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E. N BIIA
This work is an attempt to demonstrate the centrality of the Bafut verb in the linguistic expressions of the Bafut language. In order to demonstrate this centrality of the verb, we adopted a functional approach. Through this approach, we have shown the ability of the verb in assigning both semantic and syntactic functions to the elements with which it collocates in utterances in Bafut.

We are conscious of the fact that a semantic-syntactic study of the Bafut verb cannot receive the elaborate treatment, which it deserves, in this present work. The inability of the verb to receive such an exhaustive treatment over to the handiness of space and time, to which this work is a victim. Owing to these constraints, we have, therefore, not subjected the verb to all the possible linguistic expressions in the Bafut language. We have, attempted to portray the centrality of the verb by subjecting it only to the context of simple sentences in a predominantly present progressive tense.

Within this frame, we proceeded in the task, by classifying the verbs from a semantic and syntactic viewpoints; illustrating the semantic and syntactic functions which the verb attributes to the elements with which they cohere, in the Bafut language; illustrating the process by which these verbs take on some identified suffixes and also demonstrating the semantic and syntactic effects of these suffixes on the other elements to which the verb is directly related in the illustrative utterances.

In order to be able to treat the verb within a semantic-syntactic frame, and given the constraints of time and space, which tend to be a bulwark to an exhaustive exploration of this topic, we also adopted the semantically-oriented model of communication proposed by Kachey (1979) and Cook (1979). This model presupposes that the syntactic functions of the elements collocating with a verb can be derived from the semantic functions which the verb attributes to these elements. Thus, there has been simultaneity in both the semantic and syntactic analysis of the verb.

Inspite of the parochial context withing which the Bafut verb has been analysed, in this work, we are, however, convinced that no reader, be he Bafut man - Bafut speaking, will be left indifferent to the validity of this work, after perusing it.

E. N. NIA
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The centrality of the verb in any verbal communication cannot be over-emphasised. The aim of the present work is therefore an attempt at presenting a Bafut grammar which is built around a central verb which according to Cook (1979), "...has a valance, or a set of dependency relations, which spring from the verb. These dependency relations are expressed in terms of underlying case roles".

According to Cook's assertion above, the verb imposes some semantic functions on the elements with which it collocates and also expresses the relationships which hold between these elements. The semantic functions imposed by the verb, on the elements with which it collocates can be mapped onto syntactic structures of linguistic expressions. Our base for this study will be the sentence, and according to Chafe (1970), a sentence is composed of

"...a central verb accompanied by one or more nouns; each of which stands in some particular semantic relation to the verb".

This assertion once more lays emphasis on the favoured status which the verb holds within a sentence.

Following the above opinions by Cook (1979) and Chafe (1970), and for the purpose of a semantic-syntactic study of the Bafut verb, we took off from the premise or hypothesis that: the verb is central in all verbal communications and it attributes varying functions to nouns with which it occurs. These functions, at the semantic level, will be referred to as "cases" and since a semantic structure is not very much interested in a systematic arrangement of the elements in an utterance, but in the roles which these elements play, we deemed it necessary to introduce a syntactic structure in order to yield a systematic patterning of these elements within an utterance. This syntactic structure will, through the role assigned by the semantic structure, serve as a systematic means of preserving a speaker's perception of a situation.
This syntactic structure, will through the roles assigned by the semantic structure, serve as a systematic means of preserving a speaker's perception of a situation. This will consequently assist a hearer to decode the utterance in a way which will enable him to share the speaker's intended message. This preservation of perception will, of course be done by arranging the elements perceived, into sentences.

We are conscious of the fact that a semantic-syntactic study of the Bafut verb demands a more elaborate treatment than it is possible for us to provide within the constraints of the present work. To overcome this handicap, our aspiration, therefore, is not the provision of an exhaustive array of grammatical rules which govern the Bafut language. We have therefore adopted a semantically-based model of communication as applied by Moskey (1979:12). This model allows for a system whereby a syntactic structure can be projected from a semantic structure, imposed by the verb on the elements perceived by a speaker.

Owing to the centrality of the verb, it can be noticed that any modification of the verb's structure, through suffixation, inevitably has repercussions on the noun phrases with which the verb occurs in an utterance. These repercussions, which will be at both the semantic and syntactic levels, will be more profoundly exploited in Chapter 4.

In view of the fact that the Bafut verb attributes certain functions to the noun phrases to which it is related, we deemed it apt to deploy a functional approach in the semantic-syntactic treatment of the verb. This approach is as propounded by Dik (1970) and Moskey (1979). This approach permitted us, in Chapter 3, to be able to classify the verbs from the semantic and syntactic

---
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viewpoints. It also permitted us to be able to give the number and type of arguments which the Bafut verbs permit in their surface structures.

In Chapter 4, this approach also permitted us to unravel the repercussions which the verb has on its arguments, when this verb takes on any of the identified suffixes.

A venture of this calibre was undoubtedly propelled by some motivations. The first cause of inspiration was the realisation that the Bantu verb, in general, and the Bafut verb, in particular, has eluded much devoted linguistic analysis. Work to this direction has been done by a few linguists like E. Dunstan (1963) who wrote an article on Nguni verbs, Hyman (1971-81)\(^1\) Voorhoeve (1974) and J. Leroy (1977)\(^2\). In Leroy's work, she brings out the complexity of the verbal system in Bantu. According to her, this complexity is brought about by:

(i) Whether the verbs simultaneously express tense and aspect.

(ii) Whether the verb forms undergo modifications depending on whether they appear in the affirmative, interrogative, negative or relative constructions.

(iii) The tonal system which can be better understood when a system of underlying floating tones is established.

Owing, therefore, to the fact that Bafut is a Bantu verb; we were coerced into picking up this topic in an attempt to present one aspect of the bantu verb, as shown by the title of the present work.

In order to obtain material for this venture, we embarked on a verb-finding mission. But, before presenting the procedure pursued in the collection of this data, and the method employed in its analysis, we will first of all briefly present the Bafuts and their language.

1.2.0: Brief Presentation of the Bafuts and Bafut

In this section, the Bafut community will be presented from the following viewpoints: geographical, historical, socio-economic and linguistics.
1.2.1: Geographical and demographic situation

Bafut is found in the North West Province of the Republic of Cameroon. From the administrative viewpoint, it falls under the Tubah district in Mezam Division.

According to Nebasina (1981-82), Bafut is situated between longitudes 10°00' and 10°13' east of the Greenwich and between latitudes 6°05' and 6°10' north of the meridian, in the Republic of Cameroon. It is situated to the north eastern fringes of the North West Province.

---

2. Larry Hyman (1981): Noni Grammatical Structure
3. J. Leroy (1977): Morphologie et Classes Nominales on Mankon: (Cameroon)
4. We are indebted to N. Nebasina's: A rural geographical study of the Bafut Chiefdom, (1982)

It has an area of 425 km² and according to a 1982 survey, a population of approximately 35,000 inhabitants. Bafut is situated in an elevated basin and bounded thus:

WESTERN Boundary: Oshie-Ngie range.

EASTERN Boundary: Emanza, Oku, Rumbe, Njinikore high plateau.

NORTHERN Boundary: Lampi, Dambili etc.

SOUTHERN Boundary: Wum, Tingo etc.

The vegetation of Bafut is of a Sub-equatorial type which is modified by altitude since this chiefdom is bounded by the Oshie-Ngie hills. Bafut has a nine months' rainy season with an annual rainfall of 2,400mm and three months' dry season. The high areas in Bafut experience cold climatic conditions with a temperature of sometimes 14°C in the early morning. On the other hand, the Bafut basin experiences humid and warm climatic conditions with a maximal average yearly temperature of 24.50°C. The cold period in Bafut is the heart of the rainy season, while the hot period is the start of the rainy season.
1.2.2: Socio-economic situation:

Socially, Bafut is composed of heterogeneous quarters which function harmoniously around a central figure - the Fon, and a central place - the palace. The heterogeneity of Bafut is portrayed by the fact that it comprises ten villages and twenty-one quarters. According to Ngwa Nebasina (1982), and in the context of Bafut, a village is defined as:

"Units within Bafut which were originally settled by aborigines or by specific groups of people who migrated into the area with their own administrative lifestyle somehow different from that of the aborigines and also from that of the main Bafut unit". The above definition manifests the fact that the Bafuts have different origins and this therefore provokes the controversy in the search for a common origin for the Bafut people.

In making a distinction between a "village" and a "quarter", Ngwa Nebasina


(1982: 35) provides a definition of a quarter as:

"a transitional administrative unit within the Chiefdom"

In the course of this work, any reference to either a "village" or a "quarter" will be taking into consideration the above definitions by Ngwa Nebasina. Inspite of the presence of Europeans in Bafut during the colonial era and the presence of people of other tribes, the Bafut people still cling staunchly to a traditional way of living.

At the economic level, the Bafut people, like over 70% of Cameroonians, derive their mainstay from agricultural activities. Its economy is therefore very much agriculture oriented. This is evident in the cultivation of cash crops, food crops, fruit trees and the breeding of livestock. Cultivation rests more on the womenfolk while the men reserve, almost exclusively, the right of farming cash crops such as rice, cocoa, coffee and the breeding of livestock.
Apart from these agricultural activities, there is also craftwork, hunting and fishing which constitute a secondary economic activity. The flourishing craft work is sustained by the abundance of raw materials and the assistance of some missionary bodies. As concerns missionary activity, handicraft is promoted in Handicraft Centres in Nsem (a quarter in Dafut, by the Presbyterian mission, and at Lambu (another quarter in Dafut), by the Catholic mission.

At the village level, only few specialist jobs are practised. These include, bricklaying, shoe-mending, carpentry and tailoring. These secondary economic activities are only subsidiary to agriculture because before being either a carpenter, a bricklayer or a tailor, the Dafut village is first of all farmers.

1.2.3: Historical Situation

There has been much controversy about the origin of the Dafut people. This controversy has been greatly strengthened by the fact that any information, pertaining to the origin of the Dafut people, is based solely on oral tradition. In the midst of this controversy, we will, however attempt to situate the possible regions of origin of the Dafut people. While some geographers and historians assume that Dafut has a Tikar origin, some anthropologists and sociologists assert that it is not easy to provide a common point of origin for all Dafut people. In Nebasina (1982), one has the impression that the Dafut people are of a Tikar origin as he presents the following genealogy tree:

TIKAR GROUPINGS.

......6
THE NGIAME TRIBES

BAFUT

FUGOM ETC

(The descending arrows above do not imply that one tribe is derived from another. These arrows merely show that according to oral tradition, these tribes are of a common origin).

While the above account presents the whole Bafut community as having a common Tikar origin, J. P. Warnier and Nkwi Nchoji (1962), on their part, allow us to partially believe the above assertion as they say that:

"In most Chiefdoms located between the Mbam River Valley - the homeland of the Tikar - and a line running from Bafut to Ndum Via Kom, the royal dynasties claim a common origin from Tikar country referred to as Tikar "Ndobo" or by the more specific names of Kimi and Bifum. This is the case in Bameun, Baba, Bamessing, Bimossi, Bamali, Mkwem, Nse, Bafut, Kom, Ntem, Wiya, Tang, Mbam".

An application of the above observation more specifically to Bafut community will drive us to the conclusion that it is only the royal family in Bafut which can be said to have a Tikar descent. This conclusion is further concretised by Warnier and Nchoji as they assert that:

"A careful investigation of the commoner lineages and quarters having Tikar dynasties reveals the existence of many groups having different traditions of origin. Some claim an origin in a neighbouring Chiefdom, while others claim that they have always been where they are. In DUFUT, for example, most quarters and lineages claim a Widikum origin, and very few, besides the Chief and his sons, look towards the Tikar country as their original homelands".

In view of the facts presented by the Sociologists above, one is left to shun the long-held affirmative opinion that the whole Dafut community has a Tikar origin. It will, therefore, henceforth, be safe to hold the opinion that this community has mainly both a Tikar and Widikum origin. Thus, the allegation that the Dafut people are of a Tikar origin would henceforth be a dissociation between the royal dynasties and the commoner lineages. The former holds staunchly to a Tikar origin while the latter hold firmly to a Widikum origin.

