Modeling the two-locus architecture of divergent pollinator adaptation: how variation in SAD paralogs affects fitness and evolutionary divergence in sexually deceptive orchids
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Abstract

Divergent selection by pollinators can bring about strong reproductive isolation via changes at few genes of large effect. This has recently been demonstrated in sexually deceptive orchids, where studies (1) quantified the strength of reproductive isolation in the field; (2) identified genes that appear to be causal for reproductive isolation; and (3) demonstrated selection by analysis of natural variation in gene sequence and expression. In a group of closely related Ophrys orchids, specific floral scent components, namely \( n \)-alkenes, are the key floral traits that control specific pollinator attraction by chemical mimicry of insect sex pheromones. The genetic basis of species-specific differences in alkene production mainly lies in two biosynthetic genes encoding stearoyl–acyl carrier protein desaturases (SAD) that are associated with floral scent variation and reproductive isolation between closely related species, and evolve under pollinator-mediated selection. However, the implications of this genetic architecture of key floral traits on the evolutionary processes of pollinator adaptation and speciation in this plant group remain unclear. Here, we expand on these recent findings to model scenarios of adaptive evolutionary change at SAD2 and SAD5, their effects on plant fitness (i.e., offspring number), and the dynamics of speciation. Our model suggests that the two-locus architecture of reproductive isolation allows for rapid sympatric speciation by pollinator shift; however, the likelihood of such pollinator-mediated speciation is asymmetric between the two orchid species O. sphegodes and O. exaltata due to different fitness effects of their predominant SAD2 and SAD5 alleles. Our study not only provides insight into pollinator adaptation and speciation mechanisms of sexually deceptive orchids but also demonstrates the power of applying a modeling approach to the study of pollinator-driven ecological speciation.

Introduction

Linking specific genes to fitness differences is one of the main objectives of evolutionary biology, with recent research programs increasingly aiming at uncovering the genes that underlie adaptation and ecological speciation (Lexer and Widmer 2008; Presgraves 2010; Nosil and Schluter 2011; Ostevik et al. 2012). It is important to understand the genetic architecture of phenotypic traits, because it may influence their evolutionary trajectories by facilitating or constraining the way in which traits can be altered by selection. Knowledge of the genetic basis of adaptive traits allows us to infer the accessibility of different evolutionary paths, and the genetic changes and selective pressures that are necessary to effect evolutionary change. Although potentially powerful to address questions that are not directly amenable to experimentation, field-derived fitness estimates of specific alleles underlying...
phenotypic traits have so far only rarely been used for modeling the trajectories and possible outcomes of evolutionary change (but see Hopkins and Rausher 2014).

Significant progress has recently made in uncovering the genetic basis of adaptation to pollinators that likely enables ecological speciation in a specialized pollination system, namely sexually deceptive orchids (Xu et al. 2012b). Unlike most animal-pollinated angiosperms, flowers of these orchids do not provide a reward to their pollinators; they are therefore unattractive to typical foraging flower visitors. Rather, these plants are adapted to use the species-specific sexual signals of female insects to attract male insects, which subsequently attempt to copulate with flowers and thereby remove or deliver the orchids’ pollen packets (pollinia) (Xu et al. 2012b). The high species specificity of pollinator interaction in this genus has allowed the identification of the chemical signals that trigger pollinator behavior and thus underlie the plant’s adaptation to its pollinator (Schiestl et al. 2000; Mant et al. 2005b). Specifically, in many species of the Mediterranean orchid genus Ophrys, the mimicked sexual signal is made up of cuticular hydrocarbons, most importantly alkenes, that constitute the pollinator females’ sex pheromones (Ayasse et al. 2011).

