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Abstract

This thesis is a corpus-based study of adverbial clauses in Mandarin Chinese. Adverbial clauses are optional subordinate clauses modifying a main clause. The corpora used in this thesis are the PFR Chinese Corpus, the Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese (LCMC) and the CALLHOME Mandarin Chinese Transcripts Corpus.

A manually-parsed sample skeleton treebank, containing some 100,000 word tokens was built to enable the exploration of adverbial clauses. It shows that Chinese adverbial clauses (CACs) are overtly marked by a subordinating conjunction.

On the basis of 57 adverbial subordinators as used in the PFR corpus (a homogeneous corpus of journalistic texts), I adopt a problem-oriented tagging approach and identify 2,417 adverbial clauses in the corpus. Eleven semantically based classes are defined, namely, clauses of condition, concession, purpose, cause/reason, contrast, result, exception, addition, inference, preference and time.

The non-overt subjects (PRO) in CACs prove to be constrained by the PRO theorem of Government and Binding Theory in that they occur only in ungoverned positions and are thus properly licensed. The distribution of PROs varies significantly across the semantic domains of adverbial clauses: overt subjects are commonly used in concessive and causal clauses, while non-overt subjects are predominant in clauses of condition and are slightly less frequently used in clauses of purpose, contrast and result. The other semantic types of adverbial clause do not show a significant difference in their use of overt and non-overt subjects. The distribution of PROs is explained in terms of information structure in that the management of given/new information acts as a constraint for the selection of subject type in CACs.

Results obtained from the LCMC corpus (a balanced corpus with fifteen distinct text types) indicate that conditional and concessive clauses are not a marked feature of journalistic writing. The distribution of subjects varies across adverbial semantic domains but it does not vary within certain text types of the corpus. The effect of semantic domain on the distribution of subjects, however, depends on text type.

In contrasting the distribution of adverbial clauses and their subjects in CALLHOME (a corpus of telephone conversations) and that in the LCMC, it is shown that there is a relatively low frequency of purpose, result and contrast clauses in both conversation and narrative texts, and clauses of reason and exception strongly favour overt subjects in both spoken and written Chinese. However, clauses of concession in spoken Chinese do not show such a marked preference for a particular kind of subject, indicating an important difference in spoken and written registers’ use of adverbial clauses.
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Introduction

Chapter One

Introduction

1.1. Corpus-based approach to studying adverbial clauses

This thesis is motivated by the fact that none of the previous analyses of adverbial clauses in Chinese have based their illustrative examples and exposition on extensive corpus evidence. Rather, researchers have typically relied on their own intuitions about language (e.g. Liu et al., 1996; Chu and Chi, 1999), sometimes supplemented by adapting example sentences from influential novels (e.g. Ding et al., 1979).

Recent work by Wang (1995, 1998, 1999 and 2002) breaks fresh ground in studying adverbial clauses by adopting a corpus-based approach. She quantitatively analyses the distribution and information structure of four main types of adverbial clause (viz temporal, conditional, concessive and causal clauses) in spoken Chinese on the basis of a corpus of six hours worth of naturally occurring face-to-face, two party, and multi-party conversations and call-in broadcasts on local radio and television in Taiwan. However, her studies focus solely on a limited range of adverbial clauses and are largely based on the spoken register of Chinese. Also her spoken corpus is rather small and yields just some 700 adverbial clauses in total. Hence, the novelty of a corpus-based study on adverbial clauses in written Chinese as well as an in-depth analysis on the typology of adverbial clauses in Chinese argue for a more thorough quantitative and qualitative account of them in order to discover new insights into their use in written data. Furthermore, as far as adverbial clauses are concerned, theoretically informed corpus-based research is rare (cf. Quintero, 2002). More work can therefore be done on marrying corpus linguistics with linguistic theory
in this area. This thesis aims to achieve such a marriage.

To investigate the use and structure of a grammatical construction, most researchers have found it profitable to investigate constructions that occur relatively frequently, since if a construction occurs too infrequently, it is often hard to make strong generalisations about its form and usage (Meyer, 1991). For this reason, to study infrequent linguistic constructions, it is often necessary to study reasonably large corpora, like the two corpora of written Chinese used in this thesis, both of which contain one million words, namely the PFR Chinese Corpus and the Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese (henceforth LCMC).

1.2. Research objectives and the organisation of the thesis

Given the need for a corpus-based approach to linguistic theory and the need for a more extensive corpus-based account of a wider spectrum of adverbial clauses in written Chinese, this thesis uses a skeleton treebank (i.e. a corpus annotated with basic level syntactic constituents) to explore the syntactic structure of the Chinese language in order to shed light on the following research questions.

(1) How does the sample skeleton treebank help in the identification of adverbial clauses and revealing the peculiarities of Chinese syntactic properties?

(2) What are the adverbial subordinators in Chinese that are responsible for overtly marking adverbial clauses?

(3) Which semantic roles do these adverbial clauses play in relation to the main
clause they modify?

(4) Does the PRO theorem in the Government and Binding (GB) Theory apply in written Chinese?

(5) How do the semantic types of adverbial clauses vary across genres/text types in written Chinese?

(6) How does the distribution of PROs vary across both semantic domains and text types in written Chinese?

(7) Do research findings based on written Chinese hold for spoken Chinese?

In the course of exploring the adverbial subordinators in the PFR corpus, a critique will be provided of the catch-all term 连词 lianci “conjunction” as it has been used in Chinese grammars to refer to both a coordinating conjunction and a subordinating conjunction (Lu and Ma, 1990; Hou, 1998). As will be demonstrated in Chapter Six (section 6.1), Chinese is a pro-drop language (Huang, 1989) i.e. a language which allows the omission of a subject in a clause. While there is an immense literature on null subjects in Chinese (see, for example, Huang, 1987 and Chen, 1990), the focus of the previous literature was on pro-drop in complement clauses and not on pro-drop in adverbial clauses. Hence, my thesis contributes by investigating null subjects in Chinese adverbial clauses in order to fill the gap in the literature of the pro-drop phenomenon. In particular, this thesis focusses upon the distribution of non-overt subjects across various semantic types of adverbial clauses because certain of these adverbial clause types (e.g. purpose and contrast clauses) may
show a stronger tendency for dropping the subject than other types. By *a priori* reasoning, if a person performs an action (as described in the main clause), s/he must intend to do it for a particular purpose (as described in the adverbial clause of purpose). Thus the subject of the purpose clause is likely to be omitted, which is always the same as the subject of the associated main clause. Clauses of contrast make a contrast between two situations described in the main clause and the adverbial clause. The two situations are closely related to each other as they are in fact two contrasting descriptions regarding the same subject; the situation of the main clause is taken to be wrong and the situation of the adverbial contrastive clause is what is right about the subject of the main clause. It is therefore hardly surprising that the subject of the contrastive clause, which is co-referential with the subject of the main clause, can be dropped. Yet all of these predictions stem purely from intuitions about the behaviour of adverbial clauses. To test these introspective assumptions, a corpus-based analysis is conducted in this thesis into how null subjects distribute across adverbial semantic classes.

In pursuing these research objectives, my thesis is organised into three major parts. The first part, Chapter Two, deals primarily with issues relating to the PFR Chinese Corpus, including a brief history of the construction of this corpus and the annotation of sentence boundary markers in the part-of-speech (POS) tagged corpus; the LCMC corpus will also be briefly described. Though it is a corpus-based study, my thesis is not atheoretical. In my thesis, a corpus-based approach to theory is advocated. The approach taken to the investigating of my research questions is as follows: rather than set out to use corpus data to testify the validity of theoretical assumptions, I start my research by examining my corpus data closely, looking for any systematic patterns in the behaviour of the adverbial clause; those patterns or
properties of adverbial clauses are then explained in a theoretical framework that lends itself well to the analysis of similar phenomena. In other words, my work does not presuppose the use of nor the rejection of a particular theoretical framework; rather, when it becomes relevant to my discussion of the corpus data, a theory is selected on its merits and adopted to explain my findings. Hence in the second part of my thesis (Chapters Three to Five), as a prelude to the theory based approach of the third part, initial results are presented in relatively theory-neutral terms, to make the emerging patterns of adverbial clauses in Chinese as accessible to linguists as possible. In the third part (Chapters Six to Eight), the same data are analysed within the Government and Binding Theory Framework in order to understand the description of the adverbial clause developed in this thesis within a theoretical framework in which a theorem (PRO theorem) is important to the explanation of the occurrence of non-overt subjects in the adverbial clause. The findings concerning the distribution of non-overt subjects are then put to the test in the LCMC corpus which, unlike the PFR corpus, is a balanced corpus with fifteen text types of written Chinese and can therefore provide a sound basis for making reliable generalisations of the properties of adverbial clauses in written Chinese across a range of genres. A contrastive study of the distribution of non-overt subjects in the adverbial clauses in spoken and written Chinese is also conducted on the basis of the CALLHOME Mandarin Chinese Transcripts Corpus, which is a spoken Chinese corpus developed in 1996.

1.2.1. Brief chapter summaries

Chapter One, the present chapter, expressly states the rationale and research objectives of this thesis.
Chapter Two will present a brief review of the development of written Chinese corpora and their use in linguistics and beyond. The two written corpora used in this thesis, the PFR Chinese Corpus and the LCMC corpus, will also be described. A literature review of previous studies of Chinese adverbial clauses will be presented, most of which is concerned with the discourse functions of adverbial clauses in different positions in a sentence – either they are placed clause-initially (i.e. before all obligatory elements) or clause-finally (i.e. after all obligatory elements) – and this positioning influences the discourse functions the clause takes. Following from this, I will justify why a comprehensive analysis of the interclausal semantic roles of adverbial clauses is worth undertaking.

Chapter Three will describe at length how to produce a skeleton treebank by using a sample text of approximately 100,000 word tokens (amounting to about 2,500 Chinese sentences) to build up a body of examples of adverbial clauses. A clearly-defined parsing scheme will be given, which comprises 17 constituent labels and 11 textual markers, followed by a detailed treatment of the bracketing system and parsing guidelines. A discussion of the difficulties that I encountered during the manual parsing process will be included and the sample skeleton treebank will be evaluated against some quality-control criteria.

Chapter Four is devoted to the identification of adverbial subordinators that occur in the PFR Chinese Corpus. I will offer a critique of the vague grammatical category liançì that was traditionally employed in Chinese grammars to refer to both a coordinating conjunction and a subordinating conjunction. I will develop a more fine-grained approach to classifying different types of conjunctions in Chinese. A working definition of an adverbial subordinator and a list of subordinators used in my
corpus will also be given. Some observations about the use of these adverbial subordinators will also be made.

Chapter Five will concentrate on a detailed and systematic typology of the adverbial clauses found in my corpus. I adopt a problem-oriented tagging approach in identifying the adverbial clauses together with their associated main clauses, and propose eleven interclausal semantic domains of adverbial clauses. Adverbial subordinators which are used to mark these semantic relations will be given and illustrated with examples drawn from the corpus. It will be shown that some semantic classes of adverbial clauses are linked so that they even share the same introductory adverbial subordinator: both clauses of purpose and result can be introduced by the adverbial subordinator 从而 conger “in order to; consequently”. The syntactic and semantic characteristics of two interesting subordinators, 因为 yinwei “because” and 而是 ershi “rather”, will be discussed. Finally, a table of the frequency of occurrence of each adverbial clause type will be provided.

Chapter Six will focus on non-overt NPs, represented as PRO in Government and Binding Theory, which occur as the subject of the Chinese adverbial clause. I will first justify the postulation of such an empty category and then examine its features, distribution and interpretation. More specifically, the feature composition of PRO will be argued to be anaphoric and pronominal, and the interpretation of PRO will be shown to be regulated by control theory in different forms of control such as obligatory control and subject control. PRO will be proved to occur only in ungoverned positions, and its distribution among different semantic types of adverbial clauses will also be discussed.
Chapter Seven will discuss the occurrence of the eleven semantic classes of adverbial clauses in the fifteen genres of the LCMC corpus as well as the distribution of non-overt subjects across both semantic domains of adverbial clauses and genres of written Chinese. The semantic types of adverbial clauses will be shown to occur more frequently in certain text types. The results obtained in Chapter Six will also be compared with those obtained in this chapter. The hypothesis that the effect of adverbial semantic domain on the use of PRO depends on text type will be tested, and the influence of text type on the type of control of PRO will be considered.

Chapter Eight will present the differences between spoken and written Chinese in the use of adverbial clauses. Previous accounts of differences between spoken and written registers will be addressed when they become relevant to my discussion of the behaviour of the adverbial clause in these two modes. The results obtained from the two written Chinese corpora (i.e. the PFR and LCMC corpora) will also be compared with those obtained from the CALLHOME corpus. In this chapter, I will investigate whether or not the distribution pattern of adverbial clauses in narrative texts of written Chinese can be found in spoken Chinese, and discuss the differences between spoken and written Chinese in the contrast of the type of control of PRO and the distribution of subjects across adverbial semantic domains between the LCMC and CALLHOME.

Chapter Nine will conclude the thesis by summarising my research findings. The potential limitations of this thesis will also be discussed. Finally, future research on the exploitation of Chinese corpora in language studies will also be suggested.
Chapter Two

General Review

In this chapter, a definition of the term corpus (section 2.1) and a brief survey of Chinese corpora (section 2.2) will be given. A detailed description of the PFR Chinese Corpus used in this thesis will also be provided. Section 2.3 of this chapter is concerned with my object of study, adverbial clauses. This section provides a review of the literature on corpus-based accounts of adverbial clauses with a discussion of features such as the characterisation of adverbial clauses and their syntactic/semantic and discourse properties. This review of previous work on adverbial clauses will provide a justification of the focus of this thesis on the adverbial clause.

2.1. Defining corpus

Modern corpora are typically large, finite, collections of language data in machine readable form. Yet a corpus is more than a simple collection of data; rather it is “a large and principled collection of natural texts” (Biber, Conrad and Reppen, 1998:12). A principled collection is distinct from a haphazard collection of materials; it attempts to represent language in such a way as to control for a range of variables. These variables may be wide ranging, e.g. genre, speaker’s age or context/situation of utterances. In attempting to control such variables, most corpus builders appeal to the concepts of sampling and representativeness. Sampling involves the process of determining how many text samples are required for valid generalisations to be made about the variety of language under examination and what range of language users need to be selected for a valid representation of the population supplying the text samples (Meyer, 2002:40). A corpus is representative of the language variety being
examined if it accurately reflects the tendencies of that variety (Biber, 1990; 1993a; 1993b). Hence, a refined definition of a corpus is that a corpus is a collection of machine-readable texts which are of finite size and carefully sampled in order to be maximally representative of a language variety being studied (Leech, 1992; Kirk, 1994; McEnery and Wilson, 2001:32; McEnery, 2003:449-450).

It is important to note, as other corpus linguists do (see, for example, Chafe, 1992:88; Biber, Conrad and Reppen, 1998:9; Kennedy, 1998:7; McEnery and Wilson, 2001:25), in advance of reviewing corpus-based studies, that corpus-based analysis should not be treated as being in competition with linguists’ intuitions and other theories of language; rather it is complementary to these approaches. Corpus linguistics is a methodology that can easily be combined with other forms of linguistics (Leech, 1992). My thesis is contributing to corpus linguistics in this spirit, by combining corpus and theoretical linguistics. In the following subsections, a brief history of Chinese corpora and their uses in various fields will be considered.

2.2. Chinese corpora and their application

With the creation of English corpora, Chinese corpora began to come into being. While the earliest machine readable corpora in English are the Brown corpus (Francis and Kučera, 1964) and the LOB corpus (Johansson et al., 1978), both of which sample the American/British English language used in 1961, the earliest machine readable Chinese corpus is the Modern Chinese Word Frequency Corpus (Beijing Yuyan

---

1 The Frown (Freiburg-Brown) corpus (Hundt et al., 1999) and the FLOB (Freiburg-Lancaster-Oslo-Bergen) corpus (Hundt et al., 1998), both of which sample the American/British English language used in 1991, are modern equivalents of the Brown and LOB corpora respectively.
Xueyuan Yuyan Jiaoxue Yanjiusuo, 1986), which commenced constructing in 1979 and was completed in 1983, as reviewed in Feng (2002) and Sun et al. (2002). As my thesis is mainly based on data taken from written Chinese corpora, it is important in this chapter to give a survey of the Chinese written corpora.2

2.2.1. A survey of corpora of written Chinese

One of the most well-known written Chinese corpora is the Mandarin Chinese PH (People's Republic of China Xin Hua) Corpus (Guo, 1993).3 It comprises news texts taken from the Xinhua News Agency which were written between January 1990 and March 1991. After the publication of the PH corpus, many Chinese corpora came into being such as the Mandarin Chinese News Text4, the PFR People's Daily Chinese Corpus (Yu, 1999), the Chinese Penn Treebank (Xia et al., 2000; Chiou et al., 2001; Xue et al., 2002) and the Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese or LCMC (McEnery et al., 2003)5. These corpora, with the exception of the LCMC corpus, are not balanced: instead of containing a range of genres of written Chinese, they consist of a homogeneous collection of journalistic texts6 taken roughly from the period 1994 to 1998. It is inevitable that the texts that they contain are rather restricted and not particularly representative of written Chinese. In contrast, the Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese is a balanced and representative corpus, divided into 2000-word

2 The information about the Chinese corpora that are described below is provided by the following websites:
   (1) Linguistic Data Consortium (LDC): http://www.ldc.upenn.edu/Catalog/;
   (2) Oxford Text Archive (OTA): http://ota.ahds.ac.uk/;
   (3) David Lee’s web page: http://devoted.to/corpora;
   (4) Barbara Manuel’s web page: http://www.bmanuel.org/.
3 The corpus is in GB code and its cleaned-up (with punctuations and clearly recognised proper names) segmented version can be freely downloaded by FTP as a single file (ftp://ftp.cogsci.ed.ac.uk/pub/chinese/).
4 See LDC’s web page at http://www.ldc.upenn.edu/Catalog/ for description.
6 While most Chinese corpora are part-of-speech tagged, the Chinese Penn Treebank is annotated with syntactic bracketing.
samples representing varying text types/genres of written Chinese, including press
reportage, editorials, government documents, technical writing and fiction. The
corpus was built as a match, in terms of sampling frame, for the FLOB corpus (Hundt
et al., 1998).

In this thesis, I use the PFR corpus as the training corpus to explore as many
features of the adverbial clause as possible. I use the LCMC corpus as the test corpus
to obtain a more comprehensive analysis of the use of adverbial clauses in written
Chinese. While the LCMC corpus will be described in more detail in Chapter Seven,
the PFR corpus is discussed in the following subsections as it will be used in Chapters
Three, Four, Five and Six.\(^7\)

2.2.2. The PFR Chinese Corpus

The PFR (Peita-Fujitsu-Renmin Ribao) People’s Daily POS Tagged Chinese Corpus
(abbreviated to PFR Chinese Corpus hereafter) Release 1.0 was produced by the joint
effort of the Institute of Computational Linguistics of the Peking University, the
People’s Daily Newspaper and the Fujitsu Research and Development (R&D) Centre
Limited.\(^8\) With the permission of the People’s Daily News and Information Centre,
this corpus was based on extracts taken from one of the most popular Chinese
newspapers, the People’s Daily, from January to June in 1998. The corpus was
composed of about 27 million Chinese characters, which were properly segmented
into some 10 million words. These words were then annotated with part-of-speech

\(^7\) The reason why I used the PFR corpus rather than the LCMC for the bulk of this research is that the
latter was not ready early enough (until my second year of study).

\(^8\) See the description available at: http://www.icl.pku.edu.cn/icl_res/default_en.asp.
tags. In April 2001, the three corpus builders agreed to provide the public with free access to part of their research output, which contains the set of newspaper extracts assembled in January 1998, totalling some 3,000,000 characters, corresponding to about 1 million Chinese words. This subcorpus, on which part of my thesis is based, is freely available to the research community to use. Yet the PFR Chinese Corpus consists of texts more restricted than most researchers would ideally like as it covers only one publisher, one genre and a very narrow time span. However, its value should not be ignored as it is one of the largest part-of-speech tagged Chinese corpora freely available at the time of writing.

2.2.2.1. The PFR tagset

Texts in the PFR corpus are arranged in ascending order according to date, page number and paragraph number. Moreover, every word of the texts is POS tagged. The tagset \(^9\) comprises 26 basic word classes, including noun \((n)\), time word \((t)\), space word \((s)\), directional locality \((f)\), numeral \((m)\), classifier \((q)\), non-predicate adjective \((b)\), pronoun \((r)\), verb \((v)\), adjective \((a)\), descriptive \(^{12}\) \((z)\), adverb \((d)\), preposition \((p)\), conjunction \((c)\), auxiliary \((u)\), modal particle \((y)\), interjection \((e)\), onomatopoeia \((o)\), idiom \(^{13}\) \((i)\), fixed expression \((l)\), abbreviation \((j)\), prefix \(^{14}\) \((h)\), suffix \(^{15}\) \((k)\).

---

\(^9\) As a by-product of the corpus construction, the institute has recently launched in its web page an online tool for word segmentation and POS tagging for short or medium-sized Chinese texts. See http://www.icl.pku.edu.cn/icl%5Fres/segtag98/.

\(^{10}\) As the subset of PFR was not chosen by me but was made freely available online by corpus compilers, I would assume that the question of how to “balance” the selections is an issue beyond the scope of this thesis.

\(^{11}\) The tagset that was used in the PFR Chinese Corpus was actually extended from the one proposed in Yu et al. (1998).

\(^{12}\) Descriptives are typically formed by reduplication or compounding, for example, 实实在在 shìshízàizì “indeed, really, honestly”, 綠茵茵 lǜyīnyīn “green”, 久远 jiǔyuǎn “far back, ages ago, remote”, 烂漫 lànmàn “bright-coloured; unaffected”.

\(^{13}\) In Chinese, idioms, or 成语 chéngyǔ, are expressions with a frozen internal structure. Their constituents and structure cannot be described in terms of morphological categories. They have to be treated as single morphological units. They should be distinguished from the fixed expressions, or...
morpheme\textsuperscript{16} (g), unclassified item\textsuperscript{17} (x), and punctuation (w). Apart from this basic set of 26 POS markers, proper nouns were divided into personal names (nr), place names (ns), organisation names (nt) and other proper nouns (nz). Furthermore, another 20 markers which address the peculiarities of Chinese linguistics were used, allowing for linguistic investigations specific to Chinese. The following table gives a description of the abbreviations used in this PFR tagset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Tagset</th>
<th>POS (in Chinese)</th>
<th>POS (in English)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>形语素</td>
<td>Adjective Morpheme\textsuperscript{18}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>形容词</td>
<td>Adjective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ad</td>
<td>副形词</td>
<td>Adjective as Adverbial\textsuperscript{19}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>an</td>
<td>名形词</td>
<td>Adjective with Nominal Function\textsuperscript{20}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bg</td>
<td>区别语素</td>
<td>Non-predicate Adjective Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>区别词</td>
<td>Non-predicate Adjective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>连词</td>
<td>Conjunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dg</td>
<td>副语素</td>
<td>Adverb Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>副词</td>
<td>Adverb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>叹词</td>
<td>Interjection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>方位词</td>
<td>Directional Locality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>语素</td>
<td>Morpheme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\footnotesize{用语 xiyongyu, the internal structure of which can be broken down into meaningful morphological units.}
\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{14} Examples include 非 fei “not”, 超 chao “super”, 无 wu “not”, 过 guo “too”, etc.}
\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{15} Examples include 儿 er “little”, 们 men “expressing plurality”, 型 xing “model, type”, 式 shi “type, style”, etc.}
\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{16} Examples are 桌 zhuo “table”, 身 shen “body”, 鸭 ya “duck”, etc.}
\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{17} Unlike morphemes, unclassified items do not carry any meaning at all. They must be combined with another unclassified item to give a meaningful word. Examples are 鸭 an (-鸭 -chun) “quail”, 蟑 zhang (-螂 -lang) “cockroach”, 蛤 ge (-蛤 -jie) “clam”, etc.}
\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{18} The definition of morpheme was clearly stated in the institute’s corpus annotation manual which states that a morpheme refers to the smallest meaningful unit which cannot be used independently. In Chinese, many characters may have their own meaning but they cannot stand alone (Norman, 1988:154-156). They have to be combined with another character or word in the word formation process. Therefore, an adjective morpheme, resembling a common adjective semantically, is a morpheme signifying an attributive meaning to the word to which it is attached.}
\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{19} It refers to those adjectives functioning as adverbial without any modification to their morphological or phonological form.}
\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{20} It refers to those adjectives which can fulfill nominal functions in a clause.}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Tagset</th>
<th>POS (in Chinese)</th>
<th>POS (in English)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>前接成分</td>
<td>Prefix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>成语</td>
<td>Idiom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>简略语</td>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>后接成分</td>
<td>Suffix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>习用语</td>
<td>Fixed Expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>数语素</td>
<td>Numeric Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>数词</td>
<td>Numeral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ng</td>
<td>名语素</td>
<td>Noun Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>名词</td>
<td>Common Noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>nr</td>
<td>人名</td>
<td>Personal Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>地名</td>
<td>Place Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>nt</td>
<td>机构团体</td>
<td>Organisation Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>nx</td>
<td>外文字符</td>
<td>Nominal Character String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>nz</td>
<td>其它专名</td>
<td>Other Proper Noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>拟声词</td>
<td>Onomatopoeia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>介词</td>
<td>Preposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Qg</td>
<td>量语素</td>
<td>Classifier Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>q</td>
<td>量词</td>
<td>Classifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Rg</td>
<td>代语素</td>
<td>Pronoun Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>代词</td>
<td>Pronoun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>处所词</td>
<td>Space Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>时间语素</td>
<td>Time Word Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>时间词</td>
<td>Time Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Ug</td>
<td>助语素</td>
<td>Auxiliary Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>助词</td>
<td>Auxiliary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Vg</td>
<td>动语素</td>
<td>Verb Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>动词</td>
<td>Verb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>vd</td>
<td>副动词</td>
<td>Verb as Adverbial&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>vn</td>
<td>名动词</td>
<td>Verb with Nominal Function&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>标点符号</td>
<td>Punctuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>非语素字</td>
<td>Unclassified Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Yg</td>
<td>语气语素</td>
<td>Modal Particle Morpheme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>语气词</td>
<td>Modal Particle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>21</sup> It refers to those verbs functioning as adverbial without any change to their form, both morphologically and phonologically.

<sup>22</sup> It refers to those verbs that have acquired some nominal functions in a clause.
2.2.2.2. The format of the PFR corpus

The PFR Chinese Corpus was saved in a plain text with the file extension “txt”. In this corpus, every single line represents a paragraph or headline in the original newspaper scripts. At the beginning of each line, a string of numbers provide detailed information on the date (YYYYMMDD), page number, article number and paragraph number of each line. These are separated from one another by a hyphen -. For instance, as illustrated below, the numerical string “19980101-01-001-001” indicates that the piece of text under examination is the first paragraph of the first article appearing on the first page of the newspaper dated 1st January 1998. This crude metadata was simply tagged as a number in the corpus.

19980101- 01- 001- 001
Date (YYYYMMDD) Page Article Paragraph

19980101-01-001-001/m 迈向/v 充满/v 希望/n 的/a 新/a 世纪
/n 一一/w 一九九八年/t 新年/t 讲话/n （/w 附/v 图片/n 1/m 张/q ）/w

……

Figure 1: An excerpt of PFR text (1)

While there was no blank line between paragraphs of the same article, one blank line was used to mark the boundary between two different articles. For each single
line, words were carefully and consistently segmented and tagged in the form of
“word/POS tag”. These words were then separated by two whitespaces and the last
word of each paragraph (with no following word) was also followed by two spaces to
ensure consistency.

Furthermore, square brackets are used to group together single words belonging
to the same proper noun. For instance, in the text string, “通过/p 【中央/n 人民/n
广播/vn 电台/n]nt  /w tongguo/p 【Zhongyang/n Renmin/n Guangbo/vn
Diantai/n]nt  /w ‘Through the Zhongyang Renmin Broadcasting Station,’”, the pair
of square brackets [ ] marks the beginning and the end of a proper noun, which refers
to a broadcasting organisation, the whole unit being tagged “/nt”. Each single word
inside the square brackets still carries its own POS tag.

| 19980101-01-001-006/m 在/p 1998年/t 来临/v 之际/f /w 我/r 十分/m 高兴/a 地/u 通过/p 【中央/n 人民/n 广播/vn 电台/n]nt  /w [中国/ns 国际/n 广播/vn 电台/n]nt 和/c 【中央/n 电视台/n]nt  /w 向/p 全国/n 各族/r 人民/n /w 向/p 【香港/ns 特别/a 行政区/n]ns 同胞/n /w 澳门/ns 和/c 台湾/ns 同胞/n  /w 海外/s 侨胞/n  /w 向/p 世界/n 各国/hr 的/u 朋友/n 们/k /w 致以/v 诚挚/a 的/u 问候/vn 和/c 良好/a 的/u 祝愿/vn ！/w |

Figure 2: An excerpt of PFR text (2)

2.2.2.3. Modifications to the PFR Chinese Corpus: The use of “underscore” and the breakdown into subcorpora

Almost all of the features that appear in the original PFR Chinese Corpus were used
without modification in my thesis. However, for the purposes of my research, two
minor modifications were made. Firstly, an “underscore” was used to replace the
original “slash” placed between a word and its tag so as to make the corpus more compatible with standard corpora, for example, the British National Corpus (Aston and Burnard, 1998). Secondly, the corpus was broken down into several smaller subcorpora by date for easy retrieval of the language data by corpus tools such as the Multilingual Corpus Toolkit or MLCT (Piao, 2002; Piao et al., 2002). This process broke the corpus into 278 separate files (see Appendix 1 for an inventory of these files).

2.2.2.4. The annotation of the sentence boundaries

As noted earlier (section 2.2.2.1), every word in the PFR corpus is part-of-speech tagged. These part-of-speech tags are useful in those studies in which words of a particular word class are closely examined. However, the part-of-speech information may be of fairly limited use in the study of those grammatical constructions which operate over a phrase and/or clause/sentence boundary; an adverbial clause, for instance, is linked to the main clause preceding or following it and is thus studied at the clausal/sentential level rather than at the word level. The PFR Chinese Corpus as published does not mark any of these boundaries, so I decided to insert sentence boundary markers in my corpus to ease my investigation of the adverbial clause. The Multilingual Corpus Toolkit or MLCT (Piao, 2002; Piao et al., 2002) uses the tool “Sentence/Paragraph Splitter” to annotate sentence and paragraph boundaries. It works well with raw data i.e. data that are not marked with any form of annotation. However, it cannot mark sentence boundaries correctly in POS tagged text. As MLCT does not work properly with POS tagged texts and there is no available corpus tool that can be used to properly mark out the sentence boundaries in my corpus, I decided to write my own computer program in Java for the annotation of the sentence
boundaries. One of the key features of the Java programming language that makes it particularly suitable for processing corpus data of different languages is that it is capable of dealing with non-Latin writing systems (Mason, 2000:5). While most computer languages are restricted for use in basic Latin alphabet (cf. Butler, 1985a) Java supports the full Unicode character set (Graham, 2000; Unicode Consortium, 2000), enabling it to process corpus data in a wide range of writing systems. The Java program used in this thesis is given in Appendix 2.23 For illustrative purposes, I selected a text of rather short length (i.e. 34 sentences) from the corpus and used the program to annotate the sentence boundaries in the input text, as shown in the following output.

Figure 3: An output of the SentenceMarkup Java program

23 As the concepts of Java programming are beyond the scope of this thesis, interested readers can consult some introductory books on how to make a program in Java (see, for example, Farrell, 1999; Cademone, 2001; Russell, 2001; Shelly et al., 2001; Deitel and Deitel, 2002).
2.2.3. The use of Chinese corpora

Like English corpora, Chinese corpora have been used in various fields of linguistics such as lexicography (Jin and Chen, 1995; Chen and Lee, 1996), pragmatics (Yeung, 1997), grammar (Li et al., 1995), etc. Apart from language studies, there are numerous corpus-driven NLP analyses on such topics as improving Chinese text retrieval systems (Liang et al., 1996) as well as on building corpus tools such as Chinese taggers (Liu et al., 1995; Zhang and Sheng, 1997) and Chinese word segmentation tools (Wong and Chan, 1996; Zhang and Sheng, 1996; Sun et al., 1998 and 2000; Yuan and Kim, 1998). Furthermore, corpora can be used by NLP researchers in evaluating the computer processing of Chinese characters (CPCC) such as Wu and White (1990), and developing speech recognition systems (Li et al., 1999).

When reviewing the use of Chinese corpora, it is not surprising to find that Chinese corpora have not been exploited to their full potential in analyses of grammatical phenomena, given the short history and limited number of Chinese corpora available. This in part motivates my research. However, why I decided to focus on adverbial clauses specifically can only be answered by reviewing current research on adverbial clauses.

2.3. An overview of adverbial clauses

2.3.1. Characterisation of adverbial clauses

There are at least three conflicting characterisations of adverbial clauses in the
literature. Firstly, Thompson and Longacre (1985:172) and Häcker (1999:23) consider adverbial clauses to be one of the three types of subordinate clause (together with relative clauses and complement clauses),\textsuperscript{24} and define these adverbial subordinate clauses as ones which modify a verb phrase or a sentence. Secondly, Ford (1993:23) explicitly states three requirements for a grammatical unit to be regarded as an adverbial clause, which were not clearly specified in Häcker’s account: (1) the grammatical unit must have a subject and a verb, i.e. be a clause/sentence; (2) it must be introduced by an adverbial conjunction; and (3) it cannot be functioning as a subject or object of the main clause verb. Thirdly, within the theory of the Functional Grammar, Quintero (2002:16-17) takes an adverbial clause as one which can be removed without affecting the grammaticality of the main clause; unlike the second analysis, the adverbial clause can occur with or without an introductory subordinator, and it can begin with or without a subject.

In my view, a clause is considered subordinate if it depends for its occurrence on another clause. As it is dependent for its occurrence on a main clause and is thus a subordinate clause, an adverbial clause is taken to be structurally optional in that it can be omitted without affecting the grammaticality of the main clause. Whether we decide that it is introduced by a subordinator and whether it has a subject relies chiefly on the extent of adverbial clauses that a particular study intends to cover.

2.3.1.1. **Distinguishing adverbial clauses from complement clauses and relative clauses**

Apart from adverbial clauses, there are two other common types of subordinate clause: complement clauses and relative clauses. Complement clauses function as core arguments of a predicate (Noonan, 1985) and thus they are obligatory constituents of the main clause and cannot be omitted. Adverbial clauses, however, are adjuncts functioning as an adverbial (Thompson and Longacre, 1985:171) and thus they are not obligatory and can be omitted. Like adverbial clauses, (non-defining) relative clauses are adjuncts that can be omitted. However, the two kinds of subordinate clause can be distinguished from each other. Relative clauses have always been a major focus of debate in Chinese linguistics and some scholars (e.g. Chao, 1968; Paul, 2005) claim that they do not exist in Chinese (see Chapter Three, section 3.4.1). Even if relative clauses do exist in Chinese, they are modifiers of a noun phrase (Keenan, 1985), while adverbial clauses modify an associated main clause or a verb phrase (Diessel, 2001:435ff).

Hence, the defining characteristics of dependency and structural optionality are sufficient to separate adverbial clauses from other types of subordinate clauses. On the basis of these previous studies, I will propose in Chapter Three (section 3.5.1.1) an operational definition of the adverbial clause as used in this thesis for the construction of a skeleton treebank. For now, I will proceed on the basis of the definition of the adverbial clause adopted here.
2.3.2. Corpus-based approaches to adverbial clauses

Non corpus-based studies of such complex grammatical constructions as adverbials often depend upon invented, atypical, examples. As Mair (1987: 546) notes, more often than not, the grammaticality of examples used in such cases is questionable. A corpus-based study, which provides an account of a feature based on attested language use, can provide a more reliable evidence base and hence account (see, for example, Chafe, 1986; Biber and Finegan, 1988 and 1989; Kortmann, 1995; Wang, 1995). It is unsurprising, therefore, that there has recently been considerable interest in using corpus-based approaches to investigating adverbial clauses. In the following, various kinds of corpus-based studies of adverbial clauses are briefly reviewed and those analyses are presented with respect to two different perspectives i.e. syntax/semantics and discourse.

2.3.2.1. Syntactic/semantic analyses of adverbial clauses

Adverbial clauses can be expressed in many different ways across the languages of the world, as Hengeveld (1998), who examined a sample of 45 languages, observed. In English, for instance, a distinction can be established between adverbial clauses expressed through two different verb forms, namely independent forms (finite indicative and subjunctive) and dependent forms (infinitive, -ing and past participle forms). These expression formats may be systematically related to the semantic types of adverbial clauses. Greenbaum’s (1973) study, for example, was concerned with the correlation between syntactic behaviour and the semantic classes of adverbial clauses. Setting out to test a generally-held belief that in English an adverbial non-finite clause would be related transformationally to a finite clause, he comes to the conclusion that
the non-finitisation transformation is allowed with some semantic classes of adverbial clauses (viz temporal clauses, concessive clauses and causal clauses) but is blocked with others. This view was confirmed later by Ljung’s (1997) genre-based study in which twenty-two genres of English were examined: it was found that the tendency to use non-finite and verbless adverbial clauses is stronger in temporal clauses than in adverbial clauses expressing other meanings.

As another good illustration of the syntax/semantics interface, Quintero (2002) persuasively demonstrates that while clauses of concession are expressed exclusively through independent verb forms that are introduced by e.g. although, even though, and whilst, clauses of purpose are more likely to be expressed through dependent forms introduced by e.g. in order to. In contrast to most traditional classifications (see, for example, Thompson and Longacre, 1985), the criterion used for the semantic classification of adverbial clauses in Quintero’s study is not simply the basic meaning of the conjunction introducing the subordinate clause. Instead, her classification is based on a systematic and consistent application of four semantic parameters: Entity Type, Time Dependency, Factuality and Presupposition. The relevance of the application of these parameters is not only that they allow for the establishment of a complete and exhaustive typology of adverbial clauses, but they also form the basis for four implicational hierarchies that determine the distribution of expression formats along the different semantic types of adverbial clauses, as illustrated with concession clauses and purpose clauses above.

Though the facts thrown up in these studies appear to form a good basis for the re-evaluation using Chinese language data, it is not possible to study the correlation between verb forms (finite and infinitive clauses) and semantic types of adverbial

2.3.2.2. Discourse analyses of adverbial clauses

It does not come as a surprise that many previous accounts of adverbial clauses are discourse-based analyses as adverbial clauses seem to tie back to the previous discourse in several different ways by specifying time, condition, purpose, etc. As Thompson and Longacre (1985:232-233) point out, “adverbial clauses may be of relevance to a stretch greater than the sentence in which they find themselves” in that “they may provide cohesion for an entire discourse, or they may provide cohesion for some paragraph within it”. In particular, the focus of these studies is concerned with the discourse factors which influence the choice of position for various kinds of adverbial clauses.

In contrast to some previous accounts (see, for example, Tai, 1973, 1975, and 1985) which attribute the differences in adverbial placement to iconic motivation (that is, the temporal clause, for instance, must precede the main clause if the event described in the temporal clause takes place earlier than the one in the main clause), the discourse analyses described in the following adopt a rather disparate approach.25 A case in point is Ford’s (1993) study on the distribution and discourse functions of temporal, conditional and causal adverbial clauses in American English.

25 It should be noted that Greenbaum and Nelson (1996) examine some of the factors (other than cognitive and discourse factors) e.g. clause length that may influence the positional choice of the adverbial clause and conclude that the semantic types of adverbial clauses, rather than clause length, determine the position of the adverbial clause in a sentence. See also Horová (1976) and Hasselgård (1992) for a similar view on the description of spatial and temporal adverbials.
conversations. In her findings, discourse-structuring functions are realised through initial adverbial clauses, while final adverbial clauses tend to work more locally in narrowing main clause meaning without forging links or shifting points in relation to the prior discourse. As illustrated in example (1), *if*-clauses tend to occur initially in order to create temporary discourse realities, introducing and forming the background for the main clause whereas causal clauses are more likely to appear postverbally to give an explanation of the events or states that have been mentioned in the preceding main clause. Temporal clauses are used predominantly in postverbal position to ground the situation described in the main clause in time as in example (2). When they are placed initially, they set the time frame for the whole discourse of sequenced events as in example (3). A similar observation, that the position of an adverbial clause varies with its discourse functions, is made in Diessel’s (2001) typological account of a representative sample of 40 languages: conditional clauses precede the main clause more often than temporal clauses, which in turn are more often preposed than causal, result and purpose clauses. The distributional patterns are motivated by discourse-pragmatic factors.

(1) *If* you wanna leave about eleven, I’ll walk down with you *cause* I have to go to school. (Ford, 1993:48)

(2) So then .hh I was there, I was there. I heard it, doctor knew what he was talking about, made my dad feel comfortable, said that he was talking about, made my dad feel comfortable, said that he’s gonna have this same operation, *when* he’s – in about twenty years, *cause* he had bad knees from football, n-in highschool. (Ford, 1993:71-72)
(3) No I know. I’d be pretty sure it was *when* I went to this thing, When a pe(r)-
when a old man reaches seventy seven, they have this big ceremony, (i wu)s like
this rebirth or, something. An’ they do wha- they carry him on his back, n’ put
him in a chariot, n’ carry him around all the kids drag him around through the
village, an’ stuff (they do all this) the(n’they have these big jars full of this mm-
it’s like fermentented wi(n) or-fermented rice, It’s like they y’know rice- (Ford,
1993:29)

Apart from investigating various adverbial clauses in a single study, some studies
focus on a specific type of adverbial clause; the discourse functions of *conditional
clauses* have recently become a prime concern, for example. While traditional
accounts of conditional clauses are primarily based on introspective judgements (see,
for example, Haiman, 1978; Comrie, 1986; Bhatt and Pancheva, 2001) and are thus
unable to provide a discourse analysis, corpus-based studies (Ford and Thompson,
1986; Ramsay, 1987; Wang, 1998) are well-suited to offering a clear understanding of
the behaviour of the conditional clause in discourse. These studies have come to more
or less the same conclusion that can be attested in such languages as English and
Chinese: the positioning of the conditional clause i.e. before or after the main clause is
determined by the organisation of discourse: while initial conditional clauses have a
clear discourse function by creating backgrounds for subsequent propositions as in
example (4), final conditional clauses qualify or elaborate the previous clause without
displaying an explicit connection with preceding or subsequent discourse as in
example (5).

(4) *If* things are allowed to drift, … there will be an atomic war. In such a war, even
if the worst consequences are avoided, Western Europe, including Great Britain,
will be virtually exterminated. If America and the U.S.S.R. survive as organized states, they will presently fight again. (Ford and Thompson, 1986:358)

(5) Our confused and difficult world needs various things if it is to escape disaster, and among these one of the most necessary is that, in the nations which still uphold Liberal beliefs, these beliefs should be whole-hearted and profound, not apologetic towards dogmatisms ... (Ford and Thompson, 1986:360)

Besides conditional clauses, the theme that preposed adverbial clauses perform a different job in discourse than do postponed ones holds across other adverbial clause types. Thompson’s (1985) paper on the distribution of purpose clauses in English texts is another attempt at defining the distribution of adverbial clauses in discourse. She identifies the discourse factors that determine whether a purpose clause will be placed before or after its main clause and concludes that initial and final clauses are performing different functions in discourse. Initial purpose clauses in English guide the attention of the reader in a very specific way, by stating a problem which arises from expectations created by the text or inferences from it, to which the following material of more clauses provides a solution, as shown in example (6). Final purpose clauses do not have the same function but a very localised one: they only serve to state the purpose for which the action named in the main clause is performed, as shown in example (7). Furthermore, Thompson (1983) scrutinises the discourse function of adverbial –ing clauses (both in the initial and final positions) in English and shows that these clauses serve as a local grounding device: they are background specifically for the associated main clause in that either they provide material that further elaborates what is in the main clause or they represent an event co-occurring simultaneously with or providing a comment on or motivation for the event described
in the main clause.

(6) Brendan was rushing madly farther and farther out to sea. To slow her down we streamed a heavy rope in a loop from the stern and let it trail in the water behind us to act as a brake, and, hopefully, to smooth the worst of the wave crests. From the stern also dangled a metal bucket; only twenty-four hours earlier we had been using it to cook an excellent meal of Irish crabs. Now it clanked mournfully every time a wave broke against it. (Thompson, 1985:62)

(7) George had always been my first choice for crew. Twenty-six years old, he had served in the army and later gone to the Middle East to train soldiers for an oil-rich sheik. With the money saved from this venture, he had decided to take a couple of years looking around the world and pleasing himself. (Thompson, 1985:68)

Abraham (1991), Kirkpatrick (1993) and Wang (1999 and 2002) characterise Chinese causal clauses with reference to their patterns of occurrence in discourse. While both Abraham and Kirkpatrick argue for “because-therefore” sequence as the preferred pattern for expressing causal relations in Chinese, Wang forcefully demonstrates, on the basis of a spoken corpus, that causal clauses in spoken Chinese occur overwhelmingly after their modified main clause in order to provide a further account or clarification of the prior utterance made by the speaker, thereby providing more information to promote better mutual understanding in communication. A similar pattern has been found in English causal relations as well (Newsome, 1958; Altenberg, 1984; Schiffrin, 1985). As Altenberg’s study has shown, in both the London-Lund Corpus of Spoken English (LLC) and the Lancaster-Oslo/Bergen
Corpus of British English, RC (or result-cause) order is preferred to CR (or cause-result) order; what determines this causal sequence is the contextual or pragmatic adjustment which facilitates the progression of discourse topic and interaction among participants in discourse.

These studies of adverbial clauses, by and large, concentrate on the discourse functions of just a few semantic classes of the adverbial clause (e.g. conditional, temporal and causal adverbial clauses) and rely on spoken corpora. The discourse functions of adverbial clauses have been examined rather thoroughly, studies of which converge on the conclusion that initial adverbial clauses are used to set a frame for the following clauses whereas final adverbial clauses are used to modify the preceding main clause only. As Wang (1998, 1999 and 2002) has taken those claims in the literature and re-evaluated and corroborated them in the light of Chinese data, there seems to be no point in carrying out a further investigation of this matter as it is unlikely that new, significant, insights would be offered. More research, however, is needed to give an in-depth analysis of all of the potential semantic types of adverbial clauses in Chinese as previous work has mainly focussed on a subset of the semantic domains. This analysis will be conducted using a large amount of written and spoken corpus data in this thesis. Furthermore, I will adopt a theoretically informed corpus-based approach in my thesis as previous studies of adverbial clauses taking this approach are rather limited in the sense that rather than combine theoretical and corpus linguistics (e.g. Quintero, 2002), these studies are based on corpus evidence without using a theoretical framework (e.g. Greenbaum, 1973; Thompson and Longacre, 1985) or they are based on a theory formed by introspections at the expense of a wealth of language data that can be obtained from a corpus (e.g. Comrie, 1986; Givón, 1993:287-313 and 2001:330-351; Bhatt and Pancheva, 2001). This thesis
therefore aims to achieve a corpus-based, wide ranging, theoretically informed study of all semantic types of adverbial clauses in Chinese.

2.4. Chapter summary

Previous accounts of adverbial clauses examine their syntactic/semantic properties and discourse functions. The distribution of different verb forms that are used in adverbial clauses tends to be systematically related to their semantic roles; for instance, there is a strong tendency for temporal and purpose adverbial clauses to be expressed by non-finite rather than finite verb forms. The discourse function of adverbial clauses has been a major focus of recent research. It has been convincingly demonstrated that the positioning of an adverbial clause, before or after the main clause, is largely determined by the organisation of discourse. Initial adverbial clauses appear to set a frame or create a background for subsequent clauses and thus they have a clear discourse function, whereas final adverbial clauses play a more localised role in that they qualify or elaborate the main clause with which they are associated without having any clear connection to the preceding or following discourse.

Yet previous analyses focus only on a limited range of adverbial clauses in Chinese and those analyses are mainly based on spoken data. In the light of this, my research contributes by providing a comprehensive, corpus-based, theoretically informed analysis of all of the potential semantic types of adverbial clauses in Chinese on the basis of both spoken and written data.
Chapter Three

Treebanking: The Compilation of a Sample PFR Corpus of Skeleton-parsed Sentences

3.1. Introduction

The PFR Chinese Corpus used in this thesis is part-of-speech (POS) tagged. For the purposes of this thesis, however, I decided to add a further level of annotation to the corpus – constituent structure or syntactic annotation. As its name suggests, syntactic annotation makes explicit the constituent structure of sentences, usually in the form of labelled brackets. In the following sections, I will discuss the methods and issues relevant to the syntactic annotation of the PFR Chinese Corpus by building a sample treebank. The goal in undertaking this annotation is to ease the identification of adverbial clauses in Chinese, which is the prime object of my thesis.

Treebanks are simply corpora in which syntactic constituent structure is made explicit by a process of corpus annotation (Leech and Garside, 1991:15; Abeillé, 2003:xiv). My major concern here is not with software to achieve this annotation automatically (as at the time of writing, there are no effective available parsers designed for the Chinese language), but with the establishment of a parsing scheme and its manual application to written Chinese corpus data. More specifically, the approach taken here is inspired by the skeleton parsing approach (Eyes and Leech, 1993; Garside, 1993; Black et al., 1996; Leech and Eyes, 1997). Skeleton parsing seeks to produce simplified constituent-structure annotations. I do not intend to go into a deep or logical annotation which would apply functional labels for constituents (e.g. subject, object, etc.) as traditional reference grammar books do (Quirk et al.,
1985). Rather, I will focus on categorial labels such as noun phrase, prepositional phrase, adverb phrase, etc.

The reason why I use categorial labels rather than functional labels is that the former suffice for the identification of my object of study. Adverbial clauses function only as adjuncts in the sentences in my corpus. However, not all adjuncts are adverbial clauses: apart from the adverbial clause, an adjunct can take various syntactic forms such as noun phrase, prepositional phrase, adverb phrase, etc., which are all grammatical categories. As will be shown in the description of my parsing scheme (section 3.4), a separate label is set up for a grammatical category to function as an adjunct (e.g. adverbial noun phrase, adverbial prepositional phrase, etc.). As the only grammatical function that is relevant here is adjunct, which can be adequately identified by the use of categorial labels, other grammatical functions such as subject, object, etc. that are not directly related to the goal of this thesis can in principle be put aside for the sake of simplicity in skeleton parsing. I will return, however, in the conclusion of my thesis to the possible advantages of future research considering functional labelling.

3.2. An overview of past parsing projects

Both Bateman et al. (1997) and Leech and Eyes (1997) provide a detailed survey of a variety of approaches taken to syntactic annotation by different projects, namely the Lancaster/IBM Treebank (Barnett et al., 1996), the SUSANNE Corpus (Sampson, 1995), the Nijmegen Treebanks comprising both the Nijmegen Corpus (Keulen, 1986) and the TOSCA Corpus (Oostdijk, 1991), the Constraint Grammar parser of the Helsinki group (Karlsson et al., 1995) and the Penn Treebank (Marcus et al., 1993;
These parsing projects were chiefly concerned with the syntactic annotation of the English language. Recently, however, syntactic annotation has been extended to languages other than English. The Penn Chinese Treebank Project\(^2\) (Xia et al., 2000; Chiou et al., 2001; Xue et al., 2002) is a case in point. While this Chinese treebank initially seemed to be a promising corpus on which to carry out my research, it proved to be unsuitable for my purpose as well, as will be outlined shortly.

The TOSCA and Constraint Grammar based work was also unsuitable; the Nijmegen Treebanks are produced by a semi-automatic parser which provides an interactive environment allowing human intervention to complete and correct the parsing. The Penn Treebank\(^3\) and the Bank of English Corpus were annotated by the Constraint Grammar (CG) parser\(^4\), a fully automatic parser. The TOSCA and CG parsers have one thing in common: they adopt a considerable number of syntactic tags. The CG Framework marks 29 syntactic functions, while the TOSCA annotation scheme contains 16 categorial labels, over 90 functional labels and over 100 attribute labels (e.g. active/passive voice, raised direct object, etc.). My work entails manual parsing and it is difficult for a sole human analyst to annotate consistently and accurately with such complex annotation systems. Hence I rejected the use of the CG and TOSCA schemes and I will not go into details of these automatic/semi-automatic parsing models further in this thesis. Nevertheless, this is not to ignore the annotation schemes totally. The categorial labels were carefully reviewed in working out my final

---

1. Though, the Constraint Grammar system is currently being developed for Finnish (Karlsson, 1990; Koskenniemi, 1990), Swedish (Voutilainen, 2001), Danish (Bilgram and Keson, 1998), German (Nielönen and Ney, 2001), Basque (Aduriz et al., 1997) and French (Chanod and Tapanainen, 1995).  
2. The first version of the treebank was released via LDC in December 2000. See the project’s web page: [http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~chinese/](http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~chinese/).  
3. The Penn Treebank has undergone an extensive manual post-editing and proved that correcting the parser’s output is significantly faster than manually parsing a corpus (Marcus et al. 1993:317).  
4. The Constraint Grammar formalism is notable for incorporating a dependency grammar framework, in contrast to the phrase structure grammar models employed for most other treebanks.
parsing scheme.

Of the parsing schemes under consideration, only the Lancaster/IBM Treebank, SUSANNE Corpus and Penn Chinese Treebank were manually parsed. At the level of surface grammar, which identifies constituents in a clause and assigns labels to these constituents, the annotation schemes used in both the SUSANNE Corpus and Penn Chinese Treebank are very similar to that of the Lancaster Treebank, although more detail is included in both the SUSANNE and Penn corpora. The SUSANNE Corpus and Penn Chinese Treebank give detailed analyses of elements of syntactic functions and deep structure like traces, syntactic ambiguities, semantic roles, etc. that are of no use to this study.

A further major drawback, for my thesis, of the Penn Chinese Treebank is that it is not theory neutral. The influence of Government and Binding Theory (GB)\(^5\) is clear in the corpus. Though it is claimed that only those assumptions of GB that are least controversial are adopted in the annotation scheme (Xue et al., 2000:4-5), this inevitably called for a number of abstract covert phrasal categories such as IP\(^6\), CP\(^7\), etc. Those phrasal categories may be well-motivated within the theory but their significance cannot be maintained across other theoretical frameworks. Hence, the treebank is far from theory neutral. Since a primary goal of corpus annotation is to provide empirical data for linguistic investigations, it is desirable to annotate

\(^5\) For details of the Government and Binding Theory, see Haegeman (1994).

\(^6\) IP refers to both finite and infinitival clauses, depending on the content of the node INFL, which is a terminal node signifying the inflectional morphology of the verb, affixes and infinitival to (Haegeman, 1994:114ff).

\(^7\) Complementisers, represented as C, such as whether, if, that and for in English introduce a sentence (IP): C selects an IP-complement. The choice of the type of IP depends on the choice of C. The complementisers that and if select a finite clause as their complement; for selects an infinitival clause and whether selects either type of clause. Thus CP refers to either a finite or non-finite clause introduced by a complementiser. See Haegeman (1994:116ff).
categories/features that are the most commonly shared among theories, so that others can use the treebank for their own purposes, without being encumbered with unwanted theoretical assumptions; the minimal basic level syntactic categories are the ones that are shared by all theories. Thus I rejected the use of the Penn Chinese Treebank in part because it jeopardised my goal of theory neutrality.

A final, crucial, point that led to me not using the Penn Chinese Treebank is that its parsing scheme does not contain a separate label for the adverbial clause and thus no attempt was made to give a definition of adverbial clause in this scheme. Rather, it tends to subsume the adverbial clause under the entry of subordinate clause (including relative clause and appositive clause) and VP adjunct. If I were to use the Penn Chinese Treebank, I would have had to restart the annotation from scratch: first, I would have had to introduce a clear definition of what an adverbial clause should be; second, with this clear working definition, I would have to have taken pains to screen all of the subordinate clauses and VP adjuncts, labelling and sorting out only those adverbial clauses of interest to me, on the basis of the assumption that the subordinate clauses and VP adjuncts include all of the adverbial clauses I want. In other words, there is not much difference between using the data produced by the Penn Chinese Treebank and conducting my own manual parsing. I therefore decided to start afresh and conduct my own annotation. Having decided not to use the Penn treebank annotation, I considered whether to use the raw corpus texts. I decided not to use the raw corpus data from the Penn Chinese Treebank firstly because the newspaper articles available in the treebank were taken from the Xinhua newswire between 1994 and 1998, which were comparatively less recent than other data I had available to me (Yu, 1999:20); secondly, the Penn Chinese Treebank contains about 100K words, which is ten times smaller in size than the data available to me, which amounted to
approximately 1 million Chinese words.

Having decided that I needed to develop a theory neutral annotation of Chinese, and having also rejected schemes such as CG, TOSCA and SUSANNE as they were too complex and in some cases required partial automation, I decided to follow the approach to syntactic annotation used in the Lancaster/IBM Treebank. With reference to skeleton parsing, the Lancaster/IBM Treebank (compiled between 1987 and 1991), consisting of approximately 3 million words, is the largest of all of the manually annotated treebanks. It was produced by Leech and Garside (1991), in collaboration with IBM. The Lancaster/IBM treebank built upon the work carried out on its precursors, the Lancaster-Leeds Treebank (Lang, 1989; Edwards, 1993:298) and the LOB Corpus Treebank (Sampson, 1987:83-84). One of the major innovations of the Lancaster/IBM treebank was the skeleton parsing approach (see Garside and McEnery, 1993). The simplicity of skeleton parsing not only expedites the process of treebank compilation, it also reduces the intellectual complexity of the task of parsing for human analysts, thereby minimising inconsistencies and inaccuracies in treebanking practice. This makes it an attractive approach for the lone scholar. Given that I had no suitable treebanked Chinese corpus available, I proceeded to construct my own using the skeleton parsing approach.

In the remainder of this chapter, I will discuss at length the treebanking method I have developed for Chinese. In doing so, I will analyse in detail the processing of a sample text. I discuss first, in section 3.3, the selection of the text sample. In section 3.4, I describe the key components of a standard parsing scheme as exemplified by the UCREL skeleton parsing tagset and then give a detailed description of my own parsing scheme. In section 3.5, I explain the elaborate guidelines developed for the
purposes of consistency and accuracy in my annotation. I then explain the process of assigning labelled brackets to the part-of-speech-tagged sentences and the difficulties encountered in the application of the annotation practices proposed (section 3.6). Following from this, the parses of adverbials and, most importantly, adverbial clauses will be examined (section 3.7). The conclusion will give an evaluation of the success of my manual skeleton parsing (section 3.8).