1.2.4: Linguistic Situation:

As Dafut falls under Bantu languages, we will attempt, in dealing with the linguistic situation of the Dafut people, to present some works which have been done in the classification of the Cameroon Bantu languages. But first of all, we will briefly show how an interest in the study of African languages began. History has it that studies on African languages were started by the Church Missionary Society in Freetown - Sierra Leone. This was aimed at aiding the missionaries in the dissemination of Christian doctrines. In Cameroon, this was shown by the interest laid on Mungaka in the present North West Province; Douala in the present South West Province and part of the Littoral Province; and bulu in the present Centre and South Province.

Thus according to Sigismund Koelle (1963), missionary bodies worked on African languages from 1806-1818 and assisted in the codification of some in Sierra Leone. It is probably in accordance with this initiative that other linguists took more interest in work on African languages in general, and Bantu languages in particular.

After this brief presentation of how work on African languages probably started, we will proceed by attempting to situate the Rafut languages in the frame of Bantu languages. This will be, through a presentation of the different classifications made from Guthrie (1948) to the activities of the Grassfield Bantu working Group (1977-1978). In this section, we will also present earlier linguistic works on Rafut and a presentation of the languages which are neighbours to Rafut.

1.2.4.1: Linguistic Classification

In the classification of African languages, Guthrie (1948) classified Rafut and other Ngemba languages as Semi-Bantu languages. In this classification he based his judgment on the fact that:

"These languages obey the first criterion but not the others. That means that while they have a system of grammatical gender and agreements operated by means of prefixes, they show little or no relationship of vocabulary with full Bantu languages. In addition, they do not display even the rudiment of structure features laid down in the third criterion; more over, their vowel system is frequently complicated. An example of this may be seen in Rafut..."

Since Guthrie's assertion, there have been several attempts at modifying this classification. Kay Williamson, (1971) and Voorhoeve (1976) and Greenberg (1963), have through a study of lexical items proved that these Ngemba languages can be classified as Bantu languages.

---

Similar, in a research on grassfield languages, the GESAG recognised these languages as Bantu languages and thus gave the following four divisions:

1) Eastern Grassfields: Damileko, North East, Ngemba and Ngun.
2) Menchum
3) Homo
4) Ring.

Through the above division, Rafut was placed under the Ngemba Sub-group of the Eastern Grassfield group. For the sake of this work, we will present only the classification of the GESAG concerning the Ngemba Sub-group and also the dialectal additions made by J. Leroy (1977). The GESAG, therefore, presented the following classification of eleven (11) main Bantu languages of the Ngemba Sub-group:

NGEMBA LANGUAGES

- Mandum I
- Rafut
- Bambui
- Dambili
- Nkwan
- Mankon
- Mandankwo
- Akum
- Awing
- Pinyin

In a further sub-classification of some of these Ngemba languages, J. Leroy (1977), who formed part of the GESAG, proposed the following additions to the list:

- Shomba (Chomba, Namechom, alamatson)
- Songwa (bangwa, ngwa, nsongwa)
- Mbutu (bambutu, alamatu)
- Njong (benjong)
- Akum (babangu)
- Mudum I (mberewi, bamundum I)

.../-
2. Ibid, PP. 139.

The above additions by J. Leroy (1977) were adopted in ALNC (1983:362) and those treated as dialects of some of the main Ngombe languages, notably Nkonde. Thus, through the above, most recent, classification of Ngombe languages, it has been established that the Ngombe languages, among which is Bafut, are hantu languages. This therefore erases Guthrie (1948)'s classification of these languages as semi hantu languages.

The Bafut language has two main dialects. According to Mfonym (1982) these dialects can be divided into a central dialect and the peripheral dialect. According to Mfonym (1982) the central dialect is spoken in quarters around the Bafut palace. These quarters can be regarded as lower Bafut. On the other hand, the peripheral dialect is spoken in the hilly villages like: Bawum, Nkwi, Nkaka and Mchombi. These villages can be regarded as upper Bafut. The differences between these dialects can be found mostly at the levels of phonology and lexical items. It should be noted however, that it is the central dialect which has a higher frequency of usage during interactions between the native speakers.

1.2.4.2: Earlier Studies on the Bafut Languages:

According to N. Nebasine (1982:49), written documents exist on Bafut and the Bafuts ranging from pure literary works, through sociological, historical, religious, peripheral geographical descriptions to a few linguistic documents. In this paper, we will however, make reference only to linguistic works on Bafut, which are however very limited.

The Bafut language has so far not been an object of much devoted linguistic exploration. This might probably be due to the fact that some neighbouring Ngombe languages like Nkonde and others like Ketta had earlier attracted the attention of linguists. Thus the linguists prefer to devote enough time on and adequate analyses of these languages before breaking fully into new fields.
According to Mfonym (1982:10), Crozier (1980) mentions that the first concrete reference to the Dafut language was made by Koele (1854). Since then, not much has been done on this language. The few works which focus either partially or entirely on Dafut include those by J. Loroy (1977, 1979, 1980) in some of which he treats Ngomba tones and draws attention to the tone pattern of Dafut nouns in the citation forms; Crozier 1980 (a)-(h), Chilver and Kebery (1874), who provided a word list containing Dafut verbs in imperative forms, pronouns, and Mfonym (1982) who treats, exhaustively the tone pattern in the orthography of Dafut. This work, like J. Loroy's work are in the frame of applied linguistics whereby theories are tested and adapted for specific languages. Mfonym's work, partly, permitted him to divide the Dafut verbs into two classes according to whether they take either a low or a high tone.

Owing to the dearth of material on the Dafut language, our present work, on the semantic-syntactic study of the Dafut verb, has as its ambition an addition of a valuable document on this language. We hope that the present venture will also serve as a basis for the establishment of a more concrete resemblance between Dafut and other Ngomba and even other Bantu languages.

162-4.3: Neighbouring Languages:

Dafut has as its neighbours some other grassfield Bantu languages which are however not all of the Ngomba sub-group.

To the West, Dafut is immediately bounded by the Ketta language. Ketta is a grassfield Bantu language but it belongs to the Kono sub-group.

To the East, Dafut has as neighbours: Mwen Dambui, and Sambili languages. These three languages, like Dafut are also of the Ngomba sub-group, of grassfield Bantu languages.

To the South, the Banken language bounds the Dafut language. Banken like Dafut is also a grassfield Bantu language of the Ngomba sub-group.

.../-
To the north, the bafut language is bounded by the Mundami language. The latter language is also a grassfield bantu language, but of the Homo sub-group like motta.

Since language exists in a continuum, "...the principal bafut dialect-the bufe is spoken between mankon (913), to the south, and mundani (911), to the north..."² (my translation)

1. The titles of the works referred to, on the bafut language will be presented as Appendix 1 at the end of this work.

As it has been shown above, most of the languages immediately neighbouring bafut are Ngorba languages, in particular, while all of them are grassfield bantu languages. Due to the proximity of these languages, it is possible, therefore, to record a high degree of intelligibility between them. The Motta language is however an exception.

1.30: Data Collection and method of analysis:

In order to get our data of 600 verbs, we had recourse to two principal informants:

Pa Nkwotii Barnabas: Aged about 67 years. Born and brought up in Bafut. He is presently a former resident in Agyeti in Bafut. (Agyeti is a quarter in Bafut.) It is through his help that we were able, apart from collecting some of the verbs, to, also mark the tones on the verbs both in their citation forms and their contextual forms.

The second principal informant was the writer of this work.

All the verbs collected are exclusively bafut verbs.

When the corpus of 600 verbs was constituted, we proceeded to an analysis of the verbs. We used cards in which we made several entries. Each card therefore contained a verb and its translation, and an illustrative sentence. We also entered the seven identified suffixes and sentences, through which we illustrated the different significations of these suffixes.
Each verb was accompanied by a predicate frame through which we were able to determine the number of arguments which each verb with or without a suffix, can take in its surface structure. Through these predicate frames and accompanying sentences, we were able to bring out the semantic and syntactic effects of the suffixes on the radical or verb.

Another task, with which we were confronted, was the classification of the verbs and the choice of the type of arguments necessary for the expression of the full meaning of each verb. At the syntactic level, this classification was basically a distinction between, transitive and intransitive. At the semantic level, we had recourse to the definitions of

Chafe (1970) in grouping the verbs into either process, state or action verbs. In a further sub-classification of the process, state and action verbs into either benefactive, locative or experiential verbs, we based our work on those of Cook (1979) and Moskey (1979) These verbs, were further grouped into the number of "places" or arguments which they obligatorily require in their surface structures.

After the above classifications and sub-classifications, we proceeded to a choice of the types of arguments necessary for a complete expression of the meanings of the verbs. In this, we pursued the procedures carved out in Cook (1979), namely the analytic procedure and intuitive judgement. These procedures conveyed us to Cook's distinction between "propositional" and "modal" cases. According to Cook (1979:87), propositional cases are those elements which are indispensable, in different combinations, for a total understanding of the verb's meaning. Cook (1979:87) also considers modal cases as optional elements, not indispensable for the verb's surface structure.

Furthermore, we also made a distinction, according to Cook (1979:87), between "Overt" and "Covert" cases. The former are propositional elements implied by the verb and which obligatorily appear at the surface structure of the verb; while the latter is implied by the verb but is not indispensable at the surface structure of the verb.
Through these distinctions, we capitalised on five main cases laid down in Cook (1979:87). These cases are: Agent (A), Experiencer (E), Benefactive (B), Object (O) and Locative (L). These five cases are Cook's reduction of Fillmore (1969:366)'s inventory of nine cases.

Since we adopted a semantic model of communication, whereby syntactic structures can be constructed from the semantic roles the verb attributes to the elements with which it occurs, we therefore, through these cases, construct predicate frames for the verbs as illustrated in the table below:

**ASSIGNMENT OF SEMANTIC AND SYNTACTIC FUNCTIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERB</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
<th>ILLUSTRATIVE SENTENCE</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
<th>SEMANTIC ROLES</th>
<th>SYNTACTIC FUNCTIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>faâ</td>
<td>to give</td>
<td>mà fà moà mbo ngwà</td>
<td>I giving... to...</td>
<td>A, O, L</td>
<td>NP1+VP+NP2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tâaa</td>
<td>to chew</td>
<td>mà tâà mbà</td>
<td>I am chewing meat</td>
<td>A, O</td>
<td>NP1+VP+NP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhâ</td>
<td>to see</td>
<td>mà zhà ngwà</td>
<td>I am seeing Ngwa</td>
<td>B, O</td>
<td>NP1+VP+NP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L 1 ña</td>
<td>to hide</td>
<td>mà línì tàâ...mùm ng</td>
<td>I am hiding... in...</td>
<td>A, O, L</td>
<td>NP2+VP+NP2+N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above table illustrates the five principal cases which have been adopted for this work. At the syntactic level, these cases have been represented as NP1, NP2, or NP3. More explanations for a better understanding of the attribution of these functions are reserved for subsequent chapters. The above table also shows the possible number of arguments which a verb can take. These do not exceed three, when only the principal cases are taken into consideration.

In the identification of tones, we based our judgement both on the melodic pattern of the voice during the production of the verbs and on the outline provided by Mfonym (1982) which was consequently adopted in the Guide Pour le Développement des systèmes D'Écriture Des Langues Africaines (1983). We, therefore, identified two register tones: high (H) and low (L); and two contour tones: Rising-falling (HL) and falling rising (LH). It was noted however that these contour tones were present only in the citation forms of the verbs. Thus, when the verbs were used in simple sentences in the present progressive tense, the HL tone was realised as a H tone while the LH tone was realised as a L tone. This change to either a H or a L...
tone justifies Nfonya (1982)'s distinction between high-tone and low-tone verbs.

After classifying the verbs at the semantic and syntactic levels, making a choice of arguments, identifying tones, and the derivational suffixes, we proceeded to a search of the functions of these suffixes. In order to tackle this problem, we based our analysis on the works of Leroy (1979), which is her treatment of the suffixes in Benko, and L. Hyman (1981)'s treatment of the functions of derivational suffixes in Nuni. From these works, we were able to discern the causative, repetitive, distributive ... as functions of the suffixes. As regards the perfective function of one of the suffixes, we turned to Nfonya (1982). In the subsequent Chapters, these suffixes will be treated elaborately. We will also provide the number of verbs which are capable of taking the various suffixes.

Throughout the analysis of the verb and its arguments, we used the sentence because according to Lehmann (1975: 229-230).

"...One approach to words is the exploration of the entities that may be used with them...we determine the use of words in any language by studying their collocations and uses".