A recent study tracking pollen movement in the field has demonstrated that reproductive isolation is indeed mediated by floral signals (floral isolation), mostly due to hydrocarbon profile differences that are associated with differences in specific pollinator attraction among the closely related species O. sphegodes Miller, O. exaltata subsp. archipelagi (Gołż & H. R. Reinhard) Del Prete, and O. garganica Nelson ex O. & E. Danesch (Xu et al. 2011). All of these species cooccur in mosaic sympatry (sensu Mallet et al. 2009; Xu et al. 2012b, 2011) and overlap in their flowering times; they are diploids which are interfertile and produce putatively viable seeds, implying that their flowering times; they are diploids which are interfertile and produce putatively viable seeds, implying that postmating barriers – as far as it was possible to test them – do not play a prominent role in reproductive isolation.

In contrast, the floral hydrocarbon mixtures used by the different species differ significantly, and floral isolation has therefore been implicated as the most important pre-mating barrier (Xu et al. 2011; Sedeek et al. in press). In particular, O. sphegodes and O. exaltata differ in their production of alkenes with different double-bond positions: O. sphegodes produces mostly 9- and 12-alkenes, whereas O. exaltata produces high levels of 7-alkenes. Floral odor extracts by themselves are attractive to pollinators (Schiestl et al. 2000; Mant et al. 2005b; Vereecken and Schiestl 2008), and the solitary bee Andrena nigroaenea (Kirby 1802) – the pollinator of O. sphegodes – appears to be attracted to 9- and 12-alkenes, whereas 7-alkenes reduce this attraction (Xu et al. 2012a). Conversely, Colletes cunicularius (Linnaeus 1761), the pollinator of O. exaltata, is attracted to 7-alkenes, whereas addition of 9- and 12-alkenes to the odor blend reduces its attractiveness (Mant et al. 2005b; Xu et al. 2012a). This is expected to result in pollinator-imposed divergent selection for different odor bouquets between these two orchid species (Xu et al. 2012a).

Two desaturase genes, SAD2 and SAD5, have recently been found to be responsible for controlling 9-/12-alkene and 7-alkene production, respectively (Schlüter et al. 2011b; Xu et al. 2012a). The expression and enzymatic activity of SAD2 are typically high in O. sphegodes and low in O. exaltata, because of different predominant alleles in the two species that are associated with (1) cis-linked gene expression; and (2) protein functional differences (Schlüter et al. 2011b; Xu et al. 2012a). In contrast, the expression of SAD5 is high in O. exaltata and low in O. sphegodes. In addition, SAD5 expression may further be modified by the trans-acting dominant suppressor (Xu et al. 2012a); although both genes appear to have a major effect on pollinator attraction by orchid flowers (Schlüter et al. 2011b; Xu et al. 2012a), their relative effects on pollination might not be the same. Furthermore, it is currently unknown how the genetic architecture of alkene composition influences the possible outcomes of the evolutionary processes of pollinator adaptation and speciation. Here, by modeling the fitness effects (i.e., number of offspring) and evolution of these two adaptive loci, we ask how the predicted contributions of SAD2 and SAD5 to pollination compare, and which possible routes for evolutionary change in plant–pollinator associations may be plausible in Ophrys orchids given the opposing effect of these two loci on divergent pollinator associations. Furthermore, we evaluate the effect of a potential genetic modifier of desaturase expression on the possible evolutionary outcomes of pollinator-driven divergent adaptation.

Materials and Methods

Rationale for modeling: two-locus architecture and biosynthesis of alkene double-bonds in the study system