3.3. PFR Sample Skeleton Treebank: Text selection

From the PFR Chinese Corpus, a sample text of some 100,000 word tokens, yielding approximately 2,500 sentences, was chosen for the production of my treebank. I contend that a standard block of about one hundred thousand words is a unit of about the right size for skeleton parsing and handling by a human treebanker. Furthermore, my choice of text was governed by the need to produce a parsed sample corpus of reasonable length which could not only be manageable for hand-parsing but also represent a typical chuck of the data that would eventually be treebanked by me.

3.4. PFR Sample Skeleton Treebank: Parsing scheme

As Sampson (1995:2ff) puts it, the process of parsing refers to the ability to extract from a linear sequence of words the underlying hierarchical grammatical structure, and thus a parsing scheme “is a set of categories and notational conventions allowing the grammatical properties of a text to be made explicit”. In other words, it is a guideline document which helps the human analyst parse sentences (Leech and Garside, 1991:15-16). A clearly defined parsing scheme is essential for the production of a satisfactorily parsed text.
3.4.1. UCREL skeleton parsing annotation scheme

As most of the existing treebanks are primarily based upon English texts, it does not come as a surprise that the annotation schemes used on those treebanks chiefly reflect the syntactic categories which are directly relevant to English grammar. A case in point is the UCREL skeleton parsing scheme, as illustrated in the following table.⁸

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UCREL Skeleton Parsing Annotation Scheme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(null)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The UCREL skeleton parsing annotation scheme

As stated, some of the syntactic constituent labels in the UCREL skeleton parsing scheme are specially designed to suit English grammar. When I attempted to

⁸ The table was adapted from UCREL (University Centre for Computer Corpus Research on Language)’s website http://www.comp.lancs.ac.uk/computing/research/ucrel/skeletontags.html.
adopt this scheme wholesale for my own research, I found that some modifications were needed to accommodate the syntactic properties of the Chinese language.

First of all, since there is no conclusive morphological evidence that motivates the postulation of infinitival clauses in Chinese (Xue et al., 2000:32), the non-finite clauses, including the -ing clause (Tg), infinitive clause (Ti) and past participle clause (Tn) were not taken over from the UCREL parsing scheme to my parsing scheme. Similarly, I did not include the noun clause (Fn) or the relative clause (Fr) as they are also not compatible with Chinese syntax. Relative clauses have long been a source of controversy in Chinese linguistics. Some scholars (e.g. Li and Thompson, 1989:579ff; Aoun and Li, 1993; Chiu, 1993; Wu, 2000) believe that a nominalisation (whereby a verb, verb phrase, or sentence, followed by the particle de, functions as a noun phrase) can be called a relative clause in Chinese if the head noun that it modifies refers to an unspecified element involved in the situation described by the nominalisation. For instance, in such a noun phrase as “卖报纸的老人 mai baozhi de laoren ‘the old man who sells newspapers’”, the relative clause refers to the verb phrase marked by the following particle de, i.e. 卖报纸的 maibaozhi de “sell newspapers”. In this relative clause, the subject, namely the person who sells newspapers, is missing. Accordingly, the head noun 老人 laoren refers to this missing subject. The particle de is functionally equivalent to the English relative pronoun who which indicates a gap or trace that is co-referential with the head noun.

On the other hand, Chao (1968), among others, does not adopt the notion of relative clause in his descriptive grammar of Chinese.⁹ Relative clauses may not exist

---

⁹ He does not use the term relative clause; rather, he refers to those constructions marked by de as *adjectival clauses* which can modify any nominal expression (Chao, 1968:111).
in Chinese for at least two reasons. First, rather than a relativiser, the particle 的 de, as Chao (1968:285) points out, is a marker of explicit modification. It is inserted after the premodifier of a noun phrase to make explicit the modifier-modified structure. Zhu (1982 and 2000) also notes that this particle is “multi-functional” in that it can be a genitive marker (e.g. 他的书 ta de shu “his book”), a marker of nominalisation (e.g. 买书的是个学生 mai shu de shi ge xuesheng “The person who bought the book is a student”), and an adjectival marker (e.g. 勤劳的学生 qinlao de xuesheng “a hardworking student”). It is therefore not a proper “counterpart” of the English relative pronoun which is “unifunctional” (except that). 10 The second reason is that some relative clauses do not actually include a gap that the head noun can co-refer to. So far scholars have been considering relative clauses to be clauses in which either the subject or object is missing, thereby producing a gap that co-refers to the head noun the relative clauses modify. Such gaps are used as evidence to prove the existence of relative clauses in Chinese. However, such a gap does not always exist as in 我写信的房子 wo xie xin de fangzi “the room in which I write letters”. In this case the so-called relative clause marked by the particle de (i.e. 我写信的 wo xie xin de “I write letters”) is a well-formed clause without any missing subject or object. In cases such as this, two conflicting explanations were proposed. Chu and Chi (1999:226) claim that the construction is no longer a relative clause but an appositive clause on the grounds that no gap can be identified in the noun phrase and they use this as a definitive test for distinguishing a relative clause from an appositive clause. Yet, Xue et al. (2000:80ff) and Li and Thompson (1989:582ff) still perceive it as a relative clause though with an PP trace rather than an NP trace; it differs from the relative clause with an NP trace in that the gap is an adjunct position. Since this is a highly

10 The function word, that, is a relative pronoun, a demonstrative pronoun and a conjunction (Quirk et al., 1985).
controversial and unresolved issue, I chose not to use this grammatical category in my parsing scheme. Instead, constructions marked by the particle de were left unspecified by using unlabelled brackets (see section 3.5.2.1).

Nominal clauses are also difficult in Chinese linguistics. The notion of the nominal clause may be useful in English as the clauses used as sentential subject or object are formally distinctive from those used independently: they are typically introduced by the conjunction that as in “That John stole my book was totally incredible” (Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:316-322). Chinese clauses or sentences, however, do not vary morphosyntactically when they are used as subject or direct object (Liu et al., 1996:245,253). Hence, there is no justification for adopting this grammatical category in a parsing scheme designed for the Chinese language. The lack of previous accounts of nominal clauses in Chinese tends to serve as counterevidence to the notion that nominal clauses exist in Chinese.

Furthermore, owing to the fact that different languages tend to employ different strategies in signalling the same grammatical relation, the parsing label of comparative clause (Fc) was also not adopted in my parsing scheme. While English makes use of a clause to give comparisons, the comparative constructions in Chinese are expressed by means of a prepositional phrase. The comparative marker 比 bi “than” and the phrase that immediately follows it form a prepositional phrase which serves as a preverbal adjunct (Zhao, 1989; Liu, 1999:204ff). Compare the following contrived sentences from English and Chinese respectively, both of which express the same meaning:
(1a) He does the assignment [Fe better than I do Fe].

(1b) 他做的功课做得[P比我P]好。

He do DE assignment do COMP BI I well

“He does the assignment better than I do.”

Lastly, I did not take the genitive (G) as one of the constituent labels in my parsing scheme so as to avoid terminological controversy. The genitive constructions in English roughly correspond to those constructions marked by the particle 的 de in Chinese. However, this apparent correspondence is complicated by two issues. Firstly, possessive constructions in Chinese do not necessarily take the particle 的 de (Li and Thompson, 1989:115) as in 他爸爸 ta baba and 他的爸爸 ta de baba which both indicate the same meaning “his father”. Secondly, apart from marking possessions, the particle 的 de can be an adjectival marker and a marker of nominalisation and explicit modification, as mentioned earlier. Since the term “genitive” is confusing as far as Chinese linguistics is concerned, I decided to exclude this constituent from my parsing scheme.

In view of the differences between the English and Chinese grammatical systems, new constituent labels that are not used in the UCREL skeleton parsing scheme had to be invented for the purposes of this research. These are: adverb phrase, correlative clause, adverbial idiom/set phrase, adverbial adjective phrase, adverbial prepositional phrase, adverbial verb phrase and verbal object, which are to be discussed below.

3.4.2. PFR skeleton parsing labels

As Leech and Eyes (1997:37) note, Sampson’s (1995) annotation scheme
demonstrates three key components of a clearly specified parsing scheme:

(a) A list of symbols used in the annotation: non-terminals, terminals, and other symbols;

(b) A basic definition of the symbols: e.g. N = noun phrase;

(c) A description, which is as detailed as possible, of how the symbols are actually applied to text sentences. For example, how do annotators recognise a noun phrase when they see one, and how do they distinguish noun phrase tokens from words or word sequences which are not noun phrases?

With respect to the first and second points, in keeping with Sampson, I intend to provide these 3 sets of data for my treebank: (a) the non-terminal labels and (b) their definitions with illustrative examples\textsuperscript{11} are given for the PFR treebank in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NONTERMINAL CATEGORY</th>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Clause</td>
<td>Fa</td>
<td>&lt;Fa&gt;只要_c &lt;N&gt;我们_r&lt;/N&gt; &lt;R&gt;进一步_d&lt;/R&gt; &lt;解放思想_i , _w 实事求是_i&gt; , _w &lt;V&gt;抓住_v &lt;N&gt;机遇 _n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt; , _w &lt;开拓进取 _l&gt;&lt;/Fa&gt; , _w &lt;Fa&gt;zhiyao_c &lt;N&gt;women_r&lt;/N&gt; &lt;R&gt;jinyibu_d&lt;/R&gt; &lt;jiefangsixiang_i , _w shishiqushi_i&gt; , _w &lt;V&gt;zhuazhu_v &lt;N&gt;jiyu_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt; , _w &lt;kaituoqinQu_i&gt;&lt;/Fa&gt; , _w “If we become more open-minded and realistic and make every effort to explore new possibilities, …”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlative Clause</td>
<td>Fc</td>
<td>&lt;Fc&gt;越_d 走_v 越_d 宽广_a&lt;/Fc&gt;&lt;/Fc&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{11} All of the examples in this thesis are given in the Chinese characters, followed by pinyin romanisations, glosses and English translations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NONTERMINAL CATEGORY</th>
<th>SYMBOL</th>
<th>EXAMPLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Clause (to which the adverbial clause is subordinated)</strong></td>
<td>Fm</td>
<td><em>kuanguang_a</em>&lt;Fa&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“the more we walk, the broader (the road) will be”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*&lt;Fm&gt;&lt;Fa&gt;*只要_c &lt;N&gt;我们_r&lt;/N&gt; &lt;R&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>进一步_d&lt;/R&gt; &lt;解放思想_i ,_w 实事求是_i&gt; ,_w &lt;V&gt;抓住_v &lt;N&gt;机遇_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt; ,_w &lt;开拓进取_l&gt;&lt;/Fa&gt; ,_w &lt;N&gt;&lt;V&gt;建设_v &lt;V&gt;有_v &lt;N&gt;中国_n 特色_n 社会主义_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;&lt;/V&gt; 的_u 道路_n&lt;/N&gt;就_c &lt;V&gt;会_v &lt;Fc&gt;越_d 走_v 越_d 宽广_a&lt;/Fc&gt;&lt;/V&gt; 。_w&lt;/Fm&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*&lt;Fm&gt;&lt;Fa&gt;*zhiyao_c &lt;N&gt;women_r&lt;/N&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*&lt;R&gt;*jinyibu_d&lt;/R&gt; &lt;jiefangsixiang_i ,_w shishi_qiushi_i&gt; ,_w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*&lt;V&gt;taguazhiu_v &gt; &lt;N&gt;jiyu_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt; ,_w &lt;V&gt;kaituo_jinqu_l&gt;&lt;/Fa&gt; ,_w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*&lt;N&gt;&lt;V&gt;jianshe_v &lt;V&gt;you_v &lt;N&gt;Zhongguo_ns tese_n shehuizhuyi_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;&lt;/V&gt; de_u daolu_n&lt;/N&gt; _jiu_c &lt;V&gt;hui_v &lt;Fc&gt;yue_d zou_v yue_d kuanguang_a&lt;/Fc&gt;&lt;/V&gt; 。_w&lt;/Fm&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“If we become more open-minded and realistic and make every effort to explore new possibilities, we are in a better position to set up an ideology which can fully represent Chinese values.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adverbial Idiom/Set Phrase</strong></td>
<td>Ia</td>
<td>*&lt;Ia&gt;*坚定不移_i 地_u&lt;/Ia&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*&lt;Ia&gt;*jiandingbuyi_i de_u&lt;/Ia&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“persistently”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*&lt;Ia&gt;*满怀信心_l 地_u&lt;/Ia&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*&lt;Ia&gt;*manhuai_xinxin_l de_u&lt;/Ia&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“confidently”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjective Phrase</strong></td>
<td>J</td>
<td>*&lt;J&gt;*非常_d 重要_a 的_u&lt;/J&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                                                          |        | *<J>*很
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Nonterminal Category</strong></th>
<th><strong>Symbol</strong></th>
<th><strong>Example</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Adjective Phrase</td>
<td>Ja</td>
<td><code>&lt;Ja&gt;成功_a 地_u&lt;/Ja&gt;  &lt;V&gt;召开_v了_u  &lt;N&gt;第十五_m 次_q 全国_n代表大会_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“a very important and extraordinary year”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noun Phrase</td>
<td>N</td>
<td><code>&lt;N&gt;百年_m 历史_n&lt;/N&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;N&gt;bainian_m lishi_n&lt;/N&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“a hundred years’ history”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Noun Phrase</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td><code>&lt;Na&gt;今天_t 上午_i&lt;/Na&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;Na&gt;jintian_t shangwu_t&lt;/Na&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“this morning”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;Na&gt;现在_t&lt;/Na&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;Na&gt;xianzai_t&lt;/Na&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“at present”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepositional Phrase</td>
<td>P</td>
<td><code>&lt;S&gt;&amp;&lt;N&gt;[中国_n_s 政府_n]nt&lt;/N&gt;  &lt;Ja&gt;顺利_ad&lt;/Ja&gt;  &lt;V&gt;恢复_v &lt;P&gt;对_p</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;N&gt;香港_n_s&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/P&gt;  &lt;V&gt;行使_v</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;N&gt;主权_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/S&gt;&amp;&lt;N&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;S&gt;&amp;&lt;N&gt;[Zhongguo_n_s Zhengfu_n]nt&lt;/N&gt;  &lt;Ja&gt;shunli_ad&lt;/Ja&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;V&gt;huifu_v &lt;P&gt;dui_p</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;N&gt;Xianggang_n_s&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/P&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;Vo&gt;xingshi_v</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><code>&lt;N&gt;zhuquan_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/Vo&gt;&lt;/V&gt;&lt;/S&gt;&amp;&lt;N&gt;</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>“The Chinese government has succeeded in”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonterminal Category</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Prepositional Phrase</td>
<td>Pa</td>
<td>resuming its sovereignty over Hong Kong”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverb Phrase</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>“In this year, our nation is progressing in our reform and modernisation.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence (including direct speech quotation, also with &amp; and + as co-ordinates)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>“In this year, the Chinese government has gained great success in its diplomacy.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

47
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonterminal Category</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Verb Phrase</td>
<td>Va</td>
<td><code>&lt;V&gt;zhanwang_y &lt;N&gt;xin_a de_u sheji_n&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;</code> “have hope in the new era”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Verb Phrase</td>
<td>Va</td>
<td><code>&lt;V&gt;迈向_y &lt;N&gt;充满_v 希望_n 的_u&gt; 1998年_t 之际_f&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;</code> <code>&lt;V&gt;maixiang_y &lt;N&gt;chongman_v xiwang_n de_u&gt; 1998nian_t zhiji_f&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;</code> “at the moment we are looking forward to the prosperous year of 1998”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Object</td>
<td>Vo</td>
<td><code>&lt;V&gt;希望_y &lt;V&gt;依靠_y &lt;N&gt;大家_r&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;&lt;/V&gt;</code> <code>&lt;V&gt;xiwang_y &lt;Vo&gt;yikao_v&lt;/V&gt;</code> <code>&lt;N&gt;dajia_r&lt;/N&gt;&lt;/V&gt;&lt;/V&gt;</code> “wish to rely on you”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Conjunction</td>
<td>&amp;</td>
<td><code>&lt;N&amp;&gt;中国_ns 改革_v&lt;/N&amp;&gt; 和_c &lt;N&amp;&gt;Zhongguo_ns gaiye_v&lt;/N&amp;&gt;</code> he_c “China’s revolution”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-initial Conjunction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>和_c <code>&lt;N+f&gt;发展_y 的_u 全局_n&lt;/N+f&gt;</code> he_c <code>&lt;N+f&gt;fazhan_v de_u quanju_n&lt;/N+f&gt;</code> “and the entire development”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The list of constituent labels for the PFR Sample Skeleton Treebank parsing scheme

Before dealing with point three (see section 3.5), I would first like to discuss some issues relating to the use of this labelling scheme in my treebank.
3.4.2.1. Selection and coding of parsing labels

The hand-parsing task was simplified as much as possible by the use of a simple bracketing notation. This was used to annotate 17 nonterminal categories which were considered to be “canonical”, as Leech and Garside (1991:22) put it, i.e. likely to be uncontroversial, and to remain unaffected by differences of theory. The following table demonstrates the importance of adopting canonical nonterminal categories, by comparing seven different syntactic theories with respect to their phrasal categories, namely Transformational Grammar or TG (Radford, 1988), Government and Binding Theory or GB (Haegeman, 1994), Generalised Phrase Structure Grammar or GPSG (Gazdar et al., 1985), Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar or HPSG (Pollard and Sag, 1994), Functional Grammar or FG (Dik, 1978, 1989 and 1997), Lexical-Functional Grammar or LFG (Bresnan, 2001), and Relational Grammar or RG (Blake, 1990). It shows that S, NP, VP, AP, PP and ADVP are the six core phrasal categories that exist among these theories. Additionally, the representation of conjuncts is different across theories: some theories (e.g. TG, GB, LFG, etc.) do not overtly mark the relationship of coordination between phrases, while others (i.e. GPSG and HPSG) represent the conjuncts using different abstract categorial labels. In the light of these differences across theories, in my bracketing system, the six core syntactic categories which are common to almost all of the syntactic theories above were adopted, and simple symbols (i.e. “&” and “+”) were used to indicate a coordinate relationship between two or more phrases.
### Table 4: A comparison of phrasal categories in seven syntactic theories\(^\text{12}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>VP</th>
<th>AP</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>ADVP</th>
<th>DP</th>
<th>IP</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>X[CONJ NIL],</th>
<th>X[CONJ and]</th>
<th>RP</th>
<th>[SUBCAT -(\text{S})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPSG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPSG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RG</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apart from these eight canonical nonterminal categories (i.e. six core syntactic categories plus two symbols for initial and non-initial conjuncts), I added six other parsing labels which focussed on the adverbial, i.e. *Fa* (adverbal clause), *Ia* (adverbial idiom/set phrase), *Ja* (adverbial adjective phrase), *Na* (adverbial noun phrase), *Pa* (adverbial prepositional phrase), and *Va* (adverbial verb phrase), because I was particularly interested in adverbials in this thesis in which adverbial clauses were investigated. To explicitly indicate the clause(s) to which the adverbial clause is subordinated, the constituent label *Fm* which stands for the main clause, was also included in the bracketing system. The last two parsing labels I added were correlative clause, *Fc*, and verbal object, *Vo*, which are specific to the Chinese language (see section 3.5.1.2 and 3.5.1.15).

Like the parsing symbols used for the Lancaster/IMB Treebank, the distinction

---

\(^{12}\) \(\ast\ast\) indicates that the syntactic theory has the phrasal category, while \(\sim\) indicates that it does not. S stands for sentence; NP stands for noun phrase; VP stands for verb phrase; AP stands for adjective phrase; PP stands for prepositional phrase; ADVP stands for adverb phrase; DP stands for determiner phrase; IP stands for a clause with inflection (INFL) as its head; CP stands for a clause with complementiser (C) as its head; X[CONJ NIL] stands for an initial conjunct of any phrasal category (X), while X[CONJ and] stands for a final conjunct of any phrasal category (X); RP stands for any phrase projected from a relativiser; [SUBCAT -\(\text{S}\)] stands for both the initial and final conjuncts which belong to the same phrasal category and thus must be marked with the same number N.
between constituent tags and word tags is reflected in their coding: nonterminal
categories (or syntactic constituents) consist of one upper case letter, optionally
followed by one lower case letter (representing feature values), e.g. “N” stands for
noun phrase, “Na” refers to adverbial noun phrase, etc.; and terminal categories (or
word classes), on the other hand, include just one or two lower case letters or one
capital letter followed by one lower case letter, e.g. “n” for noun, “ns” for place name,
“Ng” for “nominal morpheme”.13

As we have seen, upper case letters were used to represent the constituent labels.
However, the reverse happens in the annotation standard established by the Text
Encoding Initiative (TEI): parsing labels are represented by lower case letters (rather
than upper case ones as I used here), and POS tags are denoted by upper case letters.
Since the compilers of the PFR Chinese Corpus used small letters to represent POS
tags (Yu, 1999), in order to distinguish from the originally marked POS annotation, I
use capital letters for the parsing labels, though I am aware that this goes against the
TEI guidelines. It does, however, improve the readability of my treebank.

3.4.2.2. Set of annotation devices used

It is important to note that in my annotation scheme, the labels used to mark the
grammatical structure of a sentence are not enclosed in a pair of square brackets as in
the UCREL format (Leech and Garside, 1991); rather they are circumscribed by
angled brackets as I wished to provide my treebank in a TEI-conformant interchange

13 As we have seen, both the adverbials (e.g. adverbial noun phrase (Na)) and morphemes (e.g.
nominal morpheme (Ng)) have the same coding format i.e. a capital letter followed by a lower case
letter. However, as the latter is rare, syntactic tags (my annotation) and word tags (original annotation)
are contrasting visually in most parts of my treebank.
format, i.e. the syntactic information is enclosed using XML tags delimited by < … > and </ … >. The reason for adopting this TEI-conformant style rather than the UCREL labelled-bracketing format is that the resulting skeleton parsed sample corpus can then be more readily re-used by many different users developing or testing natural language processing (NLP) software of varied kinds, for example, then would be possible using a “bespoke” format.

3.4.2.3. *Insertion of textual annotations*

As the original PFR Chinese Corpus does not provide any textual markup such as the title of a text, this information was inserted in my sample treebank using TEI markup elements. The following gives the various kinds of information added:

(a) `<CAPTION> </CAPTION>` contains the text of a caption of an illustration or a photograph printed in the original newspaper

(b) `<DATE> </DATE>` gives the date of an event described in the body of a text

(c) `<ILLUSTRATION> </ILLUSTRATION>` indicates an illustration or a photograph in the original text

(d) `<JOURNALIST> </JOURNALIST>` encloses a journalist’s name

(e) `<NAME> </NAME>` indicates a proper noun referring to a person, a place or an organisation mentioned in the header

(f) `<ORIGINALMP> </ORIGINALMP>` encloses the original markup of the PFR Chinese Corpus, which includes the date, page no., article no. and paragraph no. of the newspaper text

---

14 These textual markers are based on the elements proposed in the guidelines of the Text Encoding Initiative (Sperberg-McQueen and Burnard (Eds.), 2001), except `<ILLUSTRATION>`, `<JOURNALIST>`, `<ORIGINALMP>` and `<SUBTITLE>` which have been invented for the purposes of this research.
(g) `<SOURCEDESC> </SOURCEDESC>` identifies the source of a text
(h) `<SUBTITLE> </SUBTITLE>` denotes a subtitle in a text
(i) `<TITLE> </TITLE>` encloses the title or headline of a text
(j) `<UNCERTAIN> </UNCERTAIN>` indicates an unknown unit in the text
(k) \$N="…" \$ provides a sentence number

3.4.2.4. *Layers of annotation undertaken*

The EAGLES documentation (Kahrel et al., 1997:237ff) specifies a number of
different layers of annotation, the first and second of which were adopted in my
skeleton parsing scheme. The first layer, bracketing of segments, involves the
delimitation of sentence segments which have a “syntactic integrity” (e.g. sentences,
clauses, phrases, words) by nested pairs of angled brackets. The second layer,
labelling of segments, indicates the formal category of the non-terminal syntactic
constituents identified by bracketing, such as noun phrase, verb phrase, adverbial
clause, etc.

The other six layers, due to the complexity of analysis which they entail, were
ignored for the purposes of this research. For the record, these unannotated layers
would show: the relation of a head to its dependent(s) in a phrase or clause, syntactic
function labels (e.g. subject, object, adjunct, etc.), subcategorisation or feature values
of sentences (e.g. declarative, imperative, interrogative) and phrases (e.g. marking
noun phrase as singular), deep/logical relations (e.g. co-referentiality, ellipsis, traces,
etc.), ranking of syntactic categories (e.g. phrases being of lower rank than clauses,
clauses being of lower rank than sentences), non-fluency phenomena (e.g. false starts,
reiterations, etc.).
3.5. Guidelines of skeleton parsing

Having reviewed my annotation scheme in some depth, I can now present my guidelines for annotation, in keeping with my desire to match the advantages of Sampson’s SUSANNE scheme (see section 3.4.2). The parsing scheme matches features (a) and (b) of a clear and explicit parsing scheme (Leech and Eyes, 1997:37). Nonetheless, an annotation scheme is more than (a) and (b) above. Feature (c), a set of parsing guidelines should also be provided in order to explain how the parsing symbols are actually applied to text sentences to avoid undesirable inconsistency. Ideally, complete guidelines should be available to the annotators before annotation begins. However, linguistic problems posed by corpora are much more diverse and complicated than those discussed in theoretical linguistics or grammar books, and new problems arise as more data is annotated. Hence, the guidelines must be revised, updated and enriched incrementally as the annotation process progresses. Such guidelines are likened to case law as it represents a continuously refined system which changes as new cases occur, rather than a set of unchanging hard and fast rules.

During the course of annotation, as more data was analysed, the guidelines took shape and were recorded and updated. Hence, after I finished the task of parsing a sample text taken from the PFR Chinese Corpus, I had a set of guidelines ready to be consolidated into a document to be available to users of the treebank and to future annotators who might want to adopt the same scheme. It is advisable, as Kahrel et al. (1997:241ff) note, to document explicitly all of the decisions taken in the development of an annotation scheme, as well as its application so that future users can apply the scheme in a manner consistent with that of the originators of the
scheme.

In section 3.5.1, I will give a clear account of the 17 non-terminal constituents that are defined and instantiated in my texts, with illustrative examples taken from the parsed sample treebank as well as an explanation of problem cases. Apart from a detailed treatment of parsing symbols\textsuperscript{15}, my documented parsing guidelines include practical issues related to map any parses on to sentences in the application of the parsing scheme, which will be discussed in section 3.5.2.

3.5.1. Detailed description of parsing symbols

3.5.1.1. Adverbial clause (Fa)

An adverbial clause functions as an adjunct. The occurrence of an adverbial clause, which is a type of subordinate clause, is dependent on that of a main clause which it modifies. However, omission of the adverbial clause does not affect the grammaticality of the main clause (Hengeveld, 1998; Quintero, 2002).

\begin{enumerate}
\item[(2)] \textit{<Fa>zhiyou_c <jiefangsixiang_i > _w shishiqiushi_i></Fa> _w only.if be.open-minded realistic}
\end{enumerate}

\textsuperscript{15} When writing this detailed description, I was informed by the EAGLES Recommendations for the Syntactic Annotation of Corpora, Version of 11\textsuperscript{th} March 1996. See Leech, Barnett and Kahlre (1996) available at EAGLES’s web page: \url{http://www.ilc.cnr.it/EAGLES96/segsasg1/segsasg1.html}. 
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cai_c <V>neng_v chongpo_v <N>gezhong_r <bu_d qiehe_v then can get rid of different kinds of not in line with shiji_n de_u> huoze_c <guoshi_a de_u guannian_n pragmatism DE or obsolete DE values de_u>> shufi_vn</N></V> 'w <R>zhenzheng_d</R> <V>zuodao_v DE restriction really realise <V><zhuanzhong_v 'w rensi_v he_c zhangwo_v> <N>keguan_a respect understand and master practical guili_n</N></V></V> 'w <R>yongyu_d</R> <V>tupo_v</V> 'w principles have the guts to breakthrough <R>yongyu_d</R> <V>chuangxin_v</V> 'w <R>buduan_d</R> have the guts to break. fresh. ground continuously <V>kaichuang_v</V> <N>shehuizhuyi_n xiandaihua_vn jianshe_vn de_u create social ideology modern construction DE xin_a</N></V></V>Fm> new situation “Only if we become more open-minded and realistic, can we overcome the restrictions imposed by outdated practices, and know how to respect, understand and take advantage of what we learn in everyday life, thereby breaking through and continuously building an efficient modern society.”

(3) <S N="21">Fm><Fa>只要_c <N>我们_r</N> <R>进一步_d</R> <解放思想_i 'w 实事求是_i> 'w <V>抓住_v</N> <N>机遇_n</N></V> 'w <开拓取进_b></Fa> 'w <N>建设_v</N> <N>有_v</N> <N>中国_n</N> <N>特色_n</N> 社会主义_n 的_u 道路_n</N> 就_c <V>会_v</N> <Fc>v>越_d 走_v</Fc>v> 越_d 宽广_a</Fc></V> 'w</Fa></S> <S N="21">Fm><Fa>zhiyao_c <N>women_r</N> <R>jinyibu_d</R> provided that we further <jiefangsixiang_i 'w shishiqiushi_i> 'w <V>zhazhu_v be.open-minded realistic grasp <N>jiyu_n</N></V> 'w <kaituojingqu_i></Fa> 'w <N>jianshe_v opportunity work hard and explore establish you_v</N> Zhongguo_ns tese_n shehuizhuyi_n de_u> have China characteristics social ideology DE daolu_n</N> jiu_c <V>hui_v</V> <Fc>yue_d zou_v yue_d</Fc> road then will the more walk the more kuanguang_a</V></Fa></S> broad
“If we become more open-minded and realistic, and grasp every chance to explore new possibilities, we can come closer to building a society fully representing our national characteristics.”

The first clauses of the following two sentences and sentences like them, however, were not accepted by me as adverbial clauses proper, though some scholars may accept them as such (e.g. Rai-Chu, 1982:21-30; Liu et al., 1996:505-513; Chu and Chi, 1999:248f). I did not consider them as adverbial clauses because these clauses do not show any explicit sign of their dependence on a main clause, i.e. the following clause. Chao (1968:104-106) treats such kind of sentences as coordinate sentences or even separate sentences. As will be discussed in the next chapter (Chapter Four, section 4.1), English adverbial clauses, if not overtly marked by a subordinating conjunction to be subordinate clauses, are always non-finite clauses. Chinese adverbial clauses or clauses in general, however, do not have this finite/non-finite distinction (Norman, 1988:163). Since it is not clear whether the first clauses of the following examples are subordinate clauses, they were not taken as adverbial clauses in my treebank.

(4) <S N="57"><R>进一步_d</R> <Ja>认真_ad</Ja> <V><xueyi_v> 学习_v</xueyi_v> 和_c 掌握_v> <N>十五大_i 精神_n</N></V> ,_w <解放思想_i> ,_w 实事求是_i> ,_w <N>我们_r 的_u 事业_n</N> <R>就_d</R> <V>能_v 结_v 出_v <N>更加_d 丰硕_a 的_u> 成果_n</N></V> 。_w</S>
<S N="57"><R>jinyibu_d</R> <Ja>renzhen_ad</Ja> <V><xuemian_v> 学习_v</xuemi_v> 认真_ad</Ja> <V><xueyi_v> 学习_v</xueyi_v> and_c 掌握_v> <N>十五大_i 精神_n</N></V> ,_w <解放思想_i> ,_w 实事求是_i> ,_w <N>我们_r 的_u 事业_n</N> <R>就_d</R> <V>能_v 结_v 出_v <N>更加_d 丰硕_a 的_u> 成果_n</N></V> 。_w</S>
If we can better understand and master the Communist Party’s 15 principles and become more open-minded and realistic, we can gain greater success in every venture we make.”

“Although the situation is improved, we must continue to strive hard and contribute to our society unconditionally.”

3.5.1.2. Correlative clause (Fc)

The term “correlative clause” is proposed by Chao (1968:121ff) to refer to two coordinated clauses which are in parallel, in contrast, or in some other close relation, making a pair of dependent clauses typically marked by the correlative adverbs 越 yue … 越 yue “the more…, the more…” and repeated interrogative indefinite pronouns. The last two examples below are taken from Chao’s analysis (1968:121-122) as no examples of this sort can be found in my sample treebank.
If we become more open-minded and realistic, and grasp every chance to explore new possibilities, we can come closer to building a society fully representing our national characteristics.

(7) <Fe>谁先来谁先吃。</Fe>
<Fe>Shui xian lai shui xian chi</Fe>
Whoever comes first eats first.

(8) <Fe>哪儿舒服哪儿睡。</Fe>
<Fe>Naer shufu naer shui</Fe>
Sleep wherever it is comfortable.

3.5.1.3. Main clause (Fm)

A main clause is the clause(s) which the adverbal clause is subordinated to and modifies.16

16 Although in examples (3) and (9) the main clause is the same as the sentence, this does not necessarily happen as in example (2), where the main clause is part of the whole sentence only. I distinguished main clause from sentence because in some cases like example (2), some clauses of the sentence (i.e. those clauses which are not included in the main clause) are hardly semantically relevant to the meaning of the adverbal clause.
尽管我们面临许多困难，邓小平的理论为我们提供了指引。邓小平理论的发展为改革、开放近20年的社会经济、社会发展和进步积累了丰富的经验。邓小平理论使我们更加清醒地认识到，必须坚持党的基本路线不动摇，继续推进改革开放，发展社会生产力，提高人民的生活水平。
advantageous factors, we must definitely get over all these difficulties and proceed ahead steadily.”

3.5.1.4. Adverbial idiom/set phrase (Ia)

An adverbial idiom or set phrase functions as a modifier of a verb phrase.

(10) <S N="19"><Na>1998年</Na>，万 <N>中国</N> 人民</N> <R>将_d</R> <Ia>满怀信心地</Ia> <V>开创</V> <N>新</N> 的</N> 业绩</N></S>

year.of.1998 China 

<Na>1998 nian_t</Na>，万 <N>Zhongguo_n</N> citizens soon confidently ADVL 

<V>kaichuang_v <N>xin_a de_u yeji_n</N></V> 。_w</S>

create new DE accomplishment

“In 1998, the Chinese people will have confidence in achieving great success.”

(11) <S N="42"><Pa>在_p <N>这_r 一年_q</N></Pa>，_w <P>以_p <N>江_n</N> 汶民_n 同志_n</N></P> <V>为_v <N>核心</N> 的</N> 党中央</N></V> ，_w <V>继承_v <N>邓小平</N> 小平_n 同志_n 的</N> 遗志</V> ，_w <V>高举_v 邓小平理论_n 的</N> 伟大_a 旗帜</V>，_w <V><V>&</V>领导_v <N><N>&</N>全党_n</N> 和_c <N>全国</N> 各族_r 人民_n</N></V>，_w <V>坚定不移地</V>，_w</Ia> <P>沿着_p <N>建设_v <N><N>&</N>有_v 中国</N> 特色_n</N> 社会主义_n</P> 道路_n</S>

<Pa>在_p <N>这_r 一年_q</N></Pa> ，_w <P>以_p <N>江_n</N> 汶民_n 同志_n</N></P> <V>为_v <N>核心</N> 的</N> 党中央</V> ，_w <V>继承_v <N>邓小平</N> 小平_n 同志_n 的</N> 遗志</V> ，_w <V>高举_v 邓小平理论_n 的</N> 伟大_a 旗帜</V>，_w <V><V>&</V>领导_v <N><N>&</N>全党_n</N> 和_c <N>全国</N> 各族_r 人民_n</N></V>，_w <V>坚定不移地</V>，_w</Ia> <P>沿着_p <N>建设_v <N><N>&</N>有_v 中国</N> 特色_n</N> 社会主义_n 现代化_vn 建设_vn 的</N> 轴心_a 篇章_n</P></S>

in this one year

<P>yi_p <N>Jiang_n</N> Zemin_n tongzhi_n</N></P> <V>wei_v with</V> 贾江 Zemin comrade to.be 

<Na>hexin_n de_u dangzhongyang_nt</Na></S>

core DE central.government take.over
In this year, led by our President Jiang Zemin, the Communist Party followed the principles established by our former president Deng Xiaoping, persistently leading its members and our people towards a better society and thus erecting a milestone of reform and modernisation.

3.5.1.5. Adjective phrase (J)

An adjective phrase consists of an adjective, optionally followed by the adjectival marker 的 de (Zhu, 2000), or a group of words (including adverbs) in which an adjective is the head of the phrase.

(12) <S N="31"><N><和平_n 与_c 发展_vn 的_u> 前景_n</N>  <V>是_v <J>光明_a 的_u</J></V>  ,_w <N>2 1_m 世纪_n</N>  <R>将_d</R>  <V>是_v  <N>充满_v 希望_n 的_u> 世纪_n</N></V>  ,_w</S>
“The prospects of maintaining peace and development are encouraging, making the 21st century a promising era.”
climate.”

(14) <S N="71"><N><r/><V>是_<J>非常_d_重要_a_的_u</J></V>"_w</S>
<S N="71"><N>zhe_r</N><V>shi_y</V><J>feichang_d zhongyao_a</J> this be extremely important
<de_u</J>_w</S>
PART
“This is very important indeed.”

3.5.1.6. Adverbial adjective phrase (Ja)

An adverbial adjective phrase functions as a modifier of a verb phrase, and may optionally be followed by the particle 地 de which is the adverbial marker in Chinese (Zhu, 1982).

(15) <S N="6"><N>[中国_n 共产党_n]nt</N> <Ja>成功_a_地_u</Ja> <V>召开_v_了_u</V> 第十五_m 次_q 全国_n 代表大会_n</N></V> _w
<V>举_v 郑小平理论_n 伟大_a 旗帜_n</V> </N> _w <V>总结_v</V> 第百年_m 历史_n</N></V> _w <V>展望_v</V> 新_a_的_u
世纪_n</N></V> _w <V>制定_v_了_u</V> 中国_n 跨_v_世纪_n
发展_v 的_u_ 行动_vn_纲领_n</N></V> _w</S>
<S N="6"><N>[Zhongguo_ns Gongchandang_n]nt</N> <Ja>chenggong_a
China the.Communist.Party successfully
<de_u</Ja> <V>zhao_kai_v le_u</V> 第十九届_CL 回民族_n 国际_n
daibiaoadahui_n</N></V> _w <V>gaoju_v
</V> congress hold
<N>Dengxiaoping_lilun_n weida_a qizhi_n</N></V> _w
the.principles.of.Deng.Xiaoping great flag
<V>zongjie_v</V> 第十八届_CL 历史_n</N></V> _w <V>zhanwang_v
</V> conclude hundred_year_n history look.forward.to
<N>xin_a de_u shiji_n</N></V> _w <V>zhiding_v le_u
</V> new DE century stipulate PERF
“The Communist Party of the People’s Republic of China successfully held the 15th Annual General Meeting, reiterating the principles established by our former president Deng Xiaoping, summarising its success in the past hundred years, igniting hope in the new era, and laying down plans for future development of the country.”

(16) <S N="17"><N>中国 ns</N>  <Ja>积极 ad</Ja>  <V>参与 v  <N>[亚 j 太 j 纪合 j 组织 n]nt 的 u 活动 vn</V></N>  _w <V>参加 v 了 _u</V></N><N>&</N>东盟 ns  _w 中 j 日 j 韩 j <N>&</N>和 c <N>中国 ns  _w 东盟 ns 首脑 n</V></N><N> 非正式 b 会晤 _vn</N></V>  _w</S>

<S N="17"><N>Zhongguo ns</N>  <Ja>jiji ad</Ja>  <V>canyu v  China actively take.part.in</V>

<N>[Ya j Tai j Jinghe j Zuzhi n]nt de u  Asia Pacific Economic Co-operation organisation DE huodong vn</N><V></V>  _w <V>canjia v  le u activity take.part.in PERF</V>

<N><N>&</N>Dongmeng ns  _w Zhong j Ri j Han j <N>&</N>he c eastern.coalition China Japan Korea and <N>+ Zhongguo ns  _w Dongmeng ns shounao n</V></N><N> 领导 r 责任 role</V></N><N> China eastern.coalition leading.role informal dialogue</N>

“China took an active role in the activities organised by the Asia Pacific Economic Co-operation (APEC) and participated in the informal meeting with leaders of Japan and Korea.”

(17) <S N="53"><N>我们 r</N>  <V>要 v  <Ja>更 d 好 a 地 u</Ja>  <V>坚持 v  <N>解放思想 i  _w 实事求是 i 的 u</V>  思想 n 路线 _n</V></N><V></V>  _w</S>

<S N="53"><N>women r</N>  <V>yao v  <Ja>geng d hao a we have to more good</V></N><N><Ja>jianchi v</Ja>  <V>jiefangsixiang i  _w shishiqushi i</V></N>
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ADVL insist.on be.open-minded realistic
de_u> sixiang_n luxian_n</N>/<</V></V>  w</S>

DE ideology route
“We must closely follow the ideology of opening our mind and being more realistic.”

3.5.1.7. Noun phrase (N)

A noun phrase generally has a noun or a pronoun as its head which may be preceded by determinative elements and/or premodifiers typically marked by the particle the

de.

(18) <S N="29">但是<de_a</J>  w <N>世界</N> <R>还_d 不_d</R> <I>安宁</I>

(19) <S N="53"><N>我们</N> <V>要</V> <Ja>更_d 好_a 地_u</Ja> <V>坚持</V> <N><J>解放思想</J> _w _n 实事求是_i 的_u 思想_n 路线</N>/</V>  w</S>

(20) <S N="22"><N><V>是</V> <Ja>完全_a 统一_vn</Ja> <V><N>实现_v 祖国_n 的_u> 人_n 的_u> 人_n 的_u> 人_n 的_u> 人_n 的_u> 共同_b 心愿
3.5.1.8. Adverbial noun phrase (Na)

An adverbial noun phrase functions as an adjunct in a sentence.

(21) <S N="19"> <Na> 1 9 9 8 年_t </Na> , _w <N> 中国_cs 人民_n </N> <R> 将_d </R> <la> 满怀信心_l 地_u </la> <V> 开_v </V> <N> 新_a 的_u 业绩_n </N> </V> 。 _w </S>
<S N="19"> <Na> 1 9 9 8 nian_t </Na> , _w <N> Zhongguo_ns renmin_n </N> China year.of.1998 <R> jiang_d </R> <la> manhuaixinxin_l de_u </la> <V> kaichuang_v <N> xin_a de_u yeji_n </N> </V> 。 _w </S>
create new DE success
“In 1998, the Chinese people will have confidence in achieving great success.”

(22) <S N="104"> <Na> 现在_t </Na> , _w <N> 我们_r 的_u 首都_n </N> <R> 已经_d </R> <V> 结束_v 了_u <N> 拉_v 闸_n 限_v 电_n 的_u > 历史_n </N> </V> , _w <V> 希望_v <N> 依靠_v </N> 大家历史_n </N> </V> , _w <V> 使_v <N> 拉_v 闸_n 限_v 电_n 的_u > 历史_n </N> </V> <R> 永远_d 不再_d </R> 重演_v </V> </V> 。 _w </S>
<S N="104"> <Na> xianzai_t </Na> , _w <N> women_r de_u at.present our GEN shoudu_n </N> <R> yijing_d </R> <V> jieshu_v le_u </N> <la> zha_n capital already end PERF pull barrier xian_v dian_n de_u lishi_n </N> </V> , _w <V> xiwang_v
limit electricity DE history hope
<count on you make pull
zha_n xian_v dian_n de_u lishi_n</N> <V><R>yongyuan_d barrier limit electricity DE history forever
buzai_d</R> chongyang_v</V></V> _w </S>
never repeat
“Nowadays, a limited supply of electricity no longer occurs. However, I hope everybody can do their best to avoid a repetition of this event.”

3.5.1.9. Prepositional phrase (P)

A prepositional phrase is made up of a preposition and its complement. The complement of a preposition is usually a noun phrase, but it can also be a verb phrase or a clause.

(23) <S N="39"><N>我们_r</N><R>即将_d</R> <P>以_p <N>丰收_vn 的_u喜悦_an</N></P> <V>送_v 走_v</V> <N>牛年_年</N></V> _w <P>以_p <N>昂扬_a 的_u斗争_n</N></P> <V>迎来_v <N>虎年_年</N></V> _w</S>
<S N="39"><N>women_r</N><R>jijiang_d</R> <P>yi_p we imminently with
<fengshou_vn de_u xi Yue_an</N></P> <V>song_v zou_v fruitful DE joy farewell walk
<N>Niuniang_t</N></V> _w <P>y i_p <N>angyang_a de_u Year.of.Ox in high DE
douzhi_n</N></P> <V>yinglai_y</V> <N>Hunian_t</N></V> _w</S>
spirits welcome Year.of.Tiger
“We are going to bid farewell to the Year of Ox joyfully and welcome the arrival of the Year of Tiger in high spirits.”

(24) <S N="18"><N>这些_r 外交_n 活动_vn</N> _w <V>符合_v <N>和平_n 与_c 发展_v 的_u 时代_n 主题_n</N></V> _w <V>顺应_v <N>世界_n 走向_v 多极化_v 的_u 趋势_n</N></V> _w <P>对
于v_促进_v N_国际_n 社会_n 的_u 友好_a 合作
_vn/N&> 和l_c N_+>共同_b 发展_vn</N>/</N></P> <V>作出_v了_u N_积极_a 的_u 贡献_n/N</N>/</V> "w</S>
<S N="18">N>zhexie_r waijiao_n huodong_vn</N> _w <V>fuhe_v these diplomatic activities be.in.line.with
<N><heping_n yu_c fazhan_v de_u> shidai_n zhuti_n</N>/</V> _w peace develop DE era theme
<V>shunying_v</V> _w <N>shijie_n zouxiang_v duojihua_v de_u>
follow peace walk.towards diversify DE qushi_n</V> _w <P>_duiyu_p <V>cujin_v</V> _w <N>&>guoji_n trend as.for step up international
shehui_n de_u youhao_a hezuo_vn</N>&> he_c N_+>gongtong_b community DE friendly co-operation and shared
fazhan_v</N>/</N></V> _V>zuochu_v le_u N>jiji_a de_u development make PERF constructive DE
gongxian_n</N>/</V> "w</S>

“These diplomatic activities suit our goal of maintaining peace and development,
meet the needs of global diversification, and contribute to enhancing our
co-operation with other countries.”

(25) <S N="109">N>李_nr 鹏_nr</N> _P>_对_p <S>N>他们_r</N> _Ja>倾心_ad</Ja> <V>照顾_v N_下一代_n</N>/</V></V> "V>表示_v
<N>肯定_an/N</N>/"w</S>
<S N="109">N>Li_nr Peng_nr</N> _P>_dui_p <S>N>tamen_r</N>/</N>
Li Peng as.for they
<br> <Ja>qingxin_ad</Ja> <V>zhagou_v</V> N>xiyidai_n</N>/</N></V> "V>devotedly nurture next.generation
<V>biaoshi_v</V> N>kending_an</N>/"w</S>
express praise
“Li Peng complimented them on their dedication in taking good care of their
children.”

3.5.1.10. Adverbial prepositional phrase (Pa)

An adverbial prepositional phrase functions as an adjunct in a sentence. Semantically,
it is typically used as an adverbial of time, reason, circumstances, place, etc.

(26) <S N="37"><Pa>在_这_的_时刻
   _n</N></Pa>   ’_w  <N>辞职了</N> <V>祝</V> <N>大家</N>

(27) <S N="15"><Pa>通过_互访
   _v</V></N></Pa>   ’_w  <N>美国_美国_俄罗斯
   _ns  ’_w 法国_日本_大国_等_u
   _u <N>&<N>双方_关系_未来_发展_的目标_u

“Through frequent visits of Chinese officials to these countries, the United States, Russia, France and Japan have laid down definite goals and concrete plans to enhance co-operation with China.”
(28) <S N="45"> <Pa>在_p <N><<国际_n 金融_n 危机_n 的_u> > 风浪_n 波及_v 许多_m 国家_n 的>_u 情况_n 下_f</N></Pa>  
, _w <N><中国_n> <V>保持_v 了_u <N><金融_n 形势_n 和_c 整个_m 经济_n 形势_n 的_u> 稳定_a 发展_vn</N></V> </S>  
"w</S>  
<S N="45"> <Pa>zai_p <N><<guoji_n jinyong_n weiji_n de_u> > in international financial crisis DE fengliang_n boji_v xuduo_m guojia_n de>_u qingkuang_n storm affect plenty.of countries DE circumstances xia_f</N></Pa>  
, _w <N>woguo_n</N> <V>baochi_v le_u under our.country maintain PERF </V></N><</N> <S> <N><jinyong_n xingshi_n he_c zhengge_m jingji_n xingshi_n de_u> > financial situation and whole economic situation DE wending_a fuzhan_vn</N></N></S>  
"w</S>  
stable development “In the situation where many countries suffered from the global financial crisis, China still maintained a steady development in both finance and economy.”

(29) <S N="99"> <Pa>在_p <N>控制室_n</N></Pa>  
, _w <N><N>&李_nr 鹏 _nr</N>& 与_p <N>+>职工_n 们_k</N></N>  
, _w <N><R>一一_d</R> <V>握手_v</N></V> , _w <P>向_p <N>大家_r</N></P>  
, _w <V>表示_v <V>慰问_v</V></V></S>  
"w</S>  
<S N="99"> <Pa>zai_p <N>kongzhishi_n</N></Pa>  
, _w <N><N>&Li_nr Peng_nr</N>& yu_p <N>+zhigong_n Li Peng with co-workers men_k</N></N>  
<PL>yi_yi_d</PL> <V>woshou_v</V>, _w <P>xiang_p PLA one.by.one hold.hands to dajia_r</P></S>  
"w</S>  
<S> <N>biaoshi_v</N> <V>weiwen_v</V></V></S>  
"w</S>  
them express compliments “In the control station, Li Peng held hands with every member of staff and expressed his compliments to them.”

3.5.1.11. Adverb phrase (R)

An adverb phrase consists of an adverb or a group of words in which an adverb is the
head of the phrase.

(30) <S N="16"><N>中国_ns 与_p 周边_n 国家_n 和_c 广大_b 发展中国家_l 的_u> 友好_a 合作_vn</N>  <R>进一步_d</R>  <V>加强_v</V>  。_w</S>

<S N="16"><N>Zhongguo_ns yu_p zhoubian_n guojia_n he_c China with neighbouring countries and guanzhongguojia_l de_u> youhao_a all.over.the_world developing.countries DE friendly hezuo_vn</N>  <R>jinyibu_d</R>  <V>jiaqiang_v</V>  。_w</S>

"The co-operation between China and her surrounding countries and developing countries was further strengthened."

(31) <S N="35"><N>中国_ns</N>  <R>永远_d</R>  <V>是_v 维护_v</V> <N>世界_n 和平_n 与_c 稳定_an 的_u> 重要_a 力量_n</N>  。_w</S>

<S N="35"><N>Zhongguo_ns</N>  <R>yongyuan_d</R>  <V>shi_v</V> China be safeguard world peace and stability DE zhongyao_a liliang_n</N>  。_w</S>

"China has long been playing a vital role in maintaining world peace and stability."

(32) <S N="29">但是_a</S> 。_w <N>世界_n</N>  <R>还_d 不_d</R>  <I>安宁_a</I> 。_w</S>

<S N="29">danshi_c 。_w <N>shijie_n</N>  <R>hai_d bu_d</R> however peace still not</S>

<I>anning_a</I> 。_w</S>

"Yet, the world is not in peace."
3.5.1.12. Sentence (S)

A sentence is the maximal syntactic constituent into which a text is subdivided (Leech et al., 1996:§Sentence). By orthographic definition, in both English and Chinese, it is typically marked by a final full stop or some other terminal punctuation. For parsing purposes in this research, an item is labelled as a sentence if it (a) has an independent syntactic status; (b) is included in another sentence as in a transcript of directly quoted speech; (c) is embedded in a phrase, i.e. it is a clause-like unit with a subject and a verb.

(33) <S N="8"><N>国民经济_n</N> <V>保持_v 了_u <N><< "_w 高_a 增长_vn ' _w 低_a 通胀_i " _w 的_u> 良好_a 发展_vn 态势_n</N></V> 。_w</S>

<N>guominjingji_n</N> <V>baochi_v  le_u <N><"_w national.economy maintain PERF gao_a zengzhang_vn ' _w di_a tongzhang_i ' _w de_u> lianghao_a high growth low inflation DE good fazhan_vn taishi_n</N></V> 。_w</S>

development trend
“Our country maintains a high growth rate and low inflation rate in the economy.”

(34) <S N="110"><N>他_r</N> <V>说_y :_w <S> "_w <N>人_n</N> <J>老_a 了_y</J> ' _w <V>照顾_v 照顾_v <N>后代_n</N></V> </J> 也_d</R> <V>是_v <N>_m 件_q <可以_v 带_v 来_v 快乐_a 的_u> 事_n</N></V> ,_w <R>当然_d</R> ,_w <P>对_p <N>孩子_n们_k</N></P> <V>不能_v 溺爱_v</V> ,_w <V>要_v <Vo>让_v</Vo> 们</V> <S><N>他们_r</N> <J>a>健康_ad</J>a> <V>成长_v</V></S></V></S>

<S N="110"><N>ta_r</N> <V>shuo_v :_w <S>"_w <N>ren_n</N> said people <J>lao_a le_y</J> ,_w <V>zhaogu_v zhaogu_v become.old PERF nurture nurture</S>
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next generation also be one CL
can bring come happiness DE matter
of course as for children PL
cannot spoil have to let
them healthily
grow
“He said, ‘when we grow older, we take delight in rearing our next generation. But we must bear in mind that we cannot spoil them.’”

“in the meantime also hope
you safely produce
economically adjust realise economy
growth mode DE change
“In the meantime, I hope you could take note of safety measures in manufacturing, improve productivity and keep up with any changes in our economy.”

In this scheme, since embedded clauses in a phrase are marked by <S> elements, the “clause” category is not used except adverbial clause and correlative clause, both of which are formally distinguished from simple sentences. Adverbial clauses are generally identified by some sign of their dependence on the superordinate clause or
sentence such as an introductory subordinating conjunction whereas simple sentences are not. As for correlative clauses, as Chao (1968:121) points out, the correlative adverbs 越 yue…越 yue… “the more…, the more…” make it impossible for the coordinated parts of correlative clauses to be independent sentences, and to this extent the sentence label would be misleading.

3.5.1.13. Verb phrase (V)

There is disagreement over the scope of the verb phrase, focussed upon whether or not it should include only its verb or its verb and complement (Leech et al., 1996:§ Verb phrase; Kahrel et al., 1997:240). Including the verb complement in the verb phrase evidently has an advantage as this will make the structure of the verb phrase conform to the basic assumption of most of the existing generative syntactic theories, including the theoretical frameworks I compared in section 3.4.2.1, e.g. GB, LFG, HPSG, etc., in which binary branching of phrasal structures is the norm, as shown in (36a). Excluding the verb complement from the verb phrase will result in ternary branching nodes as in (36b).

(36a)  
\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \\
\text{NP} \\
\text{VP} \\
\text{V} \\
\text{NP}
\end{array}
\]

(36b)  
\[
\begin{array}{c}
S \\
\text{NP} \\
\text{V} \\
\text{NP}
\end{array}
\]

In (36a) and (36b), branching nodes are of different types: in (36a) there are binary branching nodes such as S and VP, which dominate two elements, while in (36b) S is a ternary branching node, which dominates three constituents, in contrast to its counterpart in (36a). As Haegeman (1994:138-144) notes, there are further
advantages to adopting a grammar which allows only binary branching: such a
grammar is more aesthetically satisfying, and is more constrained in the sense that lots
of imaginable representations are ruled out. I therefore decided in my annotation
scheme to include the complements of the verb in the verb phrase. In other words, a
verb phrase in this parsing scheme includes the words that are verbs and verb
complements. A merit of this approach is that the relative levels of the constituents
can be shown more clearly, while adjuncts and peripheral adverbials (mostly adverb
phrases in my treebank) are left at the sentence level.

(37) <S N="11"> <N><N>&民主_а 法制_n 建设_vn</N>&> _w <N+>精神文明_n 建设_vn</N+> 和_c <N+>其他_r 各项_r 事业_n</N+></N> <R> 都_d</R> <V>有_y <N>新_a 的_u 进展_vn</N></V> _w <S N="11"> <N><N>&minzhu_a fazhi_n jianshe_vn</N>&> _w
democratic system establishment
</N+> jingshenwenming_n jianshe_vn</N+> he_c <N+>qita_r
moral.standards establishment and other
gexiang_r shiye_n</N+></N> <R>dou_d</R> <V>you_v
various.kinds.of undertaking all have
</N>xin_a de_u jinzhan_vn</N></V> _w <V><S N="11"> <N>新_a 的_u 作风_n</N></V></V> _w <Ja>坚决_ad</Ja> <V>制止

3.5.1.4. Adverbial verb phrase (Va)

An adverbial verb phrase functions as a modifier to a verb or an adjunct to a sentence.

(38) <S N="70"> <N>江_nr 泽民_nr 同志_n</N> <Na>最近_t</Na> <Va>强调
_yd</Va> <V>指出_v</V> _w <V>要_y <R>大力_d</R> <V>倡导</Va>
</N><V>说_yl 办_v 实事_n _w 鼓_v 实劲_n _w 讲_v
实效_n 的_u 作风_n</V></V> _w <Ja>坚决_ad</Ja> <V>制止
Jiang Zemin has recently emphasised that we should encourage an effective and efficient working atmosphere and get rid of unnecessary formalities and red tape.