Thus, through the sentence, we were able to discover the elements with which the verb must occur. These elements are therefore, the collocations of the verb.

1.4: Alphabet:

The consonants and vowels used for this work are in conformity with the consonant and vowel graphemes presented in Guide Pour le Developpement des Langues Africaines (1983: 24,29). The graphemes extracted from the charts are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consonant Graphemes</th>
<th>Vowel Graphemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b (by, bw, mb)</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f (fu, mf)</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...../23-
Consonant Graphemes: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consonant Graphemes</th>
<th>Vowel Graphemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d (....dw)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts (....tsy,nts)</td>
<td>θ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dz (....dzw,ndz)</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k (....kw,ky)</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g (....ng)</td>
<td>o</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>py</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>η (....ηw)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The brackets after the consonant graphemes contain different realisations or representations of the consonants accompanying these brackets. The graphemes in the brackets therefore indicate instances of palatalisation, labialisation or palatalisation of the main grapheme. The graphemes presented above are only those used in this work. Thus, eighteen (18) different consonant graphemes and nine (9) different vowel graphemes have been used in the present work.

1.5: Presentation of Chapters:

The present work is divided into the following chapters:

CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER 2: THE BAFUT VERB STRUCTURE

In this chapter, we have treated: the morphology of the bafut verbs; the tonal system of the verbs and suffixes; a terse introduction of the identified derivational suffixes and a quasi-exhaustive treatment of formal suffixes which is accompanied
by adequate illustrative sentences. We have also treated verbs which take a homorganic nasal before derivational suffixes are suffixed. We have also treated the possibilities of the co-occurrence of both formal and derivational suffixes.

CHAPTER 3 : THE VERB FROM A SEMANTIC - SYNTACTIC VIEWPOINT

This chapter is devoted to: a classification of the verbs at both the semantic and syntactic levels; a correlation of the verbs with arguments; a classification of the verbs according to the number of places; a choice of propositional overt cases and a provision of the different simple sentence structures demonstrating the surface structures of the verbs. These structural descriptions are restricted to the: 

\( (\text{NP}_1 + \text{VP}), (\text{NP}_1 + \text{VP} + \text{NP}_2) \) and \( (\text{NP}_1 + \text{VP} + \text{NP}_2 + \text{NP}_3) \) patterns.

CHAPTER 4 : THE EFFECTS OF THE SUFFIXES ON THE VERBS

This chapter tends to be a harmonisation of chapters 2 and 3. The derivational suffixes identified in chapter 2 are affixed to the verbs classified in chapter 3 and through this combination, we have attempted to portray the effects which these suffixes have in changing the meanings of the verbs and the sentence patterns in which the verbs can enter when they take on these suffixes.
CHAPTER 2

THE DAFUT VERB STRUCTURE

2.0: INTRODUCTION:

After a presentation of the Dafuts and their language in the preceding chapter, we will devote the present chapter to the structure of the Dafut verbs. Like other Centu and Bantuoid languages, the Dafut verb is composed of a root and one suffix which can either be lexical or derivational. This treatment of the Dafut verb will fall within the realms of verb morphology. Thus, we will present the verbal morphology of the Dafut verb from the following viewpoints:

1) The syllabic structure of the verbs

2) The tonal patterns of the verbs

3) The verb radical and its suffixes

A distinction will be made between lexical or formal suffixes and derivational suffixes. We will also present instances where a homorganic nasal consonant (either -n or -m) is affixed to a verb before it can take on a derivational suffix.

2.1.0 The Composition of the verbs:

As discovered in the data for this work, the Dafut verbs are predominantly monosyllabic and disyllabic. There are, however, also instances where we discovered trisyllabic verbs. Thus, from a morphological viewpoint, the Dafut verbs have the following syllabic structures:

1) Mono-Syllabic Verbs: CV

CVC

CVV

2) Disyllabic Verbs: CV.CV

CV.CV

CVV.CV
3) Trisyllabic Verbs: CV.CV.CV

It was also noticed, from the data, that some consonants at the initial position of the verbs were followed by either -w or -y. This was regarded as instances of labialisation and palatalisation respectively and so such consonants were treated as single modified consonants rather than as a sequence composed of two separate consonants. In treating the verb composition according to the syllabic segmentation of the verbs, we will present a definition of a consonant as:

"...what one perceives, during speech, as being the smallest stretch of sounds"¹ (my translation)

As a supplementary addition to the above definition, I will add

that the syllable of Bafut verbs, comprises a nucleus which is usually a vowel though the nasals -m, -n and -n can also be syllabic. These syllabic segments bear tones. The syllable can either be regarded as an open or a closed syllable. An open syllable is one which has no consonant element coming after the nucleus vowel while a closed syllable has a consonant following the nucleus vowel.

From the above information, it can be inferred that the Bafut verb can be grouped into the seven morphological patterns below:

2.1: CV. Pattern

This pattern is one which is made up only of a single consonant, which can either be simple (-C₁) or modified (CV) or (CY). From the data we discovered 49 verbs which have this segmental pattern. These verbs include the following sample:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>CV.CV.CV Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tê</td>
<td>&quot;to kick&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nê</td>
<td>&quot;to drink&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bi</td>
<td>&quot;to plant&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fê</td>
<td>&quot;to grasp&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ha</td>
<td>&quot;to dry with fire&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uê</td>
<td>&quot;to pinch&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ñê</td>
<td>&quot;to excrete&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mi</td>
<td>&quot;to swallow&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyê</td>
<td>&quot;to pluck&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyê</td>
<td>&quot;to comb&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Judging from the sample above, it can be seen that with the verbs...

C V-pattern, the final vowel, in the infinitive or imperative forms, of the verb, usually carries either a falling ( ) and a rising tones(V).

2.1.2: C V C Pattern.

This pattern presupposes that the verb has the sequence C V C. This pattern is however noticed only in sentences and affects mostly verbs which end with an - a which comes either after m- or m-. In sentences, the - a is therefore deleted in the pronunciation of the verb. From the data, 20 verbs were discovered with this behaviour. Verbs with this pattern are represented by the following samples:

.../
In order to illustrate what has been said in the introduction to this section, I will use the following simple sentences:

má sän ətsə'ə "I am drying a cloth"
ma shən ətsə'ə "I am pulling a cloth"
mə tsən ətsə'ə "I am knotting a cloth"
mə sän nəkə'ə "I am counting money"
mə kən nə "I am frying meat"

From the above sentences, it can be realised that the final vowel - a is deleted when the verbs are used in sentences, or during speech.

2.1.3: C V V Pattern.

This pattern presupposes that the verb which is monosyllabic is made up of a consonant and two vowels in the same syllable. We discovered from the data that 83 verbs have a C V V morphological structure. The following are samples of the verbs:

k "to peel, shave, scrape"
ghæ "to speak"
ya "to sing"
ghũũ "to invite"
bá "to hate"
kwũũ "to beat"
bii "to agree"
sii "to suck in"
siː "to sneak away, to saw"
bii "to unhook"
2.1.4: C V C V Pattern:

This pattern presupposes that the verb is disyllabic with the pattern C V C V C V. An analysis of the data, for this work, showed that there were 314 verbs with this structure. Samples of these verbs are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C V C V</th>
<th>C V C V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ṃwâ'ē</td>
<td>ṃwâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>žhâ'ē</td>
<td>žhâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>žhâ'ē</td>
<td>žhâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwâ'ē</td>
<td>kwâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsâ'ē</td>
<td>tsâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lwâ'ē</td>
<td>lwâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yâ'ē</td>
<td>yâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lsâ'ē</td>
<td>lsâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ŝhâ'ē</td>
<td>ŝhâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ŝhâ'ē</td>
<td>ŝhâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṃwâ'ē</td>
<td>ṃwâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>žhâ'ē</td>
<td>žhâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>žhâ'ē</td>
<td>žhâ'ē</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwâ'ē</td>
<td>kwâ'ē</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.5: C V C V Pattern:

This pattern is the one immediately above, concerns disyllabic verbs. In such verbs, the first syllable has a C V C V pattern while the second syllable has a C V pattern. We realised, from the data, that there were 18 verbs having this morphological pattern.
These verbs include the following:

- *nỳënsà* "to suckle"
- *dzànsà* "to hold downwards"
- *dzënsà* "to confuse"
- *tsùmà* "to confer with..."
- *tëmà* "to make something round"
- *gàpà* "to creep"
- *tëmà* "to better"
- *súnsà* "to tickle"
- *mënsà* "to try"
- *tsùmà* "to whisper"
- *birsà* "to appose"
- *tsùnkà* "to lag"

Judging from the verbs above, it can be seen that the Derut verbs with the C V C - C V morphological structure are those verbs which have lexical suffixes; as it will be realised later on in the course of this work, are an inseparable part of the verb. They can therefore not be deleted from the verb's structure with the verb still being meaningful.

### 2.1.6: C V V - C V Pattern

Like the verbs in 1.1.4 and 1.1.5 above, the Derut verbs with the C V V - C V morphological structure are disyllabic verbs. The first syllable is usually composed of one initial syllabic consonant which is followed by two vowels. The second is composed of a consonant and a vowel in that order. An analysis of the data put into relief 32 verbs with this structure. Samples of this type of verbs are as follows:

- *toónsà* "to purge"
- *swíainsà* "to crucify"
- *dzëñkà* "to spurt"
- *sánnsà* "to put across"
- *làntà* "to hide oneself"
- *kwâñkà* "to stumble against"
- *kânsà* "to spy on"

- *faànsà* "to squint"
- *biànsà* "to raise from the ground"
- *bàànsà* "to bid someone goodbye"
- *fààtsà* "to separate"
- *mìntsà* "to touch"
- *kâalà* "to rumple"
- *lùûnsà* "to wrap"
The verbs above show that the C V V : C V morphological pattern of the 1afut verbs occurs only when the first syllable of the verb has a long vowel. It should also be noted that the second syllable is composed partly of a lexical suffix which is separated from the long vowel of the first syllable by an insertion of a homorganic nasal, either -n- or -n-, as the case may be, between the long vowel and the second or final syllable of the verb with the C V V : C V morphological pattern. Instances where a homorganic nasal is inserted between the verb radical and the suffix will be illustrated in the treatment of the derivational suffixes later on in this work.

2.1.7: C V, C V, C V Pattern

Unlike the morphological patterns of the 1afut verbs already treated above, which concerned the structures of disyllabic or monosyllabic or disyllabic verbs, as the pattern indicates, each syllable is made up of a consonant and a vowel. We discovered 90 verbs with this morphological pattern. Among these verbs were the samples below:

- ghābrê "to babble"
- nī'sâ "to estimate"
- nī'sâ "to sight"
- tsō'isâ "to borrow"
- ghû'sâ "to heal"
- ti'sâ "to mortgage"
- mî'âsâ "to train"
- dôrâtâ "to be happy"
- wâ'âtâ "to remember"
- kû'âtâ "to knuckle"
- yû'i'ê "to taste"

"to stagger"
"to struggle"
"to dazzle"
"to counsel"
"to estimate"
"to regurgitate"
"to squat"
"to fade"
"to sneeze"
"to obey"
"to tempt"
Like the verbs in 1.1.6 already treated above the verbs with the CV.CV.CV pattern end with a formal suffix. Like the verbs in 1.1.6, the formal suffix of the verbs above cannot be deleted with the verb still maintaining its original meaning. After treating the morphological structure of the $\text{ja}$fut verbs I will tersely present the tonal system of the $\text{ja}$fut verb.

2.2.0: The Tonal System of the verbs:

A more elaborate work on the tonal system of the $\text{ja}$fut language has been done by Mfonyam (1982). In this work, he treats the tonal system of noun as well as verb structures by placing these word classes in the context of sentences and drawing some conclusions of tonal behaviour within this context. Inspiration for this section is largely drawn, therefore, from Mfonyam (1982) and also from observations we gathered from the data for this work. When Mfonyam subjects the verbs into sentences, he made a distinction between high tone and low tone verbs.

