Bootstrap current calculations with the SPBSC and the VENUS+δf codes for the Large Helical Device
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Abstract. Total bootstrap current calculations with the updated VENUS+δf code that incorporates energy convolution and the momentum correction technique have been performed for the reference tokamak JT-60U cases and for the experimental Large Helical Device (LHD, NIFS, Japan) configurations with the different magnetic axis positions. The VENUS+δf results have been compared with the corresponding tokamak results of the neoclassical bootstrap current models for general axisymmetric equilibria and arbitrary collisionality regime, as well as with the corresponding 3D SPBSC code numerical predictions and with the LHD experimental tendency.
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1. Introduction

Neoclassical theory in tokamaks is believed to be partially validated by the examination of the transport properties parallel to the magnetic field lines. Complete and accurate bootstrap current formulas have been obtained for general axisymmetric equilibria and arbitrary collisionality in [1], [2]. Very good quantitative agreement has been found between parallel electric field evolution expected from these formulas and that measured in the DIII-D tokamak [3].

Validation of the bootstrap current models in non-axisymmetric stellarator/heliotron configurations have been performed for the Wendelstein-7AS (Germany) device [4] with the DKES (Drift-Kinetic Equation Solver) code [5] and for the Large Helical Device (LHD, Japan) [6] with the SPBSC code [7], based on the connection formulas between different collisional regimes. However, large DKES error bars in the long-mean-free-path regime and the difference between the monoenergetic bootstrap current coefficients, calculated with the DKES and SPBSC codes for some 3D configurations [8], required further calculations with other bootstrap current models and codes. Experimental verification of the validity of some of the earlier bootstrap current models in 3D systems was examined in Ref. [9].

Recently very good agreement [10], [11] of the mono-energetic particle bootstrap current coefficients for three various stellarator configurations (LHD, NCSX, W7X) was obtained by quite different codes (DKES, VENUS+δf [12], NEO-MC [13] and NEO-2 [14]). Additional numerical efforts have been devoted with the VENUS+δf code to simulate the effect of different magnetic axis positions on the mono-energetic bootstrap current coefficients in LHD[15]. Good qualitative agreement of the VENUS+δf code results with the LHD experimental results and with the SPBSC predictions has been obtained provided that significant simplifying assumptions are invoked. The bootstrap current is characterized by the relative amplitude and by the sign for a given toroidal configuration. However, our previous work [15] included only a monoenergetic ensemble of particles and only pitch-angle scattering in the collision operator, which is insufficient to evaluate the bootstrap current quantitatively.

In this paper we present the updated VENUS+δf code results for the total bootstrap current which include the Maxwellian energy distribution of particles, momentum correction terms, temperature and plasma density gradients. Section II describes the reference axisymmetric JT-60U tokamak [16] ion bootstrap current in different collisionality regimes on different plasma surfaces, calculated with the 3D VENUS+δf code and with the Fokker-Planck tokamak solver CQLP [1], [2] with the full collision operator. In Section III the updated VENUS+δf code is applied to 3D Large Helical Device configurations with different magnetic axis positions aimed at comparing the VENUS+δf and SPBSC numerical predictions with the experimental LHD bootstrap current.
2. Reference case: JT-60U tokamak ion bootstrap current density

In this section we perform the comparison of the bootstrap current density in the JT-60U tokamak with minor and major radii 1.0 m and 3.0 m, respectively, calculated with the CQLP code and with the updated VENUS+$\delta f$ code.

The reference code CQLP [1], [2] solves the linearized Fokker-Planck equation on a magnetic flux surface with a label $s$, proportional to the square of the normalized minor radius, ($s = 1.0$ correspond to the plasma boundary), using the exact axisymmetric magnetic configuration and the full collisional operator. For the ion bootstrap current density $j_\parallel$ in the plasma with temperature $T(s)$ and plasma density $n(s)$, the CQLP code computations are based on the expression

$$< j_\parallel B > = -I(s)L_{31}\left(T\frac{dn}{ds} + (1 + \alpha)n\frac{dT}{ds}\right),$$

where $B$ is the magnetic field strength, $I(s)$ is the poloidal current flux function, the coefficients $L_{31}$ and $\alpha$ have strong dependence on trapped particle fraction and collisionality (see Eqs. (12)-(17) in [1]). This neoclassical bootstrap current model has been successfully tested in the DIII-D tokamak [3] and has been also applied to analyze neoclassical tearing modes.