Alkene double-bond position discriminates the pollinator-attractive odors of O. sphegodes and O. exaltata, the biochemical basis of which has recently been elucidated. Alkenes are thought to be produced via elongation of unsaturated fatty acid (FA) precursors, and the introduction of a double-bond into a saturated FA intermediate – termed desaturation – is the crucial enzymatic step that determines the double-bond in the alkene (Schlüter and
suppressor of inhibitors between the two orchid species. respon-
sible for the divergent adaptations to different poll-
biosynthesis, because they are expected to be primarily SAD5 (Schl€uter et al. 2011b). Six SAD gene family members (SAD1 – SAD6) have been identified from Ophrys orchids (Schl€uter et al. 2011b; Xu et al. 2012a; Sedeek et al. 2013), and these desaturases comprise three lineages: the SAD1/2, SAD3, and SAD4/5/6 clades (Xu et al. 2012a). Among these, Ophrys SAD3 most likely represents a ubiquitously expressed housekeeping gene without direct involvement in alkene biosynthesis (Schl€uter et al. 2011b; Xu et al. 2012a). By contrast, O. sphegodes SAD2 is a florally expressed gene that is statistically associated with the production of 9- and 12-alkenes during flower development (Schl€uter et al. 2011b). It can catalyze the desaturation of C18 and C16 FA-ACP in vitro, the elongation of which would result in the production of 9- and 12-alkenes, respectively (Schl€uter et al. 2011b). Conversely, the gene SAD5 is highly expressed in O. exaltata flowers and is strongly associated with 7-alkenes in natural orchid populations (Xu et al. 2012a); the expression of this gene, however, is suppressed in interspecific F1 hybrids, suggesting the presence of a trans-acting dominant suppressor of SAD5 expression in O. sphegodes, henceforth called SUS. The patterns of differentiation in terms of sequence and/or gene expression at the SAD2 and SAD5 loci (which are absent for genes not associated with floral alkene biosynthesis; cf. Sedeek et al. in press) suggest that they experience divergent selection between species (Xu et al. 2012a). In reality, several alleles for each of the six SAD paralogs exist in nature (Xu et al. 2012a). In particular, in some (but not all) orchid populations, SAD1 alleles may contribute to 9/-12-alkene biosynthesis (which is primarily explained by SAD2 activity), and SAD6 may sometimes contribute to the production of 7-alkenes (which is strongly associated with SAD5) (Xu et al. 2012a). However, for simplicity, we will ignore these minor contributors here and focus only on SAD2 and SAD5, the genes with the largest overall effect on alkene biosynthesis, because they are expected to be primarily responsible for the divergent adaptations to different pollinators between the two orchid species.

Modeling approach

Here, we model alkene composition of the two (diploid; Xu et al. 2011) orchid species as a function of the alleles of SAD2 and SAD5, using previously published data (Schl€uter et al. 2011b; Xu et al. 2011, 2012a). An over-

Model step 1: gene expression to alkenes

Floral scent, gene expression, and pollinator behavior data were obtained from a previous study (Xu et al. 2012a; see Fig. 1). To increase statistical power, we also included floral scent data from Xu et al. (2011). In step 1, we calculated the relationship between absolute amount of floral scent and gene expression using linear regression. The floral scent data were natural logarithm (ln) transformed, and gene expression data were square-root transformed. The StepAIC method (Venables and Ripley 2002) was used to select the best model.

Model step 2: linking odor data to pollinator attractiveness

In step 2, we fitted a generalized linear model (GLM) on pollinator attraction based on floral scent. To do so, observed pollinator attraction data from the field and flo-
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The scent of different individuals were permuted so as to incorporate the variance. In our previous study, four groups of floral scent were used to test pollinator attraction: *O. sphegodes* scent; *O. sphegodes* scent with addition of 7-alkenes; *O. exaltata* scent; and *O. exaltata* with addition 9- and 12-alkenes (Xu et al. 2012a). Within each group, we permuted the relationship between floral scent and pollination attraction 300 times to include the variance of observed pollinator attraction and floral scent data. The permuted data points were then used for calculating the relationship between floral scent and pollinator attraction using a GLM with Poisson distribution (link = "log"). Here, pollinator attraction was modeled as response variable of floral scent, and both linear and quadratic effects of floral scent on pollinator attraction were considered in the model selection process.