Concerning high tone verbs, he says that the last syllable of each high tone verb bears a high tone (H). He therefore presents the following patterns:

\[ \text{H + suffix} \rightarrow \text{H - H} : \text{This is for monosyllabic verbs which take on a suffix.} \]

\[ \text{HH + suffix} \rightarrow \text{HH - H} : \text{This is for disyllabic verbs which take on a suffix.} \]

Concerning low tone verbs, Mfonyam considers them as those whose last syllables bear a mid tone (M). He accordingly presents a structure which can be schematized thus:

\[ \text{LM + Suffix} \rightarrow \text{LL - M} ; \]

\[ \ldots \]
As it has already been mentioned above, this pattern was obtained in sentences. But the citation forms of the verb, as seen from the data, manifested the patterns whereby all the verbs have a rising and falling tone at their final syllable: whether the preceding syllables has a high or a low tone. But monosyllabic verbs, in their citation forms and which end with a short vowel, carry either a rising-falling or a falling-rising tone on the final vowel. We noted, however, that when the verbs with either the falling-rising of the rising-falling tones were used in sentences, these tones were realised as low (L) and high tones respectively.

This change, it should be noted is morphological and not phonological, and can be due either to tense or the mood in which the verb is used. Concerning the tense, I will like to mention that the change incurred by these tones was arrived at when the verbs were used in the simple progressive tense.

From the foregoing analysis, it can be inferred that the perfect verb has two basic regular tones: high and low tones which can be accompanied by either a rising and falling or a falling and rising tone in the citation forms of the verbs. The above phenomena will be illustrated in the following sections.

2.2.1: High Tone Verbs:

We will borrow the term "high tones" from Efiong (1982) but with the modification that these verbs do not have a high tone on the last syllable as Efiong presents them. In order to make this label adaptable to the present work, we will say that "high tone" verbs, in their citation forms are those which have a high tone in all other syllables except the last syllable which has a rising and falling tone. This pattern will be schematised thus: H-H = HL for trisyllabic verbs and H - HL for disyllabic verbs. It should be noted that the hyphen between the labels has no orthographic signification here. It is just to put the rising-falling tone, of the final syllable, into relief.
Samples of verbs with the H-H- HL pattern are as presented below:

1) HH - HL: pattern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syllable</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n+ř+sʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to handle with care&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k+ř+sʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to raise the shoulders&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hw+ř+sʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to mark&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kär+ř+sʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to encircle&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yũ+u+šʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to listen&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t+h+šʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to destroy&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t+w+bʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to mortgage&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) H - HL pattern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syllable</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>twisʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to postpone&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwetʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to help&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y+r+šʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to be selfish&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twenʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to read, call&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tswi+bʰ</td>
<td>&quot;to snatch&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to justify the fact that the rising and falling tone is converted to a high tone in a sentence of the present progressive tense, the following illustrations are provide:

1) H H - HL: mā n̥+ř+sʰ  n̥kánə "I am handling a pen with care"

2) H - HL: mā kwetʰ  nə "I am helping you"

As it is manifested in the sentences above, the rising-falling tone of the last syllable has been converted into a high tone, through a regressive assimilation.

2.2.2: Low tone Verbs:

We will once more borrow from Hfonyam (1982)'s notion of the low tone verb, but with the following modification. That the low tone verb, in its citation form, has a rising-falling tone, instead of a mid tone on its final syllable. With this modification, the following verbs are samples with a LL - HL or L - HL patterns.
When the above verbs were used in sentences with the present progressive tense, it was realised that the rising-falling tone becomes a low tone, as illustrated below:

1) LL - HL pattern:

- swi'ina
- ti'ina
- tsâ'âtâ
- fíç'tâ
- nâ'tâna

2) L - HL pattern:

- ti'â
- fê'tâ
- fê'ê
- lâ'tâ
- mâ'â
- gû'mâ

"to slide"
"to show"
"to write"
"to wedge"
"to stick"
"to go out"
"to guide"
"to throw"
"to bake in ash"

When the above verbs were used in sentences with the present progressive tense, it was realised that the rising-falling tone becomes a low tone, as illustrated below:

1) LL - HL: mâ'â tâ'âtâ nô
   "I am greeting you"

2) L - HL: mâ'â tî'â nên'âtô
   "I am wedging a plantain plant"

Once more, through a process of regressive assimilation, the rising-falling tone has been converted into a low tone. It should once more be noted that this low tone has a morphological rather than a phonological motivation. Thus, the meaning of the verb is not modified in any way by the tonal change.

2.2.3: Rising-Falling and Falling-Rising tone verbs:

As it has already been mentioned in section 2.2.0 above, the rising-falling and falling-rising tone patterns are peculiar of monosyllabic verbs which end with a short syllable. As in the cases of modified tones above, these tones, will change to either high or low tones when the verbs are used in sentences with the present progressive tense. The following are verbs which illustrate these tone patterns:
1) Rising - Falling tone:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Sentence in the present progressive tense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tswé</td>
<td>&quot;to hold&quot;</td>
<td>mà tswé nó &quot;I am holding you.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tó</td>
<td>&quot;to pay&quot;</td>
<td>mà tó nó &quot;I am paying you.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzwé</td>
<td>&quot;to give birth&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tā tê</td>
<td>&quot;to sort out&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twé</td>
<td>&quot;to crack&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kò</td>
<td>&quot;to catch&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwé</td>
<td>&quot;to die&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhì</td>
<td>&quot;to know&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Falling - rising tone:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Sentence in the present progressive tense</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dzý</td>
<td>&quot;to under-rate&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ló</td>
<td>&quot;to leave&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wá</td>
<td>&quot;to slaughter&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wó</td>
<td>&quot;to fall&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lwý</td>
<td>&quot;to be bitter&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsyá</td>
<td>&quot;to pass&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The verbs above are only samples of monosyllabic verbs with either a rising-falling or a falling-rising tone, which were found in the data. When these verbs are used in sentences, there is a morphological change incurred by the verbs. Thus, the rising-falling tone becomes a high tone while the falling-rising tone becomes a low tone. These situations are illustrated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb in citation form</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Sentence in the present progressive tense</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: tswé</td>
<td>to hold</td>
<td>mà tswé nó &quot;I am holding you.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tó</td>
<td>to pay</td>
<td>mà tó nó &quot;I am paying you.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: tsyá</td>
<td>to pass</td>
<td>mà tsyá &quot;I am passing.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzý</td>
<td>to under-rate</td>
<td>mà dzý nó &quot;I am under-rating you.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ló</td>
<td>to leave</td>
<td>mà ló &quot;I am leaving.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the simplex radical is made up of segments which are the same as either one or the other of the segments identified in this work as a derivational suffix. The difference here lies, however, in the fact that the final segments of the radical modify the verb neither semantically nor syntactically. This situation will be discussed in the sections that follow.

2.3.2.0: The Radical + Suffix:

It was realised from the data, for this work, that the radical part of the Refut verb can take on some suffixes which tend to enhance either a semantic or syntactic modification of the verb. As it will be seen in Chapter 3, the semantic effect of the derivational suffixes is that they can either increase or reduce the number of arguments which the verb takes; they can also change the verbs into either action, process or state verbs; thirdly, these suffixes can bring a modified meaning to the verb. At the syntactic verbs can change intransitive verbs into transitive verbs and vice versa; they can also introduce a causative element into the in or even derive new word classes from the verbs.

2. Ibid.

As already mentioned earlier, the simplex radical can have a structure similar to that of an extended radical. In such cases, it is necessary to make a distinction between these endings in terms of types. Thus, I will say that this distinction will be based on the judgement whether these endings of both simplex radicals and extended radicals do or do not modify the verb either semantically or syntactically. In making this judgement, I will take recourse in the works of some linguists.

The type of suffixes which modify the Refut verbs have been differently referred to as "derived suffixes" or as "class-changing" suffixes. These are the type of suffixes which will attract more attention in the present semantic and syntactic study of the Refut verb.
On the other hand, those suffixes which do not modify the verbs in any way have also been variously referred to by linguists as: "formal suffixes"\(^3\) or "class-maintaining suffixes"\(^4\) or "lexical suffixes"\(^5\). Writing about lexical suffixes, Hyman says that they are "those which occur on a verb form but do not constitute a separate meaningful part".

From the above definition, we can correlate lexical suffixes with those suffixes which form an inseparable part of a complex radical in Sefut. In opposition to these lexical or formal suffixes, one can put the derived suffixes. For the sake of the present work, we will maintain the following terms in treating the suffixes:

1) Derived suffix: This is that suffix which can modify the verb either semantically or syntactically.

2) Formal suffix: This will refer to the suffix that has no modifying effect on the verb.

In Sefut as in Penkun (Leroy, 1979) the suffixes -sa, -ta, -na, and -ka were found as having the same meaning. This will be further explained in Chapter 3. In addition to those suffixes found in Penkun, we discovered that there also existed the derivational suffixes -se, -la, and a duplication of the verb. More specifically to Sefut, we also discovered that there were formal suffixes which had the same -sa, -ta, -na and ka structure as the derivational suffixes. A terse presentation of these suffixes will be made in the following sections.

4. Howard Jackson Ibid.

---

2.3.2.1.0: Derived Suffixes

We discovered from the data, that there were six derived suffixes in Sefut and these suffixes are: -sa, -ka, -tr, -na, -se, and -la.

---
In Leroy (1979) the first four of these suffixes were found in Bankon, and as it will be realised in the course of this work, these suffixes have the same meaning in Bankon as presented in Leroy (1979). Out of the 600 verbs which form the basis of this study, some took on all of these suffixes while others took on either one or the other of the suffix. On the other hand, all the Bankon verbs take the -me derived suffix. In the terse presentation of the meanings of these suffixes, some reasons will be evoked to explain why a verb can take on one of the suffixes and not the other.

In presenting these suffixes, we will also illustrate situations whereby a homorganic nasal, either -n- or -η - is inserted between the simple radical and the derived suffix.

213.2.1.1: The -ta derived suffix:

In treating the -ta derived suffix in this section, we will only give a brief presentation of this suffix. A more exhaustive treatment, which will portray the semantic and syntactic implication of this suffix, is reserved for Chapter 3. Out of the 600 verbs used for this work, 338 of them were found to have this suffix. This suffix was found to have three implications namely diminutive, repetitive, quantitative.

1. **DIMINUTIVE -ta:**

   We realised that 314 verbs can take the -ta diminutive suffix. This suffix suggested that the action is either "short-lived" or just a small quantity of something is done" or only "port of" a greater action is done with "lesssintensity".

2) **REPETITIVE -ta:**

   It was discovered from the data that out of the 338 verbs which could take the -ta derived suffix; 16 of them had a repetitive function. Verbs with this suffix show a change in the meaning of the verb in that the action suggested by the verb is capable of being repeated. Thus this suffix has the meanings:"several times" or "over and over".
3) DISTRIBUTIVE -\( \text{ta} \):

When this derived suffix is affixed to a simplex radical, it shows that action falls on individuals or a group of individuals who form a larger group. This situation will be explored more in Chapter 3.

2.3.2.1.2: The -\( \text{ka} \) derived suffix.

It was discovered, from the data, that 388 verbs can be suffixed with the -\( \text{ka} \) derived suffix. This suffix when suffixed to the verb radiates the following meanings: "distributive, repetitive, quantitative and spontaneity." This suffix will be briefly presented here as a prelude to a more elaborate treatment in Chapter 3. The -\( \text{ka} \) derived suffix can therefore be treated as:

1) "Distributive - \( \text{ka} \):

Out of the 388 verbs which were discovered to receive the -\( \text{ka} \) derived suffix, 320 of them were found to receive the -\( \text{ka} \) suffix with a distributive meaning. When this suffix is added to a verb, it shows that several similar actions are done at different times; or that the same action is done by different people or groups of people at the same time. These functions of the -\( \text{ka} \) distributive can therefore be summarised as: "separately", or "one after the other" or at "different times". In this last function, the -\( \text{ka} \) distributive function is similar to the repetitive -\( \text{ta} \).

The difference here, however, is that the repetitiveness of -\( \text{ta} \) is continuous, while with the -\( \text{ka} \) distributive suffix it is suggested that there is a pause between the first execution of an action and the subsequent execution of the same action.

2) Repetitive -\( \text{ka} \)

It was discovered from the data that 28 verbs were discovered to receive the repetitive -\( \text{ka} \) derived suffix. When a verb takes on this suffix, the verb's meaning is modified to suggest that an action is done several times continuously. This -\( \text{ka} \) suffix is, therefore, similar in function to the
repetitive -ta presented above. The meaning of the repetitive -ka will therefore be represented in illustrative sentences as: "several times" or "time and again" or "several things".

3) Spontaneous -ka.