(39) <S N="89"> <Va> 演出_v 结束_v 后_f </Va> 、_w <N><N>&> 江_n 地区_n 等_u 领导人_n </N> <N> <V> 走_v 上_v </V> <N> 党_n </N> <V> 会见_v 了_u <N> 参加_v 演出_v 的_u 全体_u 人员_n </V> 、_w <V><V>&> 祝贺_v <Vo> 演出_v 成功_a </Vo> </V> 、_w 并_c <V>_v </V> <P> 他们_r </P> <V> 留念_y </V> <Vo> 留念_y </Vo> <Vo> 留念_y </Vo> <Vo> 留念_y </Vo> <Vo> 留念_y </Vo> 、_w </S>
Jiang Zemin etc. the Party and
<N>guoija_n lingdaren_n</N> <V>zou_v shang_v

country leader step on
<N>wutai_n</N> <V>qinjie_ad</V> <V>huijian_v le_u
stage sincerely meet PERF
<N>canjia_v yanchu_v de_u quanti_n

commence in performance DE the whole group of
renyuan_n</N> <V>zhuhe_v yanchu_v
performers compliment performance
chenggong_a</V> <V>bing_c yu_p
successful and with
<N>tamen_r</N> <V>heying_v liunian_v</V> take.photo as.a.memento
_w</S>

“After the performance finished, Jiang Zemin’s Communist Party and other leaders stepped onto the stage, met the performers and complimented them on their success and took photographs with them.”

3.5.1.15. Verbal object (Vo)

This parsing label is specific to Chinese. As Norman (1988:163) notes, “Chinese, like a number of other East Asian languages, is an aspect and not a tense language”. This means that unlike English, Chinese verbs in themselves lack any distinction of finiteness and nonfiniteness (Rai-Chu, 1982:78ff). In cases where a main verb takes another verb as direct object, English and Chinese verbs behave differently. While in English the second verb is either an infinitive preceded by to or an –ing participle (Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:361; Faraci, 1974; Jones, 1985; Biber et al., 1999) as in example (40a), Chinese verbs do not show any morphological changes when they are used as direct object of another verb as in example (40b).

(40a) I like talking. Or I like to talk.
In other words, English verbs can easily be distinguished from their verbal objects by tense whereas Chinese verbs cannot. In order to explicitly mark this distinction between a main verb and its verbal object in Chinese, which will otherwise be lost due to no visible morphological difference between them, I decided to use a parsing label, \( \text{Vo} \), to refer to verbal objects in Chinese.\(^{17}\) Hence, besides having a nominal direct object, a verb in Chinese can take another verbal element (verb or verb phrase) as its object. This verbal object is enclosed in the \(<\text{Vo}>\) element and is included in the bracketing of the main verb, as illustrated in examples (41) and (42).

(41) \(<\text{S N}="62">\text{N我们_r}</\text{N}> \text{<V>要_y <Ja>更_d 好_a 地_u}</Ja> \text{<Vo>坚持_y <N>"<w 双手_1, _w 两手_m 都_d 要_v 硬_a ”_w 的_u> 方针_n}</N></Vo></V> \text{。_w}</S>\)
\(<\text{S N}="62">\text{Nwomen_r}</N> \text{<V>yao_v <Ja>geng_d hao_a de_u}</Ja> \text{we have to more good ADVL <Vo>jianchi_y <N>“<w liangshouzhua_l, _w liangshou_m dou_d insist.on both.hands.hold.sth both.hands all yao_v ying_a ”_w de_u>fangzhen_n}</Ja></Vo></V> \text{。_w}</S>\)

“We must closely follow the principle of perseverance in an undertaking.”

(42) \(<\text{S N}="104">\text{Na现在_r}</Na> \text{，_w <N>我们_r 的_u 首都_n}</N> \text{<R>已经_d}</R> \text{<V>结束_y了_u <N>拉_v 阐_n 限_y 电_n 的_u>历史_n}</N></V> \text{，_w <V>希望_y <Vo>依靠_y <N>大家_r}</N></Vo></V> \text{。_w}</S>\)

\(^{17}\) Verbal objects in Chinese are different from English nominal clauses in the sense that the former is only used as an object to a verb, while the latter can function both as subject and object in a clause/sentence.
However, verb phrases with a verbal object are distinct from verb phrases with an auxiliary and a main verb. The main verb is not taken to be the verbal object of the auxiliary verb and thus no <Vo> element is used as in (43) and (44) where the auxiliaries are highlighted in grey colour, as opposed to the examples of verb phrases having a verbal object as shown in (41) and (42) above.

(43) <S N="12">我们_r/N> <R>我们_r/N> <R>十分_m"/>/<R> <V>关注_v <N><最近_t
一个_m 时期_n 一些_m 国家_n 和_c 地区_n 发生_v 的_u> 金融
_n 风波_n</V> , _w <N>我们_r/N> <V>相信_v <S><Pa>通过_p
<N><N>&><这些_r 国家_n 和_c 地区_n 的_u> 努力_an</N>&> 以及
_c <N>有关_v 的_u 地区_n 合作_vn</N>/><N></Pa> , _w <N>情况
_n</N> <V>会_v <R>逐步_d</R> 得到_v <N>缓解
_vn</N></V> </S>/<V> 』w</S>

(44) <S N="12">women_r/N> <R>我们_r/N> <R>十分_m"/>/<R> <V>关注_v <N><最近_t
一个_m 时期_n 一些_m 国家_n 和_c 地区_n 发生_v 的_u> 金融
_n 风波_n</V> , _w <N>我们_r/N> <V>相信_v <S><Pa>通过_p
<N><N>&><这些_r 国家_n 和_c 地区_n 的_u> 努力_an</N>&> 以及
_c <N>有关_v 的_u 地区_n 合作_vn</N>/><N></Pa> , _w <N>情况
_n</N> <V>会_v <R>逐步_d</R> 得到_v <N>缓解
_vn</N></V> </S>/<V> 』w</S>
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18 The tag "m" for numeral is erroneously assigned in the PFR Chinese Corpus; it should be "d", an adverb.
19 The tag "m" for numeral is erroneously assigned in the PFR Chinese Corpus; it should be "d", an adverb.
We are deeply concerned about the recent financial crises in some regions and countries, and believe that the situation will soon be alleviated through the efforts made by these places and help from other nations.”
In my parsing guidelines, I chose to adopt Li and Thompson’s (1989:182-183) list of Chinese auxiliary verbs because these auxiliary verbs are convincingly demonstrated by Li and Thompson to share a set of distributional properties that distinguish them from other grammatical categories such as verbs and adverbs. These include the following commonly used forms:

(45) 应该 yinggai, 应当 yingdang, 该 gai “ought to, should”
能 neng, 能够 nenggou, 会 hui, 可以 keyi “be able to”
敢 gan “has permission to”
肯 ken “dare”
得 dey, 不须 buxu, 不要 buyao, 不得 budei “be willing to”
会 hui “must, ought to”

will, know how”

3.5.1.6. Initial (&) and non-initial conjunct (+)

Any of the above parsing tags may be used with “&” and “+” to indicate coordination. The first coordinate element will carry “&” sign. Subsequent ones will have “+”, placed after both medial punctuations and coordinating conjunctions (if any).

(46) <S N="13">总的来说, c, w <N>N>N<和 c
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“On the whole, China’s reform and development keep on progressing steadily.”

“This is to safeguard the implementation of the Communist Party’s policy and success in the country’s reform and development.”
resume to Hong.Kong exercise
<N>zhuquan_n</N>\(<</V></V></S&> \_w bing_c \<S+><P>anzhao_p
sovereignty and according to
<N>\<”_w yiguoliangzhi_j “_w \_w ”_w gangrenzhigang_l “_w  
one.country.two.systems people’s.ruling.themselves
_w gaodu_d zizhi_v de_u> fangzhen_n</N></P> \<V>baochi_v
highly autonomous DE objective maintain
<N>Xianggang_ns de_u fanrong_an wending_an</N></V></S+>  
Hong.Kong DE prosperity stability
\_w</S>
“The Chinese government has succeeded in resuming its sovereignty over Hong Kong and maintaining the prosperity and stability of Hong Kong, according to the objectives of ‘one country two systems’, ‘Hong Kong people ruling themselves’ and ‘high degree of autonomy’.”

3.5.2. Issues arising from the application of the scheme

Even if a basic parsing scheme for annotation is made explicit, many issues may arise when the scheme is applied to corpus data (Sampson, 1987:94ff). Throughout the entire parsing process, choices had to be made as such issues arose. These choices will be documented and explained in this section. The decisions were then adhered to consistently in the annotation of similar cases thereafter. In the following subsections, I will discuss the issues that arose and illustrate them with examples drawn from the sample treebank.

3.5.2.1. Underspecification – Use of unlabelled bracketings

Brackets may be left unlabelled in cases where a particular grouped sequence of words cannot fit in to any of the existing phrase or clause categories. Examples of constituents enclosed in unlabelled brackets are given below from (a) to (e).
(a) Multi-word premodifiers of noun phrases marked by the particle 的 de (see also section 3.6.2.3):

e.g. <N><全国_n 各族_r 的_u> 人民_n</N> <N><quanguo_n gezu_r de_u> renmin_n</N> “people from different ethnic groups throughout the country”;

e.g. <N><同_p 联合国_nt 和_c 其他_r 国际_n 组织_n 的_u> 协调_vn</N> <N><tong_p Lianheguo_nt he_c qita_r guoji_n zuzhi_n de_u> xietiao_vn</N> “the compromise between the United Nations and other international organisations”;

As stated in section 3.4.1, those grammatical constructions marked by the particle de as in (a) above are highly controversial: some scholars refer to them as relative clauses (e.g. Li and Thompson, 1989:579ff; Aoun and Li, 1993; Chiu, 1993; Wu, 2000; Xue et al., 2000) or appositive clauses (Chu and Chi, 1999:226), while others do not agree with either approach (e.g. Chao, 1968; Zhu, 1982 and 2000; Liu, 2003). Moreover, using some catch-all label, such as “de constructions”, does not help either because the particle de in itself is vague in its functions: it can be a genitive marker, a marker of nominalisation and an adjetival marker (see section 3.4.1). It is
therefore not easy to agree upon how the *de* constructions are defined and instantiated in texts. Having considered that there is to date no consensus on this issue of how *de* constructions should be analysed, and any invented label that attempts to refer to them will act as a locus of controversy and disagreement, I decided not to set up a new label for them in my parsing scheme and thus these constructions were enclosed by unlabelled brackets in the treebank.

(b) Serial verb constructions which are used as if they were compound verbs (see also section 3.6.2.4):

- e.g. `<坚持_v 奉行_v>` *<jianchi_v fengxing_v>* “insist on following”;
- e.g. `<指挥_v 演奏_v>` *<zhihui_v yanzou_v>* “lead and perform”;
- e.g. `<看望_v 慰问_v>` *<kanwang_v weiwen_v>* “visit and send regards to …”.

As will be explained in more detail in section 3.6.2.4, some serial verb constructions are problematic in that their status of being serial verbs is dubious. Given no in-depth investigations of whether or not they are actually serial verbs or compound verbs that have been carried out as yet, these constructions were left unlabelled in my treebank.

(c) Serial adjective constructions:

- e.g. `<团结_a 一致_a>` *<tuanjie_a yizhi_a>* “be united together”;
- e.g. `<圆满_a 成功_a>` *<yuanman_a chenggong_a>* “perfectly successful”.

For the same reason as in (b), since it is not clear whether these serial adjective constructions are compound adjectives, I left them unlabelled.
(d) Idioms/set phrases which are used idiosyncratically as if they were single-word nouns or verbs (see also section 3.6.2.2):

  e.g. <大势所趋_i , _w 民心所向_i>  <dashisuouqi_i , _w
minxinsoxiang_i> “urged by the trend, supported by general public”;

  e.g. <大气磅礴_i , _w 波澜壮阔_i>  <diqibangbo_i , _w
bolanzhuangkuo_i> “powerful wind, fierce waves”;

  e.g. <流光溢彩_i , _w 火树银花_i>  <liuguangyicai_i , _w
huoshuyinhua_i> “filled with colourful lights, magnificent”.

In cases like (d) above, idioms or set phrases can function as predicate in a sentence. However, as will be discussed in section 3.6.2.2, even if context is taken into consideration, it is not obvious whether these idiomatic expressions function as a nominal predicate or a verbal predicate, both of which are allowed in the Chinese syntax (Chao, 1968:90). They were thus not enclosed in the <V> element nor <N> element in the treebank and left unlabelled instead.

(e) Coordinated verbs with shared direct object:

  e.g. <V><学习_v 和_l 掌握_v>  <N>党_n 的_u 十五大_i 精神
_n<N><V> <V><wuxi_v he_c zhangwo_v>  <N>dang_n de_u
shiwuda_j jingshen_n<N></V> “learn and master the Communist Party’s 15 principles”;

  e.g. <V><尊重_v , _w 认识_v 和_l 掌握_v>  <N>客观_a 规律
_n<N></V> <V><zhuanzhong_v , _w renshi_v he_c zhangwo_v>
<N>keguan_a guilii_n<N></V> “respect, understand and master what we learn in our daily life”.

In the examples given in (e) above, two or more transitive verbs in coordination share the same direct object. The coordinated verbs (except the last one) are not constituent-like in the sense that they do not constitute a complete verb phrase structure because the following shared object does not come immediately after them. I
did not therefore apply the usual practice of marking conjuncts by enclosing them in the <V&> and <V+> elements, which are only used for coordinated verbs or verb phrases with complete verb phrase structure (Eyes and Leech, 1993:53; Sampson, 1995:310f; Leech et al., 1996:§Coordination). Since it is also not worthwhile to set up a new parsing label to mark such a non-frequent phenomenon, I decided to put these verbal segments into unlabelled brackets.

3.5.2.2. **Bracketing of multi-word constituents**

The unlabelled bracketing facility evidently has its uses in skeleton parsing as it allows analysis to proceed where labelling decisions are not obvious or straightforward. Nevertheless, for some multi-word adverb phrases containing two adverbs (e.g. <R>还_d 不_d</R> <R>hai_d bu_d</R> “not…though”; <R>永远_d 不再_d</R> <R>yongyuan_d buzai_d</R> “never forever”; <R>一直_d 都_d</R> <R>yizhi_d dou_d</R> “constantly”), and multi-word attributive adjectival phrases containing an adjective premodified by at least one adverb (e.g. <J>非常_d 重要_a 的_u</J> <J>feichang_d zhongyao_a de_u</J> “very important”; <J>很_d 不_d 平凡_a 的_u</J> <J>hen_d bu_d pingfan_a de_u</J> “very extraordinary; <J>十分_m 高兴_a</J> <J>shifen_m gaoxing_a</J> “very happy”), though Eyes and Leech (1993:53) chose to put them into unlabelled brackets, they were labelled in my treebank. The reason for this is that their internal structure is clear, having a head (adjective or adverb) being modified by another adverb.

3.5.2.3. **Bracketing of single-word constituents**

As suggested in the EAGLES Recommendations for the Syntactic Annotation of
Corpora, Version of 11th March 1996 (Leech et al., 1996), it is considered preferable to bracket single-word constituents where they show their phrasal status by the possibility of adding modifiers or replacing them by a multi-word phrase as in (49), or where they are in coordination with other multi-word constituents as in (50).

(49) <N>人民_n 生活_vn</N> <R>进一步_d</R> <V>改善_v</V> citizens life further improve “the life of the citizens is further improved”

(50) <N>&>全党_n</N>&> 和_c <N>+>全国_n 各族_r 人民_n</N>+></N> <N>&>共产党_n</N>&> <N>+>quanguo_n the.whole.Communist.Party and the.whole.country gezu_r renmin_n</N>+></N> various.kinds.of.ethnic.groups citizens “the Communist Party and the citizens of varied ethnic groups throughout the country”

In example (49), the phrase brackets delimiting the adverb 进一步 “further” and the main verb 改善 “improve” are meant to indicate the potential phrasal status of these words, because of the possibility of adding another adverb (e.g. 再 “again”) before the former or replacing the latter with a multi-word verb phrase (e.g. 得到改善 dedao gaishan “have got (it) improved”). In example (50), the equivalence of the two conjuncts can be represented in the bracketing, though the initial conjunct is a noun and the final conjunct is a noun phrase.

3.5.2.4. Punctuation

Generally speaking, I included punctuation within the bracketing. As for
phrase/sentence-initial and phrase/sentence-final punctuations, I enclosed them within the parsing bracketing, as in (51):

(51) <P>为_п <N> “_w 两手抓_ 丶_w 两手_m 都_d 要_v 硬_a ”_w</N></P> <V>提供_v 了_u <N>新_a 的_u 理论_n 根据_n</N></V>
<P>wei_p <N>“_w liangshouzhua_1 丶_w liangshou_m dou_d yao_v for both.hands.hold.sth both.hands all have.to ying_a ”_w</N></P> <V>tigong_v le_u <N>xin_a de_u lilun_n stiff provide PERF new DE theoretical genju_n</N></V> grounds “provide new theoretical evidence for the principle of perseverance in an undertaking”

As regards medial punctuation marks, typically commas, I attached them to the highest available node in the parse tree, thus these punctuation marks can be used as delimiters of major constituents, as in (52):

(52) <S N=“5"<S&><N>[Zhongguo_ns zhengfu_n]nt</N> <Ja>shunli_ad</Ja> <V>恢复_v <P>对_p <N>香港/ns</N></P> <V>行使_v <N>主权_n</N></V> <V>按照_p <N>“_w 一国两制_j 丶_w “_w 港人治港_1 丶_w “_w 高度_d 自治_v 的_u> 方针_n</N></V> <V>保持_v <N>香港/ns 的_u 繁荣_an 稳定_an</N></V> <V>/</V></S&> <S N=“5”</S>
<S N=“5”><S&><N>[中国/ns 政府_n]nt</N> <Ja>顺利_ad</Ja> <V>恢复_v <P>对_p <N>香港/ns</N></P> <V>行使_v <N>主权_n</N></V> <V>按照_p <N>“_w 一国两制_j 丶_w “_w 港人治港_1 丶_w “_w 高度_d 自治_v 的_u> 方针_n</N></V> <V>保持_v <N>香港/ns 的_u 繁荣_an 稳定_an</N></V> <V>/</V></S&> <S N=“5”></S>
<S N=“5”><S&><N>[Zhongguo_ns zhengfu_n]nt</N> <Ja>shunli_ad</Ja> <V>恢复_v <P>对_p <N>香港/ns</N></P> <V>行使_v <N>主权_n</N></V> <V>按照_p <N>“_w 一国两制_j 丶_w “_w 港人治港_1 丶_w “_w 高度_d 自治_v 的_u> 方针_n</N></V> <V>保持_v <N>香港/ns 的_u 繁荣_an 稳定_an</N></V> <V>/</V></S&> <S N=“5”></S>
highly autonomous DE objective maintain

<Xianggang_ns de_u fanrong_an wending_an</N><N></N></S>

Hong.Kong DE prosperity stability

“We The Chinese government has succeeded in resuming its sovereignty over Hong Kong and maintaining the prosperity and stability of Hong Kong, according to the objectives on ‘one country two systems’, ‘Hong Kong people ruling themselves’ and ‘high degree of autonomy’.”

3.5.2.5. Ambiguity

Linguistic forms are often ambiguous. My annotation scheme, however, did not contain any notation for representing ambiguity explicitly with which the human analyst selects one possible sense for a form and represents it. I decided not to explicitly mark an ambiguous form because even if a given item has more than one reading, the human analyst will not recognise this in the course of parsing and just annotate the item with the interpretation that seems initially most plausible. In fact, similar problems were encountered in the production of the Penn Chinese Treebank and the annotators of the treebank did not annotate ambiguities either (Xue et al., 2000:173-178). They believed that in each case one of these ambiguous readings was unlikely and thus they annotated assuming the more plausible reading. In this regard, my treebank may appear unsatisfactory in connection with research on different kinds of ambiguity.

With such a detailed and carefully articulated parsing scheme and guidelines, I can now proceed to describe the actual process of skeleton parsing and difficulties encountered in the process of parsing.
3.6. The process of skeleton parsing

3.6.1. The basic concept of skeleton parsing

The basic idea of skeleton parsing, as Garside and McEnery (1993:19) demonstrate, is that the treebanker marks only those syntactic structures which seem “intuitively obvious”, rather than keeping track of a particular reference grammar. In the course of skeleton parsing, I inserted a nested set of brackets around a sequence of word tokens which appeared to be intuitively correct to group as a single unit. I then assigned to each of these units (i.e. sentence constituents) a label from the set of categories specified in my parsing scheme. An excerpt of the PFR Skeleton Treebank is provided in the following figure and a sample of the full manually-parsed text is given in Appendix 3.

![Figure 4: An excerpt of the PFR Sample Skeleton Treebank](image-url)
3.6.2. Difficulties in skeleton parsing Chinese text

It is noteworthy here to discuss the major difficulties that I encountered in the course of skeleton parsing a sample text taken from my corpus, as this illuminates some of the peculiarities of the Chinese language.

3.6.2.1. Ba constructions

Firstly, 把 ba constructions make the parse of a verb phrase incomplete. The ba construction is a widely discussed topic in the grammar of Chinese (see, for instance, Li and Thompson, 1989:463-491; Chen, 1990; Kit, 1992; Zou, 1993; Xia and Wu, 1996; Li, 1997; Xue et al., 2000; Li, 2001). In general, the structure of the ba construction is expressed and underlined in (53): a ba sentence has a subject, followed by ba and the ba noun phrase (i.e. the NP directly following ba) followed by a verb.

(53) subject ba NP verb

In my PFR treebank, 104 instances of the ba construction were found, which fall into three types of this construction. The general pattern of ba sentences is to place the direct object of the following verb immediately after ba as in example (54).

(54) <P>把_p <N>电厂_n</N_p><P> <V>建_v 好_a</V> Θ1
   <P>ba_p <N>dianchang_n</N_p><P> <V>jian_v hao_a</V> Θ1
   BA electricity.supply.station build well
   “build an electricity supply station”

Typically, a transitive verb should follow the pattern “<V>…<N>…</N_v</V>.”
However, the verb\textsuperscript{20} 建\_v 好\_a, jian-hao, “build well, build in good shape” that follows the $ba$ construction lacks a direct object, which is equivalent to the $ba$ noun phrase, i.e. $ba$ NP\_1 verb $\emptyset\_i$, where $\emptyset\_i$ denotes the empty position of the preposed verb object that shares the same reference as the prepositional complement of $ba$. In other words, the structure of the verb phrase following the $ba$ construction is in the form of $<V>…</V>$ rather than the canonical form mentioned before.

More complicated $ba$ constructions involve the occurrence of two following verbs and a passivised verb. In cases like (55) where two different transitive verbs follow the $ba$ construction, it is not immediately obvious whether that the prepositional complement of $ba$ co-refers to the object of the first verb (改编 gaiban “be adapted for”) or that of the second one (为 wei “be changed as”). Since the second verb already takes a direct object (器乐曲 qiyuequ “acoustics of musical instruments”), the $ba$ noun phrase must be co-referential with the object of the first verb.

(55) $<$P$>$把\_p $<$N$>$<广大_b 听众_n 耳熟能详\_i 的_u> 歌曲_n</N</P>
$<$V$>$改编\_v $\emptyset\_i$ $<$V$>$为\_v $<$N$>$器乐曲_n</N</V></V>
$<$P$>$ba\_p $<$N$>$< Guangda_b tingzhong_n ershunengxiang_i de\_u>
BA general audience familiar DE
gequ_n</N</P> $<$V$>$gaiban\_v $\emptyset\_i$ $<$V$>$wei\_v
songs change to.be
$<$N$>$qiyuequ\_n</N</V></V>
“change those popular songs into acoustic versions”

In less obvious cases like (56), however, it is impossible to locate any empty

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{20}建\_v 好\_a, jian-hao “build well, build in good shape” is a compound verb. More specifically, it is a verb-complement (V-R) compound (Chao, 1968:435ff). The resultative complement 好 hao “good” is bound to and follows the verb 建 jian “build” and expresses the result of the action of the verb.
\end{footnotesize}
position that co-refers to the *ba* complement. The verb 带入 *dairu* “bring to” that follows the *ba* construction is used causatively without any visible passivisation. As Norman (1988:164) notes, Chinese verbs do not make any distinction between the active (or unaccusative) and passive (or causative). The *ba* noun phrase 人们 *renmen* “people” therefore actually refers to the logical subject of the verb.

(56) <P>把_ _p <N>人们_n</N></P> <V>带入_v <N>迷人_a 的_u 艺术_n
境地_n</N></V>
 <P>ba_p <N>renmen_n</N></P> <V>dairu_v <N>miren_a de_u
BA people bring.into fascinating DE
yishu_n jingdi_n</N></V>
imaginary world
“bring people into a fascinating imaginary world”

3.6.2.2. Idioms or set phrases

The use of idioms (tagged “i”) or set phrases (tagged “l”) as if they were nouns and verbs is also problematic. Noun-like idioms and set phrases are illustrated in example (57) and verb-like set phrases in example (58). To my knowledge, the grammatical categories of this kind of idiomatic expressions have not been documented so far.

(57) <N>今晚_t 的_u 长安街_ns</N> <流光溢彩_l , w 火树银花_i>
 <N>jinwan_t de_u Changanjie_ns</N> <liuguangyicai_l , w
tonight DE Changan.Street filled.with.colourful.lights
huoshuyinhua_i>
bright.red.trees.with.silver.flowers
“Tonight the Changan Street was filled with colourful lights and really looked magnificent.”

(58) <N>国民经济_n</N> <稳中求进_l>
 <N>guominjingji_n</N> <wenzhongqiujin_l>
national.economy steadily.progress
“The national economy is progressing steadily.”

That they can be used rather idiosyncratically as a noun or a verb makes it almost impossible for even a human analyst to determine the phrasal category of a given idiomatic expression: whether it is a noun phrase or a verb phrase. As in the above two examples, it is unclear whether the idiom/set phrase placed after the subject noun phrase is intended to function as a nominal expression or a verbal one. Unlike English, in which the subject must be followed by a verbal predicate, a Chinese predicate can be a verbal predicate, an adjectival predicate or a nominal predicate (Chao, 1968:90). In the absence of further evidence of the categorial status of such segments, those idioms and set phrases occurring in the predicate position were left unlabelled in my treebank.

3.6.2.3. Lengthy premodifiers of a noun phrase

Unlike English, which favours the use of postmodification if a modifier of a noun phrase is long (Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:425; de Haan, 1991), Chinese prefers premodification to postmodification, regardless of the length of the modifier (cf. Liu et al., 1996:265-274). It is thus common in the PFR treebank that a noun is qualified by a grammatical unit of over six words which is marked by the particle 的 de at the end, as in example (59). As stated in section 3.4.1, the particle 的 de is traditionally treated as a marker of modification.

(59) <N><中国 ns 与_p 周边_n 国家_n 和_c 广大_b 发展中国家_l 的 _u> 友好_a 合作_vn</N>
     <N><Zhongguo_ns yu_p zhoubian_n guojia_n he_c guangda_b>
China with neighbouring countries and all over the world

developing countries friendly co-operation

“the co-operation between China and her surrounding countries and developing
countries”

These lengthy premodifiers make the structure of the noun phrase in which they
occur extremely difficult to interpret. Some premodifiers of this sort are complicated
by the fact that they are further modified by another element marked by de in their
internal structure, as in example (60).

(60) 장 _p <N> 党 _n 的 _u <<< basic _a 路线 _n 提出 _v 的 _u> 党 _n 在 _p 社会
主义 _n 初级 _b 阶段 _n 经济 _n _w 政治 _n _w 文化 _n 的 _u>
基本 _a 纲领 _n</N>

< N > dang _ n de _ u <<jiben _ a luxian _ n tichu _ v de _ u> dang _ n zai _p
the.Party’s GEN primary route mention DE the.Party at
shehuizhuyi _ n chuji _ b jieduan _ n jingji _ n _w zhengzhi _ n _w
social.idealogy initial stage economy politics
wenhua _ n de _ u> jiben _ a gangling _ n</N>
culture DE basic objectives

“the primary principles of the Communist Party on economy, politics and culture,
which are also on a par with the Party’s basic directions”

3.6.2.4. Serial verb constructions

Serial verb constructions in Chinese also increase the complexity of parsing. There is
an immense literature on Chinese serial verb constructions (see, for instance, Li and
Thompson, 1989:594ff; Lin and Soo, 1994; Liu, 1996). Generally speaking, a serial
verb construction refers to a succession of two or more actions that share the same
subject, as illustrated in the following concocted example.
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However, some of the serial verb constructions in my treebank do not conform to this general pattern of two successive verbs, each of which has a different direct object. Unlike ordinary serial verbs, the serial verbs, as shown in (62) and (63), do not take a direct object separately. They are more like compound verbs than serial verbs, though it is not clear that they can be fully assimilated to the former category.

Evidence in support of this analysis comes from the fact that these verbs (i.e. 指挥_v 演奏_v zhihui yanzou “lead and perform” as in (62), and 坚持_v 奉行_v jianchi fengxing “insist and follow” as in (63)), functioning as if they were a single unit, take the same object, i.e. the following noun phrase.

(62) <V><指挥_v 演奏_v> 了_u <N>一_m 批_q 中外_j 名曲_n</N></V> <V><zhihui_v yanzou_v> le_u <N>yi_m pi_q zhongwai_j lead perform PERF one CL Chinese.and.western mingqu_n</N></V> popular.songs “led and performed a variety of Chinese and western popular songs”

(63) 坚持_v 奉行_v > <N>独立自主_l 的_u 和平_n 外交_n 政策_n</N> <jianchi_v fengxing_v> <N>dulizhu_l de_u heping_n waijiao_n insist.on follow independent DE peace diplomatic zhengce_n</N> policy “insist on adopting an independent diplomatic policy in maintaining peace”

Besides sharing the same direct object, another clue that tends to prove that the
two verbs are actually used as a compound verb is the suffixation of the morpheme -le, as highlighted in (62). The verbal -le has generally been taken as an aspect marker, indicating completion (Norman, 1988:163; Xiao, 2002), and it is attached to verbs and not to the objects of verbs (Chao, 1968:247), excluding the possibility that the first verb takes the second verb (and the following noun phrase) as its object. Further research on clarifying their subcategorisation (whether they are serial or compound verbs) ought to be done in order to give a more precise parse.

### 3.7. Parses of adverbials and adverbial clauses

As stated in section 3.1, the annotation scheme that I used to manually parse the PFR treebank includes different sorts of adverbials: adverbial clauses (Fa), adverbial idioms/set phrases (Ia), adverbial adjective phrases (Ja), adverbial noun phrases (Na), adverbial prepositional phrases (Pa), adverb phrases (R) and adverbial verb phrases (Va). As adverb phrases, by definition, belong to the class of adverbials, they were not marked as “adverbial adverb phrases” in the scheme. A frequency table of these seven adverbial types occurring in the sample text under examination is given as follows:
### Adverbial Type in PFR Sample Skeleton Treebank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Type in PFR Sample Skeleton Treebank</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Clause ($Fa$)</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Idiom/Set Phrase ($Ia$)</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Adjective Phrase ($Ja$)</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Noun Phrase ($Na$) – Temporal</td>
<td>713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Noun Phrase ($Na$) – Non-temporal</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Prepositional Phrase ($Pa$) – Temporal</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Prepositional Phrase ($Pa$) – Non-temporal</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Phrase ($R$)</td>
<td>2,208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Verb Phrase ($Va$)</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,631</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Frequency of seven adverbial types in the sample treebank

Both the adverbial noun phrases and prepositional phrases are further split into temporal and non-temporal ones to specify their semantic functions, as the two kinds of adverbial are frequently employed to fulfil these functions. However, what I am particularly interested here is the adverbial clauses. In my treebank, there are altogether 181 adverbial clauses identified during manual skeleton parsing, three of which are illustrated in Figure 5; adverbial clauses in Chinese appear to be rare.

As I did not consider those clauses with no explicit subordinating marker as adverbial clauses proper in this thesis (see section 3.5.1.1), adverbial clauses found in the treebank are typically overtly marked by a *lianci* (连词), a conjunction\(^{21}\), tagged “c”. In each case the conjunction is a subordinating conjunction. This provides an important clue in the identification of adverbial clauses in the PFR Chinese Corpus as a whole. In the next chapter, I will discuss subordinating conjunctions and explain how to extract from the PFR corpus those adverbial clauses introduced by a subordinating conjunction.

\(^{21}\) *Lianci* in Chinese vaguely refers to both coordinating and subordinating conjunctions. See Chapter Four, sections 4.2 and 4.3 for a critique of this notion.
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3.8. Conclusion: Quality control of the skeleton parsing process

In evaluating the success of an annotation project, Eves and Leech (1993:37-42) provide six essential criteria that can be used for evaluating my skeleton parsing scheme.

1. Consensual categories: The linguistic categories that were employed in my parsing scheme have been demonstrated, by comparison to seven syntactic theories, to represent grammatical features largely agreed upon by linguists, rather than features which are theory-specific or deeply controversial.

2. Overall coverage: My sample treebank represents a reasonable length of text (comprising about 100,000 word tokens or 2,500 sentences) to be manually parsed and could be re-used in future research.

---

22 The pinyin romanisations, the glosses and the English translations of these adverbial clauses were already given in examples (9), (3) and (2), respectively.
3. Productivity: Productivity (i.e. the number of word tokens parsed within a reasonable length of time) was satisfactory with the simplified syntactic analysis provided by skeleton parsing.\(^\text{23}\)

4. Accuracy: The output of the parsed sentences was cross-checked by several posteditors with a background in linguistics.\(^\text{24}\) While one can never guarantee 100% accuracy, I believe the sample treebank to be highly accurate.

5. Uniformity of analysis: To demonstrate consistency of analysis, a concordance of the verb 要 yao “need” was drawn from my skeleton treebank. This verb always takes a verbal object, i.e. a verb functioning as the direct object of another verb, which is represented as Vo in my parsing scheme and is distinct from V, which stands for an independent verb phrase (see section 3.5.1.15). There are 252 instances of the verb yao in my treebank. In each case, it is followed by a verbal object consistently marked as Vo not V, as highlighted in the following figure.\(^\text{25}\)

---

\(^{23}\) My skeleton treebank was completed in 6 months. The rate of manual skeleton parsing averages 500 words or 14 sentences a day.

\(^{24}\) An acknowledgment is due to the posteditors who corrected the manual skeleton parses of the sample treebank with skill and dedication: Gloria Lee, Candy Siu, Sara Wong and Sharon Wong.

\(^{25}\) The verb yao can take an adjectival direct object, as shown in one instance of the concordance extracted. A vast majority of adjectives may function as verbs in Mandarin Chinese by taking aspect markers (e.g. -guo “experiential aspect”, -le “perfective aspect”, etc.) or directional complements (e.g. qilai “inchoative”). See Li and Thompson (1989:141-147).
Figure 6: A concordance of the verb *yao*

6. Linguistic validity: One of the aims of carrying out a skeleton parsing on a
sample text of the PFR Chinese Corpus is to gain a better understanding of how
to precisely locate adverbial clauses in a piece of POS tagged text. In written
Chinese, adverbial clauses are typically overtly marked by subordinating
conjunctions (or subordinators) of various sorts. Hence in the next chapter, I will
describe in more detail the role of subordinators that are commonly employed in
introducing adverbial clauses.
4.1. Introduction

Following from the characteristic of Chinese adverbial clauses as shown in the PFR skeleton treebank, this thesis will focus on adverbial clauses which are overtly marked by a subordinating conjunction or an adverbial subordinator. In Chinese, several clauses can be juxtaposed in a sentence without being introduced by either a coordinator or a subordinator to mark their grammatical relations (Chu and Chi, 1999:248-249). As a general rule, these clauses are more likely to be taken as coordinate clauses than subordinate clauses (cf. Li and Thompson, 1989:631). Some Chinese scholars (Rai-Chu, 1982:21-30; Liu et al., 1996:505-513; Chu and Chi, 1999:248f; among others), however, argue that as long as a clause shows any semantic relations such as condition, reason, concession, etc., the clause must be an adverbial subordinate clause to another clause of the same sentence, even though it is not overtly marked by a subordinator. I did not follow this approach in my thesis because there is no conclusive morphosyntactic evidence in the Chinese language to prove that a clause under consideration is an adverbial subordinate clause rather than a coordinate clause and an independent sentence (cf. Chao, 1968:104-106). In English, when an adverbial subordinate clause is not introduced by a subordinator, it must take the form of a non-finite clause such as –ing clause, to-infinitive clause, etc. (Quintero, 2002:33-38). Chinese adverbial clauses, however, do not have such explicit morphological marking (Norman, 1988:163; Hu et al., 2001). In the absence of any overt marking of the adverbial clause, the only way of identifying the adverbial clause is to rely on semantic relations, as noted above (Rai-Chu, 1982:21-30; Liu et al.,
1996:505-513; Chu and Chi, 1999:248f). Since it is somewhat subjective to rely solely on semantic relations in identifying adverbial subordinating clauses and those quantitative analyses drawn from research of this sort would become very unreliable, I decided to focus only on the adverbial clause which is overtly marked by a subordinating conjunction and to exclude those “headless” adverbial clauses (i.e. adverbial clauses without an introductory subordinator) in my thesis. I will therefore discuss in detail the identification of adverbial subordinators in this chapter.

4.2. Problems with the PFR tagset

In the annotation scheme of the PFR Chinese Corpus, there is no such category as subordinator. Rather, the vague category conjunction tagged “c” is used to refer to both the coordinating and subordinating conjunctions, as is common in Chinese grammars (see, for example, Zhu, 1982; Lu and Ma, 1990, among others). These grammars typically state that any word which marks the grammatical relation between clauses, no matter whether it is a coordinate relation or a subordinating relation, is generally subsumed under the catch-all term 连词 lianci, more or less equivalent to a conjunction in English. This concept is so general that very few scholars have attempted to distinguish subordinating conjunctions from coordinating conjunctions and thus not many analyses have as yet addressed the issue of subordinators in adverbial clauses, the notable exceptions being Chao (1968:113-114) and Wu (1982:250ff).

However, not all of the Chinese tagging systems neglect the distinction between coordinator and subordinator. The CKIP tagset is a case in point. As reviewed in Gao (1997), the CKIP tagset was designed by the Chinese Knowledge Information
Processing (CKIP) Group at Academia Sinica in Taiwan. This tagset consists of 32 categories, including separate entries for coordinating conjunction and subordinating conjunction. Another noteworthy Chinese tagging system (Qin, 1998) is the Jasmine Chinese tagging system developed at the Chinese University of Hong Kong, comprising 47 word categories and 25 punctuation marks. Though it does not distinguish subordinators from coordinators, it insightfully proposes the distinction between a clause connector and a phrase connector, on which I would like to expand in the following section.¹

4.3. Suggested modifications to the PFR tagset with respect to tag “c”

For the purposes of my research, the most vital distinction is evidently the one between a coordinating conjunction and a subordinating conjunction. Hence, the catch-all term conjunction, as used in the PFR annotation scheme, needs to be sub-divided into these two major subcategories. Moreover, as will be demonstrated shortly, the coordinating conjunction is further split into three subdivisions i.e. intraclausal coordinating conjunction, interclausal coordinating conjunction and textual connective. In the following subsections, unless specified otherwise, all of the illustrative examples are taken from the PFR skeleton treebank (see Appendix 3) as the syntactic information marked in the treebank is useful for explaining the properties of conjunctions.

4.3.1. Intracausal coordinating conjunctions

As its name suggests, an intraclausal coordinating conjunction is typically used to link

¹ For a detailed critique of these two main tagsets for Chinese, see Piao (2000:54-59).
a variety of phrases in the same clause such as 和 he “and”, 与 yu “and” and 而 er “and”, etc. These phrases can be a noun phrase as in examples (1) and (2), a verb phrase as in example (3), and an adjective phrase as in example (4).

(1) <N><N>&>两岸_人 经济_人 文化_人 交流_vn</N>&> 和_人 <N+>人员_人<br>
往_来_vn</N+></N>
<N><N>&>lianggan_n jingji_n wenhua_n jiaoliu_vn</N>&> he_c<br>
across.the.border economic cultural exchange and<br>
<N+>renyuan_n wanglai_vn</N+></N>
official visit<br>“cross-strait economic and cultural exchange and official visits”

(2) <N>钢琴_人 与_c 管弦乐队_人 作品_人 《_w 东方_f 之_u 珠_Ng 》<br>_w</N>
<N>gangqin_n yu_c guanxianyuedui_n zuopin_n 《_w Dongfang_f<br>
piano and orchestra work Orient’s Zhi_u Zhu_Ng 》</N>
GEN pearl<br>“piano and orchestral versions of the song “Pearl of the Orient””

(3) <V><V>学习_v" 和_c 掌握_v"<N>党_n 的_u 十五大_j 精神<br>_n</N></V></V>
<N><V>xuexi_v he_c zhangwo_v> <N>dang_n de_u<br>
learn and master the.Communist.Party’s GEN shiwuda_j jingshen_n</N></V>
15.primary principles<br>“learn and master the Communist Party’s 15 primary principles”

(4) <N><N>度过_v 了_u 非凡_z 而_c 辉煌_a 的_u> 1997年_t</N>
<N><V>duguo_v le_u feifan_z er_c huihuang_a de_u<br>
go through PERF extraordinary and magnificent DE 1997 nian_t</N>
year.of.1997<br>“passed an extraordinary and magnificent year of 1997”
4.3.2. Interclausal coordinating conjunctions

An interclausal coordinating conjunction is used to show a wide range of relations (e.g. addition, concession, result, simultaneity in time, etc.) between two adjacent clauses of the same sentence. Many examples of this sort of coordinator can be identified in my sample skeleton treebank e.g. 并 bing “and”, 但是 danshi “but”, 因此 yinci “therefore”, 同时 tongshi “in the meantime”, etc.

(5) <S N="112"> <S>&<Pa>在_p  <N><N>&郭_nbr 家_n</N>&> 和_c  <N+>闫_nnr 家_n</N+>/<N>/Pa> , _w  <N>E nbr 鹏_nbr</N>  <R>和_d</R>  
<Ja>具体_a 地_u</Ja>  <V>了解_v 了_u  <N><N>&他们_r  退休_v 后_f 的_u> 生活_vn 保障_vn 问题_n</N>/<V>/<S>&> , _w 并_c  <S+><P>与_p  <N>一些_m 老_a 职工_n</N>/<P>  <Na>一起_s</Na>  <V>回忆_v 起_v 了_u  <N><N>&当年_t 建设_v 电厂_n 的_u> 情景_n</N>/<V> 。_w</S+></S>  
<S N="112"> <S>&<Pa>zai_p  <N><N>&Guo_nbr jia_n</N>&> he_c  
在_Guo home and  
<N+>Yan_nnr jia_n</N+>/<N>/<Pa> , _w  <N>Li_nbr Peng_nbr</N>  
Yan home Li Peng  
<R>dou_d</R>  
<Ja>juti_a de_u</Ja>  <V>liaojie_v le_u  
both in.detail ADVL understand PERF  
<N><tamen_r tuixiu_v hou_f de_u> shenghuo_vn baozhang_vn  
their retire after DE living pension  
<Ja>wenti_n</Ja>/<V>/<S>&> , _w bing_c  <S+><P>yu_p  <Na>yixie_m  
difficulty moreover with some  
lao_a zhigong_n</N>/<P>  
<Na>yiqi_s</Na>  
<Na>huiyi_v qi_v le_u  
old co-workers together conjure up PERF  
<N><tangxian_t jianshe_v dianchang_n de_u>  
past build electricity.supply.station DE  
<Ja>qingjing_n</Ja>/<V> 。_w</S+></S>

"In Guo’s and Yan’s homes, Li Peng gained an understanding of their life and difficulties after retirement. He also chatted with some other elderly staff and recalled the days when the electricity supply station was being built."
(6) \(<S N=29">\text{但是} c , \_w \text{世界}_n</N> \text{还}_d \text{不}_d</R> \text{安宁}_a</J> \, \_w</S>
\(<S N=29">\text{danshi}_c , \_w \text{shijie}_n</N> \text{hai}_d \text{bu}_d</R>\text{ however world still not}\n\text{anning}_a</J> \, \_w</S>
\text{tranquil}\n\text{“Yet, the world is not in peace.”}\n
(7) \(<S N=72">\text{因此} c , \_w \text{各级}_r \text{领导}_n \text{干部}_n</N> \text{务必}_d</R> \text{V} \text{牢记}_v \text{全心全意}_i \text{为}_p \text{人民}_n \text{服务}_v \text{宗旨}_n</N>/V> \, \_w \text{P} \text{在}_p \text{勤政廉政}_l \_w \text{艰苦奋斗}_i \text{方面}_n</N>/P> \text{以}_p \text{身作则}_i , \_w \text{V} \text{当}_v \text{N} \text{好}_a \text{表率}_n</N>/N> \_w</S>
\(<S N=72">\text{yinci}_c , \_w \text{geji}_r \text{lingdao}_n \text{ganbu}_n</N> \text{therefore at.each.level leading official}\n\text{wubi}_d</R> \text{V} \text{laoji}_v \text{N} \text{quanjinquanyi}_i \text{wei}_p \text{renmin}_n \text{necessarily bear.in.mind whole-heartedly for citizens}\n\text{fawu}_v \text{de}_u \text{zongzhi}_n</N>/V> , \_w \text{P} \text{zai}_p \text{provide.service DE mission in}\n\text{qinzhenglianzheng}_l \_w \text{jiankufendou}_i \text{fangmian}_n</N>/P> \text{diligence.and.integrity perseverance aspects}\n\text{yiishenzuoze}_i , \_w \text{V} \text{dang}_v \text{N} \text{hao}_a \text{biaoshuai}_n</N>/V> \_w</S>
\text{“Hence, heads at all levels of leadership must bear in mind that they serve the citizens wholeheartedly and demonstrate integrity and perseverance in order to set a good example.”}\n
(8) \(<S N=105">\text{同时} c , \_w \text{也}_d</R> \text{V} \text{希望}_v \text{S}</N> \text{你们}_r</N> \text{Ja}</Ja> \text{生产}_v</V> \_w \text{经济}_ad</R> \text{V} \text{调度}_v</V> , \_w \text{V} \text{实现}_v \text{N}</N> \text{经济}_n \text{增长}_vn \text{方式}_n \text{的}_u</V> \text{转变}_vn</N>/N></V>/S></V> \_w” \_w</S>
\(<S N=105">\text{tongshi}_c , \_w \text{R}</R> \text{ye}_d</R> \text{V}</V> \text{xiwang}_v \text{meanwhile also hope}\n\text{nimen}_r</N> \text{Ja}</Ja> \text{anquan}_ad</R> \text{V}</V> \text{shengchan}_v</V> \_w \text{you safely produce}\n
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"In the meantime, I hope you could take note of safety measures in manufacturing, improve productivity and keep up with any changes in our economy."

4.3.3. Textual connectives

As noted above, the relations marked by an interclausal coordinating conjunction hold within two or three clauses in the same sentence. Textual connectives, however, tend to highlight the relations among clauses which occur in a larger context or in the preceding discourse. They are typically used to recapitulate or conclude the preceding discourse. Although only one instance, 总的来说 zong de lai shuo “on the whole”, was found in the sample of the PFR skeleton treebank as shown in the following example, many other examples can be found throughout the corpus such as 综上所述 zong shang suo shu “to summarise”, 具体地说 juti de shuo “to put it in more detail”, 换而言之 huan er yan zhi “in other words”, 由此可见 you ci ke jian “judging from all these”, etc.

(9) <S N="13">总的来说_c ,_w <N><N>&>中国_n 改革_v</N>& 和_c <N>+>发展_v 的_u 全局_n</N>+<N> <V>继续_v <Vo>保持_v 了_u <N>稳定_an</N></V></N> 。_w</S>
<S N="13">zongdelaihuo_c ,_w <N><N>&>Zhongguo_n on.the.whole China gaige_v</N>&  he_c <N>+>fazhan_v de_u quanju_n</N>+<N> reform and develop DE situation <V>jixu_v <Vo>baoshi_v le_u <N>wending_an</N></Vo></V> 。 go.on maintain PERF stability
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\[ \_w</{S}\]

“On the whole, China’s reform and development keep on progressing steadily.”

4.3.4. Subordinating conjunctions or adverbial subordinators

Adverbial subordinators, frequently called “subordinating conjunctions” in grammars (e.g. Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973; Kortmann, 1997:56; Altenberg and Tapper, 1998; Kortmann, 1998), are typically regarded as “words which specify some semantic interclausal (or: circumstantial, adverbial) relation between the subordinate clause over which they operate and the modified matrix clause” (Kortmann, 1998:457). To put it another way, an adverbial subordinator refers to a word that marks an adverbial subordinate clause for its semantic relation to the main clause.

The major aim in establishing a catalogue of defining properties of adverbial subordinators needs to be a successful delimitation of the latter from other functionally analogous grammatical categories such as adverb and coordinator. In my thesis, the working definition of adverbial subordinator entails two criteria. Firstly, an adverbial subordinator introduces a clause which must be linked to (i.e. dependent on) another clause in the same sentence. Secondly, the two clauses must not be in coordination. One of the major differences between a coordinate clause and a subordinate clause is that the information expressed by the subordinate clause is likely to be placed in the background with respect to the main clause, while the information expressed by two coordinate clauses is of equal importance (Lakoff, 1971; Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:919; Winter, 1982; Martin, 1983; Hoey, 1986; Hoey and Winter, 1986; Johannessen, 1998). As an example of the first criterion, 可是 keshi “but” is not considered as a subordinator in my thesis as it can introduce a clause which is able
to occur independently, as shown in example (10), though it is typically used in concert with a genuine subordinator, 虽然 suiran “although”. As an example of the second criterion, 既 ji “not only” is not a subordinator as it must be linked to a coordinate clause introduced by 又 you “but also” in the same sentence, as illustrated in example (11).

(10) 可是 c_ _w 父母 n 靠 p 平时 t 省吃俭用 i_ _w 过年 v 时 Ng 也 d 要 v 为 p 我 r 和 c 妹妹 n 做 v 一 m 身 q 新衣 n 。 _w (19980125)
   keshi c_ _w fumu n kao p pingshi t shengchijianyong i_ _w however parents by.means.of daily save.mone.on.expenditure
   guonian v shi Ng ye d yao v wei p wo r he c Chinese.New.Year occasion yet want.to for me and
   meimei n zuo v yi m shen q xinyi n 。 _w (19980125)
sister make one CL new.clothes
   “However, by cutting down on food and necessities, my parents bought new clothes for me and my younger sister for the Chinese New Year.”

(11) 要 v 加强 v 基层 n 干部 n 队伍 n 的 u 建设 vn 既 c 要 v 抓好 v 对 p 现有 v 干部 n 队伍 n 的 u 培训 vn 既 c 提高 v 他们 r 的 u 素质 n 既 c 又 c 要 v 注重 v 培养 v 新 a 的 u 人才 n 。 _w (19980110)
   yao v jiaqiang v jiceng n ganbu n duiwu n de u jianshe vn 既 c want.to strengthen basic.level official troop DE development
   jic c yao v zhuahao v dui p xianyou v ganbu n duiwu n de u not.only have.to grasp as.regards current official troop DE
   peixun vn 既 c tigao v tamen r de u suzhi n 既 c you c training enhance their GEN quality but.also
   yao v zhuzhong v peiyang v xin a de u rencai n 。 _w (19980110)
have.to emphasise train new DE people.of.high.calibre
   “To strengthen our troop, not only do we need to provide training to serving officers, but we also need to place emphasis on recruiting new blood of high calibre.”
4.4. Subordinators in the PFR Chinese Corpus

4.4.1. Identification of adverbial subordinators

In the previous section, I have provided a detailed account of the properties of conjunctions in Chinese. The category needs to be split into four subdivisions, namely intraclausal coordinating conjunction, interclausal coordinating conjunction, textual connective and subordinating conjunction/adverbial subordinator, to address the range of properties that a conjunction may have. However, as the major aim of this thesis is to give both a quantitative and qualitative account of adverbial subordinate clauses in written Chinese, the distinction between a coordinating conjunction and a subordinating conjunction is the distinction focussed upon by my thesis. Consequently I do not explore the remaining subcategories of conjunction i.e. intraclausal coordinating conjunction, interclausal coordinating conjunction and textual connective.

4.4.2. Results

In the PFR Chinese Corpus, there are 175 distinct Chinese word forms which were tagged as 连词 lian ci “conjunction”, as illustrated in Appendix 4. In this appendix, the word forms are arranged in descending frequency of occurrence.2 Appendix 5 gives a detailed picture of the distribution of individual lian ci in the corpus, ordered by the date in which they appear in the People’s Daily newspaper.

---

Broadly speaking, most of the Chinese lianci are coordinators which function intraclausally, interclausally and textually. As can be seen in Appendix 4, the most common ten lianci in written Chinese are coordinating conjunctions rather than subordinating ones. Five of the lianci, though tagged as “conjunction”, were taken as adverbs in this thesis: these are 便 bian “then”, 才 cai “then”, 就 jiu “then”, 宁愿 ningyuan “would rather” and 惟 ning “would rather”. One of the most important syntactic differences between a conjunction and an adverb is that the former (not the latter) can either precede or follow the subject of the clause in which it occurs (Chao, 1968:791; Wong, 2002). These five words were attested in the corpus to occur only after the subject. They were therefore considered as adverbs. After eliminating the coordinating conjunctions and adverbs from the list, 57 subordinating conjunctions can be found, as outlined in the following table. Their frequency of occurrence and distribution in the PFR corpus are given in Appendix 6.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>部管 bengguan</td>
<td>&quot;no matter what&quot;</td>
<td>虽然 suiran &quot;although&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不单 budan</td>
<td>&quot;in addition to&quot;</td>
<td>虽说 suishuo &quot;while admitting that …&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不管 buguan</td>
<td>&quot;no matter what&quot;</td>
<td>随着 suizhe &quot;as soon as&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不论 bulun</td>
<td>&quot;whether or, whatever&quot;</td>
<td>倘 tang &quot;supposing that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不论是 bulunshi</td>
<td>&quot;whether or, whatever&quot;</td>
<td>倘若 tangruo &quot;supposing that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不说 bushuo</td>
<td>&quot;let alone …&quot;</td>
<td>万一 wanyi &quot;in case&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>除非 chufei</td>
<td>&quot;unless&quot;</td>
<td>无论 wulin &quot;whether or, whatever&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>从而 conger</td>
<td>&quot;in order that; as a result&quot;</td>
<td>无论是 wulinshi &quot;whether or, whatever&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>而是 ershi</td>
<td>&quot;rather&quot;</td>
<td>要 yao &quot;assuming that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>故 gu</td>
<td>&quot;so that&quot;</td>
<td>要不是 yaobushi &quot;if not, otherwise&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>果真 guozhen</td>
<td>&quot;supposing that&quot;</td>
<td>要是 yaoshi &quot;assuming that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>何况 hekuang</td>
<td>&quot;not to mention …&quot;</td>
<td>以 yi &quot;in order that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>假如 jiaru</td>
<td>&quot;supposing that&quot;</td>
<td>以便 yibian &quot;in order that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>假若 jiabo</td>
<td>&quot;supposing that&quot;</td>
<td>以免 yimian &quot;in order that… not…&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>即便 jibian</td>
<td>&quot;even if&quot;</td>
<td>因 yin &quot;because&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>尽管 jinguan</td>
<td>&quot;even though&quot;</td>
<td>因为 yinwei &quot;because&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>既然 jiran</td>
<td>&quot;since, as&quot;</td>
<td>以致 yizhi &quot;as a result&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>即使 jishi</td>
<td>&quot;even if&quot;</td>
<td>以至于 yizhiyu &quot;consequently&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>就是 jiushi</td>
<td>&quot;even if&quot;</td>
<td>由于 youyu &quot;owing to the fact that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>哪怕 napa</td>
<td>&quot;even if&quot;</td>
<td>与其 yuqi &quot;rather than&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>且不说 qiebushuo</td>
<td>&quot;let alone …&quot;</td>
<td>与其说 yuqishuo &quot;rather than say that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>任 ren</td>
<td>&quot;no matter what&quot;</td>
<td>只是 zhishi &quot;except that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如 ru</td>
<td>&quot;if&quot;</td>
<td>之所以 zhisuyo &quot;why there is a consequence of&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如果 ruguo</td>
<td>&quot;if&quot;</td>
<td>只要 zhiyao &quot;provided that&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若 ruo</td>
<td>&quot;if&quot;</td>
<td>只有 zhiyou &quot;only if&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若果 ruoguo</td>
<td>&quot;if&quot;</td>
<td>纵 zong &quot;even if&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若是 ruoshi</td>
<td>&quot;if&quot;</td>
<td>纵使 zongshi &quot;even if&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如若 ruruo</td>
<td>&quot;if&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>尚且 shangqie</td>
<td>&quot;even&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>虽 sui</td>
<td>&quot;though&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: The inventory of 57 adverbial subordinators in Chinese

These subordinators generally exhibit two properties. Firstly, most of the Chinese adverbial subordinators are **correlative subordinators** (Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:313-314; Biber et al., 1999:86): they are combinations of two markers, one (a subordinating conjunction) occurring in the subordinate clause, and the other (either
an adverb or a conjunction) occurring in the superordinate clause. For example, 如果 ruguo “if” usually occurs with an adverb 就 jiu “then”; 虽然 suiran “although” is typically followed by a coordinating conjunction 但 dan “but”; 不单 budan “not only” and 尚且 shangjie “even” have the same correlative adverb 更 gen “even”.

An adverbial subordinator can have diverse correlates and those correlates are not obligatory. Take 尽管 jinguan “even though” as an example. It has a range of correlates such as 但是 danshi “but”, 可是 keshi “but”, 却 que “but”, 然而 raner “yet”, 仍然 rengran “still”, 还是 shang “still”, 而 er “but” (cf. Hou, 1998:331ff) and a null correlative (i.e. jinguan does not have a correlative which occurs in the main clause), as illustrated in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlatives</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Null correlative</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>但是 dan “but” (conjunction)</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>但是 danshi “but” (conjunction)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>都 dou “still” (adverb)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>而 er “but” (conjunction)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>还是 hashi “still” (adverb)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>可 ke “but” (conjunction)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>可是 keshi “but” (conjunction)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>却 que “but” (adverb)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>然而 raner “yet” (conjunction)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>仍 reng “still” (adverb)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>仍然 rengran “still” (adverb)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>尚 shang “still” (adverb)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>也 ye “still” (adverb)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>132</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: The list of correlates of the adverbial subordinator jinguan

* A null correlative refers to the fact that no correlative is found in the main clause.

Secondly, the adverbial subordinators can express a wide range of meanings such
as condition (e.g. 若 ruo “if”, 要是 yaoshi “if”, etc.), reason/cause (e.g. 因为 yinwei “because”, 由于 youyu “due to”, etc.), result (e.g. 之所以 zhisuoyi “as a result”, 故 gu “therefore”, etc.), purpose (e.g. 从而 conger “in order to”, 以便 yibian “in order to”, etc.), and concession (e.g. 即使 jishi “even if”, 就是 jiushi “even if” etc.), roughly reflecting different types of adverbial clauses. The semantic types of adverbial clauses in written Chinese will be discussed at length in the next chapter.