Monte Carlo simulations with a time varying weighting ($\delta f$) scheme for neoclassical transport have been successfully used in several codes in tokamak geometry [17], [18], [19] [20], [21], [22]. Additional efforts have been devoted to achieve energy and momentum conservation with the help of different correction terms [18], [23], [24]. To calculate neoclassical transport in heliotrons/stellarators, the VENUS+$\delta f$ code uses accurate VENUS guiding center numerical orbits [25] in Boozer coordinates [26] calculated with the ideal 3D MHD code TERPSICHORE [27]. The Coulomb collisions with the velocity dependent collision frequency $\nu(v)$ are implemented in two steps. Pitch-angle scattering is modelled by a standard Monte Carlo procedure [28], and then the parallel momentum $P_\parallel$ conservation in the updated VENUS+$\delta f$ code is achieved by the marker(particle) weight $\delta f$ correction term [21]

$$\triangle \delta f = -\frac{\nu v_\parallel \Delta P_\parallel}{\sum \nu v_\parallel^2 f_M d\Omega},$$

where the change of the momentum in the Monte Carlo step $\Delta P_\parallel = \sum \delta v_\parallel \delta f d\Omega$, $v_\parallel$ is a particle velocity component parallel to the magnetic field, $d\Omega$ is the phase-space volume of the particle with energy $E$ and mass $m$, $f_M(s,E) = n(s)(2\pi T(s)/m)^{-3/2}e^{-E/T(s)}$ is a local Maxwellian on the flux surface. A detailed description of three-dimensional VENUS+$\delta f$ bootstrap current calculation module is provided in [12].

In the VENUS+$\delta f$ code, the ion bootstrap current density with a particle charge $q_i$ and density $n$ is computed from time-averaging equation

$$< j_\parallel B >=< nq_i \frac{\sum v_\parallel B \delta f d\Omega}{\sum f_M d\Omega}>,$$
in the case of the equal impact of ions and electrons to the total bootstrap current, the flux derivative of the total current $dJ_{BS}/ds$ is obtained from equation

$$J'_{BS}(s) = 2\pi a^2 < j||B > /B_0,$$

(4)

where $a$ is the average minor plasma radius, the total bootstrap current is

$$J_{BS} = \int_0^1 J'_{BS}(s)ds.$$  

(5)

Figure 1 presents the ratio between the bootstrap current density calculated with the VENUS+$\delta f$ code (3) and with the CQLP code using formula (1) for flat temperature profile (only ion density gradient effects) on different plasma flux surfaces $s = 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$ and for several collisionality regimes $\nu^*=0.05, 0.5, 5.0$. This ratio is equal to unity within the accuracy of Monte Carlo method, which is inversely proportional to the number of particles. In this figure, a deviation between the two codes of less than 5% is achieved with the ensemble composed of 8-40 monoeenergetic groups with energies distributed in accordance to the Maxwellian law. Each monoeenergetic group consists of 50-1000 markers uniformly distributed with respect to the pitch angle variable. A steady-state solution of the bootstrap current is obtained after several collision steps, after that the parallel momentum is conserved with an accuracy of 5-20%. From Fig. 1, one can conclude that the VENUS+$\delta f$ code well reproduces the main collisional dependence of the CQLP bootstrap current coefficient $L_{31}$ in tokamaks for different plasma radii. Energy slowing down has a small effect on the VENUS+$\delta f$ solution during several collisional times. Plasma edge and magnetic axis regions as well as wide-orbit effects are not considered in this comparison. Since the VENUS+$\delta f$ uses 3D VMEC equilibrium inputs with poor force balance in the regions near the magnetic axis and near the boundary [29], these regions are excluded in this paper. In this work, we take into account only thin orbits and neglect wide orbit effects.

Good agreement of the 3D VENUS+$\delta f$ code with CQLP bootstrap current density for flat density profile and linear $1-s$ temperature profile (that serves to quantify the effect of the bootstrap current coefficient $\alpha$ of Eqn. (1)) is shown in Fig. 2. The ratio of the ion bootstrap current densities, calculated with the two codes, is equal to unity within an accuracy of 5% for different magnetic surfaces $s = 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$ for normalized collision frequencies $\nu^*=0.05, 0.5, 2.0$.