This derived suffix, when added to a verb indicates that the action suggested by the verb is capable of going on without the assistance of an external agentive force. We discovered from the data that out of the 388 verbs with the -ka derived suffix, 16 of them suggested spontaneity. Thus, when the spontaneous -ka is affixed to a verb, we will give it the meaning: "by itself". As it will be realised later on, the spontaneous -ka has the same functional meaning as the spontaneous -na.

4) Quantitative -ka:

We realised from the data that 20 verbs out of the 388, which permit the use of the -ka derived suffix, have a quantitative function. This indicates that there is a large number of objects which are referred to or which are affected by the action effected by the agent or subject of the verb. When this suffix is used on a verb. Therefore, it would be regarded as implying "several" or "many".

From the foregoing analysis, it can be inferred that the -ka derived suffix can radiate five different meanings. Those meanings have been grouped above in the headings: "Distributive -ka", "repetitive -ka", "Simultaneous -ka", "Spontaneous -ka" and "Quantitative -ka". An analysis of the data also showed that there was another derived suffix, -sa, which also modifies either the syntactic or semantic quality of the verb to which it is affixed. This suffix will be presented below:

2.3.2.1.3: The -sa derived suffix:

Unlike the -ta -ka and later on the -na derived suffixes which modify the verb both at the semantic and syntactic levels, the -sa derived suffix ...
affects the verb exclusively at the syntactic level. Thus, as it will be illustrated later on, in the course of this work, when the -sa derived suffix is added to the verb, it adds a causative quality to the verb. Through this function, therefore, the -sa suffix increases the valency of verbs. In this way, therefore, intransitive verbs are changed into transitive verbs.

From the data, we discovered that out of the 600 verbs forming the data, 117 of them could take on the -sa causative suffix. In cases whereby a verb cannot take on the causative -sa, the morpheme "ghtrâ" which means "to make someone to do something" was added to the sentence, to serve the causative function. There is however, no discernible way to predict when a verb takes on either -sa or "ghtrâ" because while -sa applies exclusively to intransitive verbs, the morpheme "ghtrâ" applies to intransitive as well as to transitive verbs. As regards the insertion of "ghtrâ" into sentences in order to adorn the verb with a causative characteristic, 483 verbs were discovered to demand this morpheme. The above situations, where verbs take on either -sa or "ghtrâ" as causative elements will be expounded more during the treatment of the semantic and syntactic implications of the suffixes in chapter 3.

Another derived suffix which is common to both Dafut and Benko as can be inferred from Lecoy (1979) is the -na derived suffix.

2.3.2.1.3: The -na derived Suffix:

An analysis of the data showed that the -na derived suffix has both a semantic and syntactic modifying effect on the verb. At the semantic level, the -na derived suffix adds three different meanings to the basic meaning of the verb. These meanings are: "reciprocity", "simultaneity" and "spontaneity". These different meanings will be briefly introduced in this section and further exploited later on in the work. At the syntactic level, when -na is added to a verb, it reduces verb valency. This syntactic function of the -na derived suffix ties up with the semantic functions of "reciprocity" and "spontaneity" already mentioned above.
An analysis of the data showed that out of the 600 verbs, 171 of them received the -na derived suffix indicating the different meanings already presented above. The different functions of the -na suffix and the number of verbs fulfilling either of the functions can be briefly presented thus:

1) The "reciprocal" element of -na:

It was discovered from the data that out of the 171 verbs which receive the -na derived suffix, 52 of them added a meaning of reciprocity to the verb. This reciprocity suggests retaliatory action, that is, all the arguments involved in an action affect each other through the derived verb.

In illustrating this function of the -na suffix in sentences the "reciprocal" element of -na will be represented as "each other". While at the semantic level the "reciprocal" -na will be translated as "each other", at the syntactic level, this -na suffix reduces verb valency. It can also change a verb into another part of speech. When added to the verb, the "reciprocal" -na will be taken to mean "each other".

2) Spontaneous element of -na:

We discovered from the verbs which can take on the -na derived suffix that 47 of them were found to add a spontaneous quality to the verb. This spontaneous element, as it has already been seen with the spontaneous -ka, shows that action suggested by the verb is capable of being executed without the assistance of any agentive force.

As it has been seen with the "reciprocal" -na above, the spontaneous -na also has a syntactic function in that it reduces the number of noun phrases with which the verb can occur. The spontaneous element will be read as "by itself".

3) Simultaneous element of -na:

In presenting the -ka derived suffix, we said that this suffix can be used to mark simultaneity in action. We therefore said that the simultaneous -ka is used to mean "at the same time". This is also the meaning
carried by the simultaneous -ne. Thus this suffix indicates that the
same action is carried out by different groups of people at the same time
time. This suffix will therefore be taken as adding the meaning
"at the same time" or "together" to the basic meaning of the verb. At the
syntactic level, this suffix neither reduces nor increases the number of
noun phrases occurring with the verb. It was discovered that 72 verbs
took on the simultaneous -ne.

The derived suffixes presented above, were also discovered in Bankon,
as shown by Leroy (1979), and they had some common significations. Apart
from these suffixes presented in Bankon, by Leroy (1979), we also discovered
three other derived suffixes in Nafut which are: -me, -lr and a duplication
of the simplex radical.

2.3.2.1.5: The -me derived suffix:

It was realised through an analysis of data, that all the 600 verbs
which form the basis for this study took on the -me derived suffix. This
suffix can be explained in terms of its opposition to the diminutive -ta
suffix. This opposition is in the fact that the -me suffix indicates
the perfective aspect of the verb to which it is affixed. The term
"perfective" is used here in accordance with Comrie's definition in which
he says that the perfective aspect:

"Presents the totality of the situation without reference to its
internal temporal constituency: the whole of the situation is presented
as a single unanalyzable whole, with the beginning, middle and end rolled
into one..."\(^1\)

According to Comrie's definition, therefore, the -me suffix in Nafut
can be said to be a morpheme which emphasises that the action, suggested
by the verb, had already been actually carried out. With such a function
of -me, its opposition to the diminutive -ta, already presented earlier
becomes clear.

.../-
In this situation the diminutive -ta demonstrates an "imperfective" aspect of the verb. Once more, according to Comrie, the imperfective aspect:

"presents a situation or action with internal temporal structure... viewed from within as having a beginning, a middle and an end or simply drawn".  

Adopting Comrie's definition, of the imperfective aspect of the verb for the Dafut diminutive -ta suffix one can say that this suffix presents an action as being segmented or as being in process. In presenting the above opposition, though not in unravelling the relationship existing between -me and diminutive -ta Mfonyam (1902), says that one can...bring out the distinction between the perfective and imperfective aspects as one of opposition between the notion of completion and incompleteness or duration".  

This distinction between the notions of "completion" and "incompleteness" will be illustrated by the following two sentences using the -ta suffix:

1) nô "to drink" mà nô mə nki "I am drinking a bit of water"  
2) nô "to drink" mà nô mə nki "I have already drank water"

1. Bernard Comrie in Tonology in the Orthography of Dafut, Mfonyam (1902) PP. 197.  
2. Ibid: PP. 197.  

Sentence 1 above shows that the agent or subject effecting the action is still in the process of executing the action. On the other hand sentence 2 shows that the action of "drinking" has actually been carried out. These two sentences therefore portray the contrast between the imperfective diminutive -ta and the perfective -me respectively.

2.3.2.1.6: The -la derived suffix:

The derived -la suffix is one of the suffixes which were discovered to effect some modification on the verb.
Unlike syntactic effects on the verbs, the -la derived suffix has.

It was discovered from the data of 600 verbs that 112 of the verbs can be extended by the use of the -la derived suffix. When the -la suffix is added to the verb, it usually has three significations namely: "randomness", "roughness", and "on several parts." Out of the 112 verbs which were found to accept the -la suffix, 66 of them denote "randomness", 22 conveyed the meaning of "roughness" while 7 of them conveyed the meaning "on several parts/points".

2.3.2.1.7: Reduplication of the radical:

In his treatment of Noni verb forms, Larry Nyman (1981) states that "a dozen verbs...have been found which derive the meaning of 'continuously', 'often', 'manytimes', by reduplicating their root syllable." Taking Hyman's discovery as a premise and applying it to the Datut verbs, we discovered that out of the 600 verbs which compose our data, 583 verbs were seen to duplicate their roots to mean "several times", "many" and "thoroughly."

For the course of this work, the different meanings have been labelled as follows:-

When the reduplication of the verb root indicates that an action is done "several times" we will say that the reduplication has a repetitive function. 170 verbs out of the 583 whose roots can be duplicated, conveyed this meaning.


When the reduplication indicates that the action suggested by the verb affects many objects, we will say that the reduplication has a "quantitative" function. Out of the 483 verbs whose roots can be duplicated, 78 cases demonstrated the "quantitative function. This quantitative function is marked by "too much"..."
Thirdly, when the duplication indicates that the action was done thoroughly, we will say that this duplication has an "emphatic" function. In sentences this emphatic function will be represented by "very..." or "too...". These situations will be exploited in Chapter 3. We discovered from the data that 35 verbs, whose root can be reduplicated, were found to convey the "emphatic" meaning.

In the course of adding the derivational suffixes to verbs, we realised that some of the verbs underwent a morphological conditioning before taking on any of the derivational suffixes. This conditioning involved an insertion of a homorganic nasal, either -n- or -n- between the verb root and the derivational suffix. This situation will be explained in the following section.

2.3.2.1.8: Verbs with homorganic nasals: (-n-, -n-)

As it has already been mentioned above, some verbs require the insertion of either -n- or -n- between the verb root and the derivational suffix. We discovered, from the data, that 80 verbs were prone to this behaviour. We also noticed that it was the initial consonant of the suffix which determines whether it is -n-, or -n- which should be inserted between the verb root and the suffix. This shows a case of homorganicity. This phenomenon will be illustrated in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERB ROOT</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
<th>VERB ROOT + -n- SUFFIX</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ghô\b3</td>
<td>1. to be sick</td>
<td>ghô\b3-n-tê</td>
<td>1. to be a bit sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lôô</td>
<td>2. to be hot</td>
<td>lôô-n-sâ</td>
<td>2. to make something to be hot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kô\b3</td>
<td>3. to peel</td>
<td>kô\b3-n-tê</td>
<td>3. to peel a bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lîl</td>
<td>4. to look at</td>
<td>lîl-n-tê</td>
<td>4. to look at... for a short time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bweï</td>
<td>5. to sleep</td>
<td>bweï-n-sâ</td>
<td>5. to make someonete sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nôô</td>
<td>6. to smile</td>
<td>nôô-n-tê</td>
<td>6. to smile a bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tswôô</td>
<td>7. to trim</td>
<td>tswôô-n-tê</td>
<td>7. to trim... a bit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the table above, we have presented cases whereby -n- is inserted between the verb root and the suffix. It should be noted that the hyphens separating the nasal, -n-, from the verb and the derivational suffix have no orthographic significance. These hyphens have been inserted just to throw the inserted nasal into relief. The above table illustrates instances whereby the alveolar nasal, -n-, is inserted in the verb.
Instances where it is the velar nasal, -n-, which is inserted, between the verb root and the derivational suffix, will be presented in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERB ROOT</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
<th>VERB ROOT + -n- SUFFIX</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. ghiː</td>
<td>1. to be sick</td>
<td>1. ghiː-ŋ-kæ</td>
<td>1. to be sick one after the other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ḷiː</td>
<td>2. to smile</td>
<td>2. ḷiː-ŋ-kæ</td>
<td>2. to smile one after the other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. kọː</td>
<td>3. to peel</td>
<td>3. kọː-ŋ-kæ</td>
<td>3. to peel separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. kàː</td>
<td>4. to be tied</td>
<td>4. kàː-ŋ-kæ</td>
<td>4. to be separately tied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. yaː</td>
<td>5. to sing</td>
<td>5. yaː-ŋ-kæ</td>
<td>5. to sing separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. fùː</td>
<td>6. to punch</td>
<td>6. fùː-ŋ-kæ</td>
<td>6. to punch several times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. swii</td>
<td>7. to suck in</td>
<td>7. swii-ŋ-kæ</td>
<td>7. to suck in one after the other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it can be deduced from the tables above, the nasals are inserted between the verb root and the suffix only when the verb root takes either the a -la, a -sa, or a -ka derivational suffix. The conditions under which the nasals are inserted can be explained as follows:

Firstly, the verb which demands the insertion of the nasals must end with a long vowel on the same syllable. The length of the vowel is shown by a doubling of the same vowel. This is evident in the tables above. Thus, a verb which ends with a short vowel does not need either of the identified nasals to be inserted between the verb root and the derivational suffix. This phenomenon can be illustrated with the following verbs ending with a short vowel:

1) stigā "to climb down" 1) st'gāsā "to make someone"
2) fà'k'ih "to be blind" 2) fà'k'akā "to be separately blind"
3) l'tgā "to cultivate" 3) l't gātā "to cultivate a small area"
The above illustrations can be contrasted with the illustrations in the preceding tables.