4.5. Using Java programming: The extraction of sentences containing adverbial subordinators

As adverbial clauses in Chinese are overtly marked by a subordinating conjunction, it is essential to extract those sentences having adverbial subordinators in order to identify the adverbial clause. Given an adverbial subordinator, something as simple as the “Find” facility of a word processor can easily identify the subordinator within a piece of text. However, the problem with this searching tool is that it can only look for one subordinator at one time: for a piece of text, I needed to search 57 times for the occurrence of 57 different subordinators which I identified earlier. This procedure would be repeated depending on how many texts I have to examine. Furthermore, this search facility merely spots the position of the subordinator in the text and does not automatically extract the sentence(s) in which the subordinator occurs. Hence the extraction of these subordinator-loaded sentences needs to be done manually. As a word processor’s search function does not offer a very good resource for processing my corpus data to meet my research question, I decided to write a computer program to extract those sentences in which an adverbial subordinator occurs, as shown in the following figure. The program used for this extraction procedure is given in Appendix
2.

Figure 7: An output of the Subordinator Extractor

4.6. Chapter Summary

The PFR annotation scheme and similar Chinese tagsets have a shortcoming in that they do not distinguish a subordinating conjunction from a coordinating conjunction. This is also reflected in traditional grammars for Chinese in which the catch-all category 连词 lian-ci “conjunction” does not express this distinction. Hence, in this thesis, it was proposed that a conjunction should be further split into four subcategories, namely, intraclausal coordinating conjunction, interclausal coordinating conjunction, textual connective and subordinating conjunction in order to capture all properties of conjunctions in Chinese. However, as my thesis is
concerned with the adverbial subordinate clause, this categorisation covers cases not relevant to my research question. Hence, only the distinction between a coordinator and a subordinator is used in this work. A total of 175 conjunctions were identified in the PFR Chinese Corpus. To classify a given item as an adverbial subordinator, two defining criteria have to be fulfilled: firstly, it introduces a clause which must be linked to another clause in the same sentence; secondly, the two clauses are not in coordination.

From the list of conjunctions found in my corpus, I identified 57 adverbial subordinators, most of which are correlative subordinators: they take either an adverb or a conjunction as their correlative. An adverbial subordinator can have more than one correlative and the correlative is not obligatory. Moreover, the adverbial subordinator can express a wide range of meanings such as condition, concession, reason/cause, result, purpose, etc., possibly reflecting different types of adverbial clauses. After I obtained a list of subordinators, I developed a Java program to extract those sentences in which these subordinators occur in order to identify the adverbial clause, which is overtly marked by a subordinating conjunction. The program design is based on the idea that the computer can spot the occurrence of any of these subordinators in a text and display only those sentences containing the adverbial subordinator. In the next chapter, I will examine these subordinator marked sentences closely and identify the adverbial clauses and discuss their semantic roles.
Chapter Five

A Typology of Adverbial Clauses in Written Chinese

5.1. Introduction

Studies touching upon the semantic analysis of adverbial clauses to date have largely been concerned with the English language (Quirk et al., 1985:1045-1123, Thompson and Longacre, 1985; Givón, 1993:285-315 and 2001:330-351; Quintero, 2002), though Chao (1968) and Wang (1995) are notable exceptions which are based on Chinese language data. However, both Chao and Wang chose to impose a relatively coarse categorisation which includes only five semantic classes of adverbial clause, namely temporal clauses, place clauses, conditional clauses, concessive clauses and causal clauses. The information offered by such a relatively coarse set of categories would be rather sparse and unable to fully capture the entire range of variety of semantic roles exhibited by adverbial clauses. For this reason, it is necessary to extend the scope of the semantic analysis of Chinese adverbial clauses and make more refined distinctions.

As the review in Chapter Two (section 2.3.2.1) has shown to some extent already, Quintero (2002) offers a corpus-informed (LOB Corpus) typology of English adverbial clauses within the framework of Functional Grammar (FG): in FG, there are four semantic hierarchies (i.e. Entity Type, Time Dependency, Factuality and Presupposition) that can be used in establishing an exhaustive typology of adverbial subordinate clauses. This study initially appears to be a good theoretical framework on which to base my typology of Chinese adverbial clauses. However, Quintero’s study is primarily aimed at proving the existence of a systematic relation between the
verb forms (finite and non-finite verb forms) of adverbial clauses and the semantic
types which these clauses designate via the application of these hierarchies: each of
these hierarchies establishes that non-finite verb forms are more likely to occur in
adverbial clauses of lower order\(^1\) than of higher order\(^2\). As has been repeatedly
emphasised in this thesis (see Chapter Two, section 2.3.2.3; Chapter Three, sections
3.4.1 and 3.5.1.1), there is no independent evidence for a finite/non-finite verb form
Hence, I decided not to follow Quintero’s approach in classifying adverbial clauses, as
it presupposes such a distinction. Rather, I would like to give a theory neutral,
descriptive, corpus-based account of a semantic classification of adverbial clauses in
Chinese. The present chapter is organised as follows: in section 5.2, I will describe the
approach I used to identify the adverbial clauses in the PFR Chinese Corpus; in
section 5.3, I will examine at length each of the semantic types of adverbial clauses in
Chinese, list the subordinators which are responsible for expressing the meanings, and
explain the use of different sorts of adverbial clauses on the basis of the corpus data,
in contrast to what previous accounts of the adverbial clause type under consideration
have said; section 5.4 concludes by recapitulating and summarising my findings.

5.2. Identification of adverbial clauses: Problem-oriented tagging

The approach adopted here is called problem-oriented tagging. This annotation
method is distinctive from other common annotation types such as POS tagging and
skeleton parsing; it is undertaken in response to a very specific research goal (de Haan,

\(^1\) For example, those adverbial clauses describing relation, individual or state of affairs such as clauses of purpose (introduced, e.g., by *in order to*), clauses of addition (introduced, e.g., by *apart from*) and clauses of substitution (introduced, e.g., by *rather than*).

\(^2\) For example, those adverbial clauses which are characterised by propositional content or speech act such as clauses of condition (introduced, e.g., by *if*) and clauses of concession (introduced, e.g., by *although*).
1991; McEnery and Wilson, 2001). As de Haan (1991:52) notes, problem-oriented tagging entails a procedure in which not all of the language materials in the corpus are tagged but only those parts that are relevant to the research question. In other words, problem-oriented tagging has two characteristics: (1) it is not exhaustive; (2) the annotation scheme is not a broad coverage scheme but consists of all of the relevant distinctions required by a particular research question (McEnery and Wilson, 2001:68-69). In my case, this meant that only the sentences in which adverbial clauses introduced by a subordinating conjunction were found needed to be taken into consideration. These sentences were first extracted automatically from the corpus by running the Java program described in the previous chapter, and then annotated manually into two major parts, a main clause (delimited by the <Fm> element) and an adverbial clause (delimited by the <Fa> element), by applying the bracketing notation I devised for my treebank (see Chapter Three, sections 3.5.1.1 and 3.5.1.3). It is important to note that in the process of problem-oriented tagging, I considered and counted only those genuine adverbial clauses in which the subordinator was tagged correctly.

(1) 郑_nr 成功_nr 与其_c 属下_n 施_nr 琅_nr 的_u 关系_n 冲突
_vn  _w ...
Zheng_nr Chenggong_nr yuqi_c shuxia_n Shi_nr Lang_nr de_u
Zheng Chenggong and his subordinate Shi Lang DE
guanxi_n chongtu_vn  _w ...
relationship conflicts
“The conflicts between Zheng Chenggong and his subordinate Shi Lang, …”

(2) 关公_nr 戏_n 几乎_d 全_d 是_v 演_v 他_r 破_v 大敌_n 显_v
神威_n 的_u 勇猛_a 故事_n 的_u _w 只有_c 《_w 走麦城_n 》
_w 演_v 了_u 他_r 的_u 不幸_n 。_w
Guangong_nr xi_n  jihu_d quan_d shi_v yan_v ta_r

122
Guangong Chinese opera almost all be perform he po_v dadi_n xian_v shenwei_n de_u yongmeng_a gushi_n de_u , attack enemy show power DE courageous story PART _w zhiyou_c <_w Zoumaicheng_n >_w yan_v le_u ta_r only have Chinese.opera.of.Zou.Maicheng perform PERF his de_u buxing_n = _w GEN misfortune 

“Almost all of the Chinese operas about Guan Gong are concerned with his heroic deeds, only the Zou Maicheng Opera portrays his misfortune.”

In example (1) above, the highlighted “single word” 与其 yuqi is in fact made up of two separate words with completely different parts of speech: 与 “and” is a conjunction, and 其 qi “one’s” is a pronoun referring to the personal name 郑成功 Zheng Chenggong, the subject of this sentence. These two words were incorrectly taken as a single unit and thus the tag assigned was wrong. As I am only interested in those sentences with correctly POS tagged subordinating conjunctions, this sort of sentence was excluded from my list of adverbial clauses. Similarly, in example (2), the single-word conjunction (which means “only if”) should be re-analysed as two words involving an adverb followed by a verb, i.e. 只 zhi “only” + 有 you “have”.

(3) 极_d 少数_m 因为_c 客观_a 原因_n 暂时_d 难以_d 落实_v 的 _u …
ji_d shaoshu_m yinwei_c keguan_a yuanyin_n zanshi_d extremely very.few because practical reasons temporarily nanyi_d luoshi_v de_u …
hard implement PART 
“Very few of them cannot be implemented for practical reasons for the time being …”

(4) 因为_c 气候_n 的_u 关系_n , _w …”
yinwei_c qihou_n de_u guanxi_n , _w …
because climate DE reason
“Due to the climate, …”

(5) 由于 货币 贬值 的 因素, …
youyu_huobi_bianzhi_de_yinshu,’_w …

because currency depreciation DE reason

“Owing to the depreciation of the currency, …”

(6) 我 不管 [步长 公司] nt 一年 几个 亿,

wo_not_care [Buchang_Gongsi]nt yi_nian_ji_yi_m

I do not care Buchang company one year several

CL hundred.million

“I do not care how many hundred millions the Buchang Company can make in one year, …”

In less straightforward cases, some words have multiple word class membership such as 因为 yinwei “because” and 由于 youyu “because”, which can be either a preposition or a subordinating conjunction with the same form and meaning. They might easily be wrongly tagged as in examples (3) and (4) where yinwei, being followed by a noun phrase, must be a preposition, not a conjunction as originally stated. In example (5), youyu should be a preposition for the same reason. These sentences were also disregarded by me. On the other hand, the word 不管 buguan can refer either to a verb or a subordinating conjunction with different meanings. In example (6), it must be a verb, meaning “not care, ignore”, not a conjunction which conveys the meaning “no matter what” or “whatever” because of two reasons. Semantically, the meaning of the verb is more compatible with the meaning of the clause/sentence than that of the conjunction. Morphosyntactically, the verbal predicate of the clause/sentence, following the subject pronoun 我 wo “I”, would lack a main verb if the word under consideration was interpreted as a conjunction.
(7) 巩固_y，_w 就是_c 坚持_v 基本_a 思路_n，_w 保持_v 好_a 的_u 工作_vn 态势_n；_w …
gonggu_v，_w jiushi_c jianchi_v jiben_a silu_n，_w baochi_v consolidate that.is stick.at primary way.of.thinking maintain hao_a de_u gongzuo_vn taishi_n；_w …
good DE job attitude
“Consolidation means sticking at primary objectives and maintaining a good attitude to a job;”

More subtle cases involve the use of the same word form for both adverb and conjunction as shown in example (7) above. It is particularly easy to confuse an adverb with a conjunction as both can assume a clause-medial position i.e. between the subject and the predicate. The distinction between an adverb and a conjunction in Chinese lies in the distributional fact that a conjunction can be placed before the subject and after it, while an adverb can only be put after the subject (Chao, 1968:791; Wong, 2002). In example (7), the word in question occurs after the subject. This does not offer a clear indication as to whether the word concerned is a conjunction or an adverb as both of them can occur after the subject. However, when I looked at the meaning of the sentence, I found that the word must be an adverb, meaning “exactly”, which differs drastically from the meaning “even if” when it is used as a conjunction. I decided therefore that the original tagging in the corpus was wrong and disregarded this sentence.

(8) 由于_p 各国_r 目前_t 尚_d 难_ad 断定_v 4.5_m 海里_q 内_f 是否_v 有_y 油_n，_w …
youyu_p geguo_r muqian_t shang_d nan_ad because each.country for.the.time.being yet hard
duanding_v 4.5_m haili_q nei_f shifou_v you_v you_n，confirm 45 sea.miles within whether.or not have petroleum
“Since all nations are uncertain about whether there is any potential source of petroleum within 45 nautical miles, …”

While those sentences having words misinterpreted as adverbial subordinators were disregarded by me, sentences with genuine adverbial subordinators which were not mistaken for subordinating conjunctions and incorrectly tagged were manually edited and added to my data. As shown in example (8) above, the word 由于 youyu “because”, introducing a clause, must be an adverbial subordinator though it was wrongly marked as a preposition in cases such as (8). All such examples were manually corrected by me. As the words erroneously tagged as adverbial subordinators were omitted from my data and those manually-edited, genuine, adverbial subordinators were added to my data, the frequency of occurrence of individual correctly-tagged subordinators in my data is different from that given in Appendix 6 which is merely a count on the occurrence of the subordinators (either correctly or incorrectly) tagged with a conjunction tag. Below is a revised frequency of occurrence of subordinators as used in the PFR Chinese Corpus based upon my hand corrected data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subordinator</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Subordinator</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Đōngguān</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sīrān</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bùduān</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Suīshuō</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Būguān</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Suīzhē</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Būlūn</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Tang</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Būlūnshī</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tāngruō</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Būshúo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wānyī</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chūfēi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Wūlūn</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chōngér</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>Wūlūnshī</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ērshī</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>Yāo</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gu</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yāobushī</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guozhēn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yāoshi</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hèkuāng</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yī</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiāru</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yībiān</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiāruō</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yīmiān</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiābian</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yīn</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jīnguān</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Yīnweī</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jīrán</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yīzhī</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiāshī</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Yīzhīyu</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiùshī</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yóuyū</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nāpā</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yǔqí</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qiēbushuō</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yuqishuō</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rēn</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Zhīshī</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Zhīsuōyi</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rúguō</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>Zhīyào</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruǒ</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Zhīyōu</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruoguō</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Zōng</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruōshī</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Zōngshī</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruōruō</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shāngqi</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sūi</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: Frequency of occurrence of adverbial subordinators
5.3. Semantic classes of adverbial clauses

In the PFR Chinese Corpus, 2,417 adverbial clauses were identified, as illustrated in Appendix 7. For the classification of adverbial clauses, their semantic roles were taken into consideration. I assume that the basic meaning of the subordinator determines the semantic class of the adverbial clause for the purpose of this thesis. This assumption is shared by the previous literature on adverbial clauses which assumes that the semantic roles of adverbial clauses are identical to that of their subordinators (e.g. Chao, 1968:113-124; Quirk et al., 1985:1077-1118; Thompson and Longacre, 1985:177; Hengeveld, 1998:356-358; Kortmann, 1998:457-468). Kortmann (1998:457), for instance, explicitly states that adverbial subordinators perform the task of marking the semantic relation between the adverbial clause and the main clause. Thus his study of adverbial clauses in the languages of Europe aims to solve the question “which adverbial subordinators in language X are used for the marking of interclausal relations A, B, C …?” (ibid:464). Using this assumption, I began my analysis by looking closely at the meanings of the subordinating conjunctions in turn. While subordinators are subsumed under existing, general, semantic categories in the literature (see, for example, Quirk et al. 1985; Thompson and Longacre, 1985) e.g. purpose, cause/reason, condition, concession, etc., not all of the commonly used semantic classes include subordinators. Hence, only those categories that are representative of the meaning of subordinators were considered in my study and irrelevant semantic categories were disregarded. In cases where a subordinator could not fit in to any semantic domains discussed in the literature, a new categorial label representing the semantic type of the adverbial clause was coined to encode the meaning of the problematic subordinator. Whilst almost all of the adverbial subordinators identified in my data bear out the assumption I initially made i.e.
adverbal clauses inherit semantic meaning from their subordinators, an exception to this generally held assumption arises in the data. The adverbal subordinator 从而 conger can introduce adverbal clauses with two different semantic roles, namely purpose and result (see section 5.3.3). Quirk et al. (1985:1077) have addressed this problem by saying that “[s]emantic analysis of adverbal clauses is complicated by the fact that many subordinators introduce clauses with different meanings; for example, a since-clause may be temporal or causal” and “some clauses combine meanings, for example time with purpose or result”. However, the adverbal clauses marked by the adverbal subordinator conger do not combine meanings i.e. purpose with result as Quirk et al. (ibid) claim would happen; rather, they alternate between purpose and result and manifest themselves clearly in either one semantic role or the other in my data. In this thesis, therefore, the semantic classification of adverbal clauses is exhaustive in the sense that all of the adverbal subordinators identified in my corpus can be subsumed separately into a range of semantic categories that represent their basic meaning(s) and hence determine the semantic class of adverbial clause.

As noted above, the semantic categories used in this study are informed by previous accounts of the interclausal semantic relations of adverbial clauses. These categories are in fact attested in a wide spectrum of languages by some typological studies of adverbial subordination. The main semantic functions commonly occurring in a sample of some 50 languages are, according to Kortmann (1998:464ff), time, cause/reason, condition, concession, contrast, result, purpose, degree/extent, exception/restriction, manner, similarity, comment/accord, comparison,

---

3 For example, before-clauses may imply purpose and result as well as time as in I had to put my complaint in writing before they would take any action. [‘… so that they would take some action and with the result that they took action’; also ‘They wouldn’t take any action until I put my complaint in writing.’], quoted from Quirk et al. (1985:1081).
instrument/means, proportion, place, substitution, preference, concomitance and addition. Thompson and Longacre (1985:177) recognise twelve basic types of adverbal clause for a variety of languages: those of time, location and manner (which can be replaced by a single word adverb), purpose, reason, circumstantial, simultaneous, conditional, concessive, substitutive, additive and absolutive adverbial clauses. Two recent typological accounts of the semantic classification of adverbial clauses, namely Croft (2001:ch. 9) and Cristofaro (2003:ch. 6) are largely inspired by these two prior studies and therefore adopt similar categorial labels to refer to more or less the same kinds of semantic relations. The present analysis is an application of these four typologically-oriented categorisations with extensions where necessary. Hence the terminology adopted in this thesis should be generally accepted. In what follows, I will give an outline of which relations were taken to constitute the semantic field of the interclausal adverbal relations by classifying adverbial clauses into eleven interclausal domains with accompanying semantic characterisations and illustrative examples drawn from the PFR corpus.

5.3.1. Clauses of time

Broadly speaking, an adverbal subordinate clause of time relates the time of the situation described in its clause to the time of the situation described in the main clause (Givón, 1993:287-290; Artstein, 2003; Lin, 2003). The temporal relationship between the main clause and the subordinate clause can typically fall into one of three time relationships. Using English examples for illustrative purposes, the time of the main clause can be previous to (e.g. before, until, etc.), subsequent to (e.g. after, as soon as, etc.), or simultaneous with (e.g. as long as, while, etc.) the time of the adverbiacl clause (Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:322-323; Dorr and Gaasterland, 2002).
In my findings, only one subordinating conjunction was identified (see Table 9), as shown in example (9). This simple example has the situation in the main clause occurring after that in the subordinate clause introduced by 随着 suizhe “as soon as”. The scarcity of certain features one may wish to observe is a known problem in corpus studies (see Beal’s (2000) review of Häcker (1999)) and perhaps inevitable given the finite size of a corpus. Yet what appears to be rather surprising and incompatible with previous analyses is that in the PFR corpus I discovered only one example of a time relationship realised by an adverbial clause. The answer to this conundrum lies in an observation by Chao (1968:119ff) who claims that temporal clauses in Chinese are marked by…以前 … yiqian “before …”, …以后 … yihou “after…”, and …的时候 …de shihou “when …”, which express the three kinds of time relationship as noted with reference to English above.

(9) <Fm ID=“19980105”><Fa>随着_c 生产_vn 规模_n 扩大_v</Fa> ’_w 分摊_v 到_v 单个_b 产品_n 上_f 的_u 厂房_n 设备_n ’_w 经营_vn 管理_vn 等_u 固定_vn 成本_n 就_d 会_v 减少_v ’_w</Fm>  
<Fm ID=“19980105”><Fa> suizhe_c shengchan_vn guimo_n as soon as production scale kuoda_v</Fa> ’_w fentan_v dao_v dange_b chanpin_n shang_f expand spend reach individual product aspect de_u changfang_n shebei_n ’_w jingying_vn guanli_vn deng_u DE factory facilities operation management etc. guding_vn chengben_n jiu_d hui_v jianshao_v ’_w</Fm>  
“In the wake of the expansion of production, the costs expended on the manufacturing facilities and management and administration for a single item are lower.”
TEMPORAL CLAUSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinator</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>随着 suizhe</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Temporal clause and its adverbial subordinator

Given that Chao makes this claim, the fact that I found so few temporal adverbial clauses is yet more perplexing. A closer observation of Chao’s claim reveals, however, that the expressions referred to by him are not adverbial clauses at all. I would, rather, consider them typically as being noun phrases. They may also, however, be prepositional phrases when they are marked explicitly by the preposition 当 dang “being right” or 在 zai “being at”, where the preposition signals the proximity in time of the two situations described in the subordinate clause and the main clause. The two words 以前 yiqian “before” and 以后 yihou “after” have not as yet been documented as function words but are not accepted as adverbial subordinators in Chinese (see, for example, Lu and Ma, 1990; Hou, 1998). They are time words per se, i.e. nouns (Lü, 1999; cf. Smith and Erbaugh, 2001). Moreover, “...的时候 ...de shihou ‘when …’” is a noun phrase in which the head noun 时侯 shihou “the moment” is premodified by the element marked by the particle 的 de (Zhu, 1982 and 2000). Chao’s three temporal expressions are therefore adverbial noun or prepositional phrases functioning as adverbs, not adverbial clauses as such. What follows from Chao’s analysis is that there are three strategies used in written Chinese for expressing the three time relationships (i.e. time before, time after and same time), namely adverbial noun phrases, adverbial prepositional phrases and adverbial clauses.

---

4 The nominal uses of yiqian “in the past” and yihou “in the future” are shown in the following two invented examples.

(i) 我以前不喜欢吃辣 wo yiqian bu xihuan chi la “I didn’t like eating spicy food in the past.”
(ii) 我以后都不会来烦你 wo yihou dou bu hui lai fan ni “I won’t bother you any more.”
However, the former two strategies are dominant, thereby leading to a very low frequency for the temporal adverbial clause. In the PFR corpus, the adverbial noun and prepositional phrases marked by yiqlan, yihou and de shi hou are employed 19 times, 81 times and 90 times respectively to indicate the time of the main clause to be previous to, subsequent to and simultaneous with the time of the adverbial clause. As Chao’s clauses of time are in fact temporal adverbial noun phrases or adverbial prepositional phrases rather than temporal adverbial clauses, and it is these adverbial noun and prepositional phrases in Chinese that take the burden of the relative expression of time, not the adverbial clause, it follows that temporal clauses in Chinese are limited in frequency by a preference for using adverbial noun/prepositional phrases to express temporal relation. One possible caveat I should add to this observation, however, is that this finding comes from the single genre expressed by the PFR corpus. Later in this thesis (see Chapter Seven, section 7.2 and Chapter Eight, section 8.3.2), I will return to this observation to access whether it holds across a range of genres and speech and writing. For now I will assume that temporal adverbial clauses, while observable, are rare.

5.3.2. Clauses of cause or reason

As their name suggests, clauses of cause or reason (Altenberg, 1984; Rissanen, 1989, 1998, and 1999; Biber et al., 1999:821; Claridge and Walker, 2001) identify a cause, reason, or motivation for a state of affairs or an action. They express either a direct or an indirect reason relationship between them and their associated main clauses. There are generally four types of adverbial subordinate clause that convey a direct reason relationship with the main clause with respect to such dimensions as cause and effect, reason and consequence, motivation and result, and circumstances and consequence
(Quirk et al., 1985:1103-1107). They are most commonly introduced by the conjunctions such as 由于 *youyu* “owing to the fact that” (see examples (10), (11), (12), (14) and (15)), 因为 *yinwei* “because” (see examples (17) to (19)), 因 *yin* “because” (see example (16)), and 既然 *jiran* “since, as” (see example (13)) as shown by my corpus data. On the other hand, an indirect reason relationship, as Quirk et al. (1985:1104) note, refers to the fact that “the reason is not related to the situation in the main clause but is a motivation for the implicit speech act of the utterance” as in *Percy is in Washington, for he phoned me from there.* [“Since he phoned from there, I can tell you that Percy is in Washington.”]\(^5\). However, I could not obtain any evidence of this use of reason clauses in the PFR corpus. Hence, in the following subsections, I will concentrate on the four different kinds of direct reason relationship between the causal clause and the main clause.

5.3.2.1. Cause and effect

By cause and effect, what is meant is that there is a natural and objective connection in the real world between the state or action described in the adverbial clause and that in the main clause, as illustrated in examples (10) and (11). The frequency of causal clauses showing this relationship is given in Table 10a.

(10) \(<\text{Fm ID= “19980107”} >\text{Fa}>由于_\text{c} \text{ 它们}_\text{r} \text{ 是}_\text{v} \text{ 近亲}_\text{n} \text{ ,}_\text{w} \text{ 不能}_\text{v} \text{ 联姻}_\text{v}</\text{Fa}> \text{ ,}_\text{w} \text{ 公园}_\text{n} \text{ 无}_\text{v} \text{ 1}_\text{m} \text{ 只}_\text{q} \text{ 小}_\text{a} \text{ 虎}_\text{n} \text{ 出世}_\text{v} \text{ 。}_\text{w}</\text{Fm}>

\(<\text{Fm ID= “19980107”} >\text{Fa}> \text{ youyu}_\text{c} \text{ tamen}_\text{r} \text{ shi}_\text{v} \text{ jinqin}_\text{n} \text{ ,}_\text{w} \text{ because}_\text{v} \text{ they}_\text{v} \text{ be}_\text{v} \text{ close}_\text{relatives}_\text{v}</\text{Fa}> \text{ buneng}_\text{v} \text{ lianyin}_\text{v}</\text{Fa}> \text{ ,}_\text{w} \text{ gongyuan}_\text{n} \text{ wu}_\text{v} \text{ 1}_\text{m} \text{ zhi}_\text{q} \text{ xiao}_\text{a} \text{ cannot}_\text{v} \text{ mate}_\text{v} \text{ park}_\text{v} \text{ have.not}_\text{v} \text{ 1}_\text{m} \text{ CL}_\text{v} \text{ small}_\text{v}</\text{Fa}>

\(^5\) This example was taken from Quirk et al. (1985:1104).
hu_n chushi_v  "w</Fm>
tiger  be.born
“As the tigers are close relatives, they cannot mate with each other and thus no
tiger cub has been born in the zoo yet.”

(11) <Fm ID= “19980118” > <Fa> 由于_c 终年_n 气温_n 较_d 低_a</Fa> ，
_w 牛_n 羊_n 生长_v 缓慢_a ，_w 肉质_n 细嫩_a ，_w 鲜美_a
可口_a ，_w</Fm>
<Fm ID= “19980118” > <Fa> youyu_c zhongnian_n qiwen_n jiao_d
because perennial temperature relatively
di_a</Fm> ，_w niu_n yang_n shengzhang_v huanman_a ，_w
low cattle sheep grow slow
rouzhi_n xinen_a ，_w xianmei_a kekou_a ，_w</Fm>
quality.of.meat tender fresh delicious
“Because of the relatively low annual temperature in this district, cattle and
sheep grow slowly and thus their meat is fresh, tender and delicious.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAUSAL OR REASON CLAUSES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Subordinators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>由于 youyu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因为 yinwei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因 yin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>既然 jiran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10a: Causal or reason clause (i.e. cause and effect) and its adverbial
subordinators

5.3.2.2. **Reason and consequence**

In this kind of causal clause, the connection between reason and consequence is less
natural and objective than that in the first kind of causal clause as noted above. They
can only be derived logically from our general knowledge or common sense relating to the situation described in the subordinate clause. What is said in the adverbial clause presents one of a number of possible reasons that yield the consequent state or action as denoted in the main clause. Instead of a natural connection, there is a logical relationship between the adverbial clause and the main clause, as illustrated in examples (12) and (13). Table 10b gives the frequency of reason-and-consequence causal clauses.

(12) <Fm ID= “19980109” >>Fa> 由于_c 快餐_n 不仅_c 受到_v 埃及_ns 上班族_n 的_u 青睐_vn _w 而且_c 也_d 方便_v 家庭_n 或_c 亲朋_n 聚会_v <Fa> _w 于是_c 快餐业_n 的_u 势头_n 有如_v 雨后春笋_i _w 竞争_v 越来越_d 激烈_a _w <Fm> <Fm ID= “19980109” >>Fa> youyu_c kuaican_n bujin_c shoudao_v because fast.food not.only face Egypt working.people DE appeal but.also also convenient jiating_n huo_c qinpeng_n juhui_v <Fa> _w yushi_c kuicanye_n family or relatives get.together then fast.food.industry’s de_u shitou_n youru_v yuhouchunsun_i _w jingzheng_v yuelaiyue_d GEN popularity as.if in.full.bloom competition more jilie_a _w <Fm> fierce “Because fast food shops attract plenty of working people in Egypt and make it convenient for holding gatherings of family and relatives, new shops are mushrooming all over the country, resulting in fierce competition.”

(13) <Fm ID= “19980113” >>Fa> 人们_n 对_p 客观_n 事物_n 规律_n 的_u 认识_n 既然_c 是_v 个_q 过程_n _w 不_d 可能_v 一下子_n 完成_v <Fa> _w 那么_c _w 在_p 工作_v 中_f 一波三折_i 总是_d 难免_v 的_u _w <Fm> <Fm ID= “19980113” >>Fa> renmen_n duip_keguan_n shiwu_n guili_n people in.regard.to objective matters routine de_u renshi_n jiran_c shi_v ge_q guocheng_n _w bu_d keneng_v
DE knowledge since be CL process not possible
yixiazi_m wancheng_v</Fa> ,_w name_c ,_w zai_p gongzuo_v
at.a.glance complete then at work
zhong_f yibosanzhe_i zongshi_d nanmian_v de_u =_w</Fm>
within obstacles nevertheless hard.to.avoid PART
“Since one’s perception of the world is accumulated as time goes by, in the
course of learning how the world works, it is very likely to come across hurdles
of different sorts.”

### CAUSAL OR REASON CLAUSES
(REASON AND CONSEQUENCE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>由于 youyu</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因为 yinwei</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因 yin</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>既然 jiran</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>171</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10b: Causal or reason clause (i.e. reason and consequence) and its adverbial
subordinators

5.3.2.3. Motivation and result

The third type of adverbial clause of reason expresses a motivation for doing
something. In other words, what is mentioned in the subordinate clause offers a
motivation for the action or state in the main clause to take place; there is neither an
objective nor a logical relationship existing between the motivation in the adverbial
clause and the resulting action in the matrix clause, as shown in examples (14) and
(15). The frequency of clauses of reason of this type is given in Table 10c.

(14) <Fm ID= “19980109” ><Fa>由于_c 重视_v 写_v 出_v 人物_n 在_p
二难_b 困境_n 中_f 的_u 行动_vn 和_c 抗争_vn ,_w 以及_c
现实生活中的个人尊严和集体尊严等范畴的意义上，这些作品开始超越了小说的写实。因为要突出人物在矛盾处境中体现的德性行为和现实中的道德冲突以及艺术身份等的各个方面，形象在某种程度上已经超越了当代现实主义的写作风格。

“作为作家，我们始终强调人物的德性行为在矛盾处境中的体现，以及具有个体德性和整体德性的现实主义形象，他们的作品正逐渐超越了当代现实主义的写作风格。”

(15) 也许由于长期得丑，我一向不喜欢镜子。

“I do not like looking at myself in the mirror, possibly because I am not good-looking.”
### Causal or Reason Clauses (Motivation and Result)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>由于 youyu</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因为 yinwei</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因 yin</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>既然 jiran</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>15</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10c: Causal or reason clause (i.e. motivation and result) and its adverbial subordinators

#### 5.3.2.4. Circumstances and consequence

The fourth type of reason clause suggests that in view of the circumstances described in the subordinate clause, we can make an inference in the light of this fact and come to the conclusion that the consequence in the main clause will happen, as illustrated in examples (16) and (17). This kind of reason clause appears to be akin to the second, reason-and-consequence, kind of reason clause in that both of them rely on an inference of a logical relationship between the adverbial clause and the main clause. However, the logical relationship tends to be much weaker in the former (cf. Quirk et al., 1985:1104): the consequence in the circumstances-and-consequence causal clauses is assumed to have occurred as in example (16), or about to take place as in example (17), while the consequence in the reason-and-consequence causal clauses has already been realised as in examples (12) and (13) above. I decided therefore to differentiate between circumstances-and-consequence causal clauses and reason-and-consequence causal clauses in my thesis. Table 10d shows the frequency of clauses of reason of this type in the PFR corpus.
(16) <Fm ID=“19980126” ><Fa>许多_ _发展中国家_ _因_ _经济_ _结构_ _问题_ _的_ _困扰_ _和_ _经济_ _增长_ _速度_ _减慢_ _和_ _股市_ _处于_ _起步_ _发展阶段_ _之中_ <Fa> _w_ _因而_ _市场_ _的_ _资金_ _和_ _交易_ _产品_ _单一_ _机构_ _和_ _私人_ _投资_ _过_ _多_ _中<Fa>

<Fm ID=“19980126” ><Fa>许多_ _developing_ _countries_ _because_ _jingji_ _jiegou_ _wenti_ _de_ _kunrao_ _he_ _jingji_ _economic_ _structure_ _worry_ _and_ _economic_ 
zengzhang_ _sudu_ _jianman_ _yi_ _gushi_ _chuyu_ _growth_ _rate_ _slow_ _down_ _stock_ _market_ _remain_ 
qibu_ _fazhan_ _zhizhong_ _infancy_ _develop_ _in_ _progress_ _of_ _therefore_ _market’s_ _GEN_ 
zijin_ _rongliang_ _xiao_ _jiaoyi_ _chanpin_ _danyi_ _capital_ _capacity_ _little_ _exchange_ _limited_ 
jigou_ _touziliang_ _shao_ _siren_ _guo_ _company_ _chance_ _of_ _investment_ _private_ _investment_ _too_ 

“Since many developing countries are hindered by structural deficiencies in their economic systems and slow economic growth, the stock markets of these countries are in their infancy and thus market funds are of insufficient quantity, the diversity of exchanged products is limited, investments made by firms are rare, and individual investments are excessive.”

(17) <Fm ID=“19980120” ><Fa>[马来西亚_ _航空_ _公司]nt_ _因为_ _政府_ _缩减_ _开支_ _和_ _节省_ _外汇_ <Fa> _w_ _正_ _计划_ _将_ _2_ _0_ _架_ _波音_ _飞机_ _的_ _交货_ _日期_ _推迟_ 
<br>5_ _year_ _w_ 
<br><Fa>[Malaysia_ _Airlines]nt_ _Malaysia_ _Airlines_ _company_ 

yinwei_ _zhengfu_ _sujian_ _kaizhi_ _he_ _jiesheng_ 

because_ _government_ _reduce_ _expenditure_ _and_ _save_ 

waihui_ _zheng_ _jihua_ _jiang_ _2_ _0_ _fia_ _q

foreign.currency.reserves_ _PROG_ _plan_ _BA_ _20_ _CL_ 

boying_ _de_ _jiaohuo_ _riqi_ _tuich_ _5_ _m_ _nian_ 

140
Boeing aircraft’s GEN delivery date delay 5 years

Because the Malaysian government reduced its expenditure to save its reserves of foreign currencies, Malaysia Airlines is currently planning to postpone the delivery date of twenty Boeing aircraft for five years.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causal or Reason Clauses</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Subordinators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>由于 youyu</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因为 yinwei</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因 yin</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>既然 jiran</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>110</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10d: Causal or reason clause (i.e. circumstances and consequence) and its adverbial subordinators

It is interesting to note that the causal subordinator 因为 yinwei can be placed both clause-initially (i.e. before the main clause) as in example (18), and clause-finally (i.e. after the main clause) as in example (19), while the other three causal subordinators tend to occur before the main clause they are associated with, as shown in Table 10e below.

(18) <Fa>yinwei_c lishi_n shang_f de_u fangzhizhe_n</Fa> because history aspect DE forger
    houlai_t chengwei_v le_u mingjia_n</Fa> 未来 become PERF master.craftsman later
    fangzhi_vn niandai_n ye_d yi_d jiuyuan_z</Fa> 未来 lishi_n shang_f
forgery age also already far.away history aspect
de_u xuduo_m fangzhipin_n ye_d xiangdang_d zhengui_a _w</Fm>
DE many replica also remarkably precious
“Since historically forgers were master craftsmen, many historical forgeries are remarkably valuable.”

(19) <Fm ID= “19980107” >he_r 受到_v 了_u 热烈_a 的_u 欢迎_vn ，_w
<Fa>因为_c 在_p 他_r 到来_v 之前_f ，_w 这里_r 还_d 没有_v
一个_m 专业_n 农业_n 工作者_n</Fa> 。_w</Fm>
<Fm ID= “19980107” >ta_r shoudao_v le_u relie_a de_u
he receive PERF warm DE huanying_vn ，_w <Fa>yinwei_c zai_p ta_r daolai_v zhiqian_f ，_w
reception because at he arrive prior.to zheli_r hai_d meiyou_v yige_m zhuanye_n nongye_n
here yet have.not one professional farming gongzuozhe_n</Fa> 。_w</Fm>
worker
“He received a warm reception when he got there because, prior to his arrival, there wasn’t a farm expert in the district.”

### Causation or Reason Clauses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency (Clause-initially)</th>
<th>Frequency (Clause-finally)</th>
<th>Total Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>由于 youyu</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因为 yinwei</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>因 yin</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>既然 jiran</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>268</strong></td>
<td><strong>62</strong></td>
<td><strong>330</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10e: Causal or reason clause (i.e. clause-initially and clause-finally) and its adverbial subordinators

5.3.3. Clauses of purpose

Like reason clauses, purpose clauses (Thompson, 1985; Di Eugenio, 1993) provide
explanations for the occurrence of a given state or action. They are distinct from reason clauses in that they express an event which must be unrealised at the time of the main clause event whereas causal clauses express an event which may have been realised at the time of the main event (Thompson and Longacre, 1985:185).

Subordinators of purpose are 以 yi, 从而 conger and 以便 yibian, all of which mean “in order that”, as shown in examples (20), (21) and (22) respectively. Negative purpose is expressed by 以免 yimian “in order that…not…” as in example (23).

Table 11 shows the frequency of occurrence of purpose clauses in the PFR corpus.

(20)<Fm ID=“19980108”>我国_n 必须_d 尽快_d 改变_v 传统_a 的_u 农业_n 生产_vn 要素_n 配置_vn 方式_n ,_w 不断_d 增加_v 资本_n 和_c 技术_n 投入_vn ,_w <Fa>以_c 提高_v 农产品_n 的_u 科技_n 含量_n 和_c 附加_vn 价值_n</Fa> 。“_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980108”>woguo_n bixu_d jinkuai_d gaibian_v our.country must as.sooon.as.possible change chuantong_a de_u nongye_n shengchan_vn yaosu_n peizhi_vn traditional DE agriculture production essential facility fangshi_n ,_w buduan_d zengjia_v ziben_n he_c jishu_n modes continuously increase capital and technology touru_vn ,_w <Fa>y_i_c tigao_v nongchanpin_n de_u involvement by.means.of boost farming.goods DE keji_n hanliang_n he_c fujia_vn jiazhii_n</Fa> 。“_w</Fm>

“Our country should step up modifications in agricultural production and constantly increase the use of capital and advanced technology so as to enhance the technological value of our farming goods.”

(21)<Fm ID=“19980114”>俄_i 与_p 其他_r 大国_n 首脑_n 将_d 进行_v 一_m 系列_q 新_a 的_u 会晤_vn ,_w <Fa>从而_c 继续_v 推动_v 大国_n 关系_n 的_u 调整_vn</Fa> 。“_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980114”>E_j yu_p qita_r daguo_n shounao_n jiang_d Russia with other giant.nations leader soon jinxing_v yi_m xilie_q xin_a de_u huiwu_vn ,_w <Fa>conger_c
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engage in a series of new DE dialogue in order that jixu_v tuidong_v daguo_n guanxi_n de_u tiaozheng_vn</Fa>.
continue step up giant nation relationship DE regulation w</Fm>
“Russia and other heads of state or governments will hold a series of talks in order to continue to step up the regulation of diplomatic relations.”

(22)<Fm ID="19980121">欧盟 j. 财政部长 n 们 k 鼓励 v 意大利 ns 严格 ad 执行 v 财政 n 预算 n , w</Fa> 以便 c 到 p 2 0 0 0年 1 时 Ng 将 p 其 r 财政 n 财字 n 随 v 到 y 只 d 占 v 国内 s 生产总值 n 的 u 1 8 %_m</Fa> 。 w</Fm>
<Fm ID="19980121">Oumeng_j caizhengbuzhang n men_k guli v European Union financial minister PL encourage Yidali ns yange ad zhixing v caizheng n yusuan n , w Italy strictly implement fiscal budget</Fa> yibian c dao p 2 0 0 0 niang_t shi Ng jiang p qi r in order that in year of 2000 at that time BA its caizheng n chizi n jiang v dao v zhi_d zhan v financial deficits reduce up to only account for guonei s shengchanzong zhi n de u 1 8 %_m</Fa> 。 w</Fm>
“The financial minister of the European Union encouraged the Italian government to strictly enforce its financial budget in order to reduce its financial deficits to just 1 8% of the gross national product in 2000.”

(23)<Fm ID="19980103">世界 n 各国 r 都 d 应当 v 从 p 亚洲 ns 金融 n 危机 n 中 f 吸取 v 有益 a 的 u 经验 n 教训 vn , w</Fa> 以免 c 重蹈覆辙 i</Fm>
<Fm ID="19980103">shijie n geguo_r dou_d yingdang_v cong_p world each nation all must from Yazhou ns jinyong n weiji n zhong f xiqu v youyi a de u Asian financial crisis within gain beneficial DE jingyan n jiaoxun vn , w</Fa> yimian c chongdafa zhe i</Fm>
“Nations all over the world should draw lessons from the Asian financial crisis so as not to get into the same trouble.”
### PURPOSE CLAUSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>以 yi</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>从而 conger</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>以便 yibian</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>以某 yimian</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>348</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11: Purpose clause and its adverbial subordinators

It is worth noting that as shown in examples (24) and (25) below, the adverbial subordinator 从而 conger is also commonly used to express a consequence or result in the subordinate clause over which it operates. Unlike the clause of purpose, the clause of result is typically accompanied by the perfective aspect marked by 了 -le (McEnery and Xiao, 2002:215-217 and 2003:368ff; Xiao, 2002; Xiao and McEnery, 2004), as in example (24). In the PFR Chinese Corpus, conger is used to introduce a result clause almost as frequently as it is used to introduce a purpose clause, although it is used slightly more frequently in the result clause than in the purpose clause. The frequency of conger occurring in these two semantic types of adverbial clause is given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>从而 conger used in purpose clause</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>从而 conger used in result clause</td>
<td>96 (55.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>172 (100%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Frequency of occurrence of conger used in purpose clause and result clause

(24)<Fm ID=“19980118”>教育 vn 资源 n 不能 v 优化 v 配置 n 和 c 充分 ad 利用 v _w 造成 v 很 d 大 a 浪费 vn _w <Fa>从而
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_education resources cannot preferentially allocate and sufficiently make use of cause very great waste as a result affect PERF entire education quality and teaching effectiveness.

“Education resources, if they are not allocated preferentially and exploited properly, will run to waste and eventually adversely influence the quality of education and teaching effectiveness.”

(25) 由于_和_其他_因素_的_影响_，_世界_石油_市场_的_需求量_反而_减少_，<Fa>从而_导致_石油_价格_连续_下滑</Fa>。

“Due to the impact of the South East Asian financial crisis and other related factors, the demand for petroleum in the global market was reduced, resulting in a continuous drop in the market value of petroleum.”

5.3.4. Clauses of result

Clauses of result (Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:328; White, 1997) are introduced by
从而 conger “as a consequence”，之所以 zhisuoyi “why there is a consequence of …”，以致 yizhi “as a result”，故 gu “so that” and 以至于 yizhiyu “consequently”，as shown in examples (26) to (29). As noted above, the desired result named in a purpose clause has not been or has yet to be achieved. However, in the result clause, the result, either desirable or undesirable, has been achieved. The adverbial conjunction之所以 zhisuoyi differs from other conjunctions as it introduces a result clause that must be placed clause-initially, followed by the main clause which gives the reason for such a result, as illustrated in example (26). Table 13 gives the frequency of clauses of result in the PFR corpus.

(26)<Fm ID=“19980118”><Fa>便士_n 邮票_n 之所以_c 成为_v 邮票_n 拍卖行_n 标价_n 最高_a 的_u 珍品_n</Fa>, _w 还_d 因为_p 它_t 是_v 世界_n 上_f 唯一_d 在_p 票面_n 上_f 印_v 有_v “_w 邮局_n ”_w 字样_n 的_u 邮票_n ”_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980118”><Fa> bianshi_n youpiao_n zhisuoyi_c pence stamp why.there.is.a.consequence.of chengwei_v youpiao_n paimaihang_n biaojia_n zuigao_a become stamp auction.firm price the.most.expensive de_u zhenpin_n</Fa>, _w hai_d yinwei_p ta_r shi_v shijie_n DE commodity also due.to it be world shang_f weiyi_d zai_p piaomian_n shang_f yin_v you_v “_w scope only on stamp.surface scope print have youju_n ”_w ziyang_n de_u youpiao_n ”_w</Fm>
post.office print DE stamp “The reason why the penny stamp has become the most valuable commodity in auction houses is that it is the only stamp in the world that has the words ‘post office’ printed on its cover.”

(27) <Fm ID=“19980109”><j-i ,_w 美_j 监管 vn 当局_n 在_p 历次_b 检查_vn 中_f 均_d 未_d 发现_v 这些_r 问题_n ,_w</Fm>以致_c

6 The subordinating conjunction zhisuoyi was treated as a unit in the original annotation. While zhi is a genitive suffix in classical Chinese, similar to modern de, it can no longer be used independently in modern Chinese and can only appear in some fixed expressions such as zhisuoyi.
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Japan America regulatory body in previous inspections within all yet discover these problems consequently cannot promptly advise its set up healthy internal monitoring mechanism cause large amount of money loss for a long time cover up.

“The regulatory bodies of Japan and the United States did not notice in all their previous inspections any of these problems and, as a result, did not prompt call for the establishment of an internal monitoring mechanism, thereby concealing tremendous deficits for a long period of time.”

(28)<Fm ID=“19980125”>过年_yn 对_p 中国_n 人_n 来说_u 是_v 如此_r 的_u 重要_a ,_w <Fa>以至_yc 许多_m 中国_n 人_n 以己度人_i ,_w 自然_d 就_d 会_v 问_v :_w 外国人_n 是_v 怎么_r 过年_v 的_u</Fa> ?_w</Fm>

Chinese.New.Year for Chinese people generally.speaking be such DE important as a result many Chinese people presuppose naturally then will ask westerners be how to celebrate.the.New.Year PART

“The new year celebrations are so important to Chinese that many Chinese people take its importance for granted and ask how westerners celebrate theirs.”

(29)<Fm ID=“19980119”>殖民主义者_n 将_p 大批_m 黑人_n 奴隶_n 从_p 沿海_f 一带_n 贩卖_v 到_v 北美_n ,_w <Fa>故_c 贝宁_ns
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The colonialists sold black slaves from coastal areas to North America, and consequently Benin was named the ‘Coast of Slaves’.

### Table 13: Result clause and its adverbial subordinators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>从而 conger</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>之所以 zhisuoyi</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>以致 yizhi</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>故 gu</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>以至于 yizhiyu</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>163</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.3.5. Clauses of preference or substitutive clauses

In their study which produced a typological analysis of adverbial clauses, Thompson and Longacre (1985:199ff) demonstrated that some languages have subordinating markers for signalling the replacement of one event by another one. English uses such forms as *instead of*, *rather than* and *sooner than* for this purpose, while in Chinese,与其 *yuqi* “rather than” as in example (30) and与其说 *yuqishuo* “rather than say that” as in example (31), are used as the subordinating markers for introducing these adverbial substitutive clauses. Quirk et al. (1985:1111-1112) use the term “clauses of
preference” to refer to the same kind of adverbial relation. The frequency of occurrence of substitutive clauses is given in Table 14.

(30) `<Fm ID= ”19980103”>`<Fa>`与其_c 挤_v 在_p 名人_n 屋_n 外_f 的_u “_w 钥匙孔_n ”_w 努力_a 地_u 窥寻_v 隐秘_n</Fa> · _w 莫若_c 到_p 张.nr 安惠_nr 女士_n 推开_v 的_u 这_r 扇_q 人生_n 窗檐_n 前_f , _w 默默_d 眺望_v , _w 细细_d 究_d 想_v 。 _w</Fm>`<Fa>`yuqi_c ji_v zai_p mingren_n wu_n wai_f rather.than stand at celebrity house outside de_u “_w yaoshikong_n ”_w feili_a de_u kuixun_vDE the.hole.of.a.padlock painstakingly ADVL observe.in.secret yinmi_n</Fa> ’_w moruo_c dao_p Zhang_nr Anhui_nr niushi_n secrets would.rather reach Zhang Anhui Ms tuikai_v de_u zhe_r shan_q rensheng_n chuangyou_n qian_f ’_w open DE this CL life window in.front.of momo_d tiaowang_v ’_w xixi_d jiu_d xiang_v ’_w</Fm>`quietly look.around carefully research think.about “Rather than stand outside the world of celebrities and explore what it is like, we could take a close look at Ms Zhang Anhui’s book on being a renowned person and then ponder on the meaning of life.”

(31) `<Fm ID= ”19980124”>`<Fa>`与其说_c 是_v 一_m 间_q 会客室_n</Fa>`不如说_c 是_v 一_m 间_q 简朴_a 别致_a 的_u 书房_n 。 _w</Fm>`<Fa>`yuqishuo_c shi_v yi_m jian_q rather.than.say.that be one CL huikeshi_n</Fa> burushuo_c shi_v yi_m jian_q jianpu_a biezhi_a conference.room would.rather be one CL simple delicate de_u shufang_n ’_w</Fm>`DE study.room “Rather than say that it is a conference room, we would take it as a simple but delicate study room.”
### Substitutive Clauses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>与其 yuqi</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>与其说 yuqishuo</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14: Substitutive clause and its adverbial subordinators

#### 5.3.6. Clauses of contrast

A clause of contrast (cf. Ford, 2000) is introduced by the adverbial subordinator 而是 ershi “rather”. Those contrastive adverbial clauses introduced by 而是 ershi “rather” interact with negation in an interesting way: they are typically associated with negative main clauses i.e. the main clauses that contain negated verb forms such as 不是 bushi “not”, 没有 meiyou “have not”, 并非 bingfei “not just”, 不能 buneng “cannot”, etc., and negation adverbs such as 从不 congbu “never”, 不再 buzai “no longer”, 不仅仅 bujinxin “not merely”, 絕不 juebu “absolutely not”, 未 wei “not yet”, etc., as illustrated in examples (32) to (35). Table 15 gives the frequency of occurrence of clauses of contrast in the PFR corpus.

(32) <Fm ID=“19980113”> [泰国 ns 政府 n]nt 和 c 人民 n 面对 v 严峻 a 的 u 经济 n 形势 n, w 没有 d 被 d 困难 an 吓倒 v, w <Fa>而是 c 同心同德 i, w 群策群力 i, w 共 d 渡 v 难关 n</Fa>  o w <Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980113”>[ Taiguo ns Zhengfu n]nt he c renmin n Thailand government and citizens miandui_v yanjun_a de u jingji_n xingshi_n, w meiyou_d face tough DE economic situation have.not bei_p kunnan_an xidaov_v, w <Fa>ershi_c PASSIVE difficulty be.scared rather tongxintongde_i, w qucequuni_i, w gong_d du_v pool one’s wisdom plan and work together together go through
nanguan_n</Fa>  _w</Fm>
ordeal
“In the face of the tough economic situation, the Thai government and its citizens
were not overwhelmed by adversity. Rather they pooled their wisdom and efforts
to get through the crisis.”

(33) <Fm ID=“19980116”>这_r 不_d 是_v 我_r 多_d 聪明_a , _w
在_p 我_r 的_u 肚子_n 里_f 了_y</Fa>  _w</Fm>
<FM ID=“19980116”>zhe_r bu_d shi_v wo_r duo_d congming_a ,
this not be I how clever
再_p 我_r 肚子_n 了_y</Fa>  _w</Fm>
<FM ID=“19980116”>ershi_c shijuan_n shang_f de_u na_r dian_q dongxi_n ,
rather exam.papers aspect DE that CL stuff
in.advance in my GEN stomach inside PART
“This is not because I am clever, but the questions of the examination paper can
easily be solved by my prior solved of the problem.”

(34) <Fm ID=“19980115”>目标_n 不仅仅_d 是_v 廉洁_an , _w <Fa>而
是_c 要_v 在_p 党内_s , _w 政府_n 内_f 建立_v 和_c 形成_v
一_m 种_q 廉政_n 勤政_n 机制_n</Fa>  _w</Fm>
<FM ID=“19980115”>mubiao_n bujinjin_d shi_v lianjie_an , _w
goal not.only be integrity
<Fa>ershi_c yao_v zai_p dangnei_s , _w zhengfu_n nei_f jianli_v
rather have.to.in inside.the.Party government inside set.up
he_c xingcheng_v yi_m zhong_q lianzheng_n qinzhen_n
and form one CL integrity diligence
jizhi_n</Fa>  _w</Fm>
mechanism
“Our goal is not simply integrity but is to create a mechanism to monitor
people’s compliance with integrity at work in both the Communist Party and the
Chinese government.”

(35) <Fm ID=“19980125”>其_r 意义_n 已_d 不再_d 局限_v 于_p 敬_v
海神_n , _w 求_v 平安_an , _w <Fa>而是_c 成为_v 庆祝_v 新
年_t 的_u 一_m 项_q 大众_n 活动_vn</Fa>  _w</Fm>
<Fm ID="19980125"> q_i_r yiyi_n yi_d buzai_d its significance already not only juxian_v yu_p jing_v haishen_n qiu_v pingan_an be restricted to at worship God of Sea pray for safety w <Fa> ershi_c chengwei_v qingzhu_v xinnian_t de_u yi_m rather become celebrate New Year DE one xiang_q dazhong_n huodong_vn </Fa> w/Fm> CL popular activity “Holding this event is no longer just for worshipping the god of the sea and praying for seamen’s safety. It has become one of the major activities of the Lunar New Year celebrations.”

**Table 15: Contrastive clause and its adverbial subordinator**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinator</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>而是 ershi</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** 177

5.3.7. Clauses of addition

In Chinese, the adverbial subordinators which express one state of affairs in addition to another as in clauses of addition (Thompson and Longacre, 1985:200; Quintero, 2000:65) are 何況 hekuang “not to mention …”, 不单 budan “in addition to …”, 且不说 qiebushuo “let alone …”, and 不说 bushuo “let alone …”. Interestingly, the subordinating conjunction 何況 hekuang, as shown in example (36), differs from the other three conjunctions in that the adverbial clause of addition on which it operates occurs in the final position of the sentence i.e. after the main clause, while others are placed clause-initially i.e. before the main clause, as illustrated in examples (37) to

---

7 One possible explanation for this positional pattern is that the material that is placed near the end of the sentence is believed to be an elaboration of that mentioned in the initial position (Chafe, 1980 and 1987; Altenberg, 1984; Abraham, 1991; Kirkpatrick, 1993; Wang, 2002). As a corollary, the adverbial clauses introduced by 何況 hekuang are put at the end position in the sentence i.e. after the main clause to provide further information to modify the matrix clause.
(39). The frequency of clauses of addition is given in Table 16.

(36) <Fm ID=“19980124”> 有很多_人_连_不_加_标点_的_文章_很多_未必_读_断_得_其他_人</Fm> ？_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980124”> henduo_m ren_n lian_u bu_d jia_v biaodian_n many people even not add punctuation de_u wenyanwen_n ,w weibidu_de_u duan_v ,w DE classical.Chinese not.yet comprehend COMP well</Fm>
<Fa>hekuang_c qita_r</Fa> ？_w</Fm>
not.to.mention others

“Many people can barely understand the unpunctuated writings of classical Chinese, let alone read other archaic styles of writing.”

(37) <Fm ID=“19980125”> “_w <Fa>签署_这项_协定_不_单_代表_我们_在_合作_打击_境_Ng 犯罪_方面_取得_良好_进展_ _.w</Fa> 同时_亦_向_国际_社会_发出_一个_有力_的_信息_就_是_ [香港_特别_行政区]ns 继续_享有_法治_”_w”_w</Fm>
<Fa>qianshu_v zhexiang_r xieding_n sign this agreement</Fa>
budan_c daibiao_v women_r zai_d hezou_v daji_v kua_v jing_Ng not.only represent we at co-operate combat cross border
fanzui_v fangmian_n qude_v lianghao_a jinzhan vn</Fm> ,_w
crime aspect obtain good progress
tongshi_c yi_d xiang_p guoji_n shehui_n fachu_v yige_m meanwhile also face international community issue one
youli_a de_u xinxi_n ,w jiu_d shi_v [Xianggang ns Tebie_a forceful DE message that.is. be Hong.Kong Special Xingzhengqu_n]ns jixu_v xiangyou_v fazi_h _w ”_w</Fm>
Administrative.Region continue enjoy rule.of.law

“In addition to signposting our success in combating cross-border crimes in collaboration with the mainland, by signing this agreement, we would like to forcefully prove that the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region continues (after handover) to enjoy the rule of law.”
(38) 〈Fm ID= "19980112" 〉<Fa>且不说_c 遇_v 上_v 抢劫_v 或_c 偷车贼_n 这_r 类_q 让_v 人_n 心惊_v 的_u “_w 大_a 麻烦_an ”_w</Fa>’_w 单_d 是_v 一些_m 可能_v 出现_v 的_u “_w 小_a 麻烦_an ”_w 就_d 够_v 你_r 受_v 的_u ’_w</Fm>  
〈Fm ID=“19980112”〉<Fa> qiebushuo_c yu_v shang_v qiangjie_v  
let.alone encounter up robbery  
huo_c touchezei_n zhe_r lei_q rang_v ren_n xinjing_v de_u “_w or car.theft this CL make people scare DE  
da_a mafan_an ”_w</Fa>’_w dan_d shi_v yixie_m keneng_v  
big trouble only be some possible  
chuxian_v de_u “_w xiao_a mafan_an ”_w jiu_d gou_v ni_r  
appear DE small trouble then enough you  
shou_v de_u ’_w</Fm>  
suffer.from PART  
“You may suffer from some minor trouble, not to mention those disastrous incidents such as robbery and car theft.”  