**Model step 3: predicting pollinator attraction from the possible genotypes**

In step 3, we predicted pollinator attraction for all possible SAD genotypes in the presence or absence of a fixed SUS locus that dominantly suppresses the expression of SAD5. To do so, two alleles were modeled for each investigated SAD locus based on previous allele group designations (Xu et al. 2012a): (1) a functional and expressed allele (denoted “+”, i.e., SAD2A or SAD5A alleles; allele group designation as in Xu et al. 2012a); and (2) a non-functional and/or nonexpressed allele (denoted “−”). We then evaluated all possible diploid genetic combinations (nine genotypes) at the two loci, SAD2 and SAD5, and calculated the allelic expression values and expected alkene composition (Fig. 2). This was based on the calculated correlation between SAD2/SAD5 and alkene composition as well as the correlation between alkene composition and pollinator attraction. Pollinator attraction was scaled to fit the range 0–1, where 0 refers to the lowest and 1 to the highest pollinator attraction value observed in the field (Xu et al. 2012a). Field observations show that pollinator visitation rates of *O. exaltata* and *O. sphegodes* are similar (Xu et al. 2011). To achieve a robust estimation, we permuted the pollinator attraction calculation 500 times, each time allowing the estimated parameters (the effect of SAD expression on alkene composition and the effect of floral scent on pollinator attraction) in the model to vary within their 95% confidence intervals. Furthermore, in the *O. sphegodes* background, the evolutionary implication of the hypothetical SUS locus was investigated. This was carried out by running our predictions with and without the presence of SUS fixed in *O. sphegodes*, reflecting the two most extreme conditions possible for this genetic modifier.
Model step 4: population model simulated through time

Fourthly, we built a population model to evaluate the impact of SAD2 and SAD5 alleles as well as the SUS locus on reproductive isolation and the hypothetical speciation process between O. sphegodes and O. exaltata. The basic model was built under the following assumptions: an initial population size of 1000 individuals, setting the maximum population size to 1000 (i.e., if more than 1000 offspring were produced, only 1000 were kept); for each generation, a loss-of-function mutation rate per gene at 10^{-5} per generation per individual was used (to change “+” into “−” alleles), based on estimations from Arabidopsis (Ossowski et al. 2010); pollinator attraction for each individual depends on its floral alkene composition; total number of pollinators is 500 (including both A. nigroaenea and C. cunicularius); for each generation, each pollinator visits two individuals, leading to one pollination event, based on their pollinator attraction. Each pollination event produces 64 (4^3; 3 loci with 2^3 = 4 possible genotypes per dialllec locus) offspring that carry all possible genetic combinations of three genetic loci.

Based on this basic model, we varied pollinator composition (A. nigroaenea : C. cunicularius) as follows: 1:0; 3:1; 1:1; 1:3, and 0:1. We also simulated the following initial populations: F1 hybrids of O. sphegodes and O. exaltata (genotype ++/−− at SAD2/SAD5); a 1:1 mix of O. sphegodes and O. exaltata; an O. sphegodes-like (+/+/−−) population with a single SAD5A (“+”) allele present (in heterozygous state); an O. exaltata-like (−−/+++) population with a single SAD2A (“+”) allele present (in heterozygous state). Hence, in the latter two cases, the model effectively begins at the time when a novel functional SAD allele has just arisen (i.e., de novo mutation). For each scenario, we simulated the population for 1000 generations and recorded genotype frequency every 20 generations. The model was also tested with different initial population sizes and a different number of pollinators, but no qualitative differences in results were found.

Results

Predicted alkene composition based on desaturase expression

Our previous data showed that expression of a functional SAD2 allele (“+”; SAD2 allele group A) is associated with the abundance of 9- and 12-alkenes, whereas expression of a functional SAD5 allele (“+”; SAD5 allele group A) is associated with the abundance of 7-alkenes (Xu et al. 2012a). Therefore, we first modeled the relationship between SAD2/SAD5 allelic expression and 7-, 9-, and 12-alkene composition using a linear model based on data from Xu et al. (2012a). The amount of alkenes (denoted Y) was modeled as a function of the expression level of functional SAD alleles (denoted X; for details see Methods section). The amount of 7-alkenes only depended on SAD5A allele expression:

\[
Y_{7-alkene} = e^{-2.86 + 5.41 \times \sqrt{XSAD5A}}
\]

