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The equations and results presented in Ref. [1] are derived from the equations of Ref. [2]. The equations of Ref. [2] are missing a term in the radial derivative of the Maxwell distribution, connected with the free energy in the rotation profile (a detailed explanation is given in Ref. [3]). For the case of strongly rotating plasma with a local rotation gradient, the equations of Ref. [1] should be modified: The Ω appearing in Equations (5-7) should be interpreted as the plasma rotation frequency ωφ which can have a radial variation (unlike the rigidly rotating frame Ω). This becomes important only when radial derivatives are taken. Eq. (12) then becomes

\[ \frac{R}{L_n} \frac{L_n}{L_n} \bigg|_{R_0} = \left( \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial \psi} + \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi} \right) \frac{m_i \Omega^2 (R^2 - R_0^2)}{2(T_e + T_i)^2} - \frac{m_i \Omega^2}{T_e + T_i} \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial \psi} \bigg|_\theta - R_0 \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \psi} \bigg|_\theta \right) + \frac{\partial \omega_\phi \Omega m_i}{\partial \psi} \left( R^2 - R_0^2 \right) \]  

(12)

and Eq. (14) becomes

\[ S = - \mathbf{v}_E \cdot \left[ \frac{\nabla n_{R_0}}{n_{R_0}} - \frac{m \Omega^2 R_0}{T} \frac{\partial R_0}{\partial \psi} \bigg|_\theta \right] \nabla \psi + \left( \frac{v_{th}^2}{\nu} + \frac{(\mu B + E)}{T} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \nabla T + \left( \frac{m v_{th} R B_i}{B T} + \frac{m \Omega}{T} [R^2 - R_0^2] \right) \nabla \omega_\phi \right] F_M - Ze \int \frac{dX}{dt} \cdot \nabla \langle \phi \rangle F_M. \]  

(14)

The results presented in Ref. [1] are all correct for the case of a strongly rotating plasma with no local rotation gradient (\nabla \omega_\phi = 0). The additional terms above have recently been implemented in the gyro-kinetic flux tube code GKW [4, 5], allowing simulation of the more general case of a strongly rotating plasma including a rotation gradient. Only the result in Section IV.B for the \( C_u \) coefficient (Fig. 10) needs to be revisited. This figure is reproduced here including the new terms. It can be seen that the new term has a significant impact on the results; the coefficient \( C_u \) changes sign for the ITG case, and is much larger for the TEM case considered. This result represents the case in which the bulk plasma species have no rotation gradient, but the impurity species is given an independent rotation gradient for calculation of \( C_u \). The convective pinch coefficient \( C_p \) is unchanged for this (somewhat unphysical) case. In general, however, the rotation gradient of the bulk plasma species enters
in radial derivative of the centrifugal potential $\Phi$ and can have a significant influence on $C_p$ (see Refs. [6, 7]). The impurity transport results presented in Ref. [1] therefore describe one specific case and should not be considered to be generic results for ITG or TEM.
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**FIG. 10:** (Updated) Rotodiffusive coefficient $C_u$ for trace species deuterium, helium, carbon, and tungsten for GKW-ITG and GKW-TEM cases both with $k\theta_{\rho_s} = 0.304$.

The influence of the new terms is significant only for particle and impurity transport. However, it can be seen from the modified Fig. 10 that the difference in impurity transport due to these new terms can be substantial. In general, therefore, the additional radial gradient in the background distribution cannot be neglected for a strongly rotating plasma with a non-uniform angular rotation frequency.
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