(39) 〈Fm ID= “19980109” 〉<Fa>不说_c 别的_r</Fa>’_w 单_d 看_v 病床_n 边_f 相互_d 倾诉_v 彼此_r 的_u 感觉_n ’_w 他_r 在_p 语言_n 不_d 流畅_a 的_u 状态_n 中_f 还_d 能_v 同_p 你_r 交心_v ’_w 互相_d 谈_v 到_v 对方_n 的_u 优点_n ’_w</Fm>  
〈Fm ID=“19980109”〉<Fa> bushuo_c biede_r</Fa>’_w dan_d kan_v  
let.alone others only see  
bingchuang_n bian_f xianghu_d qingsu_v bici_r de_u ganjue_n ’_w  
sick.-bed rim both chat each.other’s GEN feeling  
_w ta_r zai_p yuany_n bu_d liuchang_a de_u zhuangtai_n  
he at language not fluent DE state  
zhong_f hai_d neng_v tong_p ni_r jiaoxin_v ’_w huxiang_d  
within still can with you share both  
tan_v dao_v duifang_n de_u youdian_n ’_w</Fm>  
discuss.about reach each.other’s GEN merits  
“Lying on his sick-bed, and speaking painstakingly with a slight stutter, he could still pour out his innermost feelings and share yours, and talk about virtues, let alone other things related to him.”
### Additive Clauses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>何况 hekuang</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不单 budan</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>且不说 qiebushuo</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不说 bushuo</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>13</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16: Additive clause and its adverbial subordinators

#### 5.3.8. Clauses of exception

Clauses of exception (Quirk et al., 1985:1102-1103) are introduced by the subordinating conjunction 只是 zhishi “except that”. The situations named by these adverbial clauses are considered to be exceptions to the situations described in the main clauses, as shown in examples (40) and (41). The frequency of occurrence of clauses of exception in the PFR corpus is given in Table 17.

(40) <Fm ID="19980127">开始_`v_很_`d_长_a_一_m_段_q_时间_n_`,_w_电力_n_不足_a_,_w_时有时停_l`,_w_<Fa>只是_c_在_p_夏种_vn_秋收_vn_大忙时节_l`,_w_元旦_t_春节_t_喜庆_v_之_u_时_Ng`,_w_才_c_能_v_尽情_d_使用_v</Fa>`。_w</Fm>

<Fa>zhishi_c zai_p xiazhong_vn qiushou_vn except.that during summer.cultivation autumn.harvest damangshijie_l`,_w_yuandan_t chunjie_t grand.celebrations Chinese.New.Year.Eve Chinese.New.Year xiqing_v zh_i_u shi_Ng`,_w_cai_c neng_v jingqing_d happy DE period.of.time then can fully shiyong_v</Fa>`。_w</Fm>

use
“In the beginning we had to come to terms with limited electricity supply and sudden blackouts for quite a long period of time. However we could enjoy a full supply of electricity during grand celebrations such as summer plantings, autumn harvests, the New Year Eve and Lunar New Year.”

(41) <Fm ID="19980116">应景.vn 作品_n 倒是_d 数量_n 可观_a , _w <Fa>只是_c 没有_v 什么_r 保留_vn 价值_n</Fa> 。_w</Fm>
<Fm ID="19980116"> yingjing_vn zuopin_n daoshi_d shuliang_n suit.the.theme works yet amount keguan_a , _w <Fa>zhishi_c meiyou_v shenme_r baoliu_vn substantial except.that have.not somewhat reserve jiazhi_n</Fa> 。_w</Fm>

“There are a huge number of works that suit our theme, though none are valuable.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exception Clauses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Subordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>只是 zhishi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 17: Exception clause and its adverbial subordinator

5.3.9. Clauses of condition

Clauses of condition (Bhatt and Pancheva, 2001; Ippolito, 2003) are used to convey the meaning that the situation in the main clause is dependent on that in the adverbial clause. The adverbial subordinators used to mark these conditional clauses are, in descending order of frequency of occurrence (see Table 18a), 如果 ruguo “if” (see examples (42), (45), (47), (49) to (51), (62) and (63)), 只有 zhiyou “only if”, 只要 zhiyao “provided that”, 如 ru “if”, 即使 jishi “even if” (see example (55)), 若 ruo “if” (see example (43)), 即便 jiban “even if” (see example (56)), 假如 jiaru
“supposing that” (see example (44)), 就是 jiushi “even if” (see example (57)), 若是 ruoshi “if”, 要是 yaoshi “assuming that”, 倘若 tangruo “supposing that” (see examples (46) and (61)), 倘 tang “supposing that” (see example (48)), 哪怕 napa “even if” (see example (58)), 要不是 yaoshibu “if not, otherwise” (see examples (53) and (54)), 除非 chufei “unless” (see example (52)), 果真 guozhan “supposing that”, 假若 jiaru “supposing that”, 若果 ruguo “if”, 纵 zong “even if” (see example (59)), 要 yao “assuming that”, 如若 ruguo “if”, 纵使 zongshi “even if” (see example (60)), 和万一 wanyi “in case”. 

---

8 The examples of the conditional subordinating conjunctions not given in this section can be found in Appendix 7.
## Conditional Clauses (Overall Frequency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>如果 ruguo</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>只要 zhiyao</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>只有 zhiyou</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>即使 jishi</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如 ru</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若 ruo</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>即便 jibian</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>假如 jiaru</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>要是 yaoshi</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若是 ruoshi</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>倘 tang</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>倘若 tangruo</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>哪怕 napa</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>就是 jiushi</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>要不是 yaobushi</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若果 ruguo</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>假若 jiaru</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>万一 wanti</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如若 ruruo</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>果真 guozhen</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>要 yao</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>除非 chufei</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>纵 zong</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>纵使 zongshi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**: 779

Table 18a: Conditional clause and its adverbial subordinators (overall frequency)

### 5.3.9.1. Open conditions

There are four different types of condition on which the main clause is dependent. A majority of conditional clauses (i.e. 84%, or 653 occurrences out of a total of 779 occurrences, of conditional clauses) belong to the first type of condition, the so-called
open condition. An open, real, condition (Adams, 1970; Stalnaker, 1975; Gärdenfors, 1979) typically leaves unresolved the question of the fulfilment or non-fulfilment of the condition. Thus it is unclear whether the consequent main clause is in fact applied or not, as shown in examples (42) to (44). The frequency of open conditionals is given in Table 18b.

(42) <Fm ID= “19980105” >>Fa> 如果_c 届时_d 没有_v 竞争_vn 能力 _n</Fa>  _w 这些_r 企业_n 就_d 会_v 成为_v 集团_n 的_u “_w 包袱_n ”_w 了_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980105”><Fa> ruguo_c jieshi_d meiyou_v jingzheng_vn if at that time have not competition nengli_n</Fa>  _w zhexie_r qiye_n jiu_d hui_v chengwei_v ability these enterprises then will become jituan_n de_u “_w baofu_n ”_w 了_w</Fm>
mother.company’s GEN burden
“If they are no longer competitive in the market in the future, these fledgling enterprises will become a burden to their mother company.”

(43) <Fm ID= “19980108” >>Fa> 若_c 要_v 真正_d 下功夫_v 研制_v 民族 _n 特色_n 产品_vn</Fa>  _w 毕竟_d 需要_v 较_d 长_a 的_u 开发_vn 周期_n  _w 还有_v 一定_b 市场_n 风险_n 了_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980108”><Fa> ruo_c yao_v zhenzheng_d xiaogongfu_v if have to really put effort into sth. yanzhi_v minzu_n tese_n chanpin_v</Fa>  _w bijing_d xuyou_v produce culture peculiar products nevertheless need jiao_d chang_a de_u kaifa_vn zhouqi_n _w haiyou_v yiding_b relatively long DE exploration period also certain shichang_n fengxian_n 了_w</Fm>
market risk
“If (we) take pains to develop products which are peculiar to our culture, we will go through a longer developmental phase and encounter greater market risk.”

(44) <Fm ID= “19980125” >>Fa> 假如_c 议会_n 三_m 天_q 内_f 对_p 政府_n 通过_v 了_u 不信任案_vn</Fa>  _w 政府_n 就_d 解散_v :
_w</Fm>

<Fm ID="19980125"> <Fa> jiaru_c yihui_n san_m tian_q nei_f dui_p zhengfu_n tongguo_v le_u buxinrenan_n </Fa> , _w zhengfu_n jiu_d government pass PERF bill.of.no.confidence government then jiesan_v ; _w</Fm> dissolve

“If the parliament endorses a vote of no confidence within three days, the government will dissolve.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONDITIONAL CLAUSES (OPEN CONDITION)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adverbial Subordinators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如果 ruguo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>只要 zhiyao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>只有 zhiyou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如 ru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若 ruo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>假如 jiaru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>要是 yaoshi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若是 ruoshi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>偏 tang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>偏若 tangruo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若果 ruoguo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>偏若 jiaruo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>万一 wanyi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如若 ruruo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>果真 guozhen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>要 yao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18b: Conditional clause (i.e. open condition) and its adverbial subordinators

5.3.9.2. *Hypothetical conditions*

The second type of condition, hypothetical or unreal condition (Bhatt and Pancheva,
2001), on the other hand, explicitly expresses the meaning that the condition is not fulfilled and hence proves the consequent main clause to be false i.e. the main event does not take place, as illustrated in examples (45) to (51).

(45) <Fm ID= “19980111” >><Fa>如果_ c 没有_d 竞争_v/Fa> ’_w 谁_r 也_d 不_d 会_v 把_p 可能_v 得到_v 的_u 那_r 一_m 份_q 利润_n 拱手_vd 让_v 人_n 。_w</Fm>
      <Fm ID=“19980111”>><Fa> ruguo_c meiyou_d jingzheng_v</Fa> ’_w if have.not competition
shui_r ye_d bu_d hui_v ba_p keng_v dedao_v de_u na_r who also not will BA can gain DE that
yi_m fen_q lirun_n gongshou_vd rang_v ren_n  。_w</Fm>
“one CL profits willingly give others
“If there were not any competition, no company would agree to forgo their potential profits to their rivals.”

(46) <Fm ID= “19980109” >><Fa>倘若_c 果真_d 情投意合_i/Fa> ’_w 当然_d 可以_v 结合_v 。_w</Fm>
      <Fm ID=“19980109”>><Fa> tangruo_c guozhen_d qingtouyihe_i</Fa> ’,
      assuming.that really sincere.and.compatible
_w dangran_d keyi_v jiehe_v 。_w</Fm>
of.course can marry
“If a pair of lovers were sincere in their affection and compatible to each other, they should definitely get married.”

(47) <Fm ID= “19980107” >“_w <Fa>如果_c 美国.ns 真心_d 希望_v 与_p
朝鲜_ns 改善_v 关系_n</Fa> ’_w 就_d 必须_d 放弃_v 对_p 我们_r 的_u 不_d 信任_v 和_c 敌视_v 政策_n ”_w ’_w 采取_v
实际_a 行动_vn 。_w</Fm>
      <Fm ID=“19980107”>“_w <Fa> ruguo_c Meiguo_ns zhenxin_d xiwang_v
if America sincerely hope
yu_p Zhaoxian_ns gaishan_v guanxi_n</Fa> ’_w jiu_d bixu_d
with Korea improve relationship then necessarily
fangqi_v duo_p women_r de_u bu_d xinren_v he_c dishi_v
give.up to us DE not believe and be.hostile
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zhengce_n "_w , _w caiqu_v shiji_a xingdong_vn "_w</Fm>
policy adopt feasible action
“If the United States genuinely intended to improve its relations with Japan, it
would cease all distrustful and hostile policies towards the Japanese
government.”

(48) <Fm ID=“19980125” ><Fa>倘若_c 亚洲_ns 金融_n 风暴_n 中_f 确_d
有_v 泡沫_n 作祟_v</Fa> , _w 我们_r 倒_d 应该_v 引_v 为_v
借_v 镜_Ng _w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980125” ><Fa> tăng_c Yazhou_ns jinyong_n fengbao_n
if Asian financial storm
zhong_f que_d you_v paomo_n zuosui_v</Fa> , _w women_r
within surely have bubble.economy cause we
dao_d yinggai_v yin_v wei_v jie_v jing_Ng _w</Fm>
yet should quote to.be borrow mirror
“If the Asian financial crisis really stemmed from economic bubbles, we should
draw lessons from it.”

(49) <Fm ID=“19980116” > “_w <Fa>如果_c 那天_r 不_d 是_v 那么_r
晚_a 了_u 还_d 在_d 闲逛_v</Fa> , _w 就_d 不_d 会_v 发生_v
意外_ _a 了_y , _w ”_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980116” >“_w <Fa>rugo_c natian_r bu_d shi_v name_r
if that.day not be such
wan_a le_u hai_d zai_d xianguang_v</Fa> , _w jiu_d bu_d
late.at.night PERF still PROG wander.around then not
hui_v fusheng_v yiwai_a le_y ”_w ”_w</Fm>
will happen accident PART
“If I had not wandered around so late at night, the accident would not have
happened.”

(50) <Fm ID=“19980115” > “_w <Fa>那时_r 如果_c 能_v 像_p 现在_t
这样_r 来_v 认识_v 和_c 理解_v 小平_nr 的_u 指示_n</Fa> , _w
成果_n 会_v 大_a 得_u 多_a !_w ”_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980115” >“_w <Fa>nashi_r rugo_c neng_v xiang_p
at.that.time if can as
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xianzai_t zheyang_r lai_v renshi_v he_c lijie_v Xiaoping_nr
at.present in.this.way come learn and interpret Xiaoping’s
de_u zhishi_n</Fa>  ‘_w chengguo_n hui_v da_a de_u duo_a ！
GEN instructions influence will great COMP much
’_w ”_w</Fm>
“If we had understood and interpreted Deng Xiaoping’s instructions in this way, the policy would have been more influential.”

(51) <Fm ID= “19980105” ><Fa>如果_c 早_ad 知道_v 要_v 交_v 这么_r
多_a 钱_n</Fa>  ‘_w 我_r 也_d 就_d 不_d 会_v 打_v 了_y 。
_”w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980105”><Fa> ruguo_c zao_ad zhidao_v yao_v jiao_v
if in.advance know have.to pay
zheme_r duo_a qian_n</Fa>  ‘_w wo_r ye_d jiu_d bu_d hui_v
such much money I yet then not will
da_v le_y ．_w</Fm>
dial PART
“If I had known beforehand that I had to pay such a large sum of money, I would not have used the service to make phone calls.”

The hypothetical conditional clauses in these sentences convey the following implications respectively:

(45a) Competitions will very probably occur.
(46a) The lovers will very probably not be sincere in their love.\(^9\)
(47a) The United States presumably does not intend to improve her relationship with Korea.\(^10\)
(48a) The Asian crisis presumably does not result from a bubble economy

\(^9\) Although the example given in isolation is not able to show it clearly, it does carry this implication when context is taken into account. In the context, the author discusses the love affair between two of his/her friends; both of them are not entirely sincere. While one of the lovers just wants to have casual relationship, the other intends to lead a better life by means of marriage. Hence, example (46) is an ironic remark.

\(^10\) In the context, the author blames the U.S. for her lack of strong determination in improving her ties with Korea. It is said in the preceding discourse that while many rounds of talks have been held over the past year, little progress was made; the key to success consists in the U.S. government’s attitude.
effect.\textsuperscript{11}

(49a) We certainly did wander around late at night.

(50a) We certainly did not understand and interpret Deng’s instructions in the way we are doing now.

(51a) We certainly did not realise that we had to pay a lot for the phone call service.

In different hypothetical conditions, the falsity of the proposition expressed by the associated main clause varies with respect to certainty (Quirk et al., 1985:1091-1092). For future time reference as in (45) and (46), the condition is contrary to \textit{expectation} as in (45a) and (46a); for present time reference as in (47) and (48), it is contrary to \textit{assumption} as in (47a) and (48a); for past time reference as in (49) through (51), it is contrary to \textit{fact}, i.e. counterfactual as in (49a) to (51a). In this case, the falsity of the proposition in the main clause is certain as the main event did not actually happen, while the former two cases merely indicate a probable falsity of the main clause proposition. Table 18c gives the frequency of hypothetical conditionals in the PFR corpus.

\textsuperscript{11} In the context, the author attempts to account for the occurrence of Asian financial crisis in 1998. S/he discusses a range of possible factors behind the scene and s/he tends to attribute the crisis to bad management rather than bubble economy effect.
### Conditional Clauses (Hypothetical Condition)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>如果 ruguo</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>如 ru</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若 ruo</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>假如 jiaru</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>要是 yaoshi</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>倘 tang</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>倘若 tangruo</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>29</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18c: Conditional clause (i.e. hypothetical condition) and its adverbial subordinators

#### 5.3.9.3. Negative conditions

Negative conditionals (Wright and Hull, 1986; Montolio, 2000), the third type of conditional, are those conditional clauses marked by adverbial subordinators 除非 chufei “unless” and 要不是 yaobushi “if not, otherwise”. These two negative conditional markers differ from each other in their implication of the occurrence of the main event. Firstly, a necessary condition is given by using 除非 chufei in the adverbial clause: the main event will not happen if the necessary condition is not fulfilled (Hou, 1998:92-94), as shown in example (52). Secondly, the negative conditionals introduced by 要不是 yaobushi are always associated with a main clause which is counterfactual, i.e. the event described in the main clause did not happen, as highlighted in examples (53) and (54). The frequency of negative conditionals in the PFR corpus is given in Table 18d.

(52) `<Fm ID= “19980101” ><Fa>除非_c 美国_n形容_v 清_a欠款_n</Fa>’

   _w 否则_c 不_d 可能_v 重_d 开_v 谈判_v” _w</Fm>`
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Unless America settles completely,
otherwise not can afresh open
talk
“Unless the United States settles all its debts, the talks will not be renewed.”

(53) <Fa> 小孩_n 寄信_v 来

If the children had not sent us a letter, we would not have realised that the respectable old man had done us such a big favour.”

(54) <Fa> 受_v 种族_n 隔离 vn 制度_n 的_u 影响_vn

“If South Africa had not been influenced by the policy of ethnic isolation, it would have established diplomatic ties with China.”
5.3.9.4. **Concessive conditions**

The fourth type of condition gives rise to an intriguing group of conditional clauses, concessive conditionals (König, 1986; von Fintel, 1999). The term “concessive conditional” is used by Thompson and Longacre (1985:196ff) to refer to “clauses analogous to ‘even if’ clauses in English coding the relation ‘frustrated implication’”. They are referred to in terms of “condition” and “concession” because of two reasons: firstly, adverbial clauses usually express an open condition (see section 5.3.9.1 of this chapter), i.e. whether the condition is fulfilled is not known and thus it is not clear whether the proposition of the main clause is true or not, hence the use of the term “condition”; secondly, even if the condition is fulfilled and thus the event in the main clause occurs, the nature of the main event is surprising and contrary to expectations, hence the use of the term “concession”. These concessive conditionals are introduced by subordinators such as 即使 jishi, 即便 jibian, 就是 jiushi, 哪怕 napa, 纵 zong and 纵使 zongshi, as shown in examples (55) to (60) respectively. The frequency of concessive conditionals is given in Table 18e.

(55) <Fm ID=“19980116”><Fa>即使_c 伪造_y 了_u 外形_n</Fa> 、_w 也_d 无法_v 伪造_v 符合_v 产品_n 的_u 防伪 vn 密码_n 。_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980116”><Fa> jishi_c fangzao_v le_u waixing_n</Fa> 、_w
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even if forge PERF look

ye_d wufa_v weizao_v fuhe_v chanpin_n de_u fangwei_vn

yet cannot forge suit merchandise DE anti-piracy

mima_n = _w</Fm>

password

“Even if you can forge the merchandise, you cannot forge the anti-piracy passwords correctly.”

(56) <Fm ID=’19980121’><Fa> 即便_c 偶尔_d 办_v 错_a 一_m 件_q

事_n</Fa> ’_w 群众_n 也_d 是_v 会_v 谅解_v 你_r 的_u 。

_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=’19980121’><Fa> jibian_c ouer_d ban_v cuo_a yi_m

even.if occasionally do wrong one

jian_q shi_n</Fa> ’_w qunzhong_n ye_d shi_v hui_v

CL matter general.public yet be will

liangjie_v ni_r de_u 。_w</Fm>

give you PART

“Even if you occasionally make a mistake, people will forgive you.”

(57) <Fm ID=’19980109’><Fa>就是_c 上级_n 任命_v 个_q 总经理_n 之

_u 职务_n</Fa> 也_d 不能_v 接受_v 了_y ，_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=’19980109’><Fa> jiush_i_c shangji_n

even.if higher.management

renming_v ge_q zongjingli_n zhi_u zhiwu_n</Fm>

appoint CL managing.director DE position yet

buneng_v jieshou_v le_y ，_w</Fm>

cannot accept PART

“Even if you were promoted to managing director, you could not accept the offer.”

(58) <FmID=’19980114’><Fa> 哪怕_c 是_v 由于_p 第三者_n 的_u 原因

_n 造成_v 的_u 旅客_n 人身_n 伤亡_vn</Fa> ’_w 铁路_n 运输

_vn 企业_n 也_d 要_v 承担_v 赔偿_vn 责任_n 。_w</Fm>

<FmID=’19980114’><Fa> napa_c shi_v youyu_p disanzhe_n de_u

even.if be due.to third.party DE

yuanyin_n zaocheng_v de_u luke_n renshen_n
reason cause DE tourist body
shangwang_vn</Fa>  ,_w tielu_n yunshu_vn qiye_n ye_d yao_v
injuries             railway transport firm yet need.to
chengdan_v peichang_vn zeren_n 。_w</Fm>
bear compensation responsibility
“Even if the commuters were injured or died as a result of third party actions, the railway and transport firm should be held responsible for the insurance costs incurred.”

(59) <Fm ID=“19980103” >“_w 裹尸马革_ 英雄_n 事_n  ，_w </Fa> 纵_c
死_v</Fa>  终_d 令_v 汗_n 竹_Ng 香_a ”_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980103” >“_w guohumage_l yingxiong_n shi_n  ，_w
ride.a.horse hero matter
</Fa> zong_c si_v</Fa>  zhong_d ling_v han_n zhu_Ng
even.if die finally make sweat bamboo
xiang_a ”_w</Fm>
flourish
“Even if you die, your heroic deeds will eventually be recorded for posterity.”

(60) <Fm ID=“19980107” ><Fa>纵使_c 无_v 福_n 为_v 母_Ng</Fa>  ，_w
也_d 可以_v 付出_v 母亲_n 般_u 的_u 爱_v  ”_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980107” ><Fa> zongshi_c wu_v fu_n wei_v
even.if have.not blessing become
mu_Ng</Fa>  ，_w ye_d keyi_v fuchu_v muqin_n ban_u de_u
mother yet can offer mother like DE
ai_v  。_w</Fm>
love
“Even if you are not blessed with a child, you can offer maternal affection to homeless children.”
### Conditional Clauses (Concessive Condition)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>即使 jishi</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>即便 jibian</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>哪怕 napa</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>就是 jiushi</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>纵 zong</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>纵使 zongshi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>89</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18e: Conditional clause (i.e. concessive condition) and its adverbial subordinators

5.3.9.5. **Indirect conditions**

The four conditions mentioned above are more clearly conditional by comparison to two types of peripheral conditional clause, namely rhetorical conditional clauses\(^{12}\) and indirect conditional clauses\(^{13}\) (Quirk et al., 1985:1094ff). I could not find any instances of rhetorical conditionals in the PFR corpus. It is a rare conditional type (Quirk et al., 1985:1095; Mizutani, 2000) so this is hardly surprising. However, I did identify some potential uses of conditional clauses expressing an indirect condition, as shown in examples (61) to (63) below.

(61) <Fm ID= “19980123” >=<Fa> 倘若_r 有人_r 问_v 我_r ,_w 印象_n 最_d 深_a 的_u 是_v 什么_r</Fa> ?_w 我_r 要_v 告诉_v 他_r :_w 是_v 手_n ,_w 是_v 几千_m 名_q 官兵_n 的_u 几千

\(^{12}\) As Quirk et al. (1985:1094-1095) note, there are two types of rhetorical conditional clauses: (a) if the proposition in the main clause is clearly false, the proposition in the conditional clause is shown to be false (e.g. If they’re Irish, I’m the Pope. [“Since I’m obviously not the Pope, they’re certainly not Irish.”]); (b) if the proposition in the conditional clause is clearly true, the proposition in the main clause is shown to be true (e.g. He’s ninety if he’s a day. [“If you’ll agree that he’s at least a day old, perhaps you’ll take my word that he’s ninety.”]).

\(^{13}\) Broadly speaking, the indirect conditional clause is a conventional expression of politeness which seeks the hearer’s permission before the speaker makes an utterance (Quirk et al., 1985:1095ff), for instance, *If I may say so, your work is not well written.*
supposing that people ask me

 impression most deep DE be what

 want to tell him be hands be

 several thousand CL soldiers’ GEN several thousand CL

 hands

 “If someone asks me about the deepest impression of the troop, I will tell them—hands, the hands belonging to thousands of soldiers.”

 “If I may say so, first of all, I dislike the paparazzi. They never take their eyes off
celebrities and they make up gossip about them in order to enhance their own reputation.”

(63) <Fm ID=“19980118”><Fa>如果_ 上帝_n 存在_v 的话_u</Fa>，_w
在_p 法国_ns ，_w 这个_r 上帝_n 就_d 是_v 政府_n ：_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980118”><Fa>如果_ 上帝_n 存在_v 的话_u</Fa>
<Fa> if god exist
dehua_u</Fa> ，_w zai_p 法国_ns ，_w zhege_r 上帝_n jiu_d
so.to.speak in France this god then
shi_v 政府_n ：_w</Fa>
be government
“If god is real, government is god in France.”

The conditions in examples (61) and (62) are dependent on the implicit speech act “I am telling you, if I may, that …”. In conventional politeness in conversations, the speaker makes an utterance of assertion dependent on obtaining proper permission from the hearer, though the fulfilment of that condition is conventionally taken for granted (Levinson, 1983:263-276; Brown and Levinson, 1987:162-164). Previous analyses of properties of spoken and written language have demonstrated that features which have been identified as characterising a spoken style may be borrowed by writers for stylistic purposes (Tannen, 1982a and 1982c; Chafe and Danielewicz, 1987). The Chinese writers of the newspaper articles included in the PFR corpus adopt similar strategies to those identified by Tannen and Chafe and Danielewicz to gain a reader’s attention, as shown in examples (61) and (62). Yet in example (63), the conditional clause does not express the implicit speech act as occurs in examples (61) and (62); rather, it expresses uncertainty about the extralinguistic knowledge required by the reader to correctly interpret the sentence. The three conditional clauses in examples (61) to (63) are taken to be indirect because the situation of the main clause is not directly dependent on that of the conditional clause: the occurrence of the main
event is not a direct consequence of the fulfilment of the condition in the conditional clause. Table 18f gives the frequency of indirect conditional clauses in the PFR corpus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONDITIONAL CLAUSES (INDIRECT CONDITION)</th>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>如果 ruguo</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>若 ruo</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>倘若 tangruo</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 18f: Conditional clause (i.e. indirect condition) and its adverbial subordinators

5.3.10. Clauses of concession

5.3.10.1. Simple concessive clauses

A simple concessive clause (Couper-Kuhlen and Thompson, 2000; Crevels, 2000; König and Siemund, 2000; Kim, 2002) is one which makes a concession against which the proposition in the main clause is contrasted. More specifically, the meaning of concessive sentences can be characterised as follows: the situation in the main clause is contrary to expectation in the light of what is said in the concessive clause (cf. Haspelmath and König, 1998:584-593). In Chinese, clauses of concession are introduced by the adverbial subordinators 虽然 suiran “although”, 尽管 jinguan “even though”, 虽 sui “though” and 虽说 suishuo “while admitting that…”, as illustrated in examples (64) to (67). The frequency of simple concessive clauses is given in Table 19a.
(64) <Fm ID=“19980111”><Fa>冷战 _n 虽 c 已 d 结束 v</Fa> , _w 但 c
在 p 美国 n_s 政界 n_ _w 军界 n 和 c 情报界 n 中 f , _w 仍
d 有 v 不少 m 人 n 根深蒂固 _i 地 u 坚持 v 冷战 n 思维
_n , _w 继续 v 把 p 俄罗斯 n 看做 v 敌人 n , _w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980111”><Fa>lengzhan _n suí _c yì d jieshu _v</Fa> , _w
cold.war though already finish
dan c zai p Meiguo _ns zhengjie _n , _w junjie _n he c
but in America political.arena military and
qingbaojie _n zhong f , _w reng d you v bushao m
intelligence.agency among still have quite.a.number.of
ren n genshendigu _i de u jianchi v lengzhan n siwei n , _w
people deep-rooted ADVL insist on cold.war way.of.thinking
jixu v ba p Eluosi _ns kanzuo v diren n , _w
continue BA Russia be seen as enemy
“Though the cold war ended, in the United States there were many people in the
political arena, military and intelligence agency who still insisted on cold-war
diplomacy towards Russia and perceived the Russians as their enemies.”

(65) <Fm ID=“19980116”><Fa>虽然 c 香港 n_s 基础 n 良好 a , _w 金融
_n 体系 n 健全 a</Fa> , _w 但是 c 过去 v 半 m 年 q 来 f 香
港 n_s 发生 v 的 u 不少 m 事情 n , _w 尤其 d 是 v 亚洲 n_s
金融 n 市场 n 再度 d 出现 v 的 u 紧张 a 局面 n , _w 对 p
香港 n_s 产生 v 了 u 负面 b 影响 v_n , _w 香港 n_ 金融 n 市场
_n 和 c 整个 b 经济 n 体系 n 受到 v 考验 v 。 _w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980116”><Fa>suíran c Xianggang _ns jichu n
although Hong.Kong foundation
lianghao a , _w jinyong n tixi n jianquan a</Fa> , _w danshi c
sound financial system healthy but
guóqu v ban m nian q lai f Xianggang _ns fasheng v de u
past half year onwards Hong.Kong happen DE
bushao m shiqing n , _w youqi d shì v Yazhong _ns
quite.a.number.of incidents especially be Asian
jinyong n shichang n zaidu d chuxian v de u jinzhang a
financial market again emerge DE tense
jumian n , _w dui p Xianggang _ns chansheng v de u fumian b
situation to Hong.Kong create PERF negative
yingxiang vn , _w Xiangguang _ns jinyong n shichang n he c

175
influence Hong Kong financial market and zhengge_b jingji_n tixi_n shoudao_v kaoyan_v ·_w</Fm>
whole economic system face challenge
“Although Hong Kong has a strong foundation and perfect financial system, the adverse circumstances that Hong Kong encountered over the past six months, especially the financial crisis in Asia, have posed a challenge to Hong Kong’s financial market and economic system as a whole.”

(66) <Fm ID=“19980128” >>Fa> 这样_r 的_u 晚会_n 虽说_c 年年_q 都_d 有_v</Fa> ，_w 但_c 今年_t 从_p 内容_n 编排_vn \_w 演出_vn 水准_n 到_p 服装_n 道具_n 都_d 很_d 令_v 人_n 耳目一新 _i ।_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980128” >>Fa> zheyang_r de_u wanhui_n suishuo_c this.kind.of DE soirée while.admitting.that niannian_q dou_d you_v</Fa> ，_w dan_c jinnian_t cong_p annually all have but this.year from neirong_n bianpai_vn \_w yanchu_vn shuizhun_n dao_p fuzhuang_n content schedule performance standard to clothing daoju_n dou_d hen_d ling_v ren_n ermuyixin_i ।_w</Fm>
property all very make people feel.refreshed “Although a soirée of this sort is organised annually, this year’s function has broken fresh ground in terms of schedule, quality of performance and stage property.”

(67) <Fm ID=“19980127” >>Fa> 尽管_c 联合国_nt 在_p 伊拉克_ns 进行_v 武器_n 核查_vn</Fa> ，_w 但_c 伊拉克_cs 现在_t 仍_d 拥有_v 生物武器_l 工厂_n ，_w 并且_c 还_d 在_d 秘密_ad 开工_v ।_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980127” >>Fa> jinxuan_c Lianheguo_nt zai_p Yilake_ns even.though United.Nation in Iraq jinxing_v wuqi_n hecha_vn</Fa> ，_w dan_c Yilake_ns xianzai_t conduct weapon inspection but Iraq at.present reng_d yongyou_v shengwuwuqi_l gongchang_n ，_w bingqie_c still own biological.weapon factory also hai_d zai_d mimi_ad kaigong_v ।_w</Fm>still PROG secretly operate “In spite of the regular military weapon inspections held by the United Nation,
Iraq is still secretly engaged in the manufacture of biological weapons.”

### Simple Concessive Clauses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>虽然 suiran</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>尽管 jinguan</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>虽 sui</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>虽然 suishuo</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>410</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19a: Simple concessive clause and its adverbial subordinators

5.3.10.2. Alternative concessive clauses

Apart from simple concessive clauses, there are two other types of concessive clause, namely alternative concessive clauses and universal concessive clauses. Alternative concessive clauses (Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:326; Haspelmath and König, 1998:594-604) are those adverbial clauses marked by the correlative sequence *whether ... or* which is made up of a pair of adverbial subordinators i.e. 无论 wulun … 还是 haishi …, 无论是 wulinshi … 还是 haishi …, 不论 bulun … 还是 haishi …, and 不论是 bulunshi … 还是 haishi …, as shown in examples (68) to (71). The correlative sequence provides two alternative conditions under which the same situation in the main clause applies. In other words, the concessive meaning in these clauses arises from implication that two contrasting conditions unexpectedly result in the occurrence of the same main event. Table 19b gives the frequency of alternative concessive clauses in my corpus.

(68) <Fm ID=“19980112”><Fa>无论 c 身_Ng 处_v 高位_n ‘_w 还是_c 平头_n 百姓_n</Fa> ‘_w 海内外_s 游子_n 心中_s 的_u 家_n 永远_d 在_v 生养_v 他_r 的_u 故乡_n ‘_w</Fm>
<Fm ID="19980112"><Fa> wulun_c shen_Ng chu_v gaowei_n , w whether or body situate high management haishi_c pintou_n baixing_n </Fa>, _w haineiwai_s youzi_n whether or ordinary citizen local and overseas lingeer xinzhong_s de_u jia_n yongyuan_d zai_v shengyang_v ta_r de_u in the heart DE home forever at be born his GEN guxiang_n , _w </Fm> place of birth
"Whether coming from a noble class or from the masses, overseas people always regard their birth place as home."

(69) <Fm ID="19980125"> </Fa> 无论是_c 繁华_a 的_u 都市_n , w 还是_c 偏远_a 的_u 乡村_n</Fa>, _w 所到之处_l , w 无不_d 洋溢_y 着_u 欢快_a 的_u 节日_n 气氛_n . _w </Fm> 无论是_c 繁华_a 的_u 都市_n , w 还是_c 偏远_a 的_u 乡村_n</Fa>, _w 所到之处_l , w 无不_d 洋溢_y 着_u 欢快_a 的_u 节日_n 气氛_n . _w </Fm> <Fm ID="19980125"> </Fa> wulunshi_c fanhua_a de_u doushi_n , w whether or bustling DE city haishi_c pianyuan_a de_u xiangcun_n </Fa>, _w suodaozhichu_l , whether or remote DE village everywhere _w wubu_d yangyi_v zhe_u huankui_a de_u without exception be filled with PROG joyful DE jieri_n qifen_n , _w </Fm> festival atmosphere
"Whether a bustling city or a village in the countryside, it is in festive mood."

(70) <Fm ID="19980122"> </Fa> 少数民族_n 干部_n 不论_c 在_p 数量_n 上_f , _w 还是_v 在_p 队伍_n 结构_n 上_f </Fa>, _w 都_d 还_d 不能_v 适应_v 客观_n 需要_vn . _w </Fm> 无论是_c 繁华_a 的_u 都市_n , w 还是_c 偏远_a 的_u 乡村_n</Fa>, _w 所到之处_l , w 无不_d 洋溢_y 着_u 欢快_a 的_u 节日_n 气氛_n . _w </Fm> 少数民族_n 干部_n 不论_c 在_p 数量_n 上_f , _w 还是_v 在_p 队伍_n 结构_n 上_f </Fa>, _w 都_d 还_d 不能_v 适应_v 客观_n 需要_vn . _w </Fm> <Fm ID="19980122"> </Fa> shaoshuminzu_n ganbu_n bulun_c zai_p ethnic minorities cadre whether or at shuliang_n shang_f , _w haishi_v zai_p duiwu_n jiegou_n quantity aspect whether or at troop arrangement shang_f </Fa>, _w dou_d hai_d buneng_v shiying_v keguan_n aspect all still cannot meet practical xuyao_vn , _w </Fm> needs
"Whether in terms of size or troop arrangements, the cadre of the ethnic minorities cannot meet practical needs."
(71) <Fm ID=“19980107”><Fa>不论是_c 有_v 实力_n 的_u 企业_n 还是_c 个人_n</Fa>‘_w 他们_r 的_u 钱_n 都_d 是_v 辛辛苦苦_z 挣_v 来_v 的_u ‘_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980107”><Fa>bulunshi_c  you_v  shili_n  de_u  qiye_n  whether.or  have  ability  DE  enterprise  haishi_c  geren_n</Fa>‘_w  tamen_r  de_u  qian_n  dou_d  shi_v  whether.or.individual  their  GEN  money  all  be  xinxinkuku_z  zheng_v  lai_v  de_u  ‘_w</Fm>

painsstakingly  earn  come  PART

“Whether operating a competitive enterprise of their own or working in a firm, people take pains to earn a living.”

**ALTERNATIVE CONCESSIVE CLAUSES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>无论是 bulunshi … 还是 haishi …</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>无论 wulun … 还是 haishi …</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不论是 bulunshi … 还是 haishi …</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不论 bulun … 还是 haishi …</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>58</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19b: Alternative concessive clauses and its adverbial subordinators

5.3.10.3. Universal concessive clauses

The meaning that the same main event applies to the contrastive conditions described in the adverbial concessive clause may also be conveyed by employing universal concessive clauses (Quirk and Greenbaum, 1973:326; Haspelmath and König, 1998:604-619), except that the number of opposing stated conditions involved in the contrast is unspecified rather than being expressly two. Hence, universal concessive clauses are those which signal a meaning like “no matter what” or “whatever”, expressed by the subordinators such as 无论 wulun, 不论 bulun, 不管 buguan, 甭
管 bengguan, and 任 ren, as shown in examples (72) to (76). These clauses typically contain some unspecified elements, usually an indefinite pronoun and a question word, e.g. 什么 shenme “what”, 怎样 zenyang “how”, 谁 shui “who”, 如何 ruhe “how”, 哪 na “which”, 什么样 shenmeyang “what kind of”, etc. The frequency of universal concessive clauses is given in Table 19c, whereas the overall frequency of concessive clauses is given in Table 19d.

(72) <Fm ID=“19980112”>无论_c 在_p 哪个_r 商城_n 或_c 超市_n</Fm>，_w 残疾人_n 都_d 享受_v 这种_r 特殊_a 优待_vn 。_w</Fm>

<FM ID=“19980112”><Fm>wulun_c zai_p nage_r shangcheng_n huo_c whatever_in_which_shopping.mall_or_chaoshi_n</Fm>，_w caniren_n dou_d xiangshou_v zhezhong_r supermarket disabled all enjoy these
teshu_a youdai_vn 。_w</Fm>
special privileges
“Whatever shopping malls or supermarkets they go to, handicapped people will enjoy special privileges.”

(73) <Fm ID=“19980108”>不论_c 什么_r 情况_n 下_f</Fm>，_w 都_d 要_v 坚持_v 报道_v 的_u 客观_an ，_w 公正性_n 。_w</Fm>

<FM ID=“19980108”><Fm>bulun_c shenme_r qingkuang_n whatever_what.kind.of_circumstances xia_f</Fm>，_w dou_d yao_v jianchi_v baodao_v de_u under all have.to_instis.on_reporting_DE keguan_an ，_w gongzhengxing_n 。_w</Fm>
objectivity impartiality
“No matter what the circumstances, journalists should maintain objectivity and impartiality in their reporting.”

(74) <Fm ID=“19980120”>老人_n ，_w <Fa>不管_c 他们_r 的_u 能力_n 大小_n ，_w 职位_n 高低_n</Fa>，_w 都_d 为_p 自己_r 的_u
家庭_n 为_p 祖国_n 做出_v 了_u 奉献_vn 之_w</Fm>
<Fm ID="19980120">laoren_n ,_w <Fa>buguan_c tamen_r
derfuly whatever their
de_u nengli_n daxiao_n ,_w zhiwei_n gaodi_n</Fa> ,_w dou_d
GEN ability extent post seniority all
wei_p ziji_r de_u jiating_n ,_w wei_p zuguo_n zuochu_v
for oneself’s GEN family for motherland make
le_u fengxian_vn =_w</Fm>
PERF contribution
“No matter how capable they are or in which posts they serve, old people have played their part in contributing to their family and their country.”

(75) <Fm ID= “19980109”><Fa>甭管_c 东西_n 拍_v 得_u 多_d 臭
_a</Fa> ,_w 主演_n 的_u 片酬_n 低_a 不_d 了_v ,_w 导演_n
的_u 谱儿_n 小_a 不_d 了_v 。_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980109”><Fa>bengguan_c dongxi_n pai_v de_u duo_d
whatever stuff produce COMP how
chou_a</Fa> ,_w zhuyan_n de_u pianchou_n di_a bu_d
poor leading role’s GEN pay low not
liao_v</Fa> ,_w daoyan_n de_u puer_n xiao_a
complete director’s GEN script indispensable
bu_d liao_v =_w</Fm>
not complete
“No matter how poor the film is or how much the leading actor is paid, the director’s script is indispensable.”

(76) <Fm ID= “19980118”><Fa>任_c 你_r 走_v 进_v 哪个_r 角落
_n</Fa> ,_w 保_v 你_r 不_d 会_v 发现_v 零乱_an 与_c 污浊_an
_an =_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980118”><Fa>ren_c ni_r zou_v jin_v nage_r
whatever you go enter into which
jiaoluo_n</Fa> ,_w bao_v ni_r bu_d hui_v faxian_v lingluan_an
corner guarantee you not will discover mess
yu_c wuzhuo_an =_w</Fm>
and filth
“Wherever you go, you will not spot any mess or filth.”
### Universal Concessive Clauses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbal Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>无论 wulun</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不管 buguan</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不论 bulun</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>任 ren</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>甭管 bengguan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>107</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19c: Universal concessive clause and its adverbial subordinators

### Concessive Clauses (Overall Frequency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbal Subordinators</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>虽然 suiran</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>尽管 jinguan</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>虽 sui</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>无论 wulun</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不管 buguan</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>不论 bulun</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>虽说 suishuo</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>任 ren</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>甭管 bengguan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>575</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 19d: Concessive clause and its adverbial subordinators (overall frequency)

#### 5.3.11. Clauses of inference

In marking that two events are dependent on each other, inference clauses differ from conditional clauses in that the condition for the main event to take place has been fulfilled in an inference clause, while a conditional clause will either leave unresolved the question of the fulfilment or non-fulfilment of the condition (i.e. an open conditional; see section 5.3.9.1), or imply that the condition is/was/will not be
fulfilled (i.e. a hypothetical conditional; see section 5.3.9.2). Furthermore, clauses of inference can be distinguished from clauses of concession because in the former the nature of this dependence is expected and the main clause event can easily be inferred given the accomplished condition stated in the adverbial clause, whereas in the latter the main clause is unexpected given the condition. As shown in the corpus data, the clause of inference is marked by the adverbial subordinator 尚且 shangqie “even”, as illustrated in examples (77) and (78). The frequency of clauses of inference is given in Table 20.

(77) <Fm ID=“19980112”><Fa>医疗_n 器械_n 管理_vn 走_v 在_p 全国_n 前_f 列_n 的_u 上海 ns 尚且_c 如此_r</Fa>，_w 其他_r 一些_m 地方_n 更为_d 不堪_v 。_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980112”><Fa>yiliao_n qixie_n guanli_vn zou_v zai_p medicine equipment management walk on
quanguo_n qian_f lie_n de_u Shanghai ns shangqie_c across.the.country top list DE Shanghai even
rucir</Fa>，_w qita_r yixie_m defang_n gengwei_d bukan_v 。
as such other several places even more unbearable
_w</Fm>

“Even Shanghai, which offers the best service in the territory, cannot meet the worldwide standard for medical apparatus and instruments. Some other places are far worse.”

(78) <Fm ID=“19980123”><Fa>要_v 开辟_v 出_v 一_m 条_q 路_n 来_v 尚且_c 不易_a</Fa>，_w 更何况_l 建寺_v 修_v 庙_n ！
_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980123”><Fa>yao_v kaipi_v chu_v yi_m tiao_q lu_n have.to construct out one CL road
lai_v shangqie_c buyi_a</Fa>，_w genghekuang_l jiansi_v come even not.easy not.to.mention build.a.monastery
xiu_v miao_n ！_w</Fm>

“Even constructing a path in this dangerously steep area is enormously difficult,
let alone building a monastery or renovating a temple.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inference Clauses</th>
<th>Adverbial Subordinator</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>尚且 shangqie</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 20: Inference clause and its adverbial subordinator

5.4. Chapter summary

In this chapter, I have provided an analysis of the semantic roles of the 2,417 adverbial clauses found in the PFR Chinese Corpus. Adverbial clauses in Chinese can be semantically subsumed into eleven classes: clause of time, clause of cause/reason, clause of purpose, clause of result, clause of preference or substitutive clause, clause of contrast, clause of addition, clause of exception, clause of condition, clause of concession and clause of inference. For each semantic class, I have analysed the sentences in the corpus and drawn frequency tables to illustrate the use of adverbial subordinators in conveying particular semantic meaning(s). The following table is a summary of the frequency of occurrence of individual interclausal semantic relations exhibited by Chinese adverbial clauses.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic Classes of Adverbial Clauses</th>
<th>Frequency of Occurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Condition</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Concession</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Purpose</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Cause or Reason</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Contrast</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Result</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Exception</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Addition</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Inference</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Preference</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Time</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,417</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21: Interclausal semantic relations of adverbial clauses in Chinese

Among these semantic categories, conditional and concessive clauses make up approximately half of the adverbial clauses studied and use a wide variety of subordinating conjunctions, while time, inference and preference clauses occur infrequently in the corpus (i.e. three or fewer examples each) and are introduced by no more than two adverbial subordinators. The adverbial subordinator 从而 conger “in order that” marks the apparent overlap between purpose clause and result clause as it can be used to introduce both the purpose clause and result clause in more or less the same frequency of occurrence in the corpus. Clauses of inference, on the other hand, form a semantic class in their own right as they are distinct from seemingly functionally alike conditional and concessive clauses. Furthermore, I indicated and attempted to explain some of the peculiarities with respect to actual usage in real-life data which were found in certain of these adverbial types; for instance, the clauses of contrast introduced by 而是 ershi “rather” interact interestingly with negation verb forms and negative adverbs occurring in the main clause.
Chapter Six

Non-overt Subjects of Chinese Adverbial Clauses: A Government and Binding Approach

6.1. Introduction

In this chapter, I will explore the non-overt subjects that occur in Chinese adverbial clauses (henceforth CACs). A non-overt subject, by definition, is an empty (or covert, null) category, i.e. a category which has no overt phonetic form, and hence which is inaudible or silent (Radford, 1997:131). It generally refers to the subject of a finite clause that remains unexpressed lexically. A language that allows a subject to be omitted is referred to as a pro-drop language (Haegeman, 1994:19). Chinese is a pro-drop language (Huang, 1989). Previous pro-drop analyses (Cordin, 1980; Belletti, 1982; Hyams, 1983; Afarli, 1987; Adams, 1987; Philippaki-Warburton, 1987; Kouwenberg, 1990; Koktová, 1992; Joseph, 1994; Modesto, 2000) have concentrated primarily on the question of the correlation between morphological agreement and pro-drop: languages with rich inflectional agreement can allow for covert subjects as the verbs are sufficiently marked morphologically to show the intended subject. However, languages such as Chinese, Japanese and Korean do not have rich morphology yet they still allow for covert subjects (Ohso, 1976). Setting out to provide a solution for this problem, Huang (1984:550ff) proposes that there may be two separate explanations for pro-drop: whereas pro-drop in Romance languages, such as Italian, may be motivated by rich morphology, pro-drop in languages such as Chinese, Japanese and Korean may be motivated by the fact that these are topic-prominent languages in which structural subjects are not a basic requirement of
the sentence.\footnote{Li and Thompson (1974 and 1976) argue persuasively for analysing Chinese as a topic-prominent language. Basically, the topic of a sentence is what the sentence is about. It always comes first in the sentence. While almost all English sentences must have a subject, it is difficult to identify the subject in a Chinese sentence: the subject is not marked by position, by agreement, or by any case marker; and it can be the agent of an action, or it can be the topic or whatever comes first in the sentence, or both (LaPolla, 1993). Since the definition of subject is so problematic in Chinese, several scholars such as Li and Thompson argue that though there may be a subject in Chinese, it does not play an important role in the Chinese grammar; they suggest that the concept of topic appears to be relatively more significant in analysing the structure of sentences in the language.} The key motivation for Huang’s work was the inability of accounts of pro-drop, developed on Romance languages, to account for pro-drop in Chinese. This mirrors the motivation for my work. Previous research on the distribution of non-overt subjects in linguistics has been based on morphologically rich languages (cf. Bodomo and Luke, 2001), and morphology has been the main component of the explanation of the distribution (Rögnvaldsson, 1993 (Icelandic); Aroonmanakun, 1997 (Thai); Bar-Shalom and Snyder, 1997 (Russian); Ackema, 2002 (German); Bennis, 2002 (Dutch)). These explanations cannot apply to Chinese. The main aim of this thesis is therefore to develop an explanation for the distribution of non-overt subjects in Chinese adverbial clauses.

Most accounts of pro-drop in Chinese are concerned with pro-drop in complement clauses of verbs (Battistella, 1985; Huang, 1987; Chen, 1990; Henry, 1990; Huang, 1995; among others), as shown in the following example adapted from Huang (1987:329).

\begin{center}

(1) 张三 说 [e 不 认识 李四]  张三 say [e not know Lisi]

“Zhangsan said he does not know Lisi.”

\end{center}

In example (1), the non-overt subject, represented as \textit{e}, occurs in the complement clause that the verb \textit{说 shuo “say”} takes. As my thesis does not focus on complement
clauses, I will not investigate the pro-drop phenomenon in these clauses further. Rather I would like to examine the non-overt subjects that occur in adverbial clauses because research to date has focussed on complement clauses at the expense of adverbial clauses. I wish to redress this imbalance. In doing so, I will adopt a Government and Binding (GB) approach. I chose to follow GB theory because this theory is one of the approaches that falls within the principles and parameters (P&P) family of theories (van Valin, 2001:193). GB is based on the idea of universal grammar or UG (Chomsky, 1981b:7), and consequently the principles it proposes are intended to be linguistic universals, that is universal properties of the grammars of human languages. Variation between different languages is captured in terms of parameters (Chomsky, 1981c). One of the parameters is the pro-drop parameter which characterises languages like Chinese, in which subjects can be dropped, as noted above. This suggests that GB theory is particularly suited to frame an investigation of non-overt subjects in Chinese\(^2\) as a solution to the pro-drop question is central to the theory. Other examples of principles-and-parameters syntactic theories include the Minimalist Program (Chomsky, 1995a and 1995b) and Generalised Phrase Structure Grammar (Gazdar et al., 1985). However, I did not follow these approaches because a typology of empty categories is more thoroughly explained using the GB framework (e.g. Haegeman, 1994:431-479) than using these theories (Marantz, 1995:352-354; Harbert, 1995:217-233; Borsley, 1999:175-187).

Other theories of syntax that do not take the P&P approach e.g. Relational Grammar (RelG), Lexical-Functional Grammar (LFG), Head-driven Phrase Structure

---

Grammar (HPSG), Role and Reference Grammar (RRG), Functional Grammar (FG) and Optimality Theory (OT) are unsuitable for use in this thesis also. Relational Grammar (Perlmutter and Postal, 1977; Perlmutter, 1980 and 1983), a descendant of Transformational Grammar or TG (Chomsky, 1965), represents clause structure in terms of grammatical relations subject, direct object and indirect object. It postulates a number of general principles constraining possible relational networks and hence possible syntactic structures in human languages. One of the most important ones is the Final 1 Law\(^3\) which states that every clause has a subject. However, it ignores the question of why a subject can be omitted in the first place, and takes for granted that there must be an NP as subject which in some instances is covert (Blake, 1990:13). Yet it provides a detailed account of passivisation by its principle 1 Advancement Exclusive Law (1AEX) which limits the number of advancements of an NP to be the subject to one in a clause (Blake, 1990:30). Like RelG, Lexical-Functional Grammar (Bresnan, 1978, 1982a, 1994 and 2001) was developed from TG, as did Head-driven Phrase Structure Grammar (Pollard and Sag, 1987 and 1994; Sag and Wasow, 1999). In LFG and HPSG, the lexicon is very important and thus the strengths of these two theories are their analyses of grammatical-relation-changing phenomena, like passive and dative shift, which crucially involve the lexicon, and their exclusive use of the notions of TOPIC and FOCUS\(^4\). Hence the notion of “adjunct”, my main interest, is relegated to a less important position in these theories. Furthermore, like RelG, LFG and HPSG presuppose that every clause must have a SUBJ (i.e. subject) with little theoretical justification in terms of constraints to bear out this postulation. Consequently, I rejected the use of the RelG, LFG and HPSG frameworks in this

---

\(^3\) Final 1 Law: there must be a 1 [i.e. subject] in every final stratum [i.e. syntactic structure] (Blake, 1990:13).

\(^4\) The terms “topic” and “focus” are used to refer to discourse functions. Generally speaking, the topic element denotes an entity which has been established in the discourse context and the focus is information about one or more topical participants that is new in the context (Bresnan, 2001:96-98).
Role and Reference Grammar (Foley and van Valin, 1977 and 1984; van Valin, 1993; van Valin and LaPolla, 1997) is concerned with the interaction of syntax, semantics and discourse-pragmatics in grammar. It shares a great deal with Functional Grammar (Dik, 1978, 1989 and 1997). RRG rejects both grammatical-relations-based representations such as those used in RelG and LFG, and X-bar-type constituent-structure representations as in GB (see section 6.1.1 below) because it is argued that neither type is universally valid, and that a syntactic theory should capture all of the universal features of clauses without imposing features on languages in which there is no evidence for them (van Valin and LaPolla, 1997). This theory seems to be a promising theoretical framework on which to base my research as it presupposes that languages vary in their representation of a grammatical relation or function e.g. subject. However, there are two features that make RRG (and FG) unsuitable for use in my thesis. Firstly, the notion operators play a central part in the theory. Operators such as aspect (e.g. perfective, imperfective/progressive/durative), negation, tense and illocutionary force (i.e. whether the utterance is a question, statement or command) are used in the theory. Operators have scope over different layers of the clause: aspect is a nuclear operator, which modifies the predicate only; tense and illocutionary force are clausal operators; and negation can be an operator modifying the predicate or the clause. These operators are not relevant to my research. Secondly, RRG has a very different view of grammatical relations from other theories: it does not employ the traditional grammatical relations of subject, direct object and indirect object as theoretical constructs as happens in LFG; rather, it adopts a single construction-specific grammatical relation known as the privileged grammatical argument, which covers all kinds of subject, direct object and indirect object in a
single category, and thus does not particularly well address those syntactic phenomena related to a certain grammatical relation such as the omission of a subject and its control in adjunct clauses. Hence, I rejected the use of RRG and FG in my work also.

The final theoretical framework to be mentioned is Optimality Theory (Archangeli and Langendoen, 1997). It is not a theory as such and is, rather, a way of organising the rules, principles and constraints of a theory in a hierarchy. These constraints are violable: a violation of a higher-ranked constraint is more significant than that of a lower-ranking constraint and a sentence showing such violation may be rejected i.e. it is ungrammatical. The constraints are assumed to be universal, and cross-linguistic variation is reflected in different rankings that different languages impose on them. As OT is just a way of organising grammatical constraints, the theoretical constructs to be ranked must come from a substantive syntactic theory. As noted earlier, GB has a pro-drop parameter to address cross-linguistic variation and a PRO theorem to license the omission of a subject in a clause. It thus lends itself well to the analysis of the distribution of non-overt subjects in Chinese adverbial clauses. Since no theoretical frameworks appear to better suit my research question than GB, I decided to use the GB framework in my thesis. In the following section, I will introduce the basic concepts that underlie GB and the way phrase structure is treated in this thesis.

6.1.1. An introduction to Government and Binding Theory

Government and Binding Theory was developed initially by Chomsky (1981a, 1982 and 1986) and is in a sense the immediate descendant of Transformational Grammar or TG (Horrocks, 1987:68ff), which was put forth in the 1960s (Chomsky, 1957). An
important aspect of GB is that it assumes that there are no construction-specific rules (Chomsky, 1981a:2). This is an important departure from TG: while TG has rules (or transformations) of, say, passive and question formation, GB avoids this. Thus the TG approach to passivisation is to set up a rule which makes an object a subject (Radford, 1988). In contrast, what we find in GB theory is not a rule of passivisation but rather a set of structural parameters which impose constraints (Sells, 1985:25ff; Horrocks, 1987:74-92) on the range of structural variations applying across languages as a whole. In other words, GB proposes that the grammar itself consists of a series of “modules” that contain constraints and principles which govern the well-formedness of the output sentences (Chomsky, 1981a:5ff).