(1)

This formula could explain 52.2% of total variance in 7-alkenes. The amount of 9-alkenes was only significantly correlated with SAD2A allele expression:
...alkene composition for each genotype was predicted based on equations 1-3 and is shown in Figure 2. Ophrys exaltata populations would be most closely represented by the genotype $--/++$ (denoting two SAD2 $--$-alleles and two $++$-alleles at the SAD5 locus), whereas O. sphegodes would be most closely represented by the genotype $++/---$. The predicted alkene compositions of O. sphegodes-like ($++/--$) and O. exaltata-like ($--/++$) genotypes fit well with real data measured in previous experiments (Xu et al. 2012a). As might be expected, the presence of a SUS locus has a strong effect on 7-alkenes in hypothetical hybrid genotypes. In summary, the significant correlation between SAD2A/SAD5A allelic expression and the amounts of different alkene classes allowed us to build a relatively accurate and robust model to predict alkene composition based on the expression of SAD2 and SAD5.

### Pollinator attraction as a function of SAD genotype

In a previous study, we investigated the influence of alkene composition on pollinator attraction (see fig. 5 in Xu et al. 2012a). Here, we used the alkene composition and pollinator attraction data from that study (Xu et al. 2012a) and modeled the quantitative impact of changes in alkene composition on pollinator attraction by employing a permutation approach (for details, see Methods section). For both pollinators, we found a significant quadratic correlation between pollinator attraction and abundance of alkenes. Our analysis suggests that the attraction (denoted $A$) to *C. cunicularius* and *A. nigroaenea*, respectively, can be modeled as follows:

$$
A_{C. cunicularius} = 1.09 + 0.0013Y_{7-alkene} - 0.0023Y_{9-alkene}
- 0.0101Y_{12-alkene} - 3.67 \times 10^{-7}Y_{7-alkene}^2
+ 1.52 \times 10^{-5}Y_{12-alkene}^2
$$

The first equation (Eq. 4) explained 48.72% of variance of attraction to *C. cunicularius*, and the latter (Eq. 5) explained 30.60% of variance of attraction to *A. nigroaenea*, indicating that floral scent has major effects on pollinator attraction for both *C. cunicularius* and *A. nigroaenea*. These two equations suggest that the attractiveness to either pollinator depends on both absolute amounts and relative ratios of the three alkene groups. We then calculated expected pollination (Fig. 3) based on predicted floral alkene composition (from Eq. 1–3) and the models of pollinator attractiveness (Eq. 4 and 5). Without the presence of the SUS locus, *O. sphegodes* and *O. exaltata* genotypes only showed specific attraction to their own pollinator, while the intermediate F$_3$ genotype ($++/--$) showed low (<50%) pollinator attraction to both *C. cunicularius* and *A. nigroaenea*, consistent with published data (Xu et al. 2012a). However, with SUS present, intermediate genotypes with SAD2A ("++") allele were always highly attractive to *A. nigroaenea*, regardless of SAD5 genotype. Furthermore, in the absence of SUS, the net increase in attractiveness to *C. cunicularius* when gaining a SAD5 functional allele is 21% on average, whereas the net increase in *A. nigroaenea* attraction on gain of a functional SAD2 allele is only 3% on average (Fig. 3, Tables S1 and S2). These data further suggest that the relative phenotypic effect of SAD5 is generally greater than that of SAD2. In the presence of SUS, the relative effect of SAD2 on pollinator attraction becomes even lower (Table S1). Importantly, there was no genotype that had high (>50%) predicted attractiveness to both pollinators at the same time, irrespective of whether SUS was assumed to be present or not.

### Simulation of pollination and evolution at the adaptive loci SAD2 and SAD5

Using our model of the effect of two SAD loci on pollinator attraction, the evolutionary outcome of selection by pollinators was simulated through time, starting with sev-
eral different initial population compositions. Initial conditions were so chosen as to be informative on the hypothetical speciation process between *O. sphegodes* and *O. exaltata*. Our results (Fig. 4) suggest that reproductive isolation between the two orchid species is largely independent of pollinator composition, the presence of a SUS locus and the genotype composition of the starting popu-

lication, given that F1 hybrid genotypes are only rarely observed in the different simulated scenarios. This is also consistent with field observations and population genetic data (Xu et al. 2011). Even in a hypothetical population consisting entirely of F1 hybrids, pollinators quickly drive populations toward the SAD genotypes of the “pure” species (Fig. 4, 2nd row).

---

**Figure 3.** Predicted pollinator attractiveness of each genotype. Red and light blue colors represent attraction to *C. cunicularius* and *A. nigroaenea*, respectively. Left and right panel refer to pollinator attraction in the absence or presence of a SUS locus, respectively. The attraction to *C. cunicularius* for each genotype is calculated as a percentage relative to actual *O. exaltata* attraction to *C. cunicularius*. The attraction to *A. nigroaenea* for each genotype is calculated relative to the attraction of *O. sphegodes* scent to *A. nigroaenea*. Mean value and 95% confidence interval are presented.

**Figure 4.** Predicted speciation process between *O. exaltata* and *O. sphegodes*. The y-axis in each graph refers to genotype frequency and the x-axis to the number of generations. (A) and (B) represent scenarios in the absence or presence of a SUS locus. Each column represents different pollinator compositions: “An” refers to *A. nigroaenea*; “Cc” refers to *C. cunicularius*. Each row shows a different starting population (top to bottom): a 1:1 mix of *O. sphegodes*-like and *O. exaltata*-like plants; only *O. sphegodes* × *O. exaltata* F1 hybrids; a pure *O. sphegodes*-like population in which one individual carries one SAD5A allele; a pure *O. exaltata*-like population in which one individual carries one SAD2A allele. Red, green, and light blue color refer to the genotypes of *O. sphegodes*-like, *O. sphegodes* × *O. exaltata* F1 hybrid-like, and *O. exaltata*-like plants, respectively.
In the absence of SUS, the likelihood of pollinator-mediated speciation between *O. exaltata* and *O. sphegodes* was found to be asymmetric: Our simulated data showed that *O. exaltata* can evolve from *O. sphegodes*, provided that the pollinator of *O. exaltata* (*C. cunicularius*) exists (Fig. 4A, rows 3 and 4). However, it seems impossible for *O. sphegodes* to evolve from *O. exaltata* even when only the pollinator of *O. sphegodes* (*A. nigroaenea*) is present. This asymmetric pattern appears to be due to the different effects of SAD2 and SAD5 on plant fitness: When a novel functional allele of SAD5 evolves in *O. sphegodes*, it can increase the relative probability of attracting the new pollinator (*C. cunicularius*) by 19%, but when a novel functional allele of SAD2 evolves in *O. exaltata*, the associated increase in the probability of attraction of the new pollinator (*A. nigroaenea*) is only 3%. The absence or presence of a SUS locus has a strong impact on the possibility of sympatric speciation when starting from “pure” species (Fig. 4, comparing rows 3 and 4 of panel A and B); with SUS, it was neither possible for *O. sphegodes* to evolve into *O. exaltata*, even when *C. cunicularius* was highly abundant, nor was the opposite evolutionary scenario (from *O. exaltata* to *O. sphegodes*) possible. Furthermore, our model also suggests that the presence of SUS increases the frequency of *O. sphegodes* genotypes when *C. cunicularius* and *A. nigroaenea* coexist (Fig. 4A and B, 3rd row).

**Discussion**

**Effect size and reproductive isolation**

In this study, we modeled the effect of two SAD loci on pollinator attraction and then used this model to simulate the speciation process under different evolutionary scenarios. Our findings reveal that the relative phenotypic effects of the two adaptive loci SAD2 and SAD5 are unequal, and our predictions (Fig. 3, Table S2) suggest the effect of SAD5 to be greater than that of SAD2. This may in principle be due to (1) differences in gene expression and hence protein concentration; (2) differences in the enzymatic activities of the proteins; or (3) intrinsic differences in the strength of the pollinators’ response to the different alkenes. Gene expression data show higher expression levels of SAD5 than for SAD2 (Xu et al. 2012a), consistent with SAD5’s higher effect size; this suggests that gene expression differences may contribute to the effect size difference. Our model also predicts that the effect of SAD2 would further be diminished in the presence of SUS. As no genotype (with or without SUS) was highly attractive to both pollinators at the same time, this implies that while SUS may shift the point in genotypic space where pollination by *Andrena* or *Colletes* becomes likelier, it would not *per se* be expected to strongly affect the strength of the reproductive barrier between the two species. However, SUS does affect which pollinator is more likely to visit a potential F1 hybrid and thereby the predominant direction of backcrossing on hybridization (with SUS present, backcrossing would be toward *O. sphegodes*). In our population simulation, reproductive isolation was also found to be largely independent of pollinator composition and the initial population’s genotype composition. Rather, the reproductive barrier between the two species results from differences in floral scent and specific pollinator attraction, which in turn are a consequence of the opposing effects of the two SAD loci underlying alkene biosynthesis.

**Evolutionary implications**

Knowledge of the genetics of two desaturase loci, SAD2 and SAD5, which effectively control pollinator attraction in two species of *Ophrys* orchids, allowed us to evaluate different evolutionary scenarios of species divergence by modeling. This model predicts the attractiveness of different SAD2/SAD5 genotypes to two pollinator species, representing a proxy of the fitness landscape as a function of two genetic loci (Fig. 3). These predictions (Table S1) should be tested experimentally (cf. Nosil and Schluter 2011). Our results show that the potential for pollinator-mediated ecological speciation processes between *O. sphegodes* and *O. exaltata* is likely to be asymmetric due to different effect sizes of alleles at SAD2 and SAD5. This indicates that the effect size distribution of alleles at different loci can contribute to the likelihood of different evolutionary paths within an adaptive landscape, with different consequences with respect to adaptation and speciation. Specifically, the genetic architecture of pollinator attraction predicts that *O. exaltata* is more likely to have evolved from *O. sphegodes* than *vice versa* if a scenario of pollinator-driven sympatric divergence is assumed. This predicted speciation scenario would be consistent with the geographic distributions of those two species, *O. sphegodes* being more widely distributed than *O. exaltata* and therefore a more probable progenitor species a priori (cf. Schluter et al. 2011a).

Pure species-like genotypes are highly attractive to their respective pollinators as compared to intermediate genotypes. Despite the presence of this apparent “adaptive valley” (Figs. 3 and S1), our model suggests that, given sufficient pollinator abundance, intermediate genotypes can be maintained in the population for long enough for novel highly attractive genotypes to evolve; conceptually, this allows the adaptive valley to be crossed. In fact, the “lag time” for *O. exaltata* to evolve from *O. sphegodes* (3rd row of Fig. 4A) corresponds to the time taken until the respective genotype is formed in the population; thereafter, its genotype frequency increases rapidly, implying
the potential for rapid species divergence. We note that our model considers the de novo origin of an adaptive allele. However, considering that there may be substantial genetic polymorphism within Ophrys (Schlüter et al. 2011a; Sedeek et al. in press), adaptive alleles may well have been derived from standing genetic variation. In this case, the initial frequency of the adaptive allele would have been higher (at the time when it became adaptive), and hence, evolution may have proceeded even faster (i.e., less “lagtime”).

**Effect and evolution of SUS**

The possible sympatric speciation scenarios change dramatically in the presence of a SUS locus, which effectively prevents evolution from either species to the other. In reality, the frequency of SUS in O. sphegodes is unknown, so that our simulations reflect the two most extreme outcomes. If SUS were fixed or very common in O. sphegodes, one might expect that functional SAD5 alleles can potentially introgress into O. sphegodes, as SUS renders them effectively neutral; however, (consistent with population genetic data; Xu et al. 2012a) SAD5 would not be expressed in this species and would instead be expected to accumulate deleterious mutations. It would seem likely that the addition of SUS to the genetic architecture of alkene biosynthesis in O. sphegodes is more recent than the divergence of the two species, simply because the later addition of a genetic modifier to an already existing genetic program controlling reproductive isolation (where the modifier has no perceptible effect on reproductive isolation per se) is the more parsimonious hypothesis. Interestingly, the presence of SUS increases the proportion of O. sphegodes-like genotypes in situations of decreased A. nigroaenea pollinator abundance. Hence, SUS may provide a selective advantage to O. sphegodes in populations (or years) of low pollinator abundance. It is conceivable that such conditions aided the recruitment of SUS into the control of existing alkene biosynthesis in O. sphegodes, without a direct effect on reproductive isolation between the two species. Although it appears more plausible to assume that species divergence proceeded without SUS (i.e., that this genetic modifier evolved after speciation), this hypothesis remains to be investigated.

**Conclusions**

Although previous studies (Schlüter et al. 2011b; Xu et al. 2012a) had revealed that two SAD loci have major effects on reproductive isolation and pollinator adaptation in Ophrys, how such a genetic architecture influences the evolutionary processes of lineage divergence in this plant group has remained unclear. The results of our modeling approach suggest that the two-locus architecture of reproductive isolation allows for potentially rapid sympatric speciation in Ophrys orchids and predicts genetic patterns in line with data from natural orchid populations (e.g., Mant et al. 2005a; Schlüter et al. 2009; Xu et al. 2012a; Sedeek et al. in press). More interestingly, our model predicts that the potential for speciation is likely asymmetric in Ophrys due to the different fitness effects of SAD2 and SAD5. Fluctuating pollinator environments may have favoured the evolution of a suppressor of SAD5 activity, SUS, in O. sphegodes. Although this suppressor does not affect reproductive isolation, it may constrain the potential for further evolutionary divergence in sympathy if fixed in a population.
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Figure S1. Scaled probabilities of gene flow among pairs of SAD2/SAD5 genotypes in the absence or presence of SUS. (A, B) Three-dimensional plot for gene flow mediated by the two pollinators shown separately; blue and red surfaces represent gene flow mediated by A. nigroeae ("An") and C. cunicularius ("Cc"), respectively. Pure species-like and F1-like genotypes are indicated. The x- and y-axes indicate different genotypes of SAD2/SAD5, and the z-axis indicates the scaled gene flow (with minimum and maximum values scaled to fit the range 0 to 1). Note that the plot is symmetrical with respect to its left–right diagonal. (C–L) Heat maps of scaled probabilities of total gene flow under different pollinator compositions: Only A. nigroeae ("An") present, (C and D), Only C. cunicularius ("Cc"), (E and F), An and Cc in 1:3 ratio (G and H), An and Cc in 3:1 ratio (I and J), and An and Cc in 1:1 ratio (K and L), and in the absence (C, E, G, I, and K) or presence (D, F, H, J, and K) of SUS. The color gradient represents the probability of gene flow, from low (blue) to high (red). The x- and y-axes indicate different genotypes of SAD2/SAD5. Pure species-like and F1-like genotypes are indicated.

Table S1. The predicted gene expression of different hypothetic genotypes of SAD2A and SAD5A, mean alkene composition, mean relative pollinator attraction, and predicted amount of individual alkenes for each hypothetic genotype. “An” refers to A. nigroeae; “Cc” refers to C. cunicularius.

Table S2. The net increase in pollinator attraction by gain of one functional allele of SAD2 or SAD5. Values were calculated based on Table S1. Because it is not realistic to assume ——/—— as a starting genotype, we did not calculate values for pollinator attraction changes involving this genotype.