Secondly, whether it is -n- or -n- which is to be inserted between the verb and the derivational suffix, depends on whether the consonant of the suffix is alveolar or velar. Thus, when the consonant of the suffix is an alveolar consonant, it is -n- which has to be inserted and when the suffix consonant is velar, it is -n- which is inserted. This shows a situation of homorganicity which will be illustrated by the examples below:

A single verb will be used for this illustration:

- yās “to sing”

1. Yās “to sing”
1. Yās-n-tā “to sing for a short time”

2. Yās “to sing”
2. Yās-n-sā “to make someone to sing”

3. Yās “to sing”
3. Yās-g-kā “to sing separately”

From the examples above, it is clearly seen that when the verb takes on either the -to or the -sa derivational suffix, the nasal inserted is -n-. This is, as explained earlier, because /t/, /s/ and /n/ are all alveolar sounds. When, however, the verb takes on the -ka suffix, the nasal inserted is -n- since both /k/ and /n/ are velar sounds.

It was also noted that the insertion of the above nasals also occurred when the verb root is reduplicated. Once more, there is a case of homorganicity. Thus, if the verb root has as its initial sound an alveolar consonant, the nasal -n- is inserted while when the initial consonant is a velar consonant, the nasal -n- is inserted. When however the initial consonant is either -n- or -n-, there is no more insertion. These situations will be demonstrated below:

1) ćzū “to eat”
1) ćzū-n-ćzū “to eat too much”

2) kōś “to bring up offspring” 2) kōś-η-kōś “to bring up offspring time and again”

3) ńodū “to smile”
3) ńodū-ńodū “to smile time and again”

4) nūgē “to crush”
4) nūgē-n-nūgē “to crush several times”

.../-
Example 1 above illustrates a situation where the initial consonant of the verb root is an alveolar consonant. Thus, in reduplicating this root, -n- is placed before the reduplicated form.

Example 2 illustrates a case where the initial consonant of the verb root /k/ is a velar consonant. Thus, -n- which is a velar nasal is placed before the reduplicated verb root.

Examples 3 and 4 demonstrate instances where the initial consonants of the verb roots are the nasals /ŋ/ and /n/ respectively. /n/ In these cases, there is no insertion of either /ŋ/ or /n/ before the reduplicated root.

While the suffixes -ta, -ka, -za and reduplicated verb roots demand the insertion of either /ŋ/ or /n/ between the verb root and the suffix, other suffixes like -mə, -mə and -la do not require these insertions. The case of -mə can be explained by the fact that the initial consonant of this suffix is a nasal and therefore needs no insertion of another nasal.

But while this plausible explanation can be given concerning the -mə suffix, no reason was however found as to why -lə does not need either of the nasals, seeing that the consonant /l/ of the suffix is alveolar.

The case of -mə can be partially explained by the fact that the consonant /m/ of the suffix, though a bilabial consonant, shares some nasal features with -mə and -ŋə which are usually placed before the suffixes.

Illustrations of cases where verb roots take on the -mə and -lə derivational suffixes, but without the insertion of any of the nasals, are presented below.

1. **Verb Root + -mə:**
   1. dzů "to act"  
      2. ků "to enter"  
      3. tô "to fight"
   1. mà dzů-mə "I have already eaten"  
   2. mà ků-mə "I have already entered"  
   3. mà tô-mə "I have already fought"

2. **Verb Root + -lə:**
   tśwə "to trim"  
   1. tśwə-lə "to trim at random"
It should be borne in mind that the hyphens within the verbs above, like elsewhere, have no orthographic significance. They are inserted in the verbs just to distinguish the verb root from the various derivational suffixes. As it is evidenced by the illustrations above, neither the -me nor the -la suffix requires a homorganic nasal before it.

So far, the above analyses have been focussed on derivational suffixes which can be applied to modify either the semantic or syntactic meanings of the Qafut verbs. But, it was also discovered that there are some "suffixes" which do not modify the meaning of the verb in any way. As it was noticed in 1.3.2.0, this category of suffixes was labelled "formal suffixes".

2.3.3.0: Formal Suffixes:

The definition of formal "suffixes": in section 1.3.2.0, identified them as an integral part of the verb and can therefore not be extricated from the verb by any means. Any attempt at deleting this "suffix" from the verb will render the remaining part of the verb meaningless. It should be noted that the term "suffix" is used in this section just as a matter of convenience. This is because the traditional definition of a suffix defines it as:

"...letter(s) or syllable(s) added at the end of a word to make another word."

If the above definition is strictly adhered to, then we will not be justified to speak of "formal suffixes" or "lexical suffixes" because these do not create new words, neither do they modify the existing verb in any way. But, we will use the label "formal suffixes" because these suffixes have the same structure as the identified suffixes and secondly the "formal suffixes" also occur at the final position of the verbs where the derived suffixes also do.
With the above clarifications which justify the adoption of the label "formal suffixes" we discovered that there were seven different formal suffixes which the Definitive verbs can contain. These suffixes, which are similar in structure to the derived suffixes are: -ta, -ka, -na, -sa and -la. In all, 214 verbs, out of the 600 verbs in our data, were found to take on either one or the other of the formal suffixes. Illustrations of these cases will be offered in the following sub-sections.

2.3.3.1: The -ta formal suffix:

We discovered from our data that out of the 214 verbs which have either one or the other of the formal suffixes, 80 of them have the -ta formal suffix. Samples of verbs with this suffix are provided below:

- šāgāta: "to provoke"
- ṇītā: "to wind round"
- yādāta: "to lean with the back against something"
- gīntā: "to strike"
- wā'ītā: "to remember"
- lāntā: "to check on someone"
- yu'ītā: "to taste"
- kū'ītā: "to kindle"
- yu'īntā: "to try"
- dūtā: "to check"
- kvātā: "to help"
- tsa'hātā: "to groct"
- bītā: "to ask"
- ḥītā: "to tuck"
- tsi'ītā: "to take : handful"
- ṣāltā: "to separate"
- bītā: "to sharpen"
- mūntā: "to touch"
- bintā: "to set a trap"
- fīngtā: "to point at"

As the examples above portray, the -ta formal suffix conveys none of the modifying implications suggested by the derivational -la suffix.


2.3.3.2: The -sa formal suffix:

We discovered from our data that out of the 214 verbs with the formal suffixes, 57 of them have the -sa formal suffix. Like the other formal suffixes, the -sa formal suffix does not modify the verb in any way. Samples of verbs with this suffix are presented below:

.../-
From the examples above, it can be realized that some of the verbs with the formal suffixes are similar in structure to some extended simplex radicals. This resemblance can however be disturbing if the extended simplex radicals on the complex radicals are confronted in isolation. But when these two classes of verbs are used in a sentence, the contexts in which they are found will enhance the adequate interpretation of these verbs.

2.3.3.3: The -na formal suffix:

It was noticed from the data that 50 verbs have the -na formal suffix. These verbs include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bīnā</td>
<td>&quot;to dance&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tí'í'na</td>
<td>&quot;to slide&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mīr'na</td>
<td>&quot;to become immune to&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzī'í'na</td>
<td>&quot;to sneeze&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzā'na</td>
<td>&quot;to be sorry&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dú'na</td>
<td>&quot;to weed&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wīnə</td>
<td>&quot;to tattoo&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nūnə</td>
<td>&quot;to crow&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tūrnə</td>
<td>&quot;to bend down&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭīnə</td>
<td>&quot;to hold still&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shui'ī'na</td>
<td>&quot;to come out in lumps&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lwīna</td>
<td>&quot;to see&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>koonə</td>
<td>&quot;to bear fruits&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nhā'ī'na</td>
<td>&quot;to write&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tswā'nə</td>
<td>&quot;to curse&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwī'nə</td>
<td>&quot;to hit&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhā'ī'na</td>
<td>&quot;to hesitate&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwī'ī'na</td>
<td>&quot;to fade&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsū'mə</td>
<td>&quot;to confer with each other&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wī'ī'na</td>
<td>&quot;to awake&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḡā'ī'na</td>
<td>&quot;to envy&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.3.4: The \(-ka\) formal suffix:

An analysis of our data showed that 11 verbs have the \(-ka\) formal suffix. These verbs are:

\begin{itemize}
  \item kwâânkâ \quad "to stumble against something"
  \item fâânkâ \quad "to meander"
  \item tsââikâ \quad "to swindle"
  \item mmâânkâ \quad "to lag"
  \item dzââkgâ \quad "to spurt"
  \item bâânkâ \quad "to stumble"
  \item dââ'âîkâ \quad "to stagger"
  \item mânânkâ \quad "to glitter"
  \item kwâîkâ \quad "to quack"
  \item tsâîkâ \quad "to take courage"
\end{itemize}

From the examples above, it can be inferred that though the verbs have final syllables similar to the derivational suffix, these final syllables of the verbs with the formal suffixes do not convey any of the meanings conveyed by the \(-ka\) derivational suffix.

2.3.3.5: The \(-ma\) formal suffix:

This suffix, like the other formal suffixes is inextricably linked to the complex verb radical. It was realised that 9 verbs in the corpus have this suffix. These verbs are:

\begin{itemize}
  \item yîmar \quad "to be selfish"
  \item kumâ \quad "to pull down"
  \item famâ \quad "to be selfish"
  \item grumâ \quad "to grunt"
  \item famâ \quad "to be poor"
  \item grâmâ \quad "to bend"
  \item tsîrâ \quad "to stop crying"
  \item lemâ \quad "to smell"
  \item tûmâ \quad "to shoot"
\end{itemize}

Although the verbs above are regarded as having the formal suffix \(-ma\), the final vowel /a/ is usually dropped in sentences. This deletion however, does not destroy the meaning of the verbs. Thus, one can have the following utterances:

.../-
1) mà tóm s'ŋ or mà tómá s'ŋ "I am shooting a bird"
2) mé fum dz'i) or mà fumá dz'i) "I am baking plums in ash"
3) mà g'má átí or mà g'má átí "I am bending a stick"

2.3.3.6: The -la formal suffix:

When the -la formal suffix appears on a complex verb radical, it does not have either the meanings of "randomness" or "roughness" or "several parts" contained by the -la derivational suffix. We realised from our data that out of the 214 verbs with formal suffixes, only 6 of them have the -la formal suffix. These verbs are:

beg'ilá "to pretend"  nyì'ílá "to drizzle"
zhàilá "to struggle"  k'élá "to rumple"
shëg'ilá "to struggle"  mi'ilá "to wriggle"

It should be borne in mind that the examples illustrating either the derivational or the formal suffixes are strictly based on the corpus of 600 verbs used for this study. I am convinced that with a collection of more verbs, one can find more examples of verbs with the formal suffixes and which can take either one or the other of the derivational suffixes.

When the verbs were used in sentences, it was realised that there can be a co-occurrence of some of the derivational suffixes and the formal suffixes. This situation will be exploited below.

2.3.4.0: Co-occurrence of derived and formal suffixes:

The combination of both the derivation l suffixes and the formal suffixes concerns mostly two derivational suffixes. These are the perfective -tn suffix and the auxiliary causative morpheme "gh'tá". These two derivational morphemes can combine with any of the other formal or derivational suffixes. This occurs on the same verb. This situation can be illustrated below.

1) Derivational -tn + derivational suffixes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RADICAL</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
<th>RADICAL + DERIVED SUFFIX</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
<th>RADICAL + DERIVED SUFFIX + -mê</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bwi’î</td>
<td>to explode</td>
<td>bwi’îtê</td>
<td>explode a bit</td>
<td>bwi’îtê-mê</td>
<td>...already exploded a bit*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bwi’î</td>
<td>to explode</td>
<td>bwi’îkâ</td>
<td>explode separately</td>
<td>bwi’îkâ-mê</td>
<td>already exploded separately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bwi’î</td>
<td>to explode</td>
<td>bbi’înâ</td>
<td>explode at the same time</td>
<td>bbi’înâ-mê</td>
<td>already exploded at the same time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bwi’î</td>
<td>to explode</td>
<td>bwi’îsê</td>
<td>cause to explode</td>
<td>bwi’îsê-mê</td>
<td>already caused ... to explode.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bwi’î</td>
<td>to explode</td>
<td>bwi’îrêbwi’î</td>
<td>explode several times</td>
<td>bwi’îrêbwi’î-mê</td>
<td>has already exploded several times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsâ</td>
<td>to damp</td>
<td>tsâ-lâ</td>
<td>to damp on several parts</td>
<td>tsâ-lâ-mê</td>
<td>...already damped on several parts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above table shows a progression from a simple radical to an acquisition of at most two derivational suffixes by the radical. When the extended verb radical still takes on the -ma suffix, it is realised, as shown in the table, that the verb tends to take on the meanings of both the -ma suffix and any of the derivational suffixes with which the -a suffix combines.

Since "sht'ra" is only an auxiliary of the -ma derivational suffix, we will not belabour the point of illustrating its combination with other derivational suffixes.

As the -ma derivational suffix co-occurs with other derivational suffixes, so can it also co-occur with formal suffixes, on the same verb. This situation is illustrated in the following table:

2. Verbs with formal suffixes + -ma-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VERB + FORMAL SUFFIX</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
<th>VERB + FORMAL SUFFIX + -ma</th>
<th>MEANING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 shugu'ta</td>
<td>to provoke</td>
<td>shugu'ta-ma</td>
<td>...has already provoked...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 tesi'sa</td>
<td>to mortgage</td>
<td>tesi'sa-ma</td>
<td>...has already mortgaged...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 yim</td>
<td>to be selfish</td>
<td>yim-ma</td>
<td>...has already been selfish...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 bagil</td>
<td>to pretend</td>
<td>bagil-ma</td>
<td>...has already pretended...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 tsu'ka</td>
<td>to dwindle</td>
<td>tsu'ka-ma</td>
<td>...has already dwindled...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwi'ina</td>
<td>to fade</td>
<td>kwi'ina-ma</td>
<td>...has already faded...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it is evident in the table above, the only meaning added to the verb with either of the formal suffixes is the perfective meaning. This perfective meaning is brought about by the affixation of the -ma suffix to the verb. It should be noted that all the 216 verbs having formal suffixes can also take on the -ma derivational suffix without first of all deleting the formal suffix.
On the other hand, if any of the verbs with the formal suffix has to take any of the other derivational suffixes, except -ma, and the auxiliary causative morpheme "gharr", the formal suffix has first of all to be deleted.

2.4.0: Conclusion:

From the foregoing analysis, some observations can be made concerning the Dafut verb. Firstly, that from a morphological viewpoint, the Dafut verbs can be grouped into seven syllabic patterns which are either mono-, bi- or tri-syllabic. Secondly, that the verbs can also be grouped into either low tone or high tone verbs. Concerning the tonal system of the verbs, some classifications were provided as regards the changes in the tones of the final syllables and suffixes. Thirdly, the suffixes which accompany the verbs were classified into both derivational and formal suffixes. The former category of suffixes was shown as modifying the verb either semantically or syntactically, while the latter forms an inseparable part of the verb. A more profound exploitation of the effects of these suffixes has, however, been reserved for Chapter 3. Fourthly, after tersely presenting these suffixes, we examined the co-occurrence of some of the derivational suffixes. Some of the verbs, before taking on any derivational suffix, required the insertion of a homorganic nasal between the verb and the suffix. The conditions under which this happens were also proffered in this chapter.

Thus, after the above examination of the verb and its morphological components, we will proceed, in the next chapter, to the examination of the radical and the arguments with which it can occur in sentences. This will place the verbs in both semantic and syntactic divisions. The next chapter will therefore entail a classification of the verbs from the semantic and syntactic viewpoints.
3.0: INTRODUCTION:

I mentioned in the introduction to this dissertation that though semantics and syntax can be considered as two independent grammatical disciplines, they can, however, not be completely dissociated one from the other. Thus, in this section, the future verb will be simultaneously discussed from both the semantic and syntactic viewpoints. An attempt will therefore be made at classifying the verbs collected, taking into consideration the semantic and syntactic characteristics manifested by these verbs. After classifying the verbs, I will also present the verbs with their arguments, at the semantic level, and I will also at the syntactic level, present the verb and the number of noun propositions with which the different verbs can be employed. I use "proposition" here as used by Fillmore as he says that a proposition is:

"the verb and all those nominal elements which are relevant to the subclassification of the verbs."

It is from the semantic analysis of the verb in relation to its arguments, that I will provide the syntactic frame in which the verb can enter since, according to Fodor Janet,

"The semantic structure provides information necessary to derive both the syntactic structure and meaning of any sentence of the language... the deep semantic structure is simultaneously the deep syntactic structure."

3.1: Verb Classification:

As it has already been mentioned in the introduction to this chapter, the verbs can be classified either from a semantic viewpoint or from a syntactic viewpoint.

At the syntactic level, the verbs have been classed either into transitive or intransitive verbs. At the semantic level, the verbs have
been classified as:

1) Either action, process or state verbs.

2) Benefactive, experiential or locative verbs. This classification takes into account the cases which the verb intrinsically suggest. This would be in opposition to basic verbs.

3) The verbs (process, action, state) have also been grouped,

---


according to the number of cases that the different verbs can take in a proposition.

In classifying the verbs semantically, I will first of all attempt a distinction between a "basic verb" and a "derived verb" or a verb which takes on specific cases and can therefore be identified with such cases. The definition of a "basic verb" which would emerge from this distinction would then be separately applied to the action, process and state verbs identified in (a). 

3.1.1: Basic Verb:

The concept of the "basic verb" has been treated by many linguists. The most prominent works concerning this concept are those of Fillmore (1966, 1971), Chafe (1970), Anderson (1971) Cook (1972, 1979) 1. According to Cook (1979) 2 the state verb can be considered as a basic verb as he says:

"The state verb is assumed to be basic, the process is derived from the state by the inchoative derivation and the action is derived from the process by the permissive derivation".

This assertion can however not be really relied upon because there is no direct process by which an action verb can be derived from a state verb.
With the case of the Neut verbs, it would be noticed that one type of verb can be derived from any other verb through the use of different suffixes. Cook’s assertion therefore does not hold with the Neut verbs.

According to Chafe (1970)\(^3\), it can be deduced that a basic verb is a verb which takes on neither a benefactive, experiencer or locative case. This notion has been tersely been summarised by Moskey (1979). Thus, according to Moskey, a basic verb can be considered as

"... a verb which does not have an experiential, benefactive, or locative case... (but) must have at least one object in its case frame." \(^4\)

In order to adopt the above more plausible definition, the following two rectifications will be made: Firstly, that by saying that the "basic verb...must have at least one object in its case frame" Moskey appears to be assuming that there cannot be an action verb with only an agent. This is, however, not true of the Neut action verb which, at the syntactic level can have a covert object which does not obligatorily appear at the surface structure of a proposition. The second rectification is that for Moskey’s definition to be accepted in the treatment of the Neut verbs it should be added that this supposed basic verb must have no derivational suffix.

After preferring a definition for basic verbs and providing some rectifications, which will enable this definition to be adopted to the present work, I will proceed in the treatment of the different types of

\(^1\) This information has been collected from: Stephen Moskey (1979): Semantic structures and Relations in Dutch: An Introduction to Case Grammar.


verbs by giving their semantic and syntactic characteristics and the number of arguments or cases which the verbs can take at the semantic level. As regards the syntactic level, I will also give the number of noun phrases which the verbs can take in simple propositions.

3.1.1.0: Basic Verbs and their arguments:

In assigning cases to the elements which show the valency of the verbs, I deem it necessary to proceed as follows:

a) A definition of the types of cases.
b) A limitation of the number of cases necessary for the valency of a verb.
c) A presentation of the link between semantic case roles and the syntactic noun phrases which occur with the verb.

d) According to Cook (1979) there are two main cases namely overt case roles and covert case roles. Concerning these case roles and their relationship with verbs, Cook (1979) says that case grammar presents:

"... a picture of grammar built around a central verb. This verb has a valence, or a set of dependency relations, which spring from the verb. These dependency relations are expressed by case roles."¹

As regards these case roles, Cook (1979) classifies these cases into Covert and overt roles. According to Cook (1979), Covert cases are those which sometimes occur in surface structure and sometimes do not. On the other hand, Cook refers to Overt cases as those which are implied by the verb and are obligatory in the surface structure.


.../-
For the moment, we will content ourselves with this terse presentation of the types of verbs since this situation will be exploited more profoundly when I will be treating the basic verbs.

b) After introducing the types of cases, I will also limit the array of cases which will be necessary for this paper, basing the limitation on the work of Cook as reviewed by Hoskey (1979). According to Cook (1979), it is necessary to reduce the number of arguments because:

"The fewer the number of cases in a given case grammar model, the more abstract the model is and closer to generative semantics. The more cases added, the more concrete the model becomes and further removed from generative semantics."¹

The above assertion by Cook is based on the distinction which he makes between propositional and modal cases. According to Cook (1979)² there fore, propositional cases are those which are required in various combinations in order for the meaning of the verb to be fully expressed. On the other hand, he regards modal cases as those which are not indispensable for the valency of a verb. In Hoskey (1979), it is realized that Fillmore (1971)³ provided an inventory of nine cases. But Cook's model, though based on Fillmore's model provides the following five propositional cases: Agent, Object, Beneficiary, Location and Experience.⁴ This inventory as mentioned above, emerged as a result of the distinction which Cook (1979) makes between propositional and modal cases. Cook applied these cases with action, process and state verbs. This is what will also be done in my treatment of the basic verbs. In using these propositional cases outlined by Cook, I will not however not ignore the modal cases which according to Cook (1979) are the following: time, Cause (Cs), purpose, result (Rs), manner (m) (including Instrument, Outer Beneficiary (Bo) and Outer locative (Lo)). These modal cases will be taken into consideration because inspite of the fact that they do not necessarily enter into propositions, in order to fully express the meaning of verbs, this does not imply that these modal cases are not meaningful when they are used in sentences.

3) Fillmore in Hoskey: Ibid., P. 11.

...
c) After introducing covert and overt case roles and delimiting the
cases, I would attempt at linking the semantic and syntactic criteria
used in selecting the dependent elements which occur with the definite verbs.
This link will be established, inspired by judgement on Fillmore (1971 'c')
where he states that:

"If there is an Agent, it becomes the subject; otherwise, if there
is an Instrument, it becomes the subject. Otherwise, the subject
is the Object."^1

The above assertion assumes that the syntactic subject or object of the
verb are set through a subject selection hierarchy which ranks cases
according to their relative importance in conveying information whether
or not this information is present in a given semantic structure. The
assertions of both Fodor, mentioned earlier, and Fillmore, referred to
immediately above, prove that in the grammar of a language, priority is
given to the semantic base of sentences since this base contains all the
relevent information which can be "mapped" on the sentence structure.
This "mapping" can be done through the application of transformation rules
which are syntactic. Thus, a syntactic structure is usually derived from
a semantic base. This assertion will be referred to in the treatment of
the definite verbs and the elements with which they occur. It should also
be noted that the verbs determine the number of arguments with which
they must occur.

After the foregoing introductory notes, I will proceed by presenting
the basic verbs as process, action, and state verbs, together with their
characteristics together with the number of elements with which these
verbs can occur.

3.1.1.1 Basic action verbs:

The definition which has already been given for basic verbs holds
true for basic action verbs.

..../-
This section will therefore be devoted only to the provision of the characteristics of basic action verbs, the number of arguments the different basic verbs can receive. I will also present the action verbs not just as a basic verb but as either an experiential, benefactive or locative action verbs. In defining action verbs, Chafe (1979) says that action verbs are verbs which:


"...express an activity or something which someone has done or does.... An action sentence will answer the question "what did X do?" In this case, X is the actor.

As a characteristic, an action verb on the semantic level has at least one object which is affected by action by action suggested by the verb. At the syntactic level, the action verb in Lefut can be regarded as a transitive verb though it is not in all occurrences that this verb obligatorily takes on an object at the surface level. This is the case with one-place basic action verbs which will be treated later on in the chapter.

According to Ghosh,

"A verb is said to be transitive when the action or state that it denotes is regarded as passing over to an object." 2

In accordance with the above definition, one can infer a relationship between a semantic action verb and a syntactic transitive verb. This definition presupposes therefore, that the transitive verb must obligatorily have at least one object in the surface structure of a sentence in which this verb is employed. Such a presupposition however does not necessarily apply to the surface structure of one-place action verbs in Lefut.

From the foregoing analysis, it has been mentioned that verbs can either be action, process or state verbs which can further be subclassified.../6
into one-place, two-places and three-places basic verbs. With this in mind, and having preferred a definition of a basic action verb and its semantic and syntactic characteristics, I will further present the

basic action verb as being either a one-place, two-places or three-place basic action verb.

3.1.1.1.1: One-place basic action verbs:

In the analysis of the data for this paper, we realised that there were 55 cases of verbs which can be recorded as one-place basic verbs.

In classifying verbs into places, I am basing my judgment on Moskay (1979) according to whom, a one-verb verb is one which needs one argument; two-place verbs are those which need two arguments; while three-

place verbs are those which need three arguments in their surface structure.

According to Chafe (1970), Cook (1979), Moskay there can be no one-place action verb. This can hold true for English verbs but in Bafut, this is not the case, at least at the surface syntactic level. Thus, the one-place basic action verb in Bafut usually has only the agent while the object is usually optional at both the semantic and syntactic surface structures. Such objects are those referred to by Cook (1970) as "partially covert" or "totally covert" case roles. This is because, the object in this case is believed to be derived from the verb and it is therefore contained in the verb. According to Ghosh (1960) 30 such "covert" case roles can be considered as cognate objects. In treating these two co-objects, Ghosh distinguishes five categories which are:

1) Cognate in both form and meaning.

2) Cognate in meaning but not in form.

3) A noun describing a cognate noun understood.
4) An adjective qualifying the cognate noun understood.

5) A cognate noun expressed by IT.

But in Dafut, the type of cognate objects noticed from the data destined for the present work are those which are cognate in both form and meaning. Thus, the following verbs have been classified as one-place basic action verbs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dafut Verb</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to cry&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jëzû</td>
<td>&quot;to urinate&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nûsû</td>
<td>&quot;to excrete&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzûrë</td>
<td>&quot;to eat&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ku'ëka</td>
<td>&quot;to quick&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzû+të'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to sneeze&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shî'ë+të</td>
<td>&quot;to hiccup&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wyë'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to laugh&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tînûë</td>
<td>&quot;to shout&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kâ'ë'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to listen&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzë'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to belch&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwë'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to retch&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nûgë</td>
<td>&quot;to suck&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwë'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to bark&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwë'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to cough&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hînë</td>
<td>&quot;to sneeze&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mûë</td>
<td>&quot;to belch&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nûrë</td>
<td>&quot;to sneeze&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to yawn&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to stagger&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to stumble&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to breath&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to play&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to speak&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to run&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mà'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to run&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The above verbs can be used in sentences to illustrate instances of partially covert and totally covert case roles:

1) R.P. Ghosh (1960): Good English spoken, and written: P. 65

A: Illustration of partially Covert roles:

1) mà'ë kà        "I am running, race"
2) mà'ë dzû        "I am eating food"
3) mà'ë dzë'ë        "I am urinating, urina"'
4) mà'ë wyë        "I am laughing, laughter"
5) mà yâ'â  
   "I am crying"
6) mà kw ' 
   "I am coughing a cough"

In the examples above, it can be realised that once the verb is used without any specifications, the accompanying object, though not expressed either at the surface semantic or syntactic structures, is immediately understood. In covert roles, these objects can however be added at the surface semantic and syntactic levels without however adding to the meaning of the sentence. For the above sentences the partially covert roles are respectively:

1) nîkâ  
   "a nose"
2) nîdzâ  
   "food"
3) nîczâc  
   "urine"
4) nîwyâ  
   "laughter"
5) ....   
   .......
6) akâc  
   "a cough"

The above cognitive objects, have similar forms and meanings to the forms of the verbs asserted by Hershosh (1960)"

B: Illustration of totally Covert roles:

Totally covert roles are those which can never occur at either the surface semantic or syntactic structures. This situation can be illustrated with the following sentences:

mâ shîrzâ  
   "I am hiccupping"
mâ fâ'â'â  
   "I am belching"
mâ bînâ  
   "I am dancing"
mbî mëc  
   "A goat is bleating"
mbî bô'ô  
   "A dog is barking"
nga kwô  
   "The fowl is quacking"

Contrary to the illustrations in A above, showing verbs with partially covert object roles, the illustrations in B show that the verbs can never take on any object.
In the two sets of sentences, though there is some activity suggested by the verbs, this activity is not affecting any object. The verbs merely show that either the agent or the subject (semantic and syntactic distinctions) is involved in an activity. It is on this basis, that it can be said that contrary to the opinions held by Posner (1979) and Cowell (1979), there can be one-place action verbs in Defut. From the foregoing analysis, the verbs can be said to have the following semantic and syntactic surface structures:

1) Surface semantic structure: \( \mathcal{L} \), \( \mathcal{O} \)/ deleteable. The object case is deleteable when this case is partially covert. But when the object case is totally covert, it does not occur in the surface semantic structure.

2) Syntactic surface structure: \( \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{N}^2 \)/ deleteable.

In situations where the \( \mathcal{N}^2 \) role is partially covert it is optional and so it can be deletable and yet understood at the deep syntactic structure. \( \mathcal{N}^2 \) however does not occur with verbs which exclusively require totally covert case roles as demanded by the selective nature of the verb.

3:1:1.1.2: Two-place basic action verbs.

In analysis of the data for this work showed that 304 verbs can be treated as two-place basic action verbs. Two-place basic action verbs will be treated here as those action verbs which at surface semantic level compulsorily take on an agent and an object case: while at the surface syntactic level, they are obligatorily accompanied by a subject noun phrase (\( \mathcal{N}^1 \)) and an object noun phrase (\( \mathcal{N}^2 \)). These elements which are implied by the verb and which compulsorily appear with the verb in surface structures are what Gleason calls "statable elements."[1] In accordance with the foregoing opinions, two-place basic action verbs will have the following surface structures:

Semantic Structure: \( \mathcal{L} \), \( \mathcal{O} \)

Syntactic structure: \( \mathcal{L} \mathcal{N}^1 + \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{N}^2 \)
The agent of the Infinitive action verb can either be concrete or abstract. By concrete agents or subject, as the case may be, I mean those subjects which have a physical quality which can be perceived by a greater proportion of the human senses. This can be illustrated by the following sentences:

1) 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{hakiranyakwao} \\
\text{VP} \\
\text{O}
\end{array}
\]

"Spear grass has pierced my foot"

2) 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{jwamalaka} \\
\text{VP} \\
\text{NP}_2
\end{array}
\]

"Jwame has shot a bird"

(Note: The first sentence above makes use of semantic labels while the second sentence makes use of syntactic labels.)

Though there are agents or subjects which can be perceived by human senses, there are some without a physical quality. Such agents/subjects are therefore abstract and are referred to by Boskey (1979) as an "abstract potent force." Instances where abstract potent forces can act as agents or subjects are illustrated in the sentences below:

1) 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{nyalò} \\
\text{obwé} \\
\text{bà} \\
\text{NP} \\
\text{VP} \\
\text{O}
\end{array}
\]

"Old age kills people"

2) 

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{làjìsà} \\
\text{npà} \\
\text{âmà} \\
\text{Abstr. Pot.} \\
\text{NP}_1 \\
\text{VP} \\
\text{NP}_2
\end{array}
\]

"The wind is opening a door"

(Note: Sentences 1 and 2 are given semantic and syntactic labels respectively.)

After presenting a definition of two-place action verbs, their surface structures and a distinction of some types of agents or subjects I will further present a sample of the 300 two-place action verbs which were...
found in the text. Later on, I will construct single simple sentences to demonstrate how these verbs can be used. Here therefore is a sample of the verbs:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bīrā</td>
<td>&quot;to break&quot;</td>
<td>ḍhūrā</td>
<td>&quot;to build&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bāqātē</td>
<td>&quot;to insult&quot;</td>
<td>ḍhūrā</td>
<td>&quot;to cure&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭū</td>
<td>&quot;to burn&quot;</td>
<td>ṭēh</td>
<td>&quot;to cook&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kūrā</td>
<td>&quot;to eat (something hard)&quot;</td>
<td>ši'ī</td>
<td>&quot;to grind&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭēn</td>
<td>&quot;to hunt&quot;</td>
<td>.toHexString(240)</td>
<td>&quot;to bite&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭēgē</td>
<td>&quot;to dig&quot;</td>
<td>kūrā</td>
<td>&quot;to fold&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to wash&quot;</td>
<td>shē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to split&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y'ūrā</td>
<td>&quot;to select&quot;</td>
<td>lē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to poison&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to file&quot;</td>
<td>kāpā</td>
<td>&quot;to poison&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to catch&quot;</td>
<td>HexString(240)</td>
<td>&quot;to slap&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lwē'īsē</td>
<td>&quot;to deceive&quot;</td>
<td>lwē'īsē</td>
<td>&quot;to loose&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nūsē</td>
<td>&quot;to unroot&quot;</td>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to press&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to shake&quot;</td>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to gather&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to win&quot;</td>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to uncover&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to sweep&quot;</td>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to send away&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to lift&quot;</td>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to cultivate&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to touch&quot;</td>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to heal&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>šē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to pull&quot;</td>
<td>pā'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to open&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>šē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to pierce&quot;</td>
<td>ṭē'ē</td>
<td>&quot;to crack&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using some of the above sample verbs, one can construct the following two-place basic action verb sentences:

1) mē HexString(240) ꢁqēqē "I am unrooting grass"
2) mē ṭē'ē mbē "I am cooking meat"
3) mē ṭē'ē nōy "I am cracking nuts"
4) mà kò hórà  "I am catching a bell"
5) mà tseñà nda  "I am shaking a house"
6) mà chè'nè mènasà  "I am grinding groundnuts"
7) mà sò ndè  "I am piercing meat"
8) mà lì+rë fórà  "I am poisoning rat"
9) mà tseñà gìn  "I am wiping a chair"

It can be noticed from the sentences above that the verbs inevitably require an agent or subject and one object. This is unlike the case with one-place basic action verbs whereby the object of the sentences can either be optional or completely absent at the surface structure of sentences.

3.1.1.1.3: Three-place basic action verbs:

Following the definition given in section 3.1.1, of a basic verb and the rectifications proposed in order to make the definition adaptable to the Bafut verbs, it can be said that there exist three-place basic action verbs in Bafut. These verbs can enter into constructions with the following syntactic and semantic surface structures:

- surface semantic structure: \[ s, 0, O \]
- surface syntactic structure: \[ NP_1 + VP + NP_2 + NP_3 \].

The above frames show that three-place basic action verbs obligatorily have one agent at the semantic level or one subject noun phrase (NP_1) at the syntactic level and two object noun phrases.

In analysing the data for this paper, 12 verbs were found to be basic three-place action verbs. These verbs include:

- tèsà  "to occupy space (untidily)"
- k'ërsà  "to encircle"
- tsèlùtò  "to greet"
- lwènsà  "to fill up"
- kùngà  "to pull down"
- s'ëgsà  "to transport"
- tûnà  "to shoot"
- ñà  "to force"
- tswìkàm  "to nose"
- tswèà  "to clavé"
- kàsà  "to cover"
- kà'tà  "to trigger"
When the above verbs are used in sentences, it is realised that the agent (semantic) or subject (syntactic) may either relate the two objects to each other and cause them to interact with each other, or identify one object as the other. The latter case was however noticed only with the verb "tsukum" "to name"

in a sentence such as:

```
\[ n^* \text{tsukum} \rightarrow \text{VP} \rightarrow \text{NP1} \rightarrow \text{NP2} \rightarrow \text{NP3} \]
\[ \text{I have named the child Nwaka.} \]
```

Concerning the other three-place basic action verbs, it was realised that the second object case or \(NP_3\) was usually represented by an instrumental case at the semantic level. At the syntactic level, this object is represented as \(NP_3\). This situation is illustrated by the sentences below:

1) \( n^* \text{kusa} \rightarrow \text{VP} \rightarrow \text{NP1} \rightarrow \text{NP2} \rightarrