States (TNS) are ansätze for the description of quantum many-body systems, whose common characteristic is the efficient encoding of the entanglement pattern present in the physical states. The Matrix Product State (MPS) ansatz [1, 2, 3, 4] explains the enormous success of the Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) algorithm [5, 6] at computing ground states of quantum spin chains. The insight gained from quantum information theory [7] allowed the extension of tensor network (TN) methods to higher dimensions and dynamical problems. In the last years, TN algorithms are hence seen as a very promising approach for strongly correlated quantum many-body problems, with the potential to attack questions which are hard for other techniques, such as Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations, in particular, fermionic or frustrated spin systems, and out-of-equilibrium dynamics.

Quantum field theories, in particular in their lattice formulation, open a particularly interesting realm of application for these non-perturbative techniques. Lattice Gauge Theory (LGT), despite its enormous theoretical and technical development, has limited applicability when dealing with dynamics or finite density, and TN methods could in the future represent an alternative approach, capable to overcome some of these problems. Although several TNS approaches have already been tried on different lattice field theory problems [8, 9, 10, 11], there is not yet a systematic exploration of the power of these techniques to tackle the questions that standard LGT methods face.

In [11] we showed the suitability of the MPS ansatz to describe not only the ground state, but also the excitations of the lattice Schwinger model, and to produce continuum limit extrapolations of the mass spectrum whose precision can compete with that of other numerical techniques. Despite the very precise estimation of the energy, other observables [12] might be more sensitive to truncation errors and yield a considerably worse estimation. Here, we complement the study in [11] with the explicit calculation of the chiral condensate, and show that the MPS method also provides accurate continuum limit extrapolations, beyond the precision reached by perturbative [13, 14] or non-perturbative methods [15, 16].

2. The model

To probe the suitability of MPS methods for LGT problems, the lattice Schwinger model [17, 18] in its Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian formulation [19] is used as test bench. Via a Jordan-Wigner transformation, the fermionic degrees of freedom can be mapped to spin variables [20]. The additional gauge degrees of freedom sitting on the links are constrained by Gauss’ law, which in the case of open boundary conditions (OBC) considered here completely fixes the electric field up to a constant. This is enough to fully eliminate the gauge variables and work with the following dimensionless long-range spin model [21]

\[
H = x \sum_{n=0}^{N-2} \left[ \sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^- + \sigma_n^- \sigma_{n+1}^+ \right] + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[ 1 + (-1)^n \sigma_n^z \right] + \sum_{n=0}^{N-2} \left[ \ell + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k + \sigma_k^z \right]^2, \tag{2.1}
\]

where the Hamiltonian parameters \( x = \frac{1}{g^2 a^2} \) and \( \mu = \frac{2m}{g^2 a} \) are expressed in terms of the lattice spacing, \( a \), the coupling, \( g \), and fermion mass \( m \). The parameter \( \ell \) represents the boundary electric
field on the link to the left of site 0, which can describe the background field. For OBC $\ell$ is nondynamical, and in the following we choose it to be zero. Therefore, we can use a tensor product spin basis $|i_0 \ldots i_{N-1}\rangle$, with $i_m = \{0,1\}$, to describe the states of the system.

3. Chiral condensate

All observables can be written in terms of spin operators. In particular, the fermionic condensate, $\langle \bar{\Psi}(x)\Psi(x) \rangle / g$ reduces to the ground state expectation value of $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{N} \sum_n (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\sigma^z_n}{2}$. The naively computed chiral condensate, $\Sigma = \langle GS | \hat{\Sigma} | GS \rangle$ diverges logarithmically in the limit $a \to 0$ for non-vanishing fermion mass [15, 16, 22]. This divergence is already present in the free case, where the theory is exactly solvable. Indeed, in the non-interacting case (2.1) reduces to the XY spin model in a staggered magnetic field, whose ground state energy, in the case of OBC, reads $E_0 = \frac{\mu}{2} N - \sum_{q=1}^{N/2} \sqrt{\mu^2 + 4x^2 \cos^2 \frac{q\pi}{N+1}}$.

The expectation value of $\hat{\Sigma}$ can then be computed from the $\frac{dE_0}{d\mu}$ as

$$\Sigma_{\text{free}} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N/2} \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + 4x^2 \cos^2 \frac{q\pi}{N+1}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

We may use the exact value of the free condensate to subtract the divergence from the observable computed in the interacting case. Our numerical procedure extracts the continuum limit by first making the physical volume infinite at constant lattice spacing (equivalent to the thermodynamic limit of the discrete problem) and then extrapolating to $x \to \infty$. The divergence is only present in the second step, when the lattice regulator vanishes, while the first limit can be evaluated via a finite volume extrapolation. In the free case we can actually extract analytically the exact value of $\Sigma_{\text{free}}$ in the bulk, at fixed $(m/g, x)$, when (3.1) can be evaluated as an integral, to yield

$$\Sigma_{\text{free}}^{(\text{bulk})}(m/g, x) = \frac{m}{\pi g} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{m}{g^2 x}}} K \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{m}{g^2 x}} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

where $K(u)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind [23]. Expanding this expression for $x \to \infty$ shows a divergent logarithmic term $\frac{1}{2\pi g} \frac{m}{g} \log x$. The divergence can be substracted from the interacting chiral condensate after the infinite volume extrapolation using the exactly computed free condensate (3.2). The interacting case may nevertheless introduce further logarithmic corrections to higher orders in $\frac{1}{x}$ that need to be taken into account in the continuum limit extrapolation.

4. Matrix Product State Methods

We approximate the ground state of the Hamiltonian (2.1) by a MPS, i.e. a state of the form $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{b_0 \ldots b_{N-1}}^{d-1} \text{tr}(A_{b_0}^{i_0} \ldots A_{b_{N-1}}^{i_{N-1}}) |i_0 \ldots i_{N-1}\rangle$, where $d = 2$ is the dimension of the local Hilbert space for each chain site. The state is completely determined by the $D$-dimensional matrices $A^i_k$, and hence the bond dimension, $D$, controls the number of parameters in the ansatz. A MPS approximation to the ground state with fixed $D$ can be found variationally by successively minimizing the energy with respect to each of the individual tensors, and iterating the procedure until convergence [4, 6]. The MPS ansatz is known to provide good approximations to the ground states of
local gapped Hamiltonians, but has been used to more general models. In [11] we found, indeed, that the ansatz is appropriate for the Schwinger model, accurately reproducing not only the ground state energy, but also the mass spectrum.

Applying the variational MPS algorithm with open boundary conditions we obtained an ansatz for the ground state for various sets of parameters \((m/g, x, N, D)\). We studied four different values of the fermion mass, \(m/g = 0, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5\), and for each of them probed \(x \in [20, 600]\). In order to extract the bulk limit for each given \((m/g, x)\), we solved the ground state problem for five different system sizes \(N \geq 20\sqrt{x}\), to ensure large enough physical volumes. For each system size, we ran the algorithm for bond dimensions \(D \in [20, 140]\), and stopped the iteration when the relative change in energy from one sweep to the next was below \(\varepsilon = 10^{-12}\).

After the variational algorithm has converged for a particular set of parameters, \((m/g, x, N, D)\), we obtain a MPS approximation to the ground state, from which we can easily compute expectation values of local operators or their tensor products. In order to extract the continuum limit properties for different fermion masses, we need to perform successive extrapolations of the corresponding observables in the bond dimension, \(D \to \infty\), the system size, \(N \to \infty\), and the lattice spacing \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} = ga \to 0\). A detailed description of the numerical method and the corresponding error analysis can be found in [11], where we performed this procedure to extract the the ground state energy density and the mass spectrum.

Here we repeat the analysis for the expectation value of \(\hat{\Sigma}\) in the ground state. Our results show very good convergence in the bond dimension, \(D\), and we can reliably estimate the observable for a given set of parameters \((m/g, x)\) and a given system size, \(N\), from the linear extrapolation of the largest computed bond dimensions, as illustrated in Fig. 1. From these values, we perform a finite size extrapolation using a linear function \(f(N) = A + \frac{B}{N}\), which perfectly describes our observations (Fig. 2). The error of the thermodynamic limit is estimated from the width of the 68% confidence
interval for the fitted parameter $A$.

From this thermodynamic values, for each given ($m/g, x$) we subtract the exact free condensate in the bulk, (3.2). Finally, the continuum limit extrapolation can be attained from all computed $x$ values. According to the discussion in the previous section, divergent log $a$ terms are not present in the substracted condensate, but there might be residual $a \log a$ corrections introduced by the interaction, and which cannot be substracted. We thus use for the fit the form

$$f(x) = A + F \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}} + B \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} + C \frac{1}{x},$$

which, as seen in Fig. 3, describes our computed data extremely well. Finally, we obtain for the condensate the following values.
5. Discussion

We have extended our previous study of the Schwinger model using MPS to the computation of the chiral condensate. Determining this quantity in the continuum is a more challenging task than finding energy levels, as evidenced by the few numerical estimations found in the literature.

We obtain a remarkably accurate values of the condensate in the massless case, where we can compare our estimate to the exact result. For the massive cases, there are not many previous calculations in the literature, or the ones available correspond to different masses and thus do not allow for a direct comparison to our results. In the case of $m/g = 0.125$, we are in good agreement with the approximate value 0.0929, from [14].

Our results show the feasibility of the MPS ansatz to describe the physical states relevant for a lattice gauge theory problem. The technique allows us to reach precisions that suffice for accurate finite size and continuum extrapolations, and to identify the asymptotic approach to the continuum. These results pave the way to further applications of MPS or more general tensor network techniques to more challenging problems in the context of LGT.
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