Another fundamental concept in GB theory is **X-bar theory** which was developed in the 1970s (Jackendoff, 1977). It provides principles for the projection of phrasal categories from lexical categories and imposes conditions on the hierarchical organisation of categories in the form of a general schema, as shown in the syntactic representation (2).

(2)

The central core of X-bar theory is to make explicit the fact that phrasal
constituents have heads upon which the other elements of the constituents in question are dependent. In the syntactic representation (2), heads (X) are terminal nodes, which dominate lexical words; complements (YP) combine with X to form X'-projections; adjuncts (ZP) combine with X' to form X'-projections. The specifier (Spec) combines with the topmost X' to form the maximal projection XP.⁵

Besides the basic X-bar structures, the functional nodes of IP and CP are also used in this thesis. IP refers to both finite and infinitival clauses, depending on the content of the node INFL, which is a terminal node signifying the inflectional morphology of the verb, affixes and infinitival to (Haegeman, 1994:114ff). CP refers to either a finite or non-finite clause introduced by a complementiser (Webelhuth, 1995:52ff). Complementisers, represented as C, such as whether, if, that and for in English introduce a sentence (IP): C selects an IP-complement. The choice of the type of IP depends on the choice of C. The complementisers that and if select a finite clause as their complement; for selects an infinitival clause and whether selects either type of clause (Radford, 1997:54ff).

Other important theoretical constructs within GB such as the theta criterion, extended projection principle (EPP) and control theory will be explained later in this chapter when they become relevant to the discussion (sections 6.2.1, 6.2.2 and 6.2.3 respectively). For the remainder of this chapter, in section 6.2, I will show that the non-overt subject of Chinese adverbial clause, represented as PRO, is syntactically significant and has anaphoric and pronominal features. PRO may be referentially dependent on, or controlled by, another NP in the sentence according to control theory (Bresnan, 1982b). Section 6.3 examines the distribution of PROs: a non-overt subject

⁵ See Radford (1997:89-93) for a detailed description of specifiers.
occurs in an un gov erned position; when it is un gov erned, it is licensed (Chomsky, 1986b). In section 6.4, I will explain some of the properties of control. In section 6.5, I will examine the occurrence and distribution of PROs in the eleven semantic types of Chinese adverbial clauses I established in the last chapter. Section 6.6 gives a summary of the major findings discussed in this chapter.

6.2. The motivation for the presence of PRO in Chinese adverbial clauses and the control theory

6.2.1. The theta criterion

In Government and Binding Theory, the thematic or argument structure (Radford, 1997:324-329) associated with lexical items must be represented, or saturated, in the syntax (Haegeman, 1994:73), as stated in the theta criterion:

(3) Theta criterion

(3a) Each argument is assigned one and only one theta role.

(3b) Each theta role is assigned to one and only one argument.

The theta roles of a predicate, i.e. the arguments which the predicate takes, are represented in a grid-format, and the assignment of thematic roles is indicated by means of referential indices which are associated with thematic roles. For example, the verb 解决 jiejue “solve” is a two-place predicate: it requires the presence of two arguments in its thematic structure, as illustrated in the theta grid (4). In the GB literature, the subject is usually referred to as the external argument, i.e. the argument that is external to the VP, and the direct object is usually referred to as the
internal argument, i.e. the argument that is internal to the VP (van Valin, 2001:194).

Hence, in the adverbial clause of example (5), the internal argument of the verb 解決 jiejue “solve”, the patient of the activity, is realised by the NP 金融危り jinrong weiji “financial crisis”, while the external argument, the agent of the activity, is not overtly realised.

(4) 解決 jiejue “solve”: verb

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent NP</th>
<th>Patient NP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>?</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(5) <Fm ID=“19980114”>印尼 ns 人民 n 树立 v 信心 n <Fa> 以 c 解决 v [金融 n 危机 n] j</Fa> 以 w</Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980114”>印尼 ns 人民 n 树立 v 信心 n <Fa> 以 c 解决 v [金融 n 危机 n] j</Fa> 以 w</Fm>

jiejue v [jinrong n weiji n] j</Fa> 以 w</Fm>

solve financial crisis

“The Indonesian people should build up confidence to get over the financial crisis.”

The theta criterion allows the arguments of a predicate to be syntactically represented. I will therefore interpret the adverbial clause in example (5) as if there were an external argument, and argue that the adverbial clause contains a non-overt subject, i.e. an implicit subject which is syntactically “present” in the sentence and which interacts with the other constituents of the sentence. In Government and Binding Theory, the non-overt subject of non-finite clause is conventionally represented by the element PRO (Chomsky, 1981a:20). In this thesis, I use the same

---

6 All of the examples in this chapter are taken from the PFR Chinese Corpus unless indicated otherwise.
symbol, PRO, to refer to the non-overt subject of adverbial clause in Chinese because, as will be shown in section 6.3, both the PRO of Chinese adverbial clause and that of non-finite clause have the same distribution, i.e. they occur in ungoverned positions. Some scholars (e.g. Huang, 1992; Xue et al., 2000:145ff) assert, however, that PRO in Chinese can only be used to refer to the subject of infinitival clause. Since there is no conclusive evidence to support a finite vs. non-finite distinction in Chinese (Norman, 1988:163; Hu et al., 2001), their arguments for the definition of PRO based primarily on such a distinction are at best contingent, and have been characterised as detrimental to attempts at offering a real picture of non-overt subjects in Chinese (Battistella, 1985:338). I will not therefore follow their approach and will use the empty category PRO to refer to the non-overt subjects in CACs.

It is also important to note that for non-overt NPs, the previous literature (e.g. Jaeggli and Safir, 1989; Haegeman, 1994:431-483) has in fact distinguished four possible types of non-overt NP, namely NP-traces, *wh*-traces, PRO, and *pro*. NP-traces are left by A-movement (Postal, 1974; Koster, 1978), i.e. moving to an argument position such as [Spec, IP]. This occurs, for example, in passivisation where the logical object NP is raised to the subject position. *Wh*-traces are produced by A’-movement (van Riemsdijk, 1978; Toman, 1981; Rizzi, 1982; Rudin, 1988), i.e. moving to a non-argument position such as [Spec, CP]. This occurs, for example, in the derivation of interrogative sentences where a *wh*-constituent moves to [Spec, CP], and in the formation of relative clauses. The non-overt subject of example (5) is obviously not a trace, there being no A- or A’-movement: A-movement involves just one thematic role shared between the trace and its antecedent (Chomsky, 1981a:56), but a non-overt subject can be assigned a theta role, as explained above, resulting in two thematic roles; moreover, a subject position is an argument position (or
A-position) to which a theta role is assigned (Haegeman, 1994:115) and thus it is
impossible for a non-overt subject to undergo an A’-movement, which involves
movement to a non-argument position (or A'-position). It would also not be
reasonable to assimilate this null element with *pro. PRO and *pro are distinct empty
categories (Borer, 1980; Rizzi, 1986) and differ in their distribution: *pro is found in
governed positions as in complement clauses where the complementisers can govern
it, while PRO must be ungoverned (Haegeman, 1994:454). As will be demonstrated in
section 6.3, the non-overt subject of CAC is ungoverned. Since only PRO fits in with
my analysis of the non-overt subject of the adverbial clause, I will not pursue the
other three empty categories. My focus is solely on PRO for the non-overt subjects
being studied here. Furthermore, referring to the example (5) above, PRO in example
(5) is understood to be semantically identical with the main clause subject (Sells,
1985:74-75; Horrocks, 1987:134), which is 印尼人民 Yinni renmin “the Indonesian
people”. The interpretation of PRO will be discussed in more detail in sections 6.2.3
and 6.4.

6.2.2. The extended projection principle (EPP)

The extended projection principle (EPP) requires that all predicates must have
subjects; in structural terms, it means that all VPs must be associated with an external
argument (Chomsky, 1982:8-10). In other words, it says that all projections of IP have
a subject, i.e. [Spec, IP] must be projected (Haegeman, 1994:68-69).

(6) Extended projection principle: S → NP – AUX – VP
Hence, the example (5) above should have the syntactic structure (7) which contains a non-overt [Spec, IP].

6.2.3. The features of PRO and the control theory

I have posited in the preceding subsections that an adverbial clause without an overt subject has a non-overt subject represented as PRO. PRO is anaphoric: depending on the context, PRO may be taken to refer to a specific referent. In example (8), PRO is like an anaphor; it is dependent on a full NP (i.e. an antecedent) for its interpretation. However, PRO is also pronominal: a covert subject may be interpreted as equivalent
to a pronoun as in example (9). Hence, I propose that PRO in Chinese is an NP with anaphoric and pronominal properties but not simultaneously (cf. Chomsky, 1982:78).

(8) <Fm ID= “19980106”><Fa>尽管_ c PRO 菲遭受_v 了_u 金融_n 危机_n</Fa>，_w [亚洲_ns 经济_n]，今年_t 仍然_d 有望_v 增长_v 6 %_m，_w 明年_t 增长_v 6 · 1 %_m 到_v 7 · 5 %_m。_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980106”><Fa>jinguan_c PRO 華外资_v le_u jinyong_n even.though PRO face PERF financial weiji_n</Fa>，_w [Yazhou_ns jingji_n]，jinnian_t rengrang_d crisis Asia economy this.year still youwang_v zengzhang_v 6 %_m，_w mingnian_t zengzhang_v anticipate grow 6% next.year grow 6 · 1 %_m dao_v 7 · 5 %_m。_w</Fm>

“In spite of being hit by the financial crisis, the Asian economy is still expected to grow by 6% this year and by 6.1% to 7.5% next year.”

(9) <Fm ID= “19980105”><Fa>如果_c PRO 早_ad 知道_v 要_v 交_v 这么_r 多_a 钱_n</Fa>，_w [我_r]，也_d 就_d 不_d 会_v 打_v 了_v 。_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980105”><Fa>ruguo_c PRO zao_ad zhidaov_v yao_v if PRO in.advance know have.to jiao_v zheme_r duo_a qian_n</Fa>，_w [wo_r]，ye_d jiu_d bu_d pay such much money I yet then not hui_v da_v le_y。_w</Fm>

“如果 I had known beforehand that I had to pay such a large sum of money, I would not have used the service to make phone calls.”

According to the control theory (Chomsky, 1980 and 1986a:124-131; Williams, 1980 and 1992; Bresnan, 1982b; Manzini, 1983; Koster, 1984; Speas, 1997; Lyngfelt, 2000), when PRO is interpreted as referentially dependent on another NP in the same sentence, as in the above examples, it is controlled by that NP.
The term **control** is used to refer to a relation of referential dependency between an unexpressed subject (the **controlled** element) and an expressed or unexpressed constituent (the **controller**). The referential properties of the controlled element … are determined by those of the controller.

(Bresnan, 1982b:372)

In examples (8) and (9), PRO is controlled by the main clause subject NP 亚洲经济 *Yazhou jingji* “Asian economy” and 我 *wo* “I” respectively. In the cases where PRO is not controlled by another NP and refers freely, as in example (10), PRO can have an arbitrary reading, known as arbitrary PRO, represented as $\text{PRO}_{arb}$ (Chomsky, 1981a:75).

(10) `<Fm ID="19980125">`<Fa>如_`_c` **PRO}_{arb} 大_d 吃_v 活_a 鱼_n</Fa> ，_w 则_c 是_v 希望_v 自己_r 和_c 家人_n 像_v 活_a 鱼_n 那样_r 灵活_a ，_w 去_v 驾驭_v 生活_vn ，_w 去_v 取得_v 自由_an 和_c 成功_an ！_w</Fm>`

<Fa>ru_c **PRO}_{arb} da_d chi_v huo_a if PRO greatly eat living yu_n</Fa> ，_w ce_c shi_v xiwang_v ziji_r he_c jiaren_n fish then be hope oneself and family xiang_v huo_a yu_n nayang_r linghuo_a ，_w qu_v jiayu_v be.like living fish as.ADJ.as lively go control shenghuo_vn ，_w qu_v qude_v ziyu_an he_c chenggong_an ！life go obtain freedom and success _w</Fm>`

“If one is a big eater of raw fish, one wishes oneself and one’s family to be as lively as a fish, to live their life to the full and to pursue their freedom and success.”

PRO may also be dependent on implicit arguments. In example (11), PRO is not arbitrary in reference. Rather it is controlled by the implied agent of the passivised
verb 装有 zhuangyou “install”.

(11) <Fm ID= “19980124” >活动_vn 看台_n 的_u 底部_f 装有_v 气垫_n "w <Fa>以_c PRO 保护_v 跑道_n</Fa> "w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980124”>huodong_vn kantai_n de_u dibu_f zhuangyou_v activity stage DE bottom install qidian_n "w <Fa> yi_c PRO baohu_v paodao_n</Fa> "w</Fm>

“The bottom of the activity stage was installed with a cushion of air so as to protect the running tracks.”

In this section, I have demonstrated that the non-overt subject of adverbial clause is syntactically represented as PRO, having anaphoric and pronominal properties. The null subject is always controlled by the main clause subject NP which may be a specific referent or a pronoun. In the next two sections, I will discuss the conditions or contexts in which PRO is admitted or licensed, and how its interpretation is determined.

6.3. The distribution of PROs and the PRO theorem

In the PRO theorem (Chomsky, 1981a:56; Haegeman, 1994:272ff) of Government and Binding Theory, it is claimed that PRO is restricted to ungoverned positions. PRO is admitted or licensed (Borer, 1980 and 1989; Chomsky, 1986b; Rizzi, 1986; Rothstein, 1992), i.e. legitimated in certain positions, if it is ungoverned. PRO is in complementary distribution with overt NPs (Harbert, 1995:217-220): where PRO is allowed, overt NPs are excluded; where overt NPs are allowed, PRO is excluded. Chinese adverbial clauses support the PRO theorem in that the non-overt subjects in CACs must occur in ungoverned positions, as will be demonstrated shortly.
(12) <Fm ID=“19980102”><<Fa>只有_С PRO он_Я实现_Я 祖国_Я 统一
_Я</Fa>，_в [台湾_М] 才能_Я 有_Я 更_д 大_Я 的_μ 发展_М
和_С 前途_Я。_в</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980102”><<Fa>zhìyou_С PRO shìxiàn_Я zuguo_М
only.if PRO realise motherland
tongyi_Я</Fa>，_в [Taiwan_М] caineng_Я you_Я geng_д da_М
unification Taiwan can have more great
de_μ fazhan_М he_С qiantu_М。_в</Fm>
DE development and prospects
“Only if she can realise the unification between China and her, will Taiwan have
greater development and prospects.”

(13) <Fm ID=“19980129”><<Fa>由于_С PRO 受_Я 东亚_М 金融_М 危机
_М of the influence_М</Fa>，_в [1997年_т 全球_М 跨国_М 旅游
_М】人数_М for 6.16635亿_М people_М，_в 比_п 上_ф 一年
_М 年度_М 减少_М 3.8%_М。_в</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980129”><<Fa>yóu_С PRO shòu_Я Dongya_М
because PRO face East.Asia
jinrong_М weiji_М de_μ yingxiang_М</Fa>，_в [1997年_т
financial crisis DE influence year.of.1997
quanguo_М kuaguo_b lìyou_М renshu_М wei_М 6.16635
globe worldwide tourism number be 6.16635
yi_М ren_М，_в bi_p shàngf_М yi_М
one.hundred.million people BI previous one
niandu_М jianshao_М 3.8%_М。_в</Fm>
year drop 3.8%
“As tourism was adversely affected by the East Asian financial crisis, the number
of tourists in 1997 was just 6.16635 hundred million, dropping 3.8% as
compared with last year.”

Before proceeding to explain why PRO in CACs is ungoverned, I would first like
to briefly delineate the concepts of c-command and government in GB theory, as they
are vital to my argument that PRO must be ungoverned.
6.3.1. C-command and government

Chomsky (1986b:8) proposes the following definition of c-command (14).

(14) C-command

A c-commands B if and only if A does not dominate B and every X that dominates A also dominates B.

The dominance relation between nodes relates to whether one node occurs higher up in the structure than another (Radford, 1997:99-100); the higher node dominates the lower node. If there is a maximal projection XP which dominates two given nodes A and B and A does not dominate B, then it is said that the node A m-commands, rather than c-commands, the node B. Using the notion of m-command, Chomsky (1986b:8) proposes that government\(^7\) be defined as in (15).

(15) Government

A governs B if and only if

(i) A is a governor; and

(ii) A m-commands B; and

(iii) no barrier intervenes between A and B.

Maximal projections are barriers to government.

Governors are heads.

For illustrative purposes, the adverbial clause in the example (12) above is represented in its syntactic structure (16). In (16), V m-commands the NP 祖国统一

---

\(^7\) See also Lasnik and Saito (1984) for the notion of government.
zhuguo tongyi “the unification of the entire country” because V does not dominate the NP and the VP that dominates V also dominates the NP. Since there is no barrier intervening between V and the NP, the verb 实现 shixian “realise” governs the NP, which is its direct object.

(16)

Similarly, in the adverbial clause of example (13), which has the same syntactic representation, (17), as in (16), the verb 受 shou “suffer as a result of” m-commands and thus governs its direct object NP Dongya jinrong weiji de yinxian “the impact of the East Asian financial crisis”.
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6.3.2. The PRO theorem: PRO must be ungoverned

As demonstrated in the preceding subsection, in both of the syntactic structures (16) and (17), the verbs, 实现 shixian “realise” and 受 shou “suffer as a result of”, respectively, govern the following NP in the respective adverbial clauses. However, the verbs cannot govern PRO₁ as they cannot m-command it: the VP that dominates V does not dominate PRO₁. The only constituent that can govern PRO₁ is C because C m-commands PRO₁; C does not dominate PRO₁ and the CP that dominates C also dominates PRO₁. Moreover, there is no barrier between C and PRO₁: in spite of being a maximal projection, IP is assumed not to be a barrier to outside government. I, the head of the infinitival IP, is a functional head which has the feature composition
[-AGR, -Tense]8. Haegeman (1994:168) notes that this non-finite I is “weak” and thus it is not a governor and its projection IP cannot block outside government. Chinese lacks both of the features AGR and Tense entirely (Huang, 1984). As a corollary, I in Chinese is even “weaker” than non-finite I in English. It is therefore reasonable to assume that IP is not a barrier and cannot block the government of its specifier NP, PRO,, by the head C (cf. Battistella, 1985).

However, C does not dominate any lexical item in the syntactic structures (16) and (17); the adverbial subordinators, 只有 zhiyou “only if” and 由於 youyu “because”, in (16) and (17) respectively, are not dominated by C but are generated under [Spec, CP]9. Adverbial clauses function only as adjuncts in the sentences in my corpus. Thus the adverbial subordinators cannot be complementisers because they do not introduce a complement clause (Biber et al., 1999:85); rather they are used to overtly mark the adjunct clauses. Since no lexical word is inserted under a node C, which is the only constituent that can m-command and govern PRO,, PRO, in (16) and (17) are ungoverned.

It is important to point out that in the literature, PRO is assumed to occur in non-case-marked positions because nonfinite I cannot assign nominative case to the subject position of a nonfinite clause (Martin, 1996, 1999 and 2001). Thus it seems at first sight that the distribution of PROs is regulated by Case Theory10 (Ouhalla, 1999:183-224) rather than Government Theory. However, there are reasons to believe

---

8 The head of an IP, INFL or I, is posited to dominate the tense feature (Tense) and the agreement features for person and number (AGR) associated with V (Chomsky, 1982:85-86; Radford, 1997:54, 512).
9 [Spec, CP] can be occupied by maximal projections (Radford, 1997:268-282). Thus this analysis would mean that Chinese adverbial subordinators are maximal projections (cf. Haegeman, 1994:275).
10 Case Theory takes the form of a Case Filter which can be formulated like this: *NP if NP has phonetic content and has no case (Chomsky, 1981a:49).
that the opposite is true: the distribution of PROs is explained by Government Theory rather than Case Theory. In GB theory, case-marked positions are governed positions since case is assigned under government (Chomsky, 1981a:49ff). But not all positions which are governed are also case-marked. The object position of passive verbs is an instance of a position that is governed but not case-marked. Passive verbs do not assign case to their object; the accusative case of the base transitive verb is “absorbed” by the passive morpheme (Chomsky, 1981a:117ff). So positions that are governed but non-case-marked represent the real test for determining whether the distribution of PROs is regulated by Case Theory or Government Theory. My hypothesis is as follows: if PRO cannot occur in a position that is governed but non-case-marked, it follows that PRO is excluded from governed positions rather than case-marked positions. After examining my corpus data, I found that PRO cannot occur in the object position of passives. If PRO is excluded from case-marked positions rather than governed positions, there is no reason why it should not occur in the object position of passives, which is non-case-marked. The absence of a possible controller for PRO is unlikely to be the reason it is excluded since PRO can assume an arbitrary reading. Therefore my hypothesis that PRO is excluded from governed positions rather than case-marked positions is proved to be true. But one of the major issues still to be resolved is the status of PRO with respect to the case requirement or case filter (Chomsky, 1981a:175): an NP is ungrammatical if it has no case. There are at least two kinds of proposal for it. Chomsky (1982:86 and 1986a) and Chomsky and Lasnik (1993) suggest that PRO can be assumed to have “inherent case” or “null case”. This implies that PRO is not dependent on any case assigner for its case to be assigned and hence it can occur in non-case-marked positions. The other accounts (Saito, 1983 and 1985; Kang, 1986; Kang 1988; Jang and Kim, 2001) are largely inspired by Chomsky’s analysis: it is said that in most of the Asian languages such as
Chinese, Korean and Japanese, nominative case is a “default case”, i.e. nominative case is not assigned by INFL but assigned as a default case. Note that if PRO has default case, this case feature has to be matched with the case feature of the inflectional node I under Spec-head agreement (cf. Hendrick, 1995:316-319). This entails that I is marked for the case feature, although not necessarily for the other agreement features such as person, number and gender features.

To conclude, CACs support the PRO theorem of Government and Binding Theory in that PRO in CACs occurs only in ungoverned positions and is thus properly licensed.

6.4. Properties of control in CACs

So far I have not discussed how we can arrive at the interpretation of PRO. I have merely established that sometimes PRO is controlled by an NP or an implicit argument, or it is not controlled by any element in the sentence and thus its interpretation is arbitrary. In this section, I will describe two things: (i) the contrast between obligatory control and optional control (section 6.4.1); (ii) the contrast between subject control and object control (section 6.4.2). I will also propose in section 6.4.3 that non-overt subjects in Chinese adverbial clauses can be controlled by an NP occurring in the context prior to the main clause they modify.

6.4.1. Obligatory control and optional control

Previous literature on control (e.g. Williams, 1980; Manzini, 1983; Chomsky, 1986a:124-131) distinguishes two types of control: optional control as in examples
(18) and (19), and obligatory control as in (20).

(18) \texttt{<Fm ID= "19980102" >}<Fa>只要	extunderscore c \textit{PRO} 先\textunderscore d 画\textunderscore v 好\textunderscore a 牡丹
\textunderscore n</Fa> ，\_w 其他\_r 的\_u 花卉\_n 则\_c 一\_d 点\_v 即\_d 通
\textunderscore v ，\_w 迎刃而解\_i 。\_w</Fm>
<\texttt{Fm ID="19980102"}>\texttt{zhiyao\_c \textit{PRO} xian\_d hua\_v hao\_a}
given.that PRO early draw well
\texttt{Mudan\_n}</Fa> ，\_w qita\_r de\_u huahui\_n ze\_c yi\_d dian\_v
Chinese.flower.of.Mudan other DE flowers then once point.out
ji\_d tong\_v ，\_w yingrenerjie\_i 。\_w</Fm>
at.once understand work.out.a.problem
“If one can manage to draw the Mudan flower, it will be easy for one to draw
other flowers.”

(19) \texttt{<Fm ID= "19980107" >}<Fa>不管\_c \textit{PRO}_i 如何\_r 变化\_v</Fa> ，\_w
[中东\_ns]\_i 和平\_n 总\_d 要\_v 进行\_v 下去\_v 。\_w</Fm>
<\texttt{Fm ID="19980107"}>\texttt{buguan\_c \textit{PRO}_i ruhe\_r bianhua\_v}</Fa> ，
whatever \textit{PRO} how change
\_w [\texttt{Zhongdong\_ns}\_i]_i heping\_n zong\_d yao\_v jinxing\_v xiaqu\_v 。
Middle.East peace still have.to sustain go.down
\_w</Fm>
“No matter what changes happen, peace in the Middle East has to be
maintained.”

(20) \texttt{<Fm ID= "19980117" >}[\texttt{香港\_ns}\_i]_i 坚定\_a 地\_u 执行\_v 港元\_n 与\_p
美元\_n 相\_d 联系\_v 的\_u 政策\_n \_w <Fa>从而\_c \textit{PRO}_i 保证\_v
了\_u 港元\_n 对\_p 美元\_n 汇率\_n 的\_u 基本\_a 稳定\_vn</Fa> 。
\_w</Fm>
<\texttt{Fm ID="19980117"}>[\texttt{Xianggang\_ns}\_i]_i jiating\_a de\_u zhixing\_v
Hong.Kong consistently ADVL implement
gangyuan\_n yu\_p meiyuan\_n xiang\_d lianxi\_v de\_u zhengce\_n ，
HK.dollars and US.dollars each.other peg DE policy
\_w <Fa>conger\_c \textit{PRO}_i baozheng\_v le\_u gangyuan\_n dui\_p
in.order.that \textit{PRO} ensure PERF HK.dollars exchange
meiyuan\_n huili\_n de\_u jiben\_a wending\_vn</Fa> 。\_w</Fm>
US.dollars rate DE primary stability
“Hong Kong consistently upholds the policy that the Hong Kong currency is pegged to the US dollar, thereby maintaining exchange rate stability.”

In the examples (18) and (19), control is optional. In example (18), PRO is not controlled by anything in the sentence: it may be taken to have an arbitrary reading, or it may be taken as referring to a specific referent which has been established in the context. In example (19), PRO may be controlled by the NP 中东 Zhongdong “Middle East” in its specifier position or it may also have an arbitrary interpretation. In example (20), on the other hand, PRO must be controlled by the main clause subject 香港 Xianggang “Hong Kong” and cannot be arbitrary. Besides relying on the semantics of these sentences, Williams (1980) demonstrates that c-command requirement can distinguish obligatory control from optional control. In the case of obligatory control, the controller must c-command the controlled element. In the case of optional control, the controlled element is not required to be c-commanded by the controller.

(21)
In the example of the obligatory control as in (20), the controller NP 香港 Xianggang “Hong Kong” c-commands its controlled element PRO₁ because it does not dominate PRO₁ and the IP that dominates it also dominates PRO₂, as can be seen from the syntactic structure in (21). It should be noted that the adverbial clause, the CP as a whole, is adjoined to the main clause in the operation conventionally referred to as **adjunction** (Chomsky, 1986b:15-16), resulting in two maximal IP nodes. The lower IP, or the base maximal projection in Haegeman’s (1994:387) terms, is the original maximal projection which is the main clause. The higher IP dominates both the base maximal projection and the adjoined adverbial clause CP. This adjunction structure is different from the phrase structure, (2), having an adverb or an adverb phrase as an adjunct, as mentioned earlier in section 6.1.1. Radford (1997:142-143) distinguishes two kinds of adverb, namely IP-adverbs (i.e. an adverb which modifies the sentence as a whole and is positioned internally within IP) and VP-adverbs (i.e. an adverb which modifies the main verb and is positioned internally within VP), and illustrates two properties of these two kinds of adverbial adjunct: firstly, they are adjoined to intermediate projections (like V-bar and I-bar); and secondly, they serve to expand a category into an extended category of the same type (i.e. an VP-adverb expands V’ into an extended V’, and an IP-adverb expands I’ into an extended I’). Hence, in GB, adverbial clauses and adverbs (and adverb phrases) are treated differently in structural terms (Haegeman, 1984; Larson, 1985): while adverbial clauses are adjoined to maximal projections (e.g. IP), adverbs or adverb phrases are adjoined to intermediate projections (e.g. V’ or I’).
However, in the case of optional control, the situation is different, as illustrated in the syntactic representation (22) of example (19). In example (19), control is optional: PRO may be controlled by the specifier 中东 Zhongdong “Middle East” of the NP 中东和平 Zhongdong heping “peace in the Middle East”, or it may have an arbitrary reading. The controller 中东 Zhongdong “Middle East” in (22) does not c-command PRO, because there is a maximal projection NP which dominates the controller in its specifier position but does not dominate PRO.

6.4.2. Subject control and object control

In the example of obligatory control (20) and the examples (8), (9), (12) and (13) mentioned earlier, the controller of the non-overt subject in the adverbial clause is the main clause subject. However, sometimes the controller is the object NP in the associated matrix clause, as highlighted in examples (23) and (24). In example (23),
PRO refers to, or is controlled by, the pronoun 你 ni “you”, which is the direct object of the verb 諒解 liangjie “forgive” in the following main clause. Example (24) is taken to be an illustration of object control because the controller of PRO is the direct object 记者 jizhe “journalists” of the verb 让 rang “make” in the main clause, which co-references to the PRO in the adverbial clause.

(23) <Fm ID= “19980121” ><Fa>即便_c PROi 偶尔_d 办_v 错_a 一_m件_q 事_n</Fa> , _w 群众_n 也_d 是_v 会_v 諒解_v [你_i] 的_u 。_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980121”><Fa>jibian_c PROi ouer_d ban_v cuo_a even.if PRO occasionally do wrong yi_m jian_q shi_n</Fa> , _w qunzhong_n ye_d shi_v hui_v one CL matter people yet be will liangjie_v [ni_i] de_u 。_w</Fm>

forgive you PART “Even if you make a mistake occasionally, people would forgive you.”

(24) <Fm ID= “19980120” ><Fa>尽管_c PROi 已_d 有_v 心理_n 准备_vn , _w 福州_ns 漆器_n 的_u 龙头_n 企业_n ———_w [第一_m 脱胎_vn 漆器_n 厂_n]nt 的_u 破旧_an 与_c 逼仄_an</Fa> , _w 仍_2 让_v [记者_n] 吃惊_a 。_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980120”><Fa>jinguan_c PROi yi_d you_v xinli_n even.though PRO already have psychological zhunbei_vn , _w Fuzhou_ns qiji_n de_u longtou_n qiye_n preparation Fuzhou.province paint DE leading enterprise ———_w [riyi_m tuotai_vn qiji_n chang_n]nt de_u pojiu_an the.First Breakthrough Paint Factory DE oldness yu_c bize_an</Fa> , _w reng_d rang_v [jizhe_n] chijing_a 。 and pressure still make journalists scare_w</Fm>

“Although the journalists have had some expectations of how it looks, the leading paint factory in the industry – The First Breakthrough Paint Factory still surprises them by its ruin.”
6.4.3. Control from outside main clause: A feature specific to non-overt subjects of Chinese adverbial clauses

In the preceding subsections, I have discussed how the non-overt subject of the adverbial clause can be controlled by (i) the main clause subject (ii) the object of the verb in the main clause. In addition, it is possible for it to assume an arbitrary reading without being controlled by an element in the same sentence. This reflects the general discussions about the interpretation of PRO in adverbial clauses claimed in control theory (Huang, 1984 and 1989; Haegeman, 1994:277-278). However, apart from subject and object control and no control from the main clause, the non-overt subjects in Chinese adverbial clauses demonstrate yet another type of control which has been neglected in the previous literature: the controller of the PRO occurs in the context prior to the main clause. In other words, the control is from outside the sentence in which the non-overt subject occurs, as illustrated in examples (25) and (26).

(25) 19980106-12-007-005_m 一九八三年_年 一月_月 ，_w [刚刚_d 结束_v 新兵_n 训练_v 的_u 梁_nr 坤捡_nr]i 到来_v 了_u 永兴岛_ns 。_w 在_p 家里_s 肉_n 见_v 得_v 不_d 多_a 的_u 吃_r ，_w <Fm>_Fa>到_v 了_u 西沙_ns 后_f 虽然_c PRO_h 没有_v 蔬菜_n 吃_v </Fa> ，_w 但_c 肉_n 罐头_n 却_d 能_v 敞开_v 肚子_n 吃_v 个_q 够_v 。_w </Fm>
19980106-12-007-005_m 1983nian_t shiyiye_t ，_w [ganggang_d year_of:1983 November just jieshu_v xinbing_n xunlian_v de_u Liang_nr Kundian_nr]i laidao_v complete military training DE Liang Kundian arrive.at le_u Yongxingdao_ns 。_w Zai_p jiali_s rou_n jian_v de_v bu_d PERF Yongxing.Island at home meat see COMP not duo_a de_u ta_r ，_w <Fm>_Fa>dao_v le_u Xisha_ns hou_f much DE he reach PERF Xisha after suiran_c PRO_h meiyou_v shucai_n chi_v </Fa> ，_w dan_c rou_n although PRO have.not vegetables eat but meat
guantou_n que_d neng_v changkai_v duzi_n chi_v ge_q gou_v  a.can.of.sth. yet can open stomach eat CL enough

"In November 1983, Liang Kundian, who had just finished his military training, arrived at Yongxing Island. Not having meat very often at home, he ate a lot of canned meat at Xisha, though he could not get vegetables there."

(26) 19980107-11-008-003_m 那_r 是_v 1 9 8 3年_t ,_w 怀着_v "_w 老三国_j "_w 未_d 圆_Vg 的_u 大学_n 梦_n ,_w 当时_t 已_d 进入_v 3 5_m 岁_q 的_u 我_rh ,_w 考_v 入_v 了_u [华南_ns 师范大学_n 函授_vn 学院_n]nt ,_w 成为_v 汉语言_n 文学系_n 的_u 一_m 名_q 学生_n 。_w <Fm><Fa>由于_c PRO, 通过_p 工作_v 实践_v 感到_v 非_d 学_v 无以_d 建业_v ,_w 不_d 学_v 难_ad 有_v 后劲_n</Fa> ,_w 因此_c 比_p 少年_n 上学_v 时_Ng 勤奋_a 用功_a 多_a 了_v 。_w </Fm>

19980107-11-008-003_m na_r shi_v 1 9 8 3年_t ,_w huaizhe_v that be year.of.1983 embrace

"_w laosanjie_j "_w wei_d yuan_Vg de_u daxue_n meng_n ,_w previous yet fulfill DE university dream
dangshi_t yi_d jinru_v 3 5_m sui_q de_u wo_rh ,_w at.that.time already enter 35 years.old DE I
kao_v ru_v le_u [Hunan_ns Shifandaxue_n Hanshou_vn be.admitted into PERF Huanan education.institute taught.progamme Xueyuan_n]nt ,_w chengwei_v Hanyuyan_n Wenzuexi_n college become Chinese.language dept.of.literature
de_u yi_m ming_q xuesheng_n 。_w <Fm><Fa>yoyu_c PRO,h DE one CL student because PRO
tongguo_p gongzuo_v shijian_v gandao_v fei_d xue_v wuyi_d through work practice feel not learn not possibile
jianye_v 8_1 wu_d xue_v nan_ad you_v houjing_n</Fa> ,_w develop.a.career not learn hard have potential
yinci_c bi_p shaonian_n shangxue_v shi_Ng qinfen_a therefore BI youth attend.school period.of.time diligent
yonggong_a duo_a le_y 。_w </Fm>

"It happened in 1983 that at the age of 35 I finally got a chance to study in a university, Huanan Shifan Daxue Hanshou Xueyuan, where I realised my dream of being a student in the Chinese Literature Department. As I learned from my
work that the acquisition of knowledge is essential for developing a career, I worked much harder than I used to in secondary school.”

In example (25), the NP *ganggang jieshu xinbing xunlian de Liang Kundian* “Liang Kundian, who had just finished military training” is the controller for the non-overt subject of the concessive clause in the following sentence. Similarly, in example (26), the PRO of the causal clause co-refers to the subject NP of the preceding sentence, *yi jinru 35 sui de wo* “I, at the age of 35”. In both of these examples, the NP controller and PRO are placed in separate sentences. These instances and similar ones have thrown up two interesting facts about control for non-overt subjects and control theory. Firstly, as far as non-overt subjects in CACs are concerned, there are at least three distinct types of control, namely, main clause control (i.e. either subject NP or object NP in the main clause is the controller), control from outside the main clause (i.e. the controller occurs in previous context) and no control (i.e. PRO is not controlled by another NP and refers freely). The emergence of the type of control from outside the main clause can be explained by the fact that Chinese sentences in general do allow an NP established in previous context, and not the subject NP of the sentence in which any missing syntactic constituent occurs, to control co-referential constituent deletion: the subject NP does not necessarily control the co-reference of the deleted constituent; rather, any NPs in the context can be possible candidates for controller (Li and Thompson, 1976:469-470; Tsao, 1979; Li, 1985; Chen, 1986). Secondly, control theory (see section 6.2.3) encounters significant difficulties when faced with attested language use. Although it seems to work well for some of the corpus data which conform to a syntactician’s introspections about language, it becomes inadequate for much complex language data that emerges from real-life usage. The non-overt subject of the Chinese adverbial
clause is a case in point. As can be seen from Table 22, 411 controllers of PRO in my corpus do not occur in the main clause as control theory claims should happen; as noted earlier (see section 6.2.3), the null subject is typically controlled by an NP in the same sentence. There are, however, 689 subject NP controllers and 12 object NP controllers of the main clause that conform to what is claimed in the theory; object control\textsuperscript{11} is rare in written Chinese. This emerging, Chinese-specific, type of control clearly demonstrates the importance of adopting a corpus-based approach to theory: without such the abundance of language data provided in a corpus, I would have chosen example sentences from the corpus that support the published account of control theory and would have ignored a pattern which has yet to be discussed within the theory.

There is some relevant research in the literature which may help with the process of interpreting this unexpected finding; it is in line with Lambrecht’s (1994:184-185) Principle of the Separation of Reference and Role (PSRR). PSRR states that a referential noun phrase can appear elsewhere rather than in the position assigned to it by the canonical sentence model built by a syntactic theory: in control theory, the noun phrase that a non-overt subject of adverbial clause co-refers to is expected to occur in either the structural subject position or the structural object position of the main clause. In other words, this principle allows the referential function of noun phrases to separate from the role they play as an argument (i.e. either an external argument or an internal argument of the main verb; see section 6.2.1) in a clause/sentence and thus they can be controllers for the non-overt subjects of

\textsuperscript{11} For the record, object control takes place in 12 paragraphs in the PFR corpus, namely, 19980109-12-001-005, 19980110-01-002-003, 19980111-03-003-007, 19980113-09-005-006, 19980118-04-006-007, 19980120-09-006-004, 19980120-11-004-007, 19980121-09-004-006, 19980122-06-007-004, 19980123-06-002-004, 19980124-09-005-004, 19980124-10-008-003.
adverbial clauses occurring in separate sentences. While the type of control in which the NP controller occurs outside the main clause is taken as an exception or anomaly in control theory, it is taken as a rule (i.e. PSRR) in the information-structure-based approach as demonstrated by Lambrecht. In the next section, I will present some empirical findings relating to the distribution of PROs across the semantic domains of adverbial clauses, and will demonstrate how the corpus-based theoretical approach and the information-structure-based approach can be combined into an integrated approach to explain the distribution of PROs in different types of adverbial clauses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic classes of CACs</th>
<th>Main clause control</th>
<th>Control from outside main clause</th>
<th>No control i.e. arbitrary interpretation</th>
<th>No. of PRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subject control</td>
<td>Object control</td>
<td>Control outside main clause</td>
<td>No. of PRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of condition</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of purpose</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of concession</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of contrast</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of result</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of cause/reason</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of exception</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of addition</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of preference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of inference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>1,334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 22: Control types of PRO in CACs

### 6.5. The occurrence of PROs in different semantic types of CACs

In this section, I will examine how overt NP subjects and non-overt ones are used in different semantic types of Chinese adverbial clauses. Section 6.5.1 explores the
relationship between the semantic domains and subject types of CACs, and section
6.5.2 is concerned with the distribution of PROs in individual semantic types of
adverbial clauses.

6.5.1. Relatedness between semantic types of CACs and subject types of
CACs

There are 1,083 instances of overt NP subjects and 1,334 cases of PRO in the Chinese
adverbial clauses in the PFR corpus. Non-overt subjects are annotated in the corpus –
PROs are marked in the subject position of the adverbial clause and the possible
controller for the PRO, which has the same reference as that of the PRO, is marked
also. As illustrated in Table 23, it can be seen that the distribution of both overt NP
subjects and PRO is uneven in that a certain type of adverbial clause shows a
preponderance of overt NP subjects over PRO and vice versa. The occurrence of null
subjects tends to depend on the semantic types of adverbial clauses. As the rationale
of my investigating the research questions of this thesis (see Chapter One, section 1.2)
has shown to some extent already, different semantic types of adverbial clauses would
be expected to interact with the choice of overt NP subjects vs. PRO. In this regard, I
hypothesise that the distribution of PROs varies across the semantic domains of
adverbial clauses. To test this hypothesis, I used the log-likelihood (henceforth LL)
test of significance (Oakes, 1998:38; Rayson et al., 2004) rather than Pearson’s
chi-square test (Butler, 1985:112-123; Woods et al., 1986:139-144; Oakes,
1998:24-29; Weiss, 2002a:536-575; Weiss, 2002b:640-679) or Fisher’s exact
probability test (Conover, 1980:167-169; Siegel and Castellan, 1988:102-111; Agresti,
1992:134-136) to determine the statistical relatedness between the two variables, i.e.
semantic types of CACs and subject types of CACs. I rejected Pearson’s chi-square
test as the test is not applicable in clauses of inference, preference and time: their frequency of occurrence is too low (i.e. no more than three instances in my corpus), resulting in an exceptionally low minimum expected count, i.e. less than zero. As the lowest expected frequency required for Pearson’s chi-square test is five (Coakes and Steed, 2001:208), I rejected chi-square as a measure of significance for use in my work. I rejected Fisher’s exact test as inappropriate also. Fisher’s exact test is usually used in place of the chi-square test for analysing small data samples, i.e. when the total sample size is less than 20 or the expected frequency count is less than 5 (cf. Howitt and Cramer, 2001:121-123). While this test initially seemed to be a promising substitute for the chi-square test in my research, it proved to be unsuitable. Fisher’s exact test is used to test statistically whether there is any relation between two variables with two levels or subcategories. One of my variables, as mentioned above, is the subject type of CAC, which has two levels i.e. overt NP subjects and non-overt ones (PRO). The other variable, the semantic type of CAC, however, has 11 levels as there are eleven semantic classes of adverbial clauses. Since Fisher’s exact test is used for two variables with two levels, I rejected the use of this test and, rather, used the log-likelihood test of significance for my research. As will be demonstrated shortly, by calculating the LL values, preference for a certain subject type (either overt NP or PRO) is shown to depend statistically significantly on the interclausal semantic relations of adverbial clauses.
# Non-overt Subjects of Chinese Adverbial Clauses in PFR

## Semantic Types of CACs * Subject Types of CACs Crosstabulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic Types of CACs</th>
<th>Clause of Condition</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% within Subject Types of CACs</th>
<th>Overt NP Subjects</th>
<th>PRO</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>348</td>
<td>324%</td>
<td>32.1%</td>
<td>32.3%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>196%</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>324%</td>
<td>.6%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>196%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>324%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>196%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>324%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>324%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
<td>.6%</td>
<td>.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>324%</td>
<td>.3%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>324%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>324%</td>
<td>.1%</td>
<td>.0%</td>
<td>.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types of CACs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 23: Distribution of overt NP subjects and PRO in CACs

## Significance Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>606.957</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>723.265</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-by-Linear</td>
<td>22.119</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association</td>
<td>2417</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 24: Overall significance test results
In Table 24, the log-likelihood statistic gives a value of 723.265. This LL value is much greater than the critical value for significance, 23.209, with 10 degrees of freedom at \( p < 0.01 \) (Woods et al., 1986:141; Oakes, 1998:28). In examining the frequency counts in Table 23, I found that overt subjects are predominantly used in clauses of concession while null subjects are used in conditional clauses.

(27) <Fm ID=“19980129”’><Fa>尽管_\text{c} 专家_\text{n} 们_\text{k} 对_\text{p} 家电_\text{j} 价格_\text{n} 大战_\text{n} 不_\text{d} 无_\text{v} 担忧_\text{vn}/Fa>, _\text{w} 但_\text{c} 消费者_\text{n} 从中_\text{d} 受益_\text{v} 已_\text{d} 是_\text{v} 不_\text{d} 争_\text{v} 的_\text{u} 事实_\text{n} 。_\text{w}</Fm> <Fm ID=“19980129”’><Fa>jinguan_\text{c} zuanjiu_\text{n} men_\text{k} dui_\text{p}
  even.though expert PL as.regards jiadian_\text{j} jiage_\text{n} dazhan_\text{n} bu_\text{d} wu_\text{v} household.electrical.appliances price war not have.not danyou_\text{vn}/Fa>, _\text{w} dan_\text{c} xiaofeizhe_\text{n} congzhong_\text{d} shouyi_\text{v} worry but consumers from.this benefit yi_\text{d} shi_\text{v} bu_\text{d} zheng_\text{v} de_\text{u} shishi_\text{n} 。_\text{w}</Fm> “Although experts express concern over the impact of the price war in household electrical appliances, it is undeniable that consumers can benefit from competitive prices.”

(28) <Fm ID=“19980102”’><Fa>虽然_\text{c} 亚洲_\text{ns} 出现_\text{v} 了_\text{u} 金融_\text{n} 风波_\text{n}/Fa>, _\text{w} 但_\text{c} 香港_\text{ns} 经济_\text{n} 仍然_\text{d} 跨_\text{v} 步_\text{n} 向前_\text{v} 。_\text{w}</Fm> <Fm ID=“19980102”’><Fa>suiran_\text{c} Yanzhou_\text{ns} chuxian_\text{v} le_\text{u}
  although Asia emerge PERF jinrong_\text{n} fengbo_\text{n}/Fa>, _\text{w} dan_\text{c} Xianggang_\text{ns} jingji_\text{n}
  financial crisis but Hong.Kong economy rangran_\text{d} kua_\text{v} bu_\text{n} xiangqian_\text{v} 。_\text{w}</Fm> “Despite the Asian financial crisis, Hong Kong’s economy still makes great strides.”
Concessive clauses typically take more overt NP subjects than other types of adverbial clause because their subjects are usually different from that of the associated main clause, thereby excluding the possibility of the subject control of PRO from the main clause and favouring the use of overt NP subjects. As shown in the above examples, the highlighted subjects in the adverbial clause and main clause differ from each other: they refer to distinct entities in the discourse universe. In example (27), the subject of the concessive clause, 专家们 zhuanjia men “experts” is different from the subject of the main clause, 消费者 xiaofeizhe “consumers”. Similarly, in example (28), the adverbial clause of concession has its subject, 亚洲 Yazhou “Asia”, which is not identical to the subject of the main clause it modifies, 香港经济 Xianggang jingji “Hong Kong’s economy”.

Conditional clauses, on the other hand, are the type of adverbial clause in which the non-overt subjects are dominant. This is because PRO in conditional clauses can be controlled by the main clause subject, for instance, 中华民族 Zhonghuaminzu “Chinese people” as in example (29), or be controlled by the object NP of the verb in the main clause as in example (30), where PRO refers to the direct object 伊拉克 Yilake “Iraq” of the verb 教训 jiaoxun “punish” in the following main clause, or be controlled by an NP in previous context as in example (31), where PRO refers to the subject NP 我们 women “we” of the preceding sentence.

(29) <Fm ID=“19980127”><Fa>只有_c PROi 团结_a 起来_v</Fa> , w
[中华民族_ni] 才_c 能_v 创造_v 更加_d 辉煌_a 的_u 明天_t 。
_w</Fm>

<Fm ID=“19980127”><Fa>zhiyou_c PROi tuanjie_a qilai_v</Fa> , w
only.if PRO unite stand.up
[Zhonghuaminzu_ni] cai_c neng_v chuangzao_v gengjia_d
People’s.Republic.of.China then can create more
huihuang_a de_u mingtian_t -_w</Fm>
rosy DE tomorrow

“Only if we Chinese people unite can we make a better future.”

(30) <Fm ID=“19980123” >> Fa>如果_c PRO_i 做_v 不_d 到_v 这_r 一_m 点_q</Fa> ,_w 美国_ns “_w 决不_d 排除_v 采用_v 其它_r 手段_n ”_w 教训_v [伊拉克_ns]i 。_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980123” >> Fa> ruguo_c PRO_i zuo_v bu_d dao_v zhe_r
if PRO do not COMP this yi_m dian_q</Fa> ,_w Meiguo_ns “_w juebu_d paichu_v one point America absolutely not rule.out caiyong_v qita_r shouduan_n ”_w jiaoxun_v [伊拉克_ns]i 。_w</Fm>
employ other strategies punish Iraq “If Iraq did not commit itself to the above, the United States would punish Iraq by taking other measures.”

(31) 因此_c [我们_r], 决不能_v 因为_c 农业_n 连年_d 丰收_v 而_c 盲目_a 乐观_an ”_w 产生_v 松懈_a ”_w 麻痹_a 思想_n</Fm> 。_w <Fm ID= “19980112” >> Fa>如果_c PRO_i 忽视_v 或_c 放松_v 了_u 农业_n</Fa> ,_w 一旦_d 出_v 了_u 问题_n ,_w 则_c 很_d 可能_v 了_m 年_q 都_d 约_v 不_d 过_v 劫_n 来_v ,_w 势必_d 影响_v 整个_b 国民经济_n 的_u 持续_vn 健康_a 发展_vn 。_w</Fm>
yinci_c [我们_r], quebuneng_v yinwei_c nongye_n liannian_d hence we absolutely cannot because agriculture year.by.year fengshou_v er_c mangmu_a leguang_an ,_w changsheng_v good.harvests then blind optimistic establish songjie_a ,_w mabi_a sixiang_n</Fm> 。_w <Fm slack stiff thought ID=“19980112” >> Fa> ruguo_c PRO_i hushi_v huo_c fangsong_v le_u If PRO neglect or slack.up PERF nongye_n</Fm> ,_w yidan_d chu_v le_u wenti_n ,_w ze_c hen_d agriculture.industry once emerge PERF problem then very keneng_v ji_m nian_q dou_d huan_v bu_d guo_v jing_n lai_v , likely several years still slow.down not cross over come _w shibi_d yingxiang_v zhengge_b guominingji_ji_n de_u chixu_vn absolutely influence whole national.economy DE continuing
"Hence, we cannot overrate our success in the agriculture industry. If we slack off, once there is any problem, we cannot get it over within several years and this will adversely affect our economic growth."

6.5.2. Distribution of PROs across semantic domains of CACs

I have demonstrated in the previous section that the occurrence of both overt NP subjects and PRO is dependent on the semantic types of adverbal clauses, and overt NP subjects are dominant in concessive clauses whereas null subjects are most frequently used in conditional clauses. However, I have not addressed variations across individual semantic types of adverbal clauses in their use of overt and non-overt subjects. In this section I would, therefore, like to discuss the distribution of PROs in relation to different interclausal semantic domains in CACs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic Types of CACs</th>
<th>Subject Types of CACs</th>
<th>Freq. of Overt NP Subjects</th>
<th>Freq. of PRO</th>
<th>LL values (1 d.f., ( p &lt; 0.01 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Condition</td>
<td></td>
<td>348 (44.7%)</td>
<td>431 (55.3%)</td>
<td>8.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Concession</td>
<td></td>
<td>386 (67.1%)</td>
<td>189 (32.9%)</td>
<td>68.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Purpose</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 (1.7%)</td>
<td>342 (98.3%)</td>
<td>421.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Cause/Reason</td>
<td></td>
<td>250 (75.8%)</td>
<td>80 (24.2%)</td>
<td>91.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Contrast</td>
<td></td>
<td>17 (9.6%)</td>
<td>160 (90.4%)</td>
<td>133.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Result</td>
<td></td>
<td>55 (33.7%)</td>
<td>108 (66.3%)</td>
<td>17.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Exception</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 (44.0%)</td>
<td>14 (56.0%)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Addition</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 (38.5%)</td>
<td>8 (61.5%)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Inference</td>
<td></td>
<td>3 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Preference</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (33.3%)</td>
<td>2 (66.7%)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Time</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (100.0%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 25: Results of log-likelihood tests on individual semantic types of CACs
In Table 25, the calculated LL value is significant if it is greater than the critical value for significance, 6.635, with 1 degree of freedom (henceforth d.f.) at \( p < 0.01 \). Hence, there are significant differences in the frequency of overt NP and PRO subjects in clauses of condition, concession, purpose, cause or reason, contrast and result. It can be seen that purpose clauses, contrastive clauses and result clauses take more null subjects than overt ones because, like conditional clauses, their subjects are usually controlled by the main clause subject, as shown in examples (32), (33) and (34), respectively.

(32) <Fm ID= “19980106” >今年1 [泰国 ns 旅游 vn 机构 n] 计划 v 在 _p 上海 ns 设立 v 办事处 n , _w <Fa>以 c PROi 强加 v 泰 i 中 j 两 m 国 n 的 u 旅游 vn 合作 vn</Fa> 。 _w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980106”>jinnian_t [Taiguo_ns liyou vn jigou_n] jihua_v this.year Thailand tourism organisation plan zai_p Shanghai(ns sheli_v banshichu_n , _w <Fa>yi c PROi in Shanghai set up office in order that PRO jiaqiang_v Tai_j Zhong_j liang_m guo_n de_u liyou vn step up Thai Sino two nations DE tourism hezuo vn</Fa> 。 _w</Fm>
co-operation “This year Thailand’s tourist association plans to set up an office in Shanghai in order to step up the co-operation between Thailand and China on promoting tourism.”

(33) <Fm ID= “19980118” >[她 r] 没有 d 坐 v 飞机 n , _w <Fa>而是 c PROi 乘坐 v 北京 ns 至 p 莫斯科 ns 的 u 国际 n 列车 _n</Fa> 。 _w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980118”>[ta_r] meiyou_d zuo_v feiji_n , _w <Fa>ershi_c she have not sit flight rather PROi chengzuo_v Beijing ns zhi_p Mosike ns de_u guoji_n PRO ride on Beijing to Moscow DE international lieche_n</Fa> 。 _w</Fm>
train
“She did not travel by plane but took a train from Beijing to Moscow.”

(34) <Fm ID= “19980118” >[她_f 母亲_n] 从_p 翻译_v 的_u 大量_m 作品_n 中_f 了解_v 了_u 中国.ns ’_w <Fa>从而_c PROi 向往_v 中国 ns ’_w 想_v 去_v 中国 ns 看看_v</Fa> ’_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980118”>[ta_r muqin_n]i cong_p fanyi_v de_u daliang_m her_mother_f from_p translated_DE plenty.of_zuopin_n zhong_f liaojie_v le_u Zhongguo ns ’_w works_within.which understand_DE PERF China
<Fa>conger_c PROi xiangwang_v Zhongguo ns ’_w xiang_v qu_v in.order.that PRO long.for China wish go.to Zhongguo ns kankan_v</Fa> ’_w</Fm>
China visit
“Her mother gained a fascinating insight into China from a number of translated works and thus she was eager to visit China.”

Reason clauses, on the other hand, resemble concessive clauses and favour the use of overt NPs over PROs as their subjects. As shown in the following examples, the subject of the reason clause in examples (35) and (36) is not referentially dependent on that of the associated main clauses because both of them are different.

In contrast, clauses of exception, addition, inference, preference and time do not show a marked preference for either subject type as their LL values are less than 6.635, the critical value for significance at \( p < 0.01 \).

(35) <Fm ID= “19980129” >“_w 我们_f 希望_v 取得_v 切实可行_l 的_u 进展_vn ’_w <Fa>因为_c 目前_l 的_u 局势_n 已_d 极为_l 危险_a</Fa> ”_w”_w</Fm>
<Fm ID=“19980129”>“_w women_r xiwang_v qude_v qieshikexing_l we_hope obtain_feasible de_u jinzhan_vn ’_w <Fa>yinwei_c muqian_t de_u jushi_n yi_l DE progress because_at.present DE situation_already jiwei_d weixian_a</Fa> ”_w”_w</Fm>
extremely dangerous
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“We wish to make good progress in the reform because the present situation has reached an alarming state.”

(36) <Fm ID=“19980106”><Fa>由于竞争激烈，菲律宾国内的制造商都不愿提高价格。

<Fa>youchu_c jingzheng_v jilie_a</Fa>，_w 菲律宾国内的制造商都不愿提高价格。

<Fa>youyu_c jingzheng_v jilie_a</Fa>，_w 因为竞争激烈

Feilübin ns guonei_s de_u zhizaoshang_n dou_d bu_d yuan_v 因为竞争激烈

Philippines home DE manufacturers all not want to raise price

“Owing to fierce competition, the manufacturers in the Philippines do not want to raise the cost price.”

6.5.3. An integrated account of the distribution of PROs in CACs

As discussed in sections 6.5.1 and 6.5.2, clauses of condition, purpose, contrast and result take more PROs than overt subjects because PROs in these adverbial clauses can either be controlled by the main clause subject and/or object or be controlled by an NP in previous context, while clauses of concession and cause/reason take more overt subject than PRO because the subject of the adverbial clause is different from that of the main clause. In fact this distribution pattern can also be explained in terms of information structure (cf. Eckardt, 2003), or more specifically, given information and new information (Crystal, 1985:136). In the following, I will propose that the management of given/new information acts as a constraint for the selection of subject type (either PRO or overt subject) in the adverbial clause.

---

12 This account was inspired by the Corpus Linguistics Research Group seminar held at Lancaster University (UK) in the spring of 2004. I would like to express my sincere thanks to three of the participants, Florencia Franceschina, Wilhem Hollmann and Amy Wang for their insightful comments, criticisms and suggestions on my talk.

13 Compare Li (2004). Based on written narrative texts taken from an influential novel, Luotuo Xiangzi by Lao She (1936), Li argues that clauses with sentence-initial zero NPs (i.e. non-overt NPs) function
Information structure describes the manner in which information is provided to
the addressee in discourse (Chafe, 1976, 1979, 1980, 1987 and 1994; Lambrecht,
1994; Master, 2002). The aspect of information structure that is particularly relevant
to my research is givenness (Bock, 1977; Szwedek, 1977; Bing, 1981; Lee, 1990;
Virtanen, 1992), i.e. the distinction between given information and new information.
From the point of view of information structure in which the pragmatic structuring of
a proposition in discourse is formally expressed (Lambrecht, 1994:5-6)\textsuperscript{14}, given
information is information that is assumed by the speaker to be known to, assumed
by, or inferable by, the addressee at the time of the speaker’s utterance (Crystal,
The non-overt subjects in clauses of condition, purpose, contrast and result are
typically co-referential with (or controlled by) an NP in the main clause or in previous
context, which serves as given information. These subjects can be seen, in Chafe’s
(1994:99-115) terminology, as “light subjects”, which encode given information and
are redundant. The relatively high frequency of dropping the subject in these clauses
as opposed to other types of adverbial clause is therefore understandable. New
information, on the other hand, is information that is assumed by the speaker not to
be known or assumed by the addressee, or has not been mentioned previously in the
discourse (Crystal, 1980:241; Levinson, 1983:88; Quirk et al., 1985:1361). A
discourse entity characterised as such is referred to as a brand-new referent (Prince,
\textsuperscript{14} There are three main categories of information structure: (i) presupposition and assertion:
propositions are structured into portions which a speaker assumes an addressee already knows or
does not yet know; (ii) identifiability and activation: at the time of producing an utterance, a speaker makes
some assumptions about the statuses of the mental representations of discourse referents in the
addressee’s mind; and (iii) topic and focus: a speaker assesses the predictability/unpredictability of the
relations between propositions and their elements in a given discourse situation (Lambrecht, 1994:6).
1981:233,235-236). A lexical subject of the main clause which differs from that of the
adverbial clause, for example, can be seen as an NP with a brand-new referent
(Kärkkäinen, 1996). Hence it is hardly surprising that the subject of the clauses of
concession and cause/reason which is not identical to that of the associated main
clause cannot be dropped, as shown in their relatively less frequent use of PRO.

In conclusion, the basic pattern of information structure as shown in the
distribution of PROs and overt subjects in Chinese adverbial clauses is the
presentation of given information by the use of PRO and the presentation of new
information by the use of overt subject. I have also demonstrated that the
information-structure-based approach can be combined with the corpus-based
theoretical approach into an integrated account in which the distribution of PROs
across the semantic domains of adverbial clauses can be adequately explained.

6.6. Chapter summary

By the theta criterion and the extended projection principle (EPP), Chinese adverbial
clauses (or CACs) are proved to take a non-overt subject, represented as PRO in
Government and Binding (GB) Theory. In this chapter, it is shown that PRO is
characterised by anaphoric and pronominal properties. According to control theory, it
may be referentially dependent on, or controlled by, another NP in the sentence or an
implicit argument (e.g. the agent of the action in the main clause), or it is not
controlled at all and its interpretation is arbitrary. Like the non-overt subject of
non-finite clause in English, PROs in the CACs must occur in ungoverned positions.
Hence, my corpus data supports the PRO theorem in GB theory which states that PRO
must be ungoverned. When it is ungoverned, it is licensed for its occurrence. In some
example sentences taken from the PFR Chinese Corpus, control is obligatory while in others it is optional. In the case of obligatory control, the controller (i.e. an NP in the main clause) must c-command the controlled element (i.e. the non-overt subject of the CAC). Both subject and object NPs in the matrix clause can be controllers. Moreover, PRO in Chinese adverbial clauses demonstrates that it can be controlled by an NP in a sentence prior to the main clause. The postulation of this type of control is verified by the Principle of the Separation of Reference and Role (PSRR).

In the PFR Chinese Corpus, there are 1,083 instances of overt NP subjects and 1,334 cases of PRO as used in CACs. By calculating the log-likelihood (LL) value between two variables, namely the semantic types of CACs and subject types of CACs, I found that the value is highly significant (i.e. LL = 723.265 > 23.209) and thus concluded that the distribution of non-overt subjects varies significantly across semantic domains of adverbial clauses. While overt subjects are typically preferred by concessive clauses, null subjects are overwhelmingly used in conditional clauses. Concessive clauses always have subjects which are different from that of their associated main clause, and thus disfavour the use of PRO, which typically requires a controller. Non-overt subjects, on the other hand, predominantly occur in conditional clauses because they can be properly controlled by the main clause subject, the object of the verb in the main clause or an NP in the context. Other types of adverbial clause also give statistically significant results in the use of overt and non-overt subjects: clauses of purpose, contrast and result show a preponderance of null subjects while clauses of reason favour overt ones. However, this difference in the distribution of subject types is not statistically significant in clauses of exception, addition, inference, preference and time. As an attempt to combine corpus-based theoretical approach with information-structure-based approach, the distribution pattern of PRO of adverbial
clauses is explained in terms of given and new information: while the controller occurring in the main clause or previous context can be seen as given information and thus the non-overt subject of the adverbial clause which co-refers to it can commonly be omitted as in clauses of condition, purpose, contrast and result, the brand-new subject of the main clause which differs from the subject of the adverbial clause can be seen as new information and thus the latter cannot be dropped as in clauses of concession and cause/reason.
Chapter Seven

Semantic Classes and Non-overt Subjects of CACs in the Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese

7.1. Introduction

The Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese (LCMC) is used in this thesis in large part to offset four major drawbacks of the PFR Chinese Corpus. Firstly, the PFR corpus is made up of newswire texts only. Journalistic writing is only one form of written language (Biber, 1988:69) and thus a body of journalistic texts can hardly be sufficiently representative of written language as a whole. The LCMC corpus is, however, a balanced corpus of Mandarin Chinese, modelling its sampling frame on that of the Freiburg-LOB Corpus of British English or FLOB (Hundt et al., 1998): it has 500 samples of 2,000 words each, taken from fifteen different text types, as shown in Table 26 (McEnery and Xiao, 2004b). Secondly, while the tagset of the LCMC corpus is basically identical to that of the PFR corpus (see Chapter Two, section 2.2.2.1), it uses five additional tags i.e. conjunction morpheme (cg), sentential punctuation (ew), locality morpheme (fg), preposition morpheme (pg) and descriptive morpheme (zg). In particular, the distinction between non-sentential punctuation (w) and sentential punctuation (ew) is vital as it eases the identification of individual sentences in which an adverbial clause occurs so that the reference of the non-overt subject of the adverbial clause can easily be tracked down. Furthermore, unlike the written texts in most of the extant Chinese corpora, including the PFR corpus, which are encoded in GB2312 using simplified Chinese characters, the texts of the LCMC corpus were encoded in Unicode (UTF-8) which can be used in non-Chinese operating systems and can be searched in Unicode-compliant concordancers such as
the LCMC web concordancer (see section 7.1.1.1), Xaira version 1.0 (Burnard and Todd, 2003)\(^1\) and the Wordsmith Tools version 4.0 (Scott, 2003). As McEnery and Xiao (2004a) observe, a real problem in Asian corpus building is the existence of multiple and often competing encodings of Asian writing systems: the Chinese language can be encoded in GB2312, GB18030, HZ and Unicode. Xiao et al. (2004), however, recommend Unicode as the best encoding format, and support this by using two Unicode-compliant corpus tools that are available, Xaira and Wordsmith version 4, to explore the LCMC corpus. Given that the Unicode-encoded LCMC corpus can be explored efficiently with generally available corpus tools such as Xaira and Wordsmith 4 and its own web concordancer, it is of greater use than the PFR corpus in linguistic research, in particular in the investigation of complicated grammatical phenomena. I therefore decided to carry out an investigation of adverbial clauses in the LCMC to supplement my findings based on the PFR corpus. A final advantage of the LCMC corpus is that it is annotated with five levels of detail\(^2\) in addition to POS tagging, notably including the annotation of sentence and paragraph boundary markers, without which I would have had to add these two levels of markup as I had to with the PFR corpus. In this chapter, I will apply the findings presented in the preceding chapters to the LCMC corpus in the hope of providing a more concrete description of adverbial clauses in written Chinese. This chapter is organised as follows: section 7.2 discusses the occurrence of the eleven semantic classes of Chinese adverbial clauses (CACs) in different text types; section 7.3 examines the distribution of non-overt subjects (PROs) across and within text types, and across the semantic domains of CACs. These results are compared to the results obtained on the basis of the PFR corpus to investigate whether the effect of adverbial semantic

---

\(^1\) Xaira stands for “XML Aware Indexing and Retrieval Architecture”.

\(^2\) The five levels of annotation in the LCMC corpus are, namely, (1) text category, (2) file identifier, (3) paragraph, (4) sentence and (5) word, punctuation/symbol and elements omitted in transcriptions.
domain on the distribution of PROs as indicated in the previous chapter is indeed
dependent on text type; section 7.4 discusses how the type of control of PRO is
influenced by text type; section 7.5 summaries the findings presented in this chapter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Tokens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category A</td>
<td>Press: reportage</td>
<td>88,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category B</td>
<td>Press: editorials</td>
<td>54,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C</td>
<td>Press: reviews</td>
<td>34,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category D</td>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>34,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category E</td>
<td>Skills, trades and hobbies</td>
<td>76,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category F</td>
<td>Popular lore</td>
<td>88,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category G</td>
<td>Biographies and essays</td>
<td>154,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category H</td>
<td>Miscellaneous: reports and official documents</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category J</td>
<td>Science: academic prose</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category K</td>
<td>General fiction</td>
<td>58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category L</td>
<td>Mystery and detective fiction</td>
<td>48,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category M</td>
<td>Science fiction</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category N</td>
<td>Adventure and martial arts fiction</td>
<td>58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category P</td>
<td>Romantic fiction</td>
<td>58,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category R</td>
<td>Humour</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 26: The list of text types in the LCMC corpus

7.1.1. Methodological issues

In the previous chapters of this thesis, I used the PFR Chinese Corpus as a training
corpus to gain insights into the use of adverbial clauses in written Chinese. I have
explored various features of them, namely the use of a subordinating conjunction to
mark the adverbial clause overtly (Chapter Three), the identification of 57 adverbial
subordinators (Chapter Four), the classification of 2,417 adverbial clauses identified
in the PFR corpus into eleven distinct interclausal semantic domains (Chapter Five)
and the distribution of non-overt subjects within these semantic domains (Chapter Six). As noted above, all of the findings so far are based on the PFR corpus, a homogeneous collection of journalistic texts; these results cannot be generalised to written Chinese easily if at all (cf. Biber and Finegan, 1991:211-213). As the distribution of both the adverbial clauses and their non-overt subjects may vary across text types, the LCMC corpus was used in my research as the test corpus in an attempt to offer a comprehensive account of adverbial clauses in written Chinese. The main procedures of exploiting the LCMC corpus for the purposes of this research are presented as follows.

7.1.1.1. The LCMC web concordancer

I used the LCMC web concordancer\(^3\) for my search of adverbial clauses in the LCMC corpus. The discussion in Chapter Three (section 3.7) showed that Chinese adverbial clauses (CACs) are typically marked by adverbial subordinators and the discussion in Chapter Five (section 5.3) indicated that the semantic classes of adverbial clauses are commonly introduced by different adverbial subordinators, as highlighted in Table 27. I used the LCMC web concordancer for searching those adverbial clauses overtly marked by an adverbial subordinator. As illustrated in Figure 8, the adverbial subordinator 如果 ruguo “if” was used as the search term across the fifteen text types of the LCMC corpus. This procedure was repeated until all of the adverbial subordinators identified in the PFR training corpus were searched for in the test corpus. As a result, I obtained a list of subordinator-marked (adverbial) clauses.

---

\(^3\) See its website [http://www.ling.lancs.ac.uk/corplang/cgi-bin/conc.pl](http://www.ling.lancs.ac.uk/corplang/cgi-bin/conc.pl).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic classes of CACs</th>
<th>Adverbial subordinators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Time</td>
<td>随着 suizhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Cause/Reason</td>
<td>由于 youyu, 因为 yinwei, 因 yin, 既然 jiran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Purpose</td>
<td>以 yi, 从而 conger, 以免 yimian, 以便 yibian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Result</td>
<td>从而 conger, 之所以 zhisuoyi, 以致 yizhi, 故 gu, 以至于 yizhiyu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Preference</td>
<td>与其 yuqi, 与其说 yuqishuo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Contrast</td>
<td>而是 ershi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Addition</td>
<td>何况 hekuang, 不单 budan, 且不说 qiebushuo, 不说 bushuo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Exception</td>
<td>只是 zhishi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Condition</td>
<td>如果 ruguo, 只要 zhiyou, 只有 zhiyou, 要是 yaoshi, 若是 ruoshi, 倘 tang, 倘若 tangruo, 哪怕 napa, 就是 jiushi, 要不是 yaobushi, 若果 ruoguo, 假若 jiaruo, 万一 wanyi, 如若 ruruo, 果真 guozhen, 要 yao, 除非 chufei, 即使 jishi, 如 ru, 若 ruo, 即便 jibian, 假如 jiaru, 纵 zong, 纵使 zongshi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Concession</td>
<td>虽然 suiran, 尽管 jinguan, 虽 sui, 虽说 suishuo, 无论是 wulunshi, 无论 wulun, 不论是 bulunshi, 不论 bulun, 不管 buguan, 任 ren, 甭管 benguan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Inference</td>
<td>且且 shangqie</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 27: Semantic classes of CACs and their respective adverbial subordinators
7.1.1.2. *Invalid adverbial clauses*

I eliminated incorrect adverbial clauses from the list of subordinator-marked clauses obtained. There are three types of error causing these incorrect adverbial clauses to be drawn from the LCMC corpus by the concordancer. The first kind of error was already noted in Chapter Five (section 5.2) that some words of multiple word class membership may be misinterpreted as adverbial subordinators (e.g. 由于 youyu “because” can be a preposition and a conjunction) and the unwanted sentences retrieved by those words should be disregarded. On the other hand, the sentences in which genuine adverbial subordinators were annotated with the wrong tag were added to the data set manually. The second kind of error arises from the LCMC web concordancer itself: it cannot distinguish adverbial subordinators having identical
final characters e.g. 要 yao “if” and 只要 zhiyao “only if”, and 以 yi “in order to” and 之所以 zhisuoyi “the reason why…” . The adverbial clauses introduced by these subordinators were therefore sorted by hand. The third kind of error is a result of four borderline adverbial subordinators. In both the PFR and LCMC corpora, four of the adverbial subordinators sporadically violate the first criterion of the operational definition of a subordinating conjunction, which states that the clause overtly marked by an adverbial subordinator must be linked to another clause(s) in the same sentence, as Chinese adverbial clauses function only as an adjunct. These are: 因为 yinwei “because”, 从而 conger “in order to or as a result”, 何况 hekuang “let alone” and 故 gu “therefore”. These four subordinating conjunctions are at times used to introduce an independent sentence rather than a subordinate clause. However, I still considered them as subordinating conjunctions because in over sixty percent of their occurrences, these subordinators conform to the first operational criterion, as highlighted in Tables 28 and 29.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borderline subordinators</th>
<th>因为 yinwei</th>
<th>从而 conger</th>
<th>何况 hekuang</th>
<th>故 gu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw frequency</td>
<td>Freq.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In independent sentence</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In adverbial clause</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 28: Frequencies of borderline adverbial subordinators in independent sentences and adverbial clauses in the PFR corpus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borderline subordinators</th>
<th>因为 yinwei</th>
<th>从而 conger</th>
<th>何况 hekuang</th>
<th>故 gu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw frequency</td>
<td>Freq.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In independent sentence</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In adverbial clause</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 29: Frequencies of borderline adverbial subordinators in independent sentences and adverbial clauses in the LCMC corpus
7.1.1.3. Raw and normalised frequencies

As can be seen from Table 26, the fifteen text categories in the LCMC corpus are of different sizes. It is therefore impossible to compare the distribution of adverbal clauses within different text types on the basis of their raw frequencies. Hence, the frequency scores obtained need to be normalised/standardised to a common base in order to permit comparison across text types (McEnery et al., 2005:47-48). A normalised frequency is a weighted frequency measure that allows for the easy and reliable comparison of data sets of different sizes (cf. Ball, 2002:11). I initially chose to normalise frequencies to a common base of 10,000 word tokens because “the common base for normalisation must be comparable to the sizes of the corpora (or corpus segments) under consideration … as the results obtained on an irrationally enlarged or reduced common base are distorted” (McEnery et al., ibid). As the sizes of the fifteen text types included in the LCMC corpus range from 12K tokens to 160K tokens i.e. the average size of a text type is of approximately 60K tokens, it would be appropriate to normalise frequencies to a common base of 10K tokens. However, as shown in my PFR skeleton treebank (see Chapter Three, section 3.7), adverbal clauses are rare in written Chinese. With such a low frequency of occurrence, statistical tests of significance may not yield reliable results. Consequently, I decided to normalise frequencies to a common 100K-token base so as to avoid the potentially misleading outcomes resulting from significance tests relying on expected values less than 5. I assume that varying the common base for normalisation in this way will not distort the outcomes of the statistical tests significantly.

Take clauses of reason as an example. As shown in Table 30, the frequency of the
reason clauses occurring in a text category was obtained by summing the frequencies of the four adverbial subordinators (i.e. \textit{youyu}, \textit{yinwei}, \textit{yin} and \textit{jiran}) responsible for introducing the clauses of reason in the text category. The frequency scores of individual text categories were then normalised to a common 100,000-word base so as to allow for the comparison of the distribution of reason clauses across text types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text types of LCMC</th>
<th>Raw frequency</th>
<th>Freq. per 100K tokens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>由于\textit{youyu}</td>
<td>因为\textit{yinwei}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category A</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category B</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category D</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category E</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category G</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category H</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category J</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category L</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category N</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category P</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>238</strong></td>
<td><strong>141</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 30: Raw and normalised frequencies of clauses of reason across text types

7.1.1.4. \textit{Overt and non-overt subjects across semantic domains and text types}

While the frequencies of adverbial clauses across text types can be found by performing a simple addition as illustrated above, the occurrences of overt and non-overt subjects were found via manual annotation. In this annotation process, each
of the adverbial clauses identified in the LCMC corpus was carefully screened to track down any occurrence of a non-overt subject. The raw frequencies of occurrence of overt and non-overt subjects were obtained for different semantic domains and text types. The raw frequencies of both subject types across semantic domains were not normalised as the distribution of subjects across semantic domains is not based on text types but the LCMC corpus as a whole, as shown in Table 31, whereas the raw frequencies of overt and non-overt subjects across text types were normalised to a common base of 100K word tokens, as shown in Table 32.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic classes of CACs</th>
<th>Raw frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overt subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Time</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Cause or Reason</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Purpose</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Result</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Preference</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Contrast</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Addition</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Exception</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Condition</td>
<td>589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Concession</td>
<td>509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Inference</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,636</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 31: Raw frequencies of overt and non-overt subjects across semantic domains
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text types of LCMC</th>
<th>Raw frequency</th>
<th>Freq. per 100K tokens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overt subjects</td>
<td>PROs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category A</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category B</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category D</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category E</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category F</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category G</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category H</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category J</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category K</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category L</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category N</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category P</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category R</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>1,636</td>
<td>1,345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 32: Normalised frequencies of overt and non-overt subjects across text types

7.2. Semantic domains of CACs and text types of LCMC

In this section, I will explore how the semantic domains of adverbial clauses interact with the text types of the LCMC corpus. As can be seen from Table 33, clauses of time (i.e. 2 occurrences) and clauses of inference (i.e. 3 occurrences) are rare, making it impossible to study the distribution of these two types of adverbial clause across the fifteen text categories of the LCMC corpus. This corroborates my initial observation based on the PFR corpus that temporal adverbial clauses rarely occur in written Chinese (see Chapter 5, section 5.3.1). Other semantic classes of adverbial clauses are, however, closely related to certain text types of written Chinese. Hence, in this section, I will examine the interaction between (i) conditional and concessive clauses and text
categories A, B and C (section 7.2.1); (ii) reason and result clauses and text categories D and J (section 7.2.2); (iii) purpose clauses and text categories E and F (section 7.2.3). Each contrast will allow me to gain a better understanding of how semantic classes of adverbial clauses distribute across a range of genres in written Chinese.

7.2.1. The interaction between conditional and concessive clauses and categories A, B and C

As noted in Chapter Five (section 5.4), conditional and concessive clauses, among the eleven semantic categories of adverbial clauses in Chinese, make up almost half of the adverbial clauses studied in the PFR corpus. In the LCMC corpus, these two types of adverbial clause occur significantly more frequently than other semantic types (see Table 33). By examining the distribution of conditional and concessive clauses in the press-related texts of the LCMC corpus, it was found that these two types of adverbial clause also dominate in press reportage, press editorials and press reviews (i.e. categories A, B and C) of the corpus. Table 34 shows the frequencies of adverbial clauses in both the PFR corpus and an ad hoc subcorpus composed of categories A, B and C of the LCMC corpus. It can be seen from Table 34 that conditional and concessive clauses occur at least twice as frequently as the other kinds of adverbial clause in the press-related texts of categories A, B and C. I therefore hypothesise that journalistic writing is marked by clauses of condition and concession. To test this hypothesis, I tested the statistical significance of the difference in the occurrence of these two types of adverbial clause between the journalistic texts of categories A, B and C and non-journalistic texts of other categories of the LCMC corpus (i.e.

---

4 The calculated log-likelihood (LL) value with 10 degrees of freedom (d.f.) is 5644.170, considerably greater than 29.59, the critical value for significance at $p<0.001$. 
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic Classes of CACs</th>
<th>Raw Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Time</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Cause or Reason</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Purpose</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Result</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Preference</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Contrast</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Addition</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Exception</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clauses of Condition</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,201</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clauses of Concession</strong></td>
<td><strong>776</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of Inference</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,981</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-likelihood (10 d.f.):</td>
<td>5644.170 (&gt;29.59)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 33: Frequencies of adverbial clauses in the LCMC corpus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic Types of CACs</th>
<th>PFR</th>
<th>LCMC (categories A, B and C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw freq.</td>
<td>Freq. per 100K tokens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of time</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of reason</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of purpose</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of result</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of preference</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of contrast</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of addition</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of exception</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clauses of condition</strong></td>
<td><strong>779</strong></td>
<td><strong>69.5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clauses of concession</strong></td>
<td><strong>575</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of inference</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td>2,417</td>
<td>215.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 34: Frequencies of adverbial clauses in the PFR corpus and categories A, B, and C of the LCMC corpus
As Table 35 illustrates, conditional and concessive clauses are not a marked feature of journalistic writing; they do not occur significantly more frequently in journalistic texts than in non-journalistic texts.\(^5\) This finding is in line with Biber et al. (1999:823), who observe that in British English it is time and purpose clauses that are frequently used in news rather than conditional and concessive clauses: temporal clauses describe certain events in relation to others while clauses of purpose explain motivations behind events. In written Chinese, as shown in Table 36, conditional clauses are mostly used in category E (skills, trades and hobbies) for giving directions and instructions in hypothetical situations as in example (1), and concessive clauses are predominantly used in category N (adventure and martial arts fiction) for demonstrating a character’s ability to handle some difficult events as in example (2). The LL values with 14 d.f. calculated on the basis of normalised frequencies for determining the distribution of clauses of condition and concession across text categories are 395.790 and 192.970 respectively, markedly greater than 36.12, the critical value for significance at \(p<0.001\). This suggests that clauses of condition and concession are more frequently used in categories E and N than in other text categories.

---

\(^{5}\) The Fisher’s Exact Test (see Chapter Six, section 6.5.1) gives a high probability value (or \(p\)-value), greater than 0.05, the \(p\)-value at the level of significance of 95 percent.
## Semantic Classes and Non-overt Subjects of CACs in LCMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text types of LCMC</th>
<th>Clauses of condition</th>
<th>Clauses of concession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw freq.</td>
<td>Freq. per 100K tokens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category A</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48.9 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category B</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>151.9 (8.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>120.6 (6.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category D</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>114.7 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category E</strong></td>
<td>195</td>
<td><strong>256.6 (14.5%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category F</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>210.2 (11.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category G</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>77.3 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category H</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21.7 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category J</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>136.3 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category K</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>100.0 (5.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category L</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>93.8 (5.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>108.3 (6.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category N</strong></td>
<td>76</td>
<td><strong>131.0 (7.4%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category P</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>94.8 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>105.6 (6.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,201</td>
<td><strong>120.1 (100%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL scores (14 d.f.):</td>
<td>395.790</td>
<td>192.970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 36: Clauses of condition vs. clauses of concession in the LCMC corpus

1. 白色 衬衣 经过 多次 穿用 ，洗 洗 ，容易 发黄 ，<Fm><Fa>[[如果]] 经 常 用 淘 米 水 浸 洗</Fa>， 就 不易 发黄 了 。
   <Fm>(LCMC_E.xml/sn="0016")
   baisi chenyi jingguo duoci chuanyong xidi rongyi white shirt go.through several.times wear wash easy fahuang <Fm><Fa>ruguo jingchang yong taomishui turn.yellow if frequently use water.for.washing.rice jinxi</Fa> jiubiyifahuang le</Fm> soak.and.wash then not easy turn.yellow PART
   “The white shirts easily become yellow after being washed several times, but if they are frequently soaked and washed in the water used for washing rice, they will not turn yellow so easily.”

2. <Fm><Fa>谭 公直 的 手 [[虽然]] 正在 开始 僵硬</Fa>，但 两 人 功力 相差 太 远 ， 媳妇 还是 扳 不 开 公公 的 手 。“
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“Although Tan Gong began to have difficulties in moving his hand, his
daughter-in-law, being far less skilful and competent than Tan, still could not get
rid of him.”

7.2.2. The interaction between reason and result clauses and categories D and J

As can be seen from Table 37, reason and result clauses are most frequently used in
category D (religion) and category J (scientific academic prose) of the LCMC corpus.
A log-likelihood test of significance was used to determine the distribution of these
two kinds of adverbial clause across genres on the basis of their normalised
frequencies. The calculated LL score (14 d.f.) for clauses of reason is 162.094,
considerably greater than the critical value for significance at \( p<0.001 \) (36.12),
whereas the calculated LL score (13 d.f.) for clauses of result is 87.465, much greater
than the critical value for significance at \( p<0.001 \) (34.53). Therefore, the statistical
tests clearly indicate that reason and result clauses occur significantly more frequently
within the two text categories under consideration. Category D is a collection of 17
texts taken from reference books on a range of mainstream religions in mainland
China such as Buddhist and Taoist faiths. Most of the texts give details about the
origin and development of the religions (e.g. \( D03 \) and \( D05 \)), and a few of them are
concerned with the theory and ritual of a particular religion (e.g. \( D08, D11 \) and \( D13 \)
as well as the comparisons between different religious beliefs and ideologies (e.g. D04, D09 and D17). Thus, clauses of reason and result are commonly used for describing the development of a religion as in examples (3) and (5), and are also employed for presenting the background/origin of an ideology (i.e. Confucianism) or a religion (i.e. Buddhism) as in examples (4) and (6) respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text types of LCMC</th>
<th>Clauses of cause or reason</th>
<th>Clauses of result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw freq.</td>
<td>Freq. per 100K tokens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category A</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19.3 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category B</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38.9 (5.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>29.4 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category D</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>76.5 (11.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category E</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>57.9 (8.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>63.6 (9.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category G</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>31.2 (4.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category H</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.3 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category J</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>91.3 (13.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category K</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19.0 (2.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category L</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43.8 (6.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>58.3 (8.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category N</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32.8 (5.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category P</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31.0 (4.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category R</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55.6 (8.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>46.2 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL scores:</td>
<td>162.094 (14 d.f.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 37: Frequencies of clauses of reason and result across text types

(3) 但 在 另 一 方 面 ，<Fm><Fa> [[由于]] 教 会 在 历 次 教 案 中 获 得 了 大 量 ” 赔 款 ” 和 种 种 特 权</Fa> ，使 它 有 可 能 在 各 地 大 肆 建 盖 教 堂 。</Fm>(LCMC_D.xml/sn="0065")
da n z a i  l i n g y i f a n g m i a n  <Fm><Fa>y o u y u   j i a o h u i  z a i  
but a t  o n . t h e . o t h e r . h a n d  b e c a u s e  c h u r c h  i n
l i c i  j i a o a n z h o n g  h u o d e  l e  d a l i a n g  p e i k u a n  
pre v i o u s  l a w s u i t s  o b t a i n  P E R F  p l e n t y . o f  c o m p e n s a t i o n s
he zhongzhong tequan&lt;Fa&gt; shi ta you keneng zai
and various kinds of privileges thereby it have possibility at
gedi dasi jiangai jiaotang&lt;/Fm&gt;
worldwide on a large scale build churches
“On the other hand, as the Church received a huge sum in compensations and
privileges of various kinds from previous lawsuits, it could build a lot of
churches worldwide.”

(4) &lt;Fm&gt;但 儒学 不能 代替 和 包容 传统 宗教 ，&lt;Fa&gt;[[因为]] 儒学 是 一
种 理论 形态 的 学术 文化 ，宗教 祭祀 并非 儒学 题 中 应有 之
义，儒学的 重点 在 内圣 外 王 学 ，自 有 其 发展 脉络 ，形
成 独立 的 学 统&lt;/Fa&gt; ：&lt;/Fm&gt;(LCMC_D.xml/sn=“0045”)
&lt;Fm&gt;dan ruxue buneng daiti he baorong chuanton
but Confucian cannot replace and embrace traditional
zongjiao &lt;Fa&gt;yinwei ruxue shi yi zhong lilun xingtai
religions because Confucian be one CL theory mode
dexueshi wenhua zongjiao jisi bingfei ruxue ti
DE academic culture religion ritual not Confucian assumption
zhong yingyou zhi yi ruxue de zhongxin
within should have DE meaning Confucian’s GEN focus
zai neishengwaiwang zhi xue zi you qi
on spiritual DE ideology itself have its
fazhang mailuo xingcheng duli de
development route form independent DE
xuetong&lt;/Fa&gt;&lt;/Fm&gt;
ideology
“However, Confucianism cannot replace or represent traditional religions
because unlike religions it is based on theory, does not employ ritual and has
developed into an ideology in its own right.”

(5) &lt;Fm&gt;在 这个 痛苦 的 探索 过程 中 ，一些 人 以 敬依 宗教 作为 自
己的 精神 归宿 ，&lt;Fa&gt;[[从而]] 也 导致 了 宗教 的 复兴&lt;/Fa&gt; 。
&lt;/Fm&gt;(LCMC_D.xml/sn=“0073”)
&lt;Fm&gt;zai zhege tongku de tansuo guochengzhong yixie
in this painful DE explore in process of some
ren yi guiyi zongjiao zhuowei ziji de jingsheng
people use follow religion serve as their GEN spiritual
guisu <Fa>conger ye daozi le zongjiao de
shelter consequently also cause PERF religion DE
fuxing</Fa></Fm>
resurgence
“In the course of this painful adventure, a few of these people sought consolation in religious belief, bringing about the resurgence of religion.”

(6) <Fm>6年中经常风餐露宿”，日食一麻一米，乃至七日食一麻一米”，在艰苦的修行中度过，<Fa>[[以致]]”身形消瘦，有若枯木”，然而却一无所获</Fm>。

<Fm>(LCMC_D.xml/sn="0054")

liu nian zhong jingchang fengcanlusu ri shi
six years within frequently live in the wild day eat
yi ma yi mi naizhi qi ri chi yi ma yi mi
one sesame one rice and then seven days eat one sesame one rice
zai jianku de xixing zhong duguo</Fm> yizhi
in harsh DE training in the process of go through consequently
shengxing xiaoshou you ruo ku mu raner que
body shape skinny have look like dry wood yet but
yiwusuohuo</Fm>
in vain
“Over the past six years, Buddha had lived in the wild. In the beginning, he ate a piece of sesame and rice each day, and later on he had sesame and rice once a week. Consequently he became very skinny but gained no insights into life.”

On the other hand, in category J, there are a total of 80 academic texts covering a wide spectrum of science and social science topics such as medicine (e.g. J01 and J03), computing (e.g. J07, J08 and J68), engineering (e.g. J11, J67 and J69), physics (e.g. J09 and J10), biology, (e.g. J19 and J20) sociology (e.g. J18 and J49), economics (e.g. J24, J27, J34 and J36), journalism (e.g. J32, J38 and J53), management (e.g. J74 and J77), finance (e.g. J54 and J73), psychology (e.g. J21 and J75), education (e.g. J12 and J56), linguistics (e.g. J23, J57 and J60), philosophy (e.g. J48 and J71), Chinese literature (e.g. J04, J79 and J80), etc. In these texts, causal clauses are frequently used
for justifying the methodology of a piece of research as in examples (7) and (8) while result clauses are mainly used for presenting the findings of a piece of research as in examples (9) and (10). Apart from discussing research findings, clauses of result are also frequently used by the official Xinhua news agency and several local newspapers represented in category B (press editorials) of the LCMC corpus. Result clauses are used to present an editor’s comments on the consequences of economic and political policies. As illustrated in examples (11) and (12), both of the examples are concerned with a commentator’s opinions on global political events.

(7) <<Fa>[[由于]] 要 针对 不同 的 需要</Fa>，语言 研究 的 目的 、角度 和 侧重点 就 会 有所不同 ，研究 方法 也 会 有所不同 。
<Fm>(LCMC_J.xml/sn="0010")  
<Fm>youyu yao zhendui butong de xuyao</Fa> youyan because have.to suit different DE needs language yanjiu de mudi jiaodu he cezhongdian jiu hui research DE purpose perspective and focus then will.be yousuobutong yanjiu fangfa ye hui yousuobutong</Fm> different DE research methodology also will.be different “In an attempt to suit different purposes, linguistic analyses vary in their objectives, perspectives, focusses and methodologies.”

(8) <<Fa>[[因为]] 作为 语言 的 基础 毕竟 是 词 而 不 是 ” 字 ”</Fa>。 <Fm>(LCMC_J.xml/sn="0019")
<Fm>zai ci chuli jieduan women zhuyao jiejue le at word handling stage we mainly solve PERF bu shixing fenci lianxie de hanyu de not put.into.use word.segmentation write DE Chinese.language DE ci chuli shouduan wenti</Fa>yinwei zhuowei youyan word handling strategy problem because serve.as language’s de jichu bijing shi ci er bu shi GEN foundation nonetheless be word but not be zi</Fm>
character

“In handling words, we have mainly focussed on the segmentation of words in Chinese as the basic unit of a language is the word not the character.”

(9) <Fm>由于它们的繁殖力极强，生长速度极快，短期内就会产生大量的后代，所以把目的基因转入这些细菌，就能在短时间内得到大量的基因拷贝，<Fa>[[从而]]产生大量的产物</Fa>。 <Fm>(LCMC_J.xml/sn="0083")

<Fm>youchu tamen de fanzhili jijiang
because their GEN reproductive.power extremely strong
shengzhang suodu ji kui duanqi nei jiu
growth rate extremely quick short.spell during then
hui chansheng daliang de houdai suoyi ba mudi
can produce plenty.of DE next.generation so.that BA target
jiyin zhuangru zhexie xijun jiu neng zai duan
genes inject.into these bacteria then can within short
shijian nei dedao daliang de jiycin kaobei
period.of.time during obtain plenty.of DE genes replica
<Fa>conger chansheng daliang de chanwu</Fa></Fm>
consequently form plenty.of DE products

“Since they have strong reproductive power and a high growth rate and can reproduce within a short spell, if we inject our target gene into these bacteria, we will obtain, in a short period of time, a huge number of replicas of the target gene and this boosts productivity substantially.”

(10) <Fm>有个连队收看电视连续剧《诽谤》，片面强调看节目就是受教育，不论工作多忙也要看到底，<Fa>[[以致]]有15次推迟</Fm>早晨起床时间</Fa>。<Fm>(LCMC_J.xml/sn="0024")

<Fm>youchu ge liandui shoukan dianshi lianxuju
there.exists CL military.officer watch TV drama.series
Feibang pianmian qiangdiao kan jiemu jiushi
Rumours.on.the.screen emphasise watch TV.programme that.is
shou jiaoyu bulun gongzhuo duo mang ye
receive education no.matter job how busy yet
yao kandaodi <Fa>yizhi you 15 ci tuichi
want.to watch.till.the.end as.a.result have 15 times delay
zaocheng qichuang shijian</Fa></Fm>
morning  wake.up  time
“One of the military officers watched the TV drama series entitled ‘Rumours’
which purported to be an educational programme and he watched it no matter
how busy he was and thus he had got up late fifteen times.”

(11) <Fm>人们 将 密切 注视，日本今后将以何种形式扩大自己的
国际 影响，<Fa>[[从而]] 达到 成为” 政治 大国 “的 目的</Fa>。
</Fm>(LCMC_B.xml/sn="0056")
<Fm>renmen jiang  miqie zhushi  Riben jinhou
people very.soon closely pay.attention.to Japan in.future
jiang yi hezhong xingshi kuoda ziji de guoji
very.soon use which way expand its GEN international
yingxiang  <Fa>conger  dadao  chengwei zhengzhi daguo
influence consequently reach become political giant.nation
de mudi</Fa></Fm>
DE purpose
“People will see how Japan expands its influence over other nations and achieves
its goal of being one of the largest countries in the world.”

(12) 路透社 认为，<Fm><Fa>她 [[之所以]] 不 象过去讨论成立货币 联
盟 时 那样 强烈 反对</Fa>，其 原因 是 在 国内 政治 上 遇到 了 麻
烦，威信下降，希望 得到 其他 盟国 的 支持 ，再说，目前 政
治 联盟 的 目标 尚不具体，笼统地表示支持也无不可</Fm>。
(LCMC_B.xml/sn="0025")
Lutoushe renwei  <Fm><Fa>ta zhisuoyi  bu xiang
Reuters believe she the.reason.why not like
guoqu taolun chengli huobi lianmeng shi
in.the.past discuss set.up currency union at.that.time
nayang qianglie fandui</Fa> qi yuanxin shi zai guonei
that.way fiercely object her reason be at within.the.country
zhengzhishang yudao le mafan weixin xiajiang
politically-speaking face PERF trouble credibility drop
xiwang dedao qita mengguo de zhichi zaishuo
hope obtain other united.nations’ GEN support what.is.more
muqian zhengzhi lianmeng de mubiao shang bu
for.the.time.being political coalition’s GEN goal yet not
juti longtong de biaoshi zhichi ye wu bu

flesh.out ostensibly ADVL indicate support yet have. not not ke</Fm>
possible

“Reuters news agency believed the reason why she did not object severely to the creation of currency unions as she did previously was that she had political troubles at home and her credibility dropped, and thus she wished to gain support from other nations; additionally, the objective of the plan was not made clear yet and her ostensible support was by no means inappropriate.”

7.2.3. The interaction between purpose clauses and categories E and F

In the LCMC corpus, it was shown in Table 38 that purpose clauses are most frequently used in category E (i.e. skills, trades and hobbies) as in examples (13) and (14) and category F (i.e. popular lore) as in examples (15) and (16). The LL value with 9 d.f. for clauses of purpose calculated on normalised frequencies is 51.296, greater than the critical value for significance at $p<0.001$ (27.88), highlighting the fact that clauses of purpose are predominant in these two text categories. Category E contains 18 texts on a wide variety of topics which fall into three major categories, namely (a) hobbies e.g. fishing (E02), playing bridge (E16), playing badminton (E18), photography (E32), playing cards (E38), etc., (b) practical skills in various fields such as craft (E37) and home decoration (E27 and E36), etc., and (c) tactics in trades and business such as stocks investment (E13 and E15). On the other hand, category F is composed of texts relating to local culture such as celebrities (F05 and F13), fashion (F37), marriage and family (F07 and F35), overseas study (F08) and love affairs (F16, F23 and F24), and texts relating to everyday life such as cosmetic preparations (F10), diet/health (F39, F40 and F41), school (F29) and driving (F01). Hence, as illustrated in examples (13) and (14) respectively, clauses of purpose are used for giving instructions on mastering a hobby i.e. photography and explaining the practical skills
needed in making a bird cage, while in examples (15) and (16), they are used, respectively, for offering guidance on interpersonal skills and giving advice on careers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text types of LCMC</th>
<th>Clauses of purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raw frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category B</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category C</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category D</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category E</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category F</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category G</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category H</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category J</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category K</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category L</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category M</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category N</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category P</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>108</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| LL score (9 d.f.):| 51.296             |

Table 38: Frequencies of clauses of purpose across text types

(13) <Fm>色彩 选配 得当 ， 能 给 人 以 清雅 大方 之 感 ， <Fa>[[从而]] 得到 艺术 上 的 享受</Fa> 。 </Fm>(LCMC_E.xml/sn="0004")

<Fm>secai xuanpei dedang neng gei ren yi colour pick.and.match properly can give people as.regards qingya dafang zhi gan <Fa>conger dedao yishushang elegant generous DE impression in.order.that obtain aesthetical de xiangshou</Fa></Fm>
DE pleasure
“If the colour is used properly, the work will look elegant and aesthetic.”
(14) <Fm>洗刷 后 的 竹制 鸟笼 不能 任 烈 日 下 暴晒 ， <Fa>[[以 免]] 笼 架 松散</Fa>。  

\[\text{LCMC}_E.\text{xml/sn=0046}^{(14)}\]

- xicha  hou  de  zhuzhi  niaolong  buneng  ren  
  wash  after  DE  bamboo  bird.cage  cannot  let  
- lieri  xia  baoshai  <Fa>yimian  longjia
  strong.sunlight  under  expose  in.order.not.to  parts.of.cage  
- songsan</Fa></Fm>  
dismantle  
“The washed bamboo bird cage should not be exposed to strong sunlight in order 
not to damage the cage.”

(15) <Fm>他们 悄悄地 察看 着 你 的 脸 ， 察看 你 的 相貌 、 衣着 、 举止 、 倾听 着 你 的 言谈 和 回答 ， <Fa>[[从而]] 试 着 判定 你 是 个 怎样 的 人</Fa>。  

\[\text{LCMC}_F.\text{xml/sn=0048}^{(15)}\]

- tamen  qiaoaqiaode  chakan  zhe  ni  de  lian
  they  quietly  examine  PROG  your  GEN  face
- chakan  ni  de  xiangmao  yizhuo  juzhi
  examine  your  GEN  appearance  clothing  behaviour
- qingting  ni  de  yantan  he  huida  <Fa>conger
  listen.to  your  GEN  talk  and  reply  in.order.to
- shi  zhe  panding  ni  shi  ge  zenyang  de  ren</Fa></Fm>  
try  PROG  judge  you  be  CL  what.kind.of  DE  person
“They examined in secret your face, appearance, clothing and manner and 
listened to what you said and how you replied in order to gauge what sort of 
person you are.”

(16) <Fm>在 明确 个 人 的 职业 意向 及 心理 特点 后 ， 应 进一步 设法 了解 所 倾向 的 职业 要求 ， 培养 相 调节 自己 所 倾向 的 职业 所需 的 各种 心理 品质 ， <Fa>[[以 免]] 今后 的 工作 处于 被动</Fa>。

\[\text{LCMC}_F.\text{xml/sn=0046}^{(16)}\]

- zai  mingque  geren  de  zhiye  yixiang  ji  
at  realise  individual’s  GEN  career  objective  and  
- xinli  tedian  hou  ying  jinyibu  shefa
  psychological  traits  after  should  further  make.every.effort.to
- liaojie  suo  qingxiang  de  zhiye  yaoqiu
  understand  belong.to.oneself  intended  DE  career  requirement
- peiyang  xiang  tiaojie  ziji  suo  qingxiang
  enable
foster accordingly adjust oneself belong.to.oneself intended  
de zhiye suo xu de gezhong xinli
DE career belong.to.oneself need DE various.kinds.of psychological
pinzhi <Fa>yimian jinhou de gongzhuo chuyu
qualities in.order.not.to future DE work remain.in.a.state.of
beidong</Fa></Fm>
unmotivated
“After understanding one’s career objective and personality traits, one should
learn more about the requirements of the desired career and prepare one’s
mentality for it in order not to be unmotivated later at work.”

To conclude this section, I have demonstrated that semantic classes of adverbial
clauses and text categories are in a reciprocal relationship in that adverbial semantic
classes have a propensity to occur in certain text categories (for example, clauses of
condition and purpose are frequently used in category E for giving instructions), and
different genres tend to prefer a particular semantic class of adverbial clauses (for
e.g., academic prose takes more clauses of reason to explain research
methodological issues).

7.3. The distribution of PROs across text types and semantic domains

In this section, I will examine how overt and non-overt subjects are used in adverbial
clauses across text categories and across the semantic domains of adverbial clauses,
by comparison to the results obtained from the PFR training corpus.

7.3.1. Text type and choice of subject

Table 39 shows the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects in different text types.
I tested the statistical significance of the relatedness between text category and choice
of subject, both *across* and *within* text types. As the text categories are of different sizes, the log-likelihood value for determining the distribution of subjects across text types was calculated on the basis of the normalised frequencies of subjects. However, the log-likelihood scores of individual text categories were calculated on the basis of the raw frequencies of overt and non-overt subjects, which both occur within the same text type. While the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects is significantly different across text types (the calculated LL value with 14 d.f. is 105.762, greater than 36.12, the critical value for significance at $p<0.001$), the distribution of subjects within certain text categories does not differ significantly at this level. Only category 

N indicates a significant outcome; its LL score with 1 d.f. (29.60) is greater than the critical value for significance (10.83) at $p<0.001$. Only if $p$ is lessened to 0.05 do more significant results occur (when 3.841 becomes the critical value). At that level overt subjects occur more frequently in categories A, G, J, N, P and R. Of the fifteen text categories, only six genres were shown statistically to prefer one type of subject (i.e. overt subject) over the other (i.e. PRO) in adverbial clauses. Consequently, I would not claim that the relatedness between text type and choice of subject is of significance. However, this does not entirely exclude the influence of text type on the distribution of subjects, though that significance is only measurable at a lower level (95%). In the following, I will demonstrate that text types do play a role in the choice of subject in the adverbial clause.

---

6 Category N, *adventure and martial arts fiction*, is a distinctive language type in that the language used in most stories of this type is influenced by vernacular Chinese, i.e. modern Chinese styled to appear like classical Chinese. In this way, the use of adverbial clauses in this text category may be different from that in other text categories due to the difference between modern Chinese and classical Chinese. However, as the focus of my thesis is on adverbial clauses in modern Chinese, I will not explore this issue further in this thesis. Interested readers can refer to Wiebusch (2005) for a quantitative investigation of Chinese numeral classifiers based on historical corpora.
7.3.2. Adverbial semantic class and choice of subject

The discussion in Chapter Six (section 6.5) reported that the distribution of non-overt subjects of adverbial clauses varies significantly across semantic types of adverbial clauses. To recapitulate, clauses of concession and reason favour the use of overt subjects whereas clauses of condition, purpose, contrast and result prefer using null subjects. Table 40 shows the raw frequencies of overt and non-overt subjects of adverbial clauses in both the PFR and LCMC corpora. While both subject types are slightly more frequent in the LCMC corpus than in the PFR corpus, the difference in the distribution of these two subject types between the two corpora is not statistically

---

7 As both the PFR and LCMC corpora are of equal sizes, containing one million word tokens, normalisation of frequencies is not required.
significant: Fisher’s Exact Test fails to detect a significant difference even at the 95% level ($p=2.105$). Given that the distribution of subjects of adverbial clauses is not sensitive to genre variations (as noted in section 7.3.1), it is not surprising that the two corpora, which are structurally distinct from each other in terms of their composition of genres, show no statistically significant difference in the occurrence of overt and non-overt subjects of Chinese adverbial clauses. On the other hand, the semantic class of the adverbial clause plays a vital role in determining the distribution of subjects, as demonstrated in the previous chapter using the PFR corpus. As the PFR corpus contains a single genre i.e. journalistic writing, it is unclear whether or not the influence of adverbial semantic class on the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects depends on text type. I therefore decided to investigate this question by using the LCMC corpus. As the variation in genres has been proved to be insignificant in the distribution of subjects in the adverbial clause, I hypothesise that the effect of adverbial semantic domain on the distribution of subjects is not dependent on text type, i.e. a similar pattern of the distribution of overt subjects and non-overt ones (PROs) in certain semantic kinds of adverbial clauses can be found in both the PFR corpus (a homogenous corpus of journalistic texts) and the LCMC corpus (a balanced corpus of fifteen distinct text types). To test this hypothesis, I compared the distribution of both subject types (i.e. overt subject and PRO) within eleven semantic classes of adverbial clauses in the LCMC corpus. As illustrated in Table 41, the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects varies significantly across semantic domains (the LL value with 10 d.f. is 535.750, considerably greater than 29.59, the critical value for significance at $p<0.001$). Five semantic types of adverbial clauses give statistically significant results with the log-likelihood values (with 1 d.f.) greater than the critical value for significance at $p<0.001$ (10.83). Whilst overt subjects are most frequently used in clauses of concession and less frequently used in clauses of
reason and exception, null subjects are overwhelmingly used in clauses of contrast and purpose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject types</th>
<th>PFR Corpus</th>
<th>LCMC Corpus</th>
<th>Fisher’s Exact Test (p&lt;0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overt NP subjects</td>
<td>1,083</td>
<td>1,636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROs</td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td>1,345</td>
<td>2.105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 40: Frequencies of overt and non-overt subjects of adverbial clauses in PFR and LCMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic types of CACs</th>
<th>Raw frequency</th>
<th>LL (1 d.f.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overt NP subjects</td>
<td>PROs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of time</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of reason</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of purpose</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of result</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of preference</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of contrast</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of addition</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of exception</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of condition</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of concession</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of inference</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-likelihood (LL) value (10 d.f.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>535.750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 41: Subject types vs. semantic types of CACs
Figure 9: Contrasting overt subjects in PFR and LCMC

Figure 10: Contrasting non-overt subjects in PFR and LCMC
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text types</th>
<th>LL scores for overt subjects vs. PROs</th>
<th>Semantic classes of CACs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cause/reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>13.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>26.66</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>11.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>29.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 42: Contrasting the distribution of subjects across text types

As far as the distribution of overt subjects is concerned, the results obtained from the PFR corpus can be perfectly mirrored in the data drawn from the LCMC corpus. As can be seen in Figure 9, the distribution patterns of overt subjects in the PFR and LCMC corpora are strikingly similar. However, as far as the distribution of non-overt subjects is concerned, two observations made on the basis of the LCMC corpus go against the results obtained from the PFR corpus. These two findings are telling arguments for the role of text type in the use of overt and non-overt subjects in the adverbial clause; this relationship should not be ignored simply because of the lack of significance when text type and choice of subject are contrasted (see section 7.3.1). As shown in Figure 10, purpose clauses take remarkably less PROs in the LCMC corpus than in the PFR corpus, possibly because the majority (87%) of the non-overt subjects
of the purpose clauses occur only in six of the text types in the LCMC corpus i.e. scientific academic prose (17%), press editorials (17%), skills/trades/hobbies (15%), popular lore (15%), reports and official documents (12%) and religion (11%). Whilst a fall in the use of non-overt subjects in clauses of purpose attaches some credence to the influence of text type on the distribution of subjects, the insignificant contrast between the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects in clauses of condition provides further evidence to support this influence. As shown in Table 41, conditional clauses do not show a preponderant use of PRO in the LCMC corpus (the calculated LL value with 1 d.f. is 0.44, much smaller than the critical value for significance, 10.83, at \( p<0.001 \)). If the semantic domains of adverbial clauses had imposed an influence on the occurrence of PROs irrespective of genre variations, conditional clauses, which were proved to favour the use of non-overt subjects in the PFR corpus, would have taken significantly more PROs than overt subjects in the LCMC corpus. Thus it is necessary to examine more closely the five adverbial clause types which, as noted above, give significant results on their choice of subject, with the aim of identifying any difference in the distribution of subjects across genres in these adverbial semantic domains. Table 42 shows the log-likelihood scores of individual text categories in the contrast of overt and non-overt subjects, where statistically significant values are highlighted.\(^8\) As illustrated in the table, the contrast between the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects in the five adverbial semantic classes under consideration is marked only in certain text types, and the influence of text type is most appreciable in clauses of exception in which the difference in the distribution of these two kinds of subject is significant only in category G. Hence, I rejected the

---

\(^8\) As both the overt and non-overt subjects of a particular kind of adverbial clause occur in the same text category, the log-likelihood test of significance was computed on the basis of the raw frequencies of the subjects. The calculated LL value (with 1 d.f.) is significant if it is greater than 10.83, the critical value for significance at \( p<0.001 \).
null hypothesis and conclude that the effect of semantic domain on the distribution of subjects depends on text type. While text types do not have a direct influence on the distribution of PROs as indicated by the non-significant results between text type and subject type, they do indeed have an indirect influence on how the semantic domains of adverbial clauses determine the distribution of subjects in the adverbial clause. In the following section, I will investigate how text type influences the choice of referential controllers for PRO in CACs.

7.4. Types of control of PRO and text types

The discussion of the properties of control of PRO in Chapter Six, section 6.4 brings out three distinct types of control for Chinese non-overt subjects: a non-overt subject of the Chinese adverbial clause is controlled by either an NP (subject or object) in the main clause or an NP outside the main clause i.e. in previous context, or it is not controlled by any NP and refers freely. While more or less the same number of non-overt subjects occur in both the PFR and LCMC corpora, the distribution of their controllers is different in the two corpora.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese corpora</th>
<th>Main clause control</th>
<th>Control outside main clause</th>
<th>No control i.e. arbitrary interpretation</th>
<th>No. of PRO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFR</td>
<td>689 (52%)</td>
<td>411 (31%)</td>
<td>222 (17%)</td>
<td>1,334 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCMC⁹</td>
<td>436 (32%)</td>
<td>424 (32%)</td>
<td>453 (34%)</td>
<td>1,345 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 43: Types of control of PRO in PFR and LCMC

⁹ For the record, object control in the LCMC corpus occurs in various text types, namely category B (yinwei, jishi and ruo), category C (zhiyou), category D (yimian), category E (yimian, cong er (2) and sui), category F (youyu, cong er (2), ruguo, zhiyao, zhiyou and chufei), category G (youyu, yinwei, zhiyao, suiran, sui and buguan), category J (yimian, cong er and suiran), category K (ruguo and napa), category N (ru, ruoshi and buguan), and category P (ruguo and yaoshi).
As can be seen in Table 43, subject control from the main clause slightly dominates the other two types of control in the PFR corpus. However, in the LCMC corpus, these three types of control average out in their distribution, with more or less the same frequency of occurrence. The effect of averaging out the three kinds of control of PRO in the LCMC corpus is caused by a surge in the use of non-overt subjects (PRO\textsubscript{arb}) which are not controlled by any element in the sentence and thus take an arbitrary reading, i.e. 453 instances in the LCMC corpus, the double of 222 instances in the PFR corpus. While more “arbitrary” non-overt subjects appear, there is a relatively reduced use of main clause subject control. In Figure 11, a drop in the use of main clause subject controllers can be clearly seen, as opposed to a rise in “no control” in the LCMC corpus. As highlighted in Table 44, the evident growing use of PRO\textsubscript{arb} is shown in clauses of condition, which have 314 cases of PRO\textsubscript{arb} in the LCMC corpus, compared to 143 cases in the PFR corpus. More specifically, it was shown in Table 45 that clauses of condition take the greatest number of PRO\textsubscript{arb} in category E among the fifteen text types of the LCMC corpus. This category comprises a wide range of articles on practical skills and hobbies. In articles of this sort, authors give instructions for how to manage a particular skill or hobby. As has been mentioned in section 7.2.1, conditional clauses are frequently employed in this genre to create a hypothetical situation in which the author provides an explanation. In these situations, there is no specific referent intended to be the reader. As a result, non-overt subjects with an arbitrary interpretation are overwhelmingly used in text type E, as illustrated in examples (17) and (18).
Figure 11: Contrasting types of controller for PRO in PFR and LCMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-overt subjects with an arbitrary interpretation (PROarb)</th>
<th>PFR Corpus</th>
<th>LCMC Corpus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semantic Classes of CACs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Cause or Reason</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Purpose</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Result</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Preference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Contrast</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Addition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Exception</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clause of Condition</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
<td><strong>314</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Concession</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause of Inference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>222</strong></td>
<td><strong>453</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 44: Distribution of PROarb across semantic types of CACs in PFR and LCMC
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Text Types</th>
<th>Semantic Domains of Chinese Adverbial Clauses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 45: Distribution of PRO_{arb} across semantic domains of CACs and text types of LCMC

(17) <Fm><Fa>[[如果]] PRO_{arb} 递 钩 后 , 没 见 鱼 上钩</Fa> , 要 上下 提 拉 钓钩 , 以 此 诱 鱼 和 显示 钓 饵 可见度 。
<Fm>(LCMC_E.xml/sn="0023")

<Fa>PRO_{arb} di gou hou mei jian yu
if PRO hold hook after have/not see fish
shanggou</Fa> yao shangxia ti la diaogou yici
being.caught have.to up.and.down raise pull hook thereby
you yu he xianshi diaoer kejiandu</Fa>
attract fish and show bait visibility
“If (you) hold the hook and do not see any fish being caught, (you) should move
the hook up and down in order to attract prey and monitor the bait.”

(18) <Fm><Fa>[[若]] PRO_{arb} 用 洗衣机 洗衣</Fa> , 只要 在 洗衣 缸 里 放 一 杯 醋 , 洗衣粉 泡沫 就 会 消失 , 不会 溢出 机 外 。
<Fm>(LCMC_E.xml/sn="0004")

<Fa>PRO_{arb} yong xiyiji xiyi</Fa>
if PRO use washing.machine wash.clothes

269
zhiyao zai xiyigang li fang yi bei
given.that in drum.of.washing.machine inside put one CL
cu xiyifen paomo jiu hui xiaoshi buhui
vinegar washing.powder foam then will disappear will.not
yichu ji wai</Fm>
splash.out machine outside
“If (you) apply washing powder, (you) can pour a cup of vinegar into the drum of
the washing machine so that the foam of the powder will disappear and will not
spill out.”

7.5. Chapter summary

In this chapter, I used the Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese (LCMC), which is a
balanced corpus containing fifteen distinct text types of written Chinese, as a test
corpus and the PFR corpus as a training corpus. I examined the interrelationship
between the semantic domains of Chinese adverbial clauses (CACs) and the text types
of the LCMC corpus. I also investigated how the non-overt subjects (PROs) of
adverbial clauses are used in written Chinese and explored the influence of semantic
domain and text type on the distribution of PROs in the adverbial clause.

In both the PFR and LCMC corpora, conditional and concessive clauses are the
most common of the eleven semantic types of adverbial clauses. In a close
examination of the press texts of the LCMC corpus exclusively (i.e. categories A, B
and C), it is clear that conditional and concessive clauses also dominate all other
semantic domains in these text categories. A hypothesis drawn from this is that
journalistic texts are marked by conditional and concessive clauses. However, a
statistical test of significance on the difference in the occurrence of these two kinds of
adverbial clause between journalistic texts (of categories A, B and C) and
non-journalistic texts (of the remaining categories in the LCMC) indicated that the
difference is not significant. Hence, while conditional and concessive clauses are commonly used in press texts, they are not a marked feature of journalistic writing. Rather, they are most frequently used, respectively, in categories E (skills, trades and hobbies) and N (adventure and martial arts fiction). Other semantic classes of adverbial clauses also have a propensity to occur in certain text types of the corpus. Clauses of reason and result are closely related to categories D and J. Category D is chiefly concerned with the history of mainstream religions in mainland China and thus reason and result clauses are used to describe the origin and development of a religion, whereas category J is a collection of various science and social science academic texts. Thus reason clauses are commonly employed to give a rationale for adopting a particular research methodology and result clauses are used to present the research findings. As well as discussing research observations and results, result clauses are frequently used to give comments on the effects of political and economic events in category B which contains editorials from Xinhua news agency and local newspapers. Purpose clauses interact with category E (ibid) to give instructions for mastering a particular skill (e.g. craft and home decoration) and hobby (e.g. photography and fishing), as well as with category F (popular lore) to offer guidance on topics concerning local culture and daily life such as fashion, health, interpersonal communication skills, family, school and careers.

Whilst the distribution of subjects of adverbial clauses varies significantly across text types, overt and non-overt subjects do not differ significantly in their distribution within text types. This does not indicate, however, that text type does not influence the distribution of PROs in the adverbial clause. Results obtained from the PFR corpus have demonstrated that the distribution of non-overt subjects varies significantly across semantic domains of adverbial clauses: while non-overt subjects
are used predominantly in clauses of condition, purpose, contrast and result, overt subjects are mostly used in concessive and causal clauses. In the LCMC corpus, the use of overt subjects confirms the results obtained from the PFR corpus, i.e. they occur significantly more frequently in clauses of concession, reason and exception. On the other hand, null subjects are used mostly in clauses of contrast and purpose. In contrast to the results obtained earlier from the PFR corpus, conditional clauses do not show a marked difference in the use of either subject type, though they were proved statistically to take significantly more PROs than overt subjects in the PFR corpus. One possible explanation is that text type has an influence on the distribution of PROs: in the five adverbial clause types which, as noted above, show a preference for a particular type of subject, the contrast between the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects is marked only in certain text categories of the LCMC corpus. This suggests that the effect of the semantic domains of adverbial clauses on the distribution of PROs, as shown in both the PFR and LCMC corpora, does indeed depend on text type. In other words, the distribution of PROs is a result of the semantic domains of adverbial clauses which is determined by text type.

Of the three distinct types of control of PRO identified in the PFR corpus (i.e. main clause control, control from previous context and no control), while main clause subject control slightly dominates in the corpus, these three kinds of control have more or less the same frequency of occurrence in the LCMC corpus. This averaging-out effect is brought about by a doubled number of PRO_{arb} used in the LCMC (i.e. 453 occurrences) compared to that in PFR (i.e. 222 cases). The preponderant use of PRO_{arb} is evident in text type E, which employs a huge number of conditional clauses to create a hypothetical situation for teaching a particular skill or hobby. As articles of this nature are not intended for a particular group of readers, it
does not come as a surprise that non-overt subjects with an arbitrary interpretation occur obtrusively in category E. Thus more occurrences of PRO\text{arb} are found in the LCMC corpus than in the PFR corpus, which is made up of press materials only. This demonstrates how text type influences the choice of the type of control of PRO in CACs.
Chapter Eight

Semantic Classes and Non-overt Subjects of CACs in the CALLHOME Mandarin Chinese Transcripts Corpus

8.1. Introduction

In this chapter, I will examine spoken and written registers’ use of adverbial clauses by comparing the behaviour of adverbial clauses in the fifteen text categories of the LCMC corpus to that in a spoken corpus of Mandarin Chinese (cf. Wang, 1998 and 1999). Many studies have explored the differences between spoken and written language for a range of languages, but not Chinese.\(^1\) Hence findings from a contrastive study of adverbial clauses between spoken and written Chinese can be used to re-evaluate claims made in the literature about the differences between spoken and written discourse derived from the study of other languages.

The spoken Mandarin Chinese corpus\(^2\) used in this chapter is the CALLHOME Mandarin Chinese Transcripts Corpus\(^3\) (Wheatley, 1996; Huang et al., 1997; Zhan et al., 1998; Cieri, 2000; Cieri and Liberman, 2000; Lo et al., 2000; Shu et al., 2000; Zhang and Yamamoto, 2001; Cieri et al., 2002; Honal and Schultz, 2003; Lo et al., 2003; Meng et al., 2004). It was built in 1996 and consists of some 300,000 words of short, contiguous, speech segments, ranging from 5 to 10 minutes and taken from 120

---


2 There are some other spoken Mandarin Chinese corpora available at the LDC. These are broadcast speech corpora (e.g. 1997 Mandarin Broadcast News Speech, TDT2 Mandarin Audio Corpus and TDT3 Mandarin Audio), and telephone speech corpora (e.g. CALLFRIEND Mandarin Chinese-Mainland Dialect, CALLFRIEND Mandarin Chinese-Taiwan Dialect, Hub-5 Mandarin Telephone Speech Corpus and 2001 HUB5 Mandarin Evaluation).

3 See the corpus website [http://www.ldc.upenn.edu/Catalog/LDC96T16.html](http://www.ldc.upenn.edu/Catalog/LDC96T16.html).
unscripted telephone conversations between native speakers of Mandarin Chinese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XML elements of annotation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fa</td>
<td>Adverbial subordinate clause (see section 8.2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fam</td>
<td>Adverbial-main clause (see section 8.2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO</td>
<td>Non-overt subject (PRO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROarb</td>
<td>Arbitrary PRO (i.e. the interpretation of PRO is arbitrary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>Subject controller (i.e. the subject NP of the main clause which is co-referential with PRO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>Object controller (i.e. the object NP of the verb in the main clause which is co-referential with PRO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cc</td>
<td>Contextual controller (i.e. an NP in previous context which is co-referential with PRO)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 46: The annotation scheme of CALLHOME

As with the PFR and LCMC corpora (see Chapter 5, section 5.2; Chapter 7, section 7.1.1), I adopted a problem-oriented tagging approach to CALLHOME to annotate a range of features in the corpus designed to suit my research purposes. The annotation scheme is described in Table 46. The purpose of annotating these features was to ease my investigation of adverbial clauses and the non-overt subjects occurring in these clauses. In the remainder of this chapter, I will discuss how I identified the adverbial clause in spoken Chinese (section 8.2). The adverbial clause is more complex in spoken Chinese than in written Chinese. I will also examine in CALLHOME the distribution of adverbial clauses across their semantic classes by comparison to the distribution pattern in the narrative texts of the LCMC corpus (section 8.3). To demonstrate any similarities/differences between speech and writing regarding the use of non-overt subjects in adverbial clauses, I will compare the distribution of subjects of the adverbial clauses in the CALLHOME and LCMC
corpora (section 8.4). Section 8.5 will give a summary of the findings presented in this chapter.

8.2. Definition of adverbial clauses in CALLHOME

Given that in written language an adverbial clause and its modified main clause typically occur in the same sentence, the canonical form for an adverbial clause in spoken language is that, as illustrated in examples (1) and (2), the adverbial clause and the main clause are uttered by the same speaker in a single turn\(^4\) (i.e. more or less equivalent to a single sentence in the written language). However, as will be demonstrated shortly, some of the adverbial clauses identified in the CALLHOME corpus do not conform to this canonical expression format; in conversation, some adverbial clauses are set apart from the main clause that they modify and placed in different turns from the modified main clause. Section 8.2.1 examines those atypical adverbial subordinate clauses in speech, and explains their occurrence by addressing the features characterising spoken discourse as discussed in the literature on the differences between spoken and written language. Section 8.2.2, on the other hand, focusses on an adverbial clause type that cannot be found in written Chinese and is referred to as adverbial-main clause in this work.

**Example (1)**

180.08 182.62 A1: <Fm2>我 这 倒 不 不 需要 打 # 工 #, <Fa2>因为 我 在 学 校 当 <English_T_A> 因为/Fa2>.</Fm2>
180.08 182.62 A1: <Fm2>wo zhe dao bu bu xuyao da# gong #, 
  I this yet not not have.to work
  <Fa2>yinwei wo zai xuexiao dang <English_T_A>

\(^4\) In conversation, a turn can be a word, a phrase, a clause and a full sentence spoken at a time by an interlocutor (Nofsinger, 1991:80).
because I stay at school work as teaching assistant ma</Fa2> .</Fm2>
PART
“I don’t have to work outside because I am now working as a teaching assistant in the university.”

Example (2)
172.86 175.89 B: <Fm2>很 难 就是 请假，<Fa2>因为 PRO2 还 呀，不好意思 请假，因为 都 是</Fa2> --</Fm2>
172.86 175.89 B: <Fm2>hen nan jiushì qingjia , <Fa2>yinwei very hard that.is take.leave because PRO2 hai a, buhaoyisi qingjia , yinwei dou PRO still PART feel.sorry take.leave because all shi</Fa2> --</Fm2>
be
“(I) find it difficult to take leave, because (I) feel guilty, because all are …”

8.2.1. Adverbial subordinate clauses of spoken Chinese

8.2.1.1. Other speaker interrupts

There are three kinds of adverbial subordinate clause which are characterised by the way they are expressed in spoken discourse as identified in the CALLHOME corpus. Firstly, as shown in examples (3) and (4), speaker A/B⁵ at one end of the conversation was interrupted by the speaker at the other end; the other speaker produced minimal responses (e.g. mm, uh, etc.) to signal speaker A/B to proceed. On the other hand, as can be seen in examples (5) and (6), speaker A/B was interrupted by the other

⁵ In the examples used in this chapter, speakers are labelled as A (i.e. the person calling from the U.S.), and B (i.e. the person overseas). If there is more than one speaker at one end of the conversation (e.g. the telephone is passed around, or multiple extensions are in use), each new speaker is identified by a number: for example, B stands for the first speaker on side B; B1 stands for a different speaker; B2 stands for yet another speaker.
speaker(s) who asked questions to clarify the situation described in the conversation.

In either case, speaker A/B was interrupted at the boundary between the adverbial clause and the main clause and thus the adverbial clause and the main clause are expressed in separate turns by the same speaker.

Example (3)

476.06 480.28 A: <Fm2>那么 呢，但是 回，从 美国 回国 一趟 太 远 了
机票 是 太 贵，因为 –

<Fm2>name le danshi hui cong Meiguo
well PART but go.back from America
huiguoyitangtai yuan le jipiao shi tai
go.home once too far.away PART air.ticket be too
gui yinwei –
expensive because
“But it’s a long distance for me to travel from the U.S. to the
mainland, and the air ticket is expensive, because –”

476.40 476.75 B: 哎．
ai
PART
“Uh.”

480.55 483.82 A: <Fa2>&日本 毕竟 很 近 嘛，&美国 太 远 了，
它 机票 很 贵</Fa2>./<Fm2>

<Fa2>Riben bijing hen jin ma Mei
Japan nevertheless very near PART America
Meiguo tai yuan le jipiao hen
America too far.away PART air.ticket very
gui</Fa2></Fm2>
expensive
“Japan is closer anyway. America is too far away from mainland
China. The air ticket is expensive.”

Example (4)

216.72 221.43 B: 恢复，就 一下子 去 了 嘛，<Fm2>我 是 心里 好象 很 难
接受 这 种 事实．
huifu jiu yixiazi qu le ma <Fm2>wo recover then suddenly pass.away PERF PART I
shi xinli haoxiang hen nan jieshou zhe
be in.spirit as.if very hard accept this
zhong shishi
CL fact
“(He) recovered and then passed away all of a sudden. I felt
overwhelmed with grief and could not come to terms with his
death.”

221.46 221.85 A:

ng
PART
“Mm.”

221.62 223.75 B: <Fa2>因为 我 原来 计划 暑假 里 嘛，跟 他 嘛，
<Fa2>yinwei wo yuanlai jihua shujia li
because I originally plan summer.vacation in
ma gen ta ma
PART with him PART
“Because this summer I planned to …”

224.02 227.71 B: 嗯，搞搞 就 吃 些 西瓜 啊，搞搞 绿豆 汤 啊，陪 陪 他
啊</Fa2>. </Fm2>
<Fa2>ng gaogao jiu chi xie xigua a
PART make then eat some melon PART
gaogao luidou tang a peipei ta
make green.beans soup PART accompany him
a</Fa2></Fm2>
PART
“mm, share some melon with him, make green bean soup for him,
and be his companion.”

Example (5)

714.44 715.94 A: <Fm2>经济 上 要 损失 一点 了 哈 ？
<Fm2>jingji shang yao xunshi yidian
economy aspect have.to lose a.bit
le ha
PART PART
“You have to spend some money. Haha.”
716.56 718.38 B2: legate,反正也无所谓啦，{laugh}

ai  fanzheng  ye  wusuowei  le
PART  anyway  yet  does.not.matter  PART

“Oh, it doesn’t matter anyway.”

718.13 720.28 A:  {laugh}

120.09 121.21 B:  没问题，是不是啊？

meiwenti  shibushi  a
no.problem  is.it  PART

“It isn’t a problem, is it?”

120.17 124.36 A:  <Fa2>因为他现在说，现在是夏天嘛，你就给他有个毛巾被，什么的</Fa2>. </Fm2>

<Fa2>yinwei ta xianzai jiushishuo xianzai shi
because he now so.to.speak at.present be
xiatian ma ni jiu gei ta you ge maojinbei
summer PART you then for him haveCL blanket
shenmede</Fa2></Fm2>

whatever

“Because it’s summer so you’d better buy him a blanket or whatever.”

Example (6)

532.06 534.86 B:  <Fm2>并且 看 了 你们 的 照片，我们 非常 高兴 ．

<Fm2>bingqie  kan  le  nimen  de
additionally  read  PERF  your  GEN
zhaojian  women  feichang  gaoxing
photos  we  very  happy

“And, after we saw your photos, we were very happy.”

534.83 535.46 A:  怎么样？

zenmeyang

why

“Why?”

536.15 540.44 B:  <Fa2>因为那个照片看上去好象在 一个 非常 美丽的 田野 里面</Fa2>. </Fm2>

<Fa2>yinwei nage  zhaojian  kanshangqu  haoxiang
because those photos  look.like  as.if
zai yi ge  feichang  meili  de  tianye
in one CL very beautiful DE forest
limian</Fa2></Fm2>
inside
“Because it seemed to us that the photos were taken in front of a
magnificent forest.”

8.2.1.2. Speaker pauses

The second kind of adverbial subordinate clause found in the corpus demonstrates
fragmentation in speech. As illustrated in examples (7) and (8), in spite of
experiencing no interruption from the other speaker, speaker A/B still completed the
utterance containing both the adverbial clause and the main clause in several turns,
rather than in a single turn as occurs in the canonical form of the adverbial
subordinate clause aforementioned. In comparing spoken and written language, Chafe
(1982) observes that while written language is characterised by a high degree of
integration, spoken language is characterised by fragmentation.6 The difference
between integration and fragmentation lies in the notion of idea units (Chafe
An idea unit contains all the information a speaker/writer intends to convey in a piece
of spoken/written discourse. The idea units in the written language are relatively
longer and more complex than those in the spoken language. Writers tend to integrate
more information into a single idea unit by means of morphosyntactic devices such as
nominalisations, genitive subjects and objects, participles, attributive adjectives,
conjoined phrases, series, sequences of prepositional phrases, complement clauses,
and relative clauses as identified by Chafe (1982:39-44), while speakers are less likely
to use them because of the spontaneous and unplanned nature of conversation (Luoma,
2003:13). Consequently, the idea units in the spoken language are typically expressed

6 The distinction between integration and fragmentation was further elaborated in Chafe and Tannen
in the form of fragments or fragmented grammatical structures, reflecting in part the spurt-like nature of a speaker’s thoughts. Thus the adverbial clause and the main clause in conversation tend to be expressed in separate turns rather than in a single turn.

Example (7)

569.78 572.86 A: <Fm2>反正我到现在吧，我也没跟他正面谈论这件事，
<Fm2>fanzheng wo dao xianzai ba wo ye
anyway I up to now PART I also
mei gen ta zhengmian tan zhe jian shiqing
have not with him directly discuss about this CL matter
“Anyway, I haven’t talked to him directly about the whole thing up to now.”

573.05 579.23 A: <Fa2>因为那天我那天打电话我给我的同学 先生说，他讲说，这个你现在先别谈</Fa2>,<Fm2>
<Fa2>yinwei wo natian wo natian da dianhua wo
because I that day I that day dial telephone I
wo wo gei Chen xiansheng shuo ta jiang
I I for Chen Mr say he speak
shuo zhege ni xianzai bie tan</Fa2> <Fm2>
say this you for now do not talk about
“Because I phoned Mr Chen that day and he said I shouldn’t talk about it then.”

Example (8)

585.22 586.53 B: <Fm2>你把我的信啊，
<Fm2>ni ba wo de xin a
you BA my GEN letter PART
“My letter, you …”

586.78 588.89 B: 看个两遍，好不好?
kan ge liang bian haobuhao
read CL two times can you
“read it twice, can you?”
8.2.1.3. Other speaker main clause

The third kind of adverbial subordinate clause in spoken Chinese demonstrates yet another feature of speech i.e. involvement (Chafe, 1982 and 1986b; Oviatt and Cohen, 1989; Flowerdew, 1993). As shown in examples (9) and (10), speaker A uttered the main clause and speaker B uttered the adverbial clause or vice versa. In these cases, the adverbial clause and the main clause are in separate turns produced by two different interlocutors whereas in the second kind of adverbial subordinate clause, both the adverbial clause and the main clause are in separate turns produced by the same speaker. Biber et al. (1999:771) refer to this phenomenon as “other speaker main clause” and acknowledge it as a feature specific to conversation as well as to dialog in fiction, where “speakers will co-construct clauses or clarify each other’s speech so that one speaker adds an adverbial to another speaker’s utterance”. The emergence of this type of adverbial clause in spoken Chinese can be explained by the feature of involvement commonly occurring in speech. There are three different types of involvement associated with conversation identified by Chafe (1986b:116-118): (i) involvement of the speaker with himself/herself in the use of first person pronouns; (ii) involvement of the speaker with the hearer by showing his/her concern for the dynamics of interaction with another person; (iii) involvement of the speaker with the
subject matter by expressing a keen interest in the discussion topic. As can be seen from the following examples, the speakers demonstrate an acknowledgement of the flow of information by supplying the information needed i.e. the adverbial clauses of reason, in an attempt to make sure that communication channel is functioning well. These examples are therefore illustrations of the second kind of involvement as noted above.

Example (9)
292.68 295.00 A:  
   <Fm2>那 &中国& [noise] 可能 开车 是 很 难，我 想．
   <Fm2>na Zhongguo keneng kaiche shi hen nan
   that China perhaps driving be very hard
   wo xiang
   I think
   “So it’s hard to have a chance to drive in mainland China.”

295.87 296.33 A: 现在 ((你))
   xianzai ni
   at.present you
   “Now, you …”

296.12 297.71 B: <Fa2>因为 人 太 多 了</Fa2>，哈 ./<Fm2>
   <Fa2>yinwei ren tai duo le</Fa2> ha/<Fm2>
   because people too many PART PART
   “Because nowadays the population is huge.”

Example (10)
530.42 532.95 B: <Fm2>反正 现在 就 做 个 老板，挺 稳当 的 这 种．
   <Fm2>fanzheng xianzai jiu zuo ge laoban
   anyway nowadays now be CL shop.owner
   ting wendang de zhe zhong
   quite safe PART this kind
   “Anyway it’s quite safe nowadays to be an owner of a shop.”

533.00 536.04 A: {laugh}

534.47 537.97 B: {laugh} 不 象 那时候，那么 焦头烂额．
   bu xiang nashihou name jiaotoulane
not like at that moment that risky
“It won’t be as risky as it used to be.”

537.93 541.86 A: 呃. 对. <Fa2>因为 都 熟 了 么 嗨，都 都 弄 顺 了 就 好 了</Fa2> .</Fm2>
e dui <Fa2>yinwei dou shou le me PART right because all familiar PFRF PART hao dou dou long xun le PART all all work out smooth PERF jiu hao le</Fa2></Fm2> then good PART
“Oh, yes, because we are more familiar with it and things work out quite well.”

8.2.2. Adverbial-main clauses of spoken Chinese

While an adverbial clause is always subordinated to the main clause of the same sentence (see section 8.2.1), an adverbial-main clause is not associated with a superordinate/main clause. The main clause is not explicitly stated in the discourse and can be inferred from the context, as illustrated in examples (11) and (12). Given that no evidence of their occurrence can be found in the two written Chinese corpora used in this thesis, adverbial-main clauses are considered as being a feature specific to spoken Chinese. These clauses, however, rarely occur in my data. Of the 1,139 adverbial clauses identified in my corpus, 33 instances are adverbial-main clauses, as opposed to 1,106 adverbial subordinate clauses.

Example (11)
203.84 207.01 A: 如果 我 现在 马上 又 能 再 给 你 另外 找 到 房子 的话, ruguo wo xianzai mashang you neng zai if I now at once again can again gei ni lingwai zhao dao fangzi dehua
“If I can find another apartment for you right away,”

### 206.99 209.47 A:

**ni xianzai neng bu neng ban**

you now can not can move.house

**haishi shuo ni bixu zai na**

or say you necessary in that.place

**zhu yi ge yue**

live one CL month

“can you move house now? Or you need to stay in your place for one month?”

### 210.93 214.35 B1:

< Fam2> **yiwe ta dingjin fu yi ge yue gen**

because his deposit pay one CL month with

**ta jiang shi yi ge yue</Fam2>**

him say be one CL month

“Because his deposit was paid for one month. We agreed the deposit was for one month.”

### 214.39 216.64 A:

**e xing wo mingbai ni yisi le**

PART okay I understand your meaning PART

ng

PART

“Okay, I understand now.”

### 215.79 217.50 B1:

**ai wo kan ni zheyangzi hao le**

PART I see you this.way good PART

“Uh, that’s it.”

### 217.46 218.02 A:

**ng**

PART

“Yeah.”
Example (12)

239.30 242.76 A: &南京& 据说 有的人 很 热 , 到 四十 度 , 死 了 十十 几 个人 唉 ,
Nanjing jushuo youderen hen re dao sishidu
Nanjing hearsay someone very hot reach 40. degrees. C
si le shi shiji ge ren ao
die PERF ten some. ten CL person PART
“It was said that many people in Nanjing felt very hot when the
temperature reached 40 degrees Celsius. Some ten people died.”

243.96 249.03 B1: <Fm2> &南京& 好象 我们 觉得 还好 , 前一阵子 说 是 比较 热 , 但是 <Fa2> 我们 因为 ,
<Fm2>Nanjing haoxiang women juede hai
Nanjing look.like we think quite
hao qianyizhenzi shuo shi bijiao re
good recently say be comparatively hot
danshi <Fa2>women yinwei
but we because
“We are alright in Nanjing. Recently, it has been rather hot here
but because we …”

249.46 250.02 A: 不 出去 ,
bu chuqu
not go.out
“didn’t go out.”

250.05 253.86 B1: 不怎么 出去 , 在 家里 , 哎 , 我们 家 好象 房子 也 不怎么 热 <Fa2> , <Fm2>
bu zemei chuqu zai jiali ai women
not somehow go.out at home PART our
jia haoxiang fangzi ye buzenme
home perhaps house yet not. really
re <Fa2> </Fm2>
hot
“(We) didn’t go out often and stayed at home. We didn’t feel hot
in the house.”

251.80 252.13 A: 嗯 ,
ao
PART
“Mm.”

253.53 255.70 A: <Fam2>因为 我 看 报纸 上 说 有 十几 个人 , </Fam2>
<Fam2>yinwei wo kan baozhishang shuo you
because I read newspaper say have
shiji ge ren</Fam2>
some.ten CL people
“Because I learned from the newspaper that there were about ten people,”

254.40 254.68 B1:  这个 -
zhege
this
“About this …”

In example (11), speaker A offered to help speaker B1 to find a house but B1 was
reluctant to move out of his/her present house as one-month deposit had been paid.
Prior to the occurrence of the adverbial-main clause (enclosed in the <Fam> element),
A asked B1 whether s/he could move house as soon as another house was available.
B1 did not give a clear indication that s/he could not move house (i.e. the main clause)
and rather, explained why s/he could not do so (i.e. the adverbial clause of reason).
Since the main clause is not explicitly expressed and it can be inferred from the
context, the adverbial clause in this example is taken as an illustration of the
adverbial-main clause. Example (12) is more complicated than example (11) because
the main clause in the former is less obvious than that in the latter. Speakers A and B1
discussed about the recent soaring temperature in China’s eastern city of Nanjing. The
adverbial-main clause in this example is a causal clause which expresses the reason
why A raised the issue and discussed and clarified the situation with B1. The main
clause which states the consequence i.e. the clarification of the news about the heat
wave is not explicitly spelled out and can only be inferred from the sequence of the
information delivered in the context and from an interlocutor’s general knowledge of
the world.
To conclude this section, an adverbial clause in spoken Chinese basically takes one of the following two forms. First, an adverbial subordinate clause is expressed by the same speaker as in the main clause (i.e. *other speaker interrupts/speaker pauses*), or it is expressed by a different speaker from the main clause (i.e. *other speaker main clause*); in either case, the adverbial clause and the main clause are uttered in separate turns rather than in a single turn, in contrast to the fact that in written Chinese both the adverbial clause and the main clause occur in a single sentence. Second, an adverbial-main clause is not associated with a main clause which can be inferred from the context and is commonly omitted. In what follows, I consider the distribution of adverbial clauses in the CALLHOME corpus and report the similarities and differences between spoken and written Chinese in their use of adverbial clauses.

8.3. Distribution of adverbial clauses in CALLHOME

As discussed in the previous chapter (see sections 7.2.1 to 7.2.3), some text categories of the LCMC corpus show a marked preference for using a certain type of adverbial clause: (i) clauses of condition are typically used in category E (skills/trades/hobbies); (ii) clauses of purpose are commonly used in category E (*ibid*) and category F (popular lore); (iii) clauses of cause/reason and result are typically used in category D (religion) and category J (scientific academic prose). These general patterns may throw up some important differences in the behaviour of adverbial clauses in written and spoken Chinese. They may also reveal the differences between two major discourse types in written Chinese i.e. narrative and expository texts, as demonstrated below.
8.3.1. Narrative texts vs. expository texts in LCMC

In the LCMC corpus, narrative text types include the five fiction categories\(^7\) plus humour, biography and press reportage whereas expository text types include reports/official documents, academic prose, skills/trades/hobbies, press reviews, press editorials, religion and popular lore (McEnery and Xiao, 2003:369). Log-likelihood (LL) tests indicate that in the LCMC corpus, the differences between the distribution of adverbial clauses in narrative and expository texts are highly statistically significant (see Table 47).\(^8\) Narrative texts are typically characterised by a relatively lower frequency of clauses of condition, purpose, result, cause/reason and contrast than expository texts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic classes of CACs</th>
<th>Frequency in narrative texts (i.e. categories K-R, A &amp; G) of LCMC</th>
<th>Frequency in expository texts (i.e. categories B-F, H &amp; J) of LCMC</th>
<th>LL score (1 d.f., p&lt;0.001)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of cause/reason</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>52.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of purpose</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>85.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of result</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>74.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of preference</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of contrast</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>20.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of addition</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of exception</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of condition</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>92.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of concession</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of inference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td>1,104</td>
<td>1,877</td>
<td>184.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 47: Distribution of CACs in narrative and expository texts of LCMC

---

\(^7\) The five fiction categories in the LCMC corpus are general fiction, mystery and detective fiction, science fiction, adventure and martial arts fiction and romantic fiction.

\(^8\) For one degree of freedom (henceforth d.f.), the calculated LL score must be greater than 10.83 for a difference to be statistically significant at \(p<0.001\).
8.3.2. Narrative texts of written Chinese vs. spoken Chinese

As narrative texts, notably fiction and humour, basically involve dialogue, they bear more resemblance to conversation than expository texts (Biber, 1988:135-142). I therefore hypothesise that the distribution pattern of the semantic classes of adverbial clauses in narrative texts of written Chinese can also be found in spoken Chinese, when contrasted with expository texts. To test this hypothesis, I considered and contrasted the distribution of the eleven semantic types of adverbial clauses in spoken Chinese and that in expository texts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial clause types</th>
<th>CALLHOME</th>
<th>LCMC</th>
<th>LL score (1 d.f., p&lt;0.001)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Freq. per 100K tokens</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause/reason</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exception</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concession</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>1,139</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>2,981</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 48: Distribution of CACs in CALLHOME and LCMC

As can be seen in Table 48, temporal adverbial clauses are non-existent in the CALLHOME corpus. This finding corroborates what I initially observed, i.e. that
adverbial clauses of time are rare in the single genre of journalistic writing expressed
by the PFR corpus (i.e. one occurrence; see Chapter Five, section 5.3.1) as well as in
the fifteen written genres of the LCMC corpus (i.e. two occurrences; see Chapter
Seven, section 7.2). Given that adverbial clauses of time are barely used in both the
CALLHOME corpus and the two written Chinese corpora, temporal adverbial clauses
are rare in both spoken and written Chinese. However, there are a significantly greater
number of adverbial clauses found in CALLHOME than in the LCMC.9 This finding
is surprising as it is not in conformance with previous claims regarding differences
between spoken and written language in that spoken language avoids elaborate
syntactic relations among clauses such as subordination (O’Donnell, 1974; Kroll,
1977; Ochs, 1979:66-68; Finegan, 1982; Tannen, 1982a:3; Beaman, 1984; Gumperz
et al., 1984; Chafe and Danielewicz, 1987:87).10 In other words, there is a strong
tendency for speakers to produce simple, coordinated, clauses and to avoid the more
elaborate interclausal relations found in writing. This tendency is tied to the disparate
operative constraints associated with the two discourse modes. As Chafe and
Danielewicz (1987:86) note, speakers have to make choices very quickly when
deciding what they want to say in spontaneous conversation, whereas writers have
time to deliberate and even to revise their choices when they are not satisfied. Hence
the relatively higher frequency of Chinese adverbial clauses in the CALLHOME
spoken Chinese corpus in comparison to the LCMC written Chinese corpus is
surprising. One possible explanation for this is that certain adverbial clause types are

9 The calculated LL value is 46.43, greater than the critical value for significance, 10.83, with 1 d.f. at
p<0.001.
10 Poole and Field (1976), however, found that more subordinate clauses were used in speaking than in
writing. Their findings may be due to the highly structured nature of the spoken data. Their speech
samples were obtained individually from first-year undergraduates, who probably took the experiment
very seriously, in an interview which contained questions on secondary school and university
experience (e.g. what are your impressions of life in a residential college?). Their spoken data is
therefore highly structured and exhibits more of the features of written language than that of spoken
language.
typically more common in spoken discourse than in written discourse, namely clauses of reason and clauses of condition, resulting in a greater number of adverbial clauses identified in CALLHOME. As can be seen in Table 48, the clauses of reason (i.e. 606 occurrences or 53%) and clauses of condition (i.e. 476 occurrences or 42%) account for 95 percent of the adverbial clauses in CALLHOME, while the two kinds of adverbial clauses make up just 56 percent of the adverbial clauses in the LCMC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial clause types</th>
<th>CALLHOME</th>
<th>LCMC (expository texts)</th>
<th>LL score (1 d.f., ( p&lt;0.001 ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Freq. per 100K tokens</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause/reason</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exception</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concession</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>1,139</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1,877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 49: Distribution of CACs in CALLHOME and LCMC (expository texts)

The wide use of causal and conditional clauses in spoken Chinese attests credence to the claim by Biber et al. (1999:820) that there are considerable differences in the preferred semantic categories of adverbial clauses across four registers in English, namely conversation, fiction, news and academic prose. They correctly observe that conditional clauses are most commonly used in conversation and causal clauses are common only in conversation. Biber et al. (ibid:786) attribute
conversation’s greater use of reason and condition clauses to its concern for participants’ actions in that these two kinds of adverbial clause often clarify the reasons for or conditions on an interlocutor’s actions. Altenberg (1984:39ff) also found a preference for causal expressions in spoken data from the London-Lund Corpus as compared with written data from the Lancaster-Oslo/Bergen Corpus. The telephone conversations contained in the CALLHOME corpus centre on the life of the people who live/study/work overseas. Although speakers were not given any guideline concerning what they should talk about, most of them called family members or close friends. When these overseas people speak to their parents and/or friends, they usually describe how they get on in a foreign country. The talks are mostly concerned with their daily experiences; under what circumstances (i.e. adverbial clauses of condition) and for what reasons (i.e. adverbial clauses of reason) do they do things. In other words, speakers have to clarify and explain their actions frequently to the parties at the other end of the conversation. This explains why clauses of cause/reason and condition are used relatively more frequently in CALLHOME than in the LCMC in general (see Table 48), and in expository written texts in particular (see Table 49), although the occurrence of conditional clauses in CALLHOME does not differ significantly from that in expository texts.\footnote{Clauses of condition occur as frequently in spoken Chinese (i.e. 159 frequencies per 100K tokens) as in expository texts (i.e. 153 frequencies per 100K tokens). See Table 49.} However, what is at issue here is not the differing distribution of adverbial clauses between the CALLHOME corpus and the expository texts but the different use of adverbial clauses between conversation and narrative texts. As can be seen in Figure 12, clauses of condition occur significantly more frequently in spoken texts than in narrative texts.\footnote{When comparing the frequencies of conditional clauses in both the CALLHOME corpus (i.e. 476 raw frequencies or 159 frequencies per 100K tokens) and the narrative texts of the LCMC corpus (i.e. 428 raw frequencies or 87 frequencies per 100K tokens), the LL score (82.14) is greater than the}
used significantly less frequently in narrative texts than in expository texts of written Chinese (see Table 47). In this respect, conversation does not resemble narrative texts by virtue of its disproportionate use of causal and conditional clauses. In stark contrast, clauses of purpose, result and contrast do not occur in the CALLHOME corpus, as shown in Table 49. This finding in part proves my hypothesis that conversation shows a similar distribution pattern of adverbial clauses to narrative texts in which these three adverbial clause types are markedly less frequent than other adverbial types. In the following section, I will discuss the distribution of non-overt subjects of Chinese adverbial clauses by comparison to the findings from the analysis undertaken on the basis of the LCMC corpus. I will then discuss the similarities and differences between spoken and written Chinese with regard to their choice of subject in adverbial clauses.

![Figure 12: Contrasting CACs in LCMC and CALLHOME](image)

critical value for significance, 10.83, with 1 d.f. at $p<0.001$.  
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8.4. Distribution of non-overt subjects of CACs in CALLHOME

8.4.1. Type of control

Whilst non-overt subjects of Chinese adverbial clauses appear less frequently in CALLHOME than in the LCMC (see Table 50), these non-overt subjects are typically controlled by (i.e. co-referential with) an NP in the context: contextual controllers account for 51% of the referential controllers that appear in the corpus (see Figure 13). In other words, control from previous context is the dominant type of control for PRO in adverbial clauses of spoken Chinese. As Ochs (1979:62-64) and Tannen (1982a:3) correctly observe, spoken discourse makes maximal use of context by which meaning is implied rather than stated, whereas written discourse makes background information explicit. In written Chinese, on the other hand, the non-overt subjects of adverbial clauses typically co-refer to either a main clause subject (33%) or an NP in the context (31%) for their interpretation. They can also, however, co-refer freely (34%) i.e. their interpretation is arbitrary. Given that speakers rely on context more than writers do, it is hardly surprising that control of PRO from previous context is a prominent feature associated with adverbial clauses in spoken Chinese.

---

13 The frequencies given in the table were standardised to a common base of 100,000 word tokens.
14 Yet Rader (1982) reports that imaginative fiction relies heavily on context: it is maximally dependent on the contribution of background information on the part of the reader who works to make sense out of the story, using the same general principles by which s/he makes sense out of the everyday conversation. As written fiction’s authors can count on readers to work to find out what is going on in the story, they do not have to spell everything out (cf. Widdowson, 1979:174ff).
Table 50: Types of control of PRO in LCMC and CALLHOME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese corpora</th>
<th>Main clause control</th>
<th>Control from outside main clause</th>
<th>No control i.e. arbitrary interpretation</th>
<th>No. of PROs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCMC</td>
<td>44 (33%)</td>
<td>42 (31%)</td>
<td>45 (34%)</td>
<td>134 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALLHOME</td>
<td>24 (30%)</td>
<td>40 (51%)</td>
<td>13 (16%)</td>
<td>79 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13: Contrasting types of controller for PRO in CALLHOME and LCMC

8.4.2. Distribution of subjects across adverbial semantic classes

In Table 51, the LL scores indicate that the distribution of subjects varies significantly across adverbial semantic classes. As illustrated in examples (13) to (15) respectively, clauses of cause/reason, exception and condition take strikingly more overt subjects than non-overt ones in the CALLHOME corpus.\(^\text{15}\) The relatively high frequencies of overt subjects in clauses of cause/reason and exception echo the findings obtained

\(^{15}\) The LL values for clauses of cause/reason, exception and condition are greater than 3.841, the critical value for significance with 1 d.f. at \(p<0.05\).
from the LCMC corpus in that these two types of adverbial clause favour non-overt subjects more strongly than other adverbials. This allows me to generalise a conclusion that overt subjects are commonly used in clauses of reason and exception in both spoken and written Chinese.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic types of CACs</th>
<th>Subject types of CACs</th>
<th>LL score (1 d.f., p&lt;0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overt NP</td>
<td>PRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of time</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of cause/reason</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of purpose</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of result</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of preference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of contrast</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of addition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of exception</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of condition</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of concession</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses of inference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 51: Distribution of subjects in CALLHOME across semantic classes of CACs

Example (13)
588.64 594.86 A: <Fm2><Fa2>她们 因为 对 这个 粤 式 点心 很 感兴趣<Fa2>，所以 就 她 也 建议 她 妈妈 在 家里，怕 她 妈妈 在家，退休 在家 没事 儿 做 .</Fm2>
588.64 594.86 A: <Fm2><Fa2>they because as.for this Cantonese style dumplings very be.interested so then she also suggest her mother at home fear her mother at.home retire at.home nothing do “Because they are very interested in Cantonese cuisine, they
suggest to their mother that she learn it at home for fear that she has nothing to do after she retires.”

**Example (14)**

381.48 386.27 A: <Fm8>她 也，她 也 从 没有 明确 表示 过，<Fa8>她 只是 说，
388.10 391.80 A: 她 只是 说 工作 重要 啦，她 只是 说 工作 还是 蛮 重要的</Fa8> .</Fm8>

381.48 386.27 A:  <Fm8>ta ye, ta ye cong meiyou mingque she also she also ever have not clearly
biaoshiguo， <Fa8>ta zhishi shuo， indicate she except that say
“She was not quite explicit about it, except that . . .”
388.10 391.80 A:  ta zhishi shuo gongzuo zhongyao le, she except that say work important PART
ta zhishi shuo gongzuo haishi man she except that say job still quite
zhongyao de</Fa8> .</Fm8>
important PART
“. . . except that she said her job was important to her.”

**Example (15)**

406.93 409.58 A: <Fm9><Fa9>他们 如果，他们 今年 回去 的话</Fa9>，他们 说
你 可以 跟 他们 一块儿 回来 .</Fm9>
406.93 409.58 A:  <Fm9><Fa9>tamen ruguo， tamen jinnian huigu they if they this year go back
dehua</Fa9>，tamen shuo ni keyi gen tamen so.to.speak they say you can with them
yikuater huilai .</Fm9>
together return
“If they came back this year, they said you could go with them.”

Spoken and written Chinese, however, do show some differences in the
distribution of subjects in other adverbial semantic domains. Firstly, while the contrast
between overt subject and PRO is marked in clauses of purpose and contrast in the LCMC, no evidence of such a marked contrast can be found in CALLHOME. As can be seen in Figure 14, the two kinds of adverbial clause are not used in spoken Chinese (cf. section 8.3.2), thereby precluding any comparison between overt and non-overt subjects in these adverbial clause types. Secondly, the two discourse modes of Chinese show a strikingly dissimilar distribution of subjects in clauses of concession; spoken Chinese does not exhibit a preponderance of overt subjects in concessive clauses as written Chinese does, as illustrated in Figure 15. The explanation of this unexpected result lies in the occurrences of the adverbial clauses of concession marked by the adverbial subordinator 不管 buguan “no matter” in CALLHOME. As can be seen from Table 52, those adverbial clauses marked by the subordinating conjunction buguan take more PROs than overt NP subjects as illustrated in example (16), whereas the reverse happens in the adverbial clauses introduced by other concessive subordinating conjunctions such as suiran and jinguan.

![Non-overt subjects of CACs](image)

**Figure 14:** Contrasting non-overt subjects in CALLHOME and LCMC
Figure 15: Contrasting overt subjects in CALLHOME and LCMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverbial subordinators of clauses of concession</th>
<th>PRO</th>
<th>Overt NP subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>zong</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zongshi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suiran</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jinguan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sui</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suishuo</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wulunshì</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wulun</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bulunshì</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bulun</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buguan</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ren</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bengguan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 52: Contrasting the distribution of subjects in clauses of concession
Example (16)

664.06 666.13 B: 我 总 的 讲 来，我 觉得 父母 还是 引导 <Cc10>我们 <\Cc10>，

666.08 668.54 B: {breath_noise} <Fm10><Fa10>不管 PRO10 怎么样 讲</Fa10>，
他们 买 了 很 多 书 给 我们 看 .</Fm10>

664.06 666.13 B: wo zongdejianglai, wo jue de fumu haishi
I on.the.whole I think parents yet
yindao <Cc10>women</Cc10>，
give.guidance.to.sb. us
“On the whole, I thought our parents have endeavoured to give us
guidance.”

666.08 668.54 B: {breath_noise} <Fm10><Fa10>buguan PRO10
whatever PRO
zenmeyang jiang</Fa10>, tamen mai le hen
how say they buy PERF very
duo shu gei women kan .</Fm10>
many books for us read
“They have bought a lot of books for us to read at any rate.”

8.5. Chapter summary

I have proposed in this chapter that adverbial clauses in spoken Chinese should be
classified into two subcategories i.e. adverbial subordinate clause and adverbial-main
clause. An adverbial-main clause is the adverbial clause that is not associated with any
main clause in the sentence; the main clause can, however, be inferred given the
context. Adverbial subordinate clauses in spoken Chinese are characterised by two
features commonly associated with spoken language as identified by Chafe (1982),
namely fragmentation and involvement: (i) the adverbial clause and the main clause
occur in separate turns of the same speaker rather than in a single turn i.e. they are
broken down into several idea units and expressed in fragmented grammatical
structures rather than in a complete sentence as occurs in written language, and (ii) the
adverbial clause and the main clause are uttered by two different speakers i.e. interlocutors show their concern for or involvement in the interaction and progression of the conversation. Adverbial clauses in spoken Chinese exhibit yet another feature of speech in that the non-overt subject (PRO) of the adverbial clause is typically controlled by an NP in previous context rather than the main clause subject/object, confirming previous claims that speakers rely heavily on context for the interpretation of a missing element in an utterance. Chinese adverbial clauses, however, occur significantly more frequently in CALLHOME than in the LCMC. This finding is at variance with what was said in previous accounts of differences between speech and writing in that speakers tend to avoid complex syntactic structures such as subordination. The explanation for this lies in conversation’s propensity for employing causal and conditional clauses for clarifying participants’ actions, prompting the use of more adverbial clauses, especially adverbial clauses of reason and condition, in the spoken Chinese corpus.

In comparison with those findings obtained from the LCMC corpus, the adverbial clauses in the CALLHOME corpus were proved to resemble their counterparts in the LCMC corpus in two aspects. Firstly, there is a relatively low frequency of purpose, result and contrast clauses in both the CALLHOME corpus and the narrative texts of the LCMC corpus (i.e. categories K-R, A and G). Secondly, clauses of reason and exception use significantly more overt subjects than PROs in both the CALLHOME and LCMC corpora. However, the adverbial clauses in spoken and written Chinese also differ in two respects. Firstly, clauses of purpose and contrast show no sign of taking PRO in favour of overt subject in the CALLHOME corpus as their counterparts do in the LCMC corpus. Secondly, in contrast to the findings taken from both the PFR and LCMC corpora i.e. that clauses of concession in written
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Chinese strongly favour overt NP subjects, there is not such a marked contrast between overt and non-overt subjects in the concessive clauses of spoken Chinese.
Conclusion

9.1. Summary of findings

In the introductory chapter of this thesis (section 1.2), I set out *seven research objectives* related to Chinese adverbial clauses. My research brought out the following results, presented in the following sub-subsections.

9.1.1. Identification of adverbial clauses

To identify adverbial clauses in my corpora, I carried out skeleton parsing on a sample text of approximately 100,000 word tokens (or about 2,500 sentences) taken from the PFR Chinese Corpus with a clearly defined parsing scheme of 17 constituent labels. The manually-parsed sample skeleton treebank is one of the very few extant Chinese treebanks (cf. Xue et al., 2000). While Chinese part-of-speech tagging (Liu et al., 1995; Zhang and Sheng, 1997) and word segmentation (Wong and Chan, 1996; Zhang and Sheng, 1996; Sun et al., 1998; Yuan and Kim, 1998; Sun et al., 2000) have been the subject of concerted research for many years, the syntactic annotation of Chinese corpora is a comparatively new field. Although much treebanking of English has occurred, relatively little of such work has been done on Asian languages, Chinese included (Han et al., 2002). As shown in my skeleton treebank, adverbial clauses in Chinese are typically overtly marked by a subordinating conjunction. Moreover, the difficulties that I encountered in the production of this treebank demonstrate some of the peculiarities of Chinese syntax. The particle 的 *de* is typically used to introduce a lengthy premodifier in a noun phrase. These long multi-word noun phrase
premodifiers greatly complicate the structure of the noun phrase in which they occur and thus pose enormous problems in parsing a sentence in Chinese. Another noteworthy syntactic property is that some serial verb constructions tend to be used as if they were compound verbs. When these serial verb constructions occur, the two transitive verbs in series, unlike common transitive verbs, do not take an object separately within the construction. Rather, the serial construction as a whole is able to take the same direct object and the verbal suffix $\tilde{\gamma} -le$. Thus they appear to be used as a compound verb functioning as a single unit.

9.1.2. **Adverbial subordinators in Chinese**

As no clear distinction has been made between coordinating conjunctions and subordinating conjunctions in Chinese grammars, I proposed in this thesis two working criteria for a given item to be regarded as an adverbial subordinator: first, it introduces a clause which must be linked to another clause in the same sentence; second, the relation of these two clauses is not of coordinate nature. There are in total 57 subordinators identified in the PFR corpus, most of which are correlative subordinators having either an adverb or a conjunction as their correlative. The correlative are not obligatory and an adverbial subordinator can take more than one correlative.

9.1.3. **Semantic classes of adverbial clauses**

I adopted a problem-oriented tagging approach to identify adverbial clauses in my corpus and to analyse their semantic roles. This meant that only relevant parts of the corpus, not the entire corpus, were annotated to meet my specific research purposes:
only the sentences in which the adverbial clause is overtly marked by a subordinating conjunction were annotated. I identified a total of 2,417 instances of adverbial clauses in the PFR corpus and subsumed them into eleven semantically based classes which include, in descending order of frequency, clauses of condition, clauses of concession, clauses of purpose, clauses of cause/reason, clauses of contrast, clauses of result, clauses of exception, clauses of addition, clauses of inference, clauses of preference and clauses of time. Some observations about these adverbial clauses were made. For instance, 而是 ershi “rather” interacts with negated verb forms and negative adverbs that occur in the main clause. In addition, the apparent overlap between purpose clauses and result clauses is marked by the use of the same adverbial subordinator 从而 conger to introduce these two kinds of adverbial clause. While time, inference and preference clauses occur relatively infrequently and are marked by no more than two adverbial subordinators, conditional and concessive clauses make up approximately half of the adverbial clauses studied and exhibit a vast diversity of subordinating conjunctions used to introduce them. Clauses of inference were considered as a distinct adverbial semantic class in this thesis as they can be distinguished from apparently functionally analogous conditional and concessive clauses.

9.1.4. A government and binding approach to the distribution of subjects of adverbial clauses

The non-overt subjects (PRO) in Chinese adverbial clauses (CACs) were proven to support the PRO theorem of Government and Binding (GB) Theory in that they occur only in ungoverned positions and are properly licensed. According to control theory, they can be referentially dependent on, or controlled by, another NP or an implicit argument (e.g. the agent of an action) in the main clause, or they are not controlled at
all and have an arbitrary interpretation. As a feature specific to PRO in CACs, it can be controlled by an NP occurring in the context prior to the main clause. As this type of control cannot be explained by control theory, the Principle of the Separation of Reference and Role (PSRR) was used to account for it. Furthermore, it was shown that there is a high statistical significance for the hypothesis that preference for a particular kind of subject (either overt NP or PRO) depends on the interclausal semantic relations of adverbial clauses. I found that in the PFR corpus, overt NP subjects are preponderant in concessive clauses while null subjects mostly occur in conditional clauses. This distribution pattern is attributed to the fact that the subject of the adverbial clause may be different from that of the main clause, resulting in the occurrence of overt NP subject in favour of PRO, which is always co-referential with either the main clause subject/object or an NP in the context. The distribution of overt and non-overt subjects also differs significantly in clauses of purpose, contrast and result which favour the use of PRO and in causal clauses which favour overt NP subjects. Other types of adverbial clause, however, do not show a marked preference for either subject type such as clauses of exception, addition, inference, preference and time. An integrated approach which combines a theoretically-informed corpus-based approach with an information-structure-based approach was taken to explain the distribution of PROs across the semantic domains of adverbial clauses. An NP referential controller occurring in the main clause or previous context can be seen as given information and thus the subject of the adverbial clause which co-refers to it can be dropped as in clauses of condition, purpose, contrast and result. On the other hand, the subject of the main clause which is an NP with a brand-new referent and is different from that of the adverbial clause can be seen as new information. Thus the subject of the adverbial clause cannot be dropped as in clauses of concession and cause/reason.
9.1.5. Distribution of adverbial clauses across text types in written Chinese

The results obtained from the PFR corpus were compared with the results obtained from the Lancaster Corpus of Mandarin Chinese (LCMC), a balanced corpus with 500 samples of fifteen distinct text types, to explore the influence of text type on both the distribution of semantic classes of adverbial clauses and the distribution of subjects in the adverbial clause. While in both the PFR and LMC C corpora conditional and concessive clauses dominate all other semantic types of adverbial clause, a comparison of their occurrence in the journalistic texts of the LCMC corpus (i.e. press reportage, editorials and reviews) and that in the non-journalistic texts (i.e. other text categories remaining in the corpus) indicated that they are not a marked feature of journalistic writing. Rather, conditional and concessive clauses are most frequently used in category E (skills/trades/hobbies) and category N (adventure and martial arts fiction) of the corpus respectively. Other semantic classes of adverbial clauses also have interaction with different text types of the corpus. Reason and result clauses are closely related to category D (religion) and category J (scientific academic prose) in that they are most commonly used to describe the origin and development of a religion and to discuss methods and findings of a piece of research, whereas purpose clauses interact with category E (skills/trades/hobbies) and category F (popular lore) to give instructions for mastering a particular skill and hobby and to offer advice on issues related to local culture and everyday life such as fashion, diet, interpersonal relationship, family and careers.
9.1.6. Distribution of subjects of adverbial clauses across text types and semantic domains in written Chinese

It was shown that the distribution of subjects of adverbial clauses varies significantly across text types in the LCMC corpus. Yet this disguises the fact that the significant difference in the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects is merely appreciable in six out of fifteen text categories of the corpus. This does not, however, preclude text type from exerting an influence on the distribution of subjects in the adverbial clause. Results obtained from the LCMC corpus demonstrated that the distribution of subjects varies significantly across semantic domains of adverbial clauses as in the PFR corpus: while non-overt subjects are used overwhelmingly in clauses of contrast and purpose, overt subjects are mostly used in clauses of concession, reason and exception. In contrast to the results obtained from the PFR corpus, conditional clauses do not show a significant preponderance of non-overt subjects in the LCMC corpus. This unexpected finding gives some credence to the claim that text type influences the distribution of subjects in the adverbial clause. A closer examination of the distribution of subjects across text types in the five adverbial clause types giving statistically significant results on their choice of subject further confirms it: the contrast between the distribution of overt and non-overt subjects is marked in certain text categories only. Hence, the effect of the semantic domains of adverbial clauses on the distribution of PROs as shown in the PFR corpus indeed depends on text type. It was also shown that text type influences the choice of the type of control of PRO. Non-overt subjects with an arbitrary interpretation (PRO_{arb}) occur twice as frequently in the LCMC corpus as in the PFR corpus. They are most frequently used in text category E which is composed of articles on teaching particular skills and hobbies to general public. Since no specific referent is intended to be the reader in these articles,
category E favours the use of PRO\textsubscript{arr} more strongly than other text types.

9.1.7. Distribution of adverbial clauses and their subjects in spoken Chinese

In comparing spoken and written Chinese corpora, adverbial clauses in spoken Chinese typically demonstrate two features of speech i.e. fragmentation and involvement, as Chafe (1982) identified: (i) an adverbial clause occurring in several different turns from the associated main clause uttered both by the same speaker demonstrates a degree of fragmentation because both the adverbial clause and the main clause are expressed in several idea units or in fragmented grammatical structures; (ii) that the adverbial clause and the main clause are uttered separately by two different speakers who attempt to ensure communication is functioning well demonstrates a quality of involvement. A subcategory of adverbial clause, an adverbial-main clause, was proposed to address the peculiarity of spoken language in that the main clause to which an adverbial clause is subordinated is not always explicitly expressed and it can, however, be inferred from the context. A speaker’s interpretation of a non-overt subject also relies heavily on context, as shown in the relatively higher frequency of controllers for PRO identified in the context (i.e. contextual controllers) than subject and object controllers in the main clause. In contrasting the distribution of adverbial clauses and their subjects in CALLHOME with that in the LCMC, I showed that (i) both conversation and narrative texts show a strikingly similar distribution pattern of adverbial clauses in that purpose, result and contrast clauses are relatively less frequent than other adverbial clause types; (ii) clauses of condition and cause/reason, however, have a disproportionately higher frequency of occurrence in conversation than in narrative texts; (iii) clauses of reason
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and exception take more overt subjects than PROs in both spoken and written Chinese; (iv) unlike their counterparts in written Chinese, clauses of concession in spoken Chinese do not show a marked preference for overt subjects, marking an important difference in the use of adverbial clauses between spoken and written Chinese.

9.2. Limitations of the present study

The spoken Chinese corpus used in this thesis contains just one type of spoken discourse i.e. telephone conversations. This is very limited in comparison with corpora such as the London-Lund Corpus of Spoken English (Svartvik and Quirk, 1980; Johansson, 1982), which represents six major speech situations: face-to-face conversations, public conversations including debates and interviews, telephone conversations, radio broadcasts, spontaneous speeches and prepared speeches. However, at the time of writing, there is no spoken Chinese corpus available which is a heterogeneous collection of varied kinds of spoken texts to match corpora such as London-Lund. Whilst I am aware that the findings based on a spoken corpus of conversation data cannot be easily transferred to some spoken discourse types, especially those which differ considerably in nature from conversation e.g. prepared public speeches, the CALLHOME corpus used in my work can at least throw some light on the primary differences between spoken and written Chinese.

9.3. Suggestions for future research

9.3.1. Annotation of functions of syntactic constituents

Further research can be conducted into the functional labelling of syntactic
constituents in a treebank. That was not undertaken in this thesis. In my skeleton treebank, functional labels were put aside in order to give a consistent and accurate manual parsing. However, the annotation of syntactic functions may throw up interesting results regarding the range of functions that a phrasal category can take within a sentence; a phrasal category may assume a syntactic function that is not conventionally associated with it. Additionally, a functionally labelled treebank may provide a broader coverage of syntactic phenomena. Take, for example, the case of 把 ba constructions in Chinese as mentioned in my thesis (see Chapter Three, section 3.6.2.1). The annotation of traces for displaced constituents can better explain the peculiarity of those prepositional phrases headed by the preposition ba, in which the prepositional complement co-refers to the (displaced) null object of the following verb phrase. It is expected that more large-scale treebanks with expanded size and coverage will be built in the near future (cf. Han et al., 2002).

9.3.2. A contrastive study of adverbial clauses between English and Chinese

As mentioned earlier (see Chapter Two, section 2.2.1; Chapter Seven, section 7.1), the LCMC corpus is a Chinese match for the FLOB corpus (on written British English) in terms of sampling frame. The FLOB corpus has a matching American English corpus, the Freiburg-Brown Corpus of American English or Frown (Hundt et al., 1999). As the LCMC, FLOB and Frown corpora are comparable corpora, it is feasible to study Chinese in contrast with the two major varieties of English (McEnery et al., 2003; McEnery and Xiao, forthcoming). By examining the distribution of non-overt subjects of adverbial clauses in the fifteen text categories of the LCMC and FLOB/Frown corpora, the distribution patterns of non-overt subjects in Chinese and
British/American English adverbial clauses could be compared.

9.4. Concluding remarks

This thesis is aimed at offering a theoretically informed, corpus-based, comprehensive analysis of adverbial clauses in spoken and written Chinese. Two advantages of the corpus-based approach are demonstrated in this thesis. Firstly, the exploitation of a representative corpus enables quantitative statements to be made on the distribution of linguistic forms across a language variety and avoids idiosyncratic bias present in studies based on a researcher’s intuition (Collins, 1991; Fillmore, 1992). Secondly, in the study of a grammatical category, a sizeable corpus can provide researchers with a wealth of language data upon which to base their explanations (Meyers, 1991), and it allows these explanations to include information on authentic language use of the grammatical construction under consideration i.e. to be accountable to interpersonally-observable evidence (Sampson, 2001 and 2005).
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