The CPU runtime on Pentium 4 - type processors with the VENUS+$\delta f$ code is equal to several minutes for these cases. The good agreement obtained with the known CQLP code results in these reference tokamak calculations with the density and temperature gradient driving terms, in addition to the momentum conservation technique, provides us the basis and credibility to perform the total bootstrap current calculations for more complicated heliotron/stellarator configurations of the Large Helical Device in the next section with the VENUS+$\delta f$ code.
3. The bootstrap current calculations in the LHD with the SPBSC and the VENUS+δf codes

The LHD is a superconducting large helical device with a helical field with poloidal winding number \( l = 2 \) and \( m = 10 \) toroidal field periods \([30]\). From experimental measurements of the non-inductive current, the dependence of the bootstrap current on the \( \beta \) value and the magnetic axis locations were studied and compared with the theoretical expectations by the SPBSC code \([6]\). The electron temperature on the axis was \( T_{e0} = 1 - 2 \) keV, the electron density \( n_{e0} = 1 - 3 \times 10^{19} m^{-3} \), these parameters correspond to the normalized collision frequency \( 0.1 < \nu^* < 10 \); the \( \beta \) values lay in the range 0.33-0.41%. The maximal positive bootstrap current of 25 kA was experimentally obtained for the configuration with a magnetic axis position \( R_{ax} = 3.90m \). An outward shift of the magnetic axis leads to a decrease of the toroidal current. According to SPBSC predictions, the configuration with \( R_{ax} = 4.05m \) can have a negative bootstrap current of -5 kA, however, the experimental measurements yield between -2 and +2 kA (depending on the different temperature and density values).

In order to approach these LHD experimental results and the SPBSC quasi-analytical fluid moment approach \([7]\), the dimensionless bootstrap current coefficient, normalized to the collisionless tokamak asymptote, has been calculated recently with the VENUS+δf code \([15]\). The main experimental dependence of the bootstrap current coefficient with respect to the magnetic axis outward shift has been reproduced both with the SPBSC and VENUS+δf codes.

In this paper we present the LHD total bootstrap current flux derivative \( dJ_{BS}/ds \) calculations with both SPBSC and VENUS+δf codes with different plasma density profiles for the LHD configurations with different magnetic axis positions. A linear temperature dependence on the flux surface label \( T = T_0(1 - s) \) is prescribed. The updated VENUS+δf code includes the momentum correction term \([2]\) and a Maxwellian distribution of the energies. Ion and electron density and temperature profiles are taken as equal, while the radial electric field and island effects are neglected. In this paper, we select the magnetic field on axis \( B_0 = 3.0 \) Tesla and the effective plasma charge \( Z_{eff} \) equals to unity.

The bootstrap current flux derivatives \( dJ_{BS}/ds \) as a function of flux label \( s \) for the magnetic axis position of \( R_{ax} = 3.75m \) are presented in Fig.3a for the linear \( n = n_0(1 - s) \) and in Fig.3b for the flattened \( n = n_0(1 - s^4) \) plasma density profiles. Given central values of the temperature \( T_0 = 1.0 \) keV and the density \( n_0 = 10^{19} m^{-3} \), the normalized collisional frequency corresponds to \( \nu^* = 0.13 \) on the flux label \( s = 0.5 \). The SPBSC code results are shown as circles and crosses. The integration of the \( dJ_{BS}/ds \) function gives the total bootstrap currents \( J_{BS} \) of 5.24 and 6.45 kA for the linear and flattened current density profiles, respectively.

The VENUS+δf code, with 20000 particles on each of 16 processors, distributed in energy according to the Maxwellian law, demonstrated after several collisional times steady state bootstrap current solutions with Monte Carlo error bars of 10-15% (shown
Bootstrap current calculations with the SPBSC and the VENUS+δf codes for the Large Helical Device

The total bootstrap current \( J_{BS} \approx 7 - 9 \) kA, calculated with the VENUS+δf code, is about 20-40% larger than that obtained with the SPBSC code. This difference is connected with the different simulation models included in these different codes. In the transitional regime between the plateau and collisionless limits, the bootstrap current coefficients of monoenergetical particles, calculated with the DKES, NEO, VENUS+δf codes, significantly exceeds the asymptotical analytical collisionless limit [10]. On the contrary, the SPBSC code is based on the monotonic approximation between the semi-analytical limits and achieves its maximum value in the collisionless limit.

The accuracy of the VENUS+δf code calculations can be improved by increasing the number of particles and, correspondingly, by increasing the required CPU time.

For the complicated 3D structure of the LHD magnetic field spectrum (up to 400 Boozer [26] poloidal and toroidal modes), the accurate fourth order Runge-Kutta integration of drift orbits in the VENUS+δf code has been applied. For a given collisional regime, one VENUS+δf code run for one selected LHD magnetic surface requires about 20 CPU hours on each processor. One bootstrap current profile with 10 radial points requires 200 hours of CPU time on a 16-node Pentium 4-type 3.2 GHz scalar cluster; the total CPU time required for this bootstrap current calculations is equal to 3200 hours. For comparison purposes, the SPBSC code is able to calculate the bootstrap current profile with 100 radial points during just 1 minute on one NEC-SX8 vector processor.

The experimentally measured total bootstrap current in the LHD \( R_{ax} = 3.75m \) configuration with the \( T_0 = 1.0 \) keV, \( n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3} \), \( B_0 = 1.5T \) and \( Z_{eff} = 2.0 \) is equal to 20-30 kA, which corresponds to the SPBSC and the VENUS+δf predictions within a factor of 2-3. Accurate comparison with the LHD experimental results will be performed in the near future with the experimentally obtained density and temperature profiles for ions and electrons.

Figures 4a and 4b show the bootstrap current derivative \( dJ_{BS}/ds \) profiles for the LHD \( R_{ax} = 3.90m \) configuration, calculated with linear \( n = n_0(1 - s) \) and flattened \( n = n_0(1 - s^4) \) density profiles. Central values of the density \( n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3} \) and the temperature \( T_0 = 2.0 \) keV are used for this case that corresponds to a normalized collisional frequency of \( \nu^* = 0.06 \) on the flux label \( s = 0.5 \). The integration of the \( dJ_{BS}/ds \) function, obtained with the SPBSC code, yields total bootstrap currents \( J_{BS} \) of 17.8 (circles) and 13.3 (crosses) kA for the linear and flattened density profiles, respectively. For this LHD \( R_{ax} = 3.90m \) configuration, the linear plasma density significantly increases the total bootstrap current. One can also see the resonant effects (jumps and spike) between \( s = 0.1 \) and \( s = 0.2 \) magnetic surfaces on the SPBSC bootstrap current profiles.

The VENUS+δf code results with the resonance detuning are shown as squares with error bars of 10-15% for the linear (Fig.4a) and for the flattened (Fig.4b) plasma densities. The total bootstrap currents \( J_{BS} \approx 24 \) kA (Fig.4a) and \( J_{BS} \approx 18 \) kA (Fig.4b),
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The experimentally measured total bootstrap current in the LHD $R_{ax} = 3.90m$ configuration with $T_0 = 2.0$ keV, $n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3}$, $B_0 = 1.5T$ and $Z_{eff} = 2.0$ is equal to 15-30 kA, which corresponds to the SPBSC and the VENUS+$\delta f$ predictions within a factor of 1-2.

The total bootstrap currents $J_{BS}$, calculated with the VENUS+$\delta f$ code (marked as squares in Fig.5a and diamonds in Fig.5b) with error bars of 10-15%, are approximately equal to 3.2 kA (Fig.5a) and 3.7 kA (Fig.5b), which is 1-2 kA larger than the SPBSC code results.

The total bootstrap currents in the LHD configuration with the magnetic axis position of $R_{ax} = 4.00m$, calculated with the SPBSC code for $n_0 = 0.5 \times 10^{19}m^{-3}$ and $T_0 = 1.0$ keV, are equal to 2.24 kA and 2.01 kA for the linear and for the flattened plasma density profiles, respectively. This value corresponds within a factor of 2 to the experimentally measured bootstrap current of 4 kA (for $B_0 = 1.5T$ and $Z_{eff} = 2.0$).
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except the near axis region and the regions near the resonances.

The experimentally measured total bootstrap current in the LHD $R_{ax} = 4.05m$ configuration is very small and can be negative up to $-5\,kA$, which corresponds to the SPBSC and the VENUS+δf predictions within a factor of 1-2.

Figure 7 shows the normalized collisional frequency $\nu^*$ profiles, calculated with the SPBSC code, corresponding to the LHD configurations examined in Fig.3b (shown as circles, $R_{ax} = 3.75m$, $T_0 = 1keV$, $n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3}$), in Fig.4b (crosses, $R_{ax} = 3.90m$, $T_0 = 2keV$, $n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3}$), in Fig.5b (squares, $R_{ax} = 4.00m$, $T_0 = 1keV$, $n_0 = 0.5 \times 10^{19}m^{-3}$) and in Fig.6b (stars, $R_{ax} = 4.05m$, $T_0 = 0.5keV$, $n_0 = 2.0 \times 10^{19}m^{-3}$), respectively. For all the cases considered, the normalized collisional frequency $\nu^*$ is enclosed in the interval $[0.05-10]$. Within these collisionality regimes, a reasonable discrepancy of 20-50% between the SPBSC and the VENUS+δf code predictions, obtained in our calculations, is connected with the different simulation models which are used in these codes.

As was reported in Ref. [8], an even larger difference of the order of 2-4 times, between the bootstrap current coefficients predicted by the Shaing-Callen model and the monoenergetic bootstrap current coefficients calculated with the DKES, NEO, VENUS+δf codes can be observed for the LHD configurations in the long-mean-free-path regimes ($\nu^* < 0.01$). The momentum conservation increases the bootstrap current results obtained with the VENUS+δf code by a factor $\sim 2$ for the LHD configurations examined here (triangles on Fig.3a show the results without the momentum conservation.

4. Summary

The updated VENUS+δf code with the momentum correction term and Maxwellian distribution of particles has been successfully applied for the calculations of the bootstrap current in the JT-60U reference tokamak cases and for 3D Large Helical Device configurations.

The essential contribution in the 3D VENUS+δf code development includes: the transition from mono-energetic to Maxwellian distribution of particles; an ion momentum conservation procedure in the collision operator for like-particles; plasma temperature and density gradient effects. This contribution provides the next step towards an accurate calculation of the bootstrap current profile in non-axisymmetric magnetic configurations. In this work, we calculate with the VENUS+δf code only the ion bootstrap current. The total bootstrap current is obtained using the assumption of the equal impact of ions and electrons. This assumption helps us to compare the VENUS+δf code results with the results obtained from the reference tokamak CQLP code [1], [2] and the non-axisymmetric SPBSC code. In the near future, we plan to calculate the electron bootstrap current separately using the simulation model developed in Refs. [22], [32], [33]. Consistent radial electric fields will also be considered later using the ambipolarity condition as in Ref. [34].
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Good agreement that tested the density and temperature gradients bootstrap current driving terms has been obtained with the tokamak reference code CQLP with the full collisional operator. Energy slowing down in the collision operator has not been included so far in the VENUS+δf code. A more detailed investigation of this problem will be considered in the near future.

In this work, the VENUS+δf code has been applied for the first time to calculate the total bootstrap current in a range of LHD configurations. Previously, large computational work for this task has been performed with the SPBSC code based on the Shaing-Callen approach and the semi-analytical fit between the different collisionality regimes. For highly collisional regimes (the normalized collisional frequency ν* > 1), the different simulation codes give very good agreement for the monoenergetic bootstrap current calculations [11]. The total bootstrap current calculations, performed with the SPBSC and with the VENUS+δf codes for the LHD-Rax = 4.05m configuration in the highly collisional regimes, also demonstrate quite satisfactory agreement for the LHD configurations.

The main experimental effect of the outward shifted magnetic axis on the bootstrap current has been confirmed in the simulations. For the LHD configurations with the magnetic axis positions of Rax = 3.75m and Rax = 3.90m the calculated bootstrap current JBS lays in the limits 15-30 kA, while for Rax = 4.00m we get JBS ≈ 5 kA and for Rax = 4.05m the bootstrap current is small and can be negative up to -5 kA. Calculations have been performed with linear temperature and density profiles. In addition a flattened density profile has been used to approach the experimentally measured results. We assumed that both ions and electrons have the same temperature and density profiles, while radial electric fields and islands were neglected.

The difference between the SPBSC and the VENUS+δf codes has been observed near the resonances, since the VENUS+δf code uses the magnetic spectrum from the TERPSICHORE code with resonance detuning. Another difference in the results should be visible for the low collisionality regime due to the different models implemented into the SPBSC and VENUS+δf codes. Indeed a difference about 20-40% has been observed for the regions with low collisionality (ν* < 1) for cases with the magnetic axis positions Rax = 3.75m, 3.90m, 4.00m.

For the complicated magnetic field spectrum of the LHD configurations, the VENUS+δf code requires significant CPU resources. The calculations were performed for several collisional times, the steady state solutions were obtained with error bars on the level of 10-15%. The accuracy of these calculations can be in principle improved with the help of large cluster usage and better code performance.

In order to compare our neoclassical simulations with the LHD experimental results, we will use in the near future experimentally obtained density and temperature profiles for ions and electrons. The LHD super dense core discharges with internal diffusion barriers [35] should be investigated also in the future with new tools, because at the moment only the ideal nested surfaces without magnetic islands are considered in our 3D bootstrap codes.
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Fig. 1. The ratio between the bootstrap current densities calculated with the VENUS+δf code and the CQLP code for the JT-60U tokamak. Results with constant temperature profile (dn/ds = −1, dT/ds = 0) are shown for normalized collisional frequencies $\nu^* = 5.0$ (squares), $\nu^* = 0.5$ (circles), $\nu^* = 0.05$ (stars) for flux labels $s = 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$.

Fig. 2. The ratio between the bootstrap current densities calculated with the VENUS+δf code and the CQLP code for the JT-60U tokamak. Results with constant density profile (dn/ds = 0, dT/ds = −1) are shown for normalized collisional frequencies $\nu^* = 2.0$ (squares), $\nu^* = 0.5$ (circles), $\nu^* = 0.05$ (stars) for flux labels $s = 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$. 
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Fig. 3a. The LHD R_{ax} = 3.75m bootstrap current derivative dJ_{BS}/ds versus the flux label s with the linear plasma density profile n = n_0(1 - s), n_0 = 10^{19} m^{-3}, T_0 = 1.0 keV calculated with the SPBSC code (circles) and with the VENUS+δf code (squares with momentum conservation, triangles without momentum conservation).

Fig. 3b. The LHD R_{ax} = 3.75m bootstrap current derivative dJ_{BS}/ds versus the flux label s with the flattened plasma density profile n = n_0(1 - s^4), n_0 = 10^{19} m^{-3}, T_0 = 1.0 keV calculated with the SPBSC code (crosses) and with the VENUS+δf code (diamonds).
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**Fig. 4a.** The LHD $R_{ax} = 3.90m$ bootstrap current derivative $dJ_{BS}/ds$ versus the flux label $s$ with the linear plasma density profile $n = n_0(1 - s)$, $n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3}$, $T_0 = 2.0$ keV calculated with the SPBSC code (circles) and with the VENUS+$\delta f$ code (squares).

**Fig. 4b.** The LHD $R_{ax} = 3.90m$ bootstrap current derivative $dJ_{BS}/ds$ versus the flux label $s$ with the flattened plasma density profile $n = n_0(1 - s^4)$, $n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3}$, $T_0 = 2.0$ keV calculated with the SPBSC code (crosses) and with the VENUS+$\delta f$ code (squares).
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The LHD $R_{ax} = 4.00m$ bootstrap current derivative $dJ_{BS}/ds$ versus the flux label $s$ with the linear plasma density profile $n = n_0(1 - s)$, $n_0 = 0.5 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$, $T_0 = 1.0$ keV calculated with the SPBSC code (circles) and with the VENUS+δf code (squares).

The LHD $R_{ax} = 4.00m$ bootstrap current derivative $dJ_{BS}/ds$ versus the flux label $s$ with the flattened plasma density profile $n = n_0(1 - s^4)$, $n_0 = 0.5 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$, $T_0 = 1.0$ keV calculated with the SPBSC code (crosses) and with the VENUS+δf code (diamonds).
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Fig. 6a. The LHD $R_{ax} = 4.05m$ bootstrap current derivative $dJ_{BS}/ds$ versus the flux label $s$ with the linear plasma density profile $n = n_0(1 - s)$, $n_0 = 2.0 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$, $T_0 = 0.5$ keV calculated with the SPBSC code (crosses) and with the VENUS+δf code (squares).

Fig. 6b. The LHD $R_{ax} = 4.05m$ bootstrap current derivative $dJ_{BS}/ds$ versus the flux label $s$ with the flattened plasma density profile $n = n_0(1 - s^4)$, $n_0 = 2.0 \times 10^{19} m^{-3}$, $T_0 = 0.5$ keV calculated with the SPBSC code (circles) and with the VENUS+δf code (diamonds).
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$\vdots$

Fig. 7. The normalized collisional frequency $\nu^*$ versus the normalized plasma radius $r/a = s^{0.5}$, calculated with the SPBSC code, corresponding to the LHD configurations explored in Fig.3b (shown as circles, $R_{ax} = 3.75m, T_0 = 1keV, n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3}$), in Fig.4b (crosses, $R_{ax} = 3.90m, T_0 = 2keV, n_0 = 10^{19}m^{-3}$), in Fig.5b (squares, $R_{ax} = 4.00m, T_0 = 1keV, n_0 = 0.5 \times 10^{19}m^{-3}$) and in Fig.6b (stars, $R_{ax} = 4.05m, T_0 = 0.5keV, n_0 = 2.0 \times 10^{19}m^{-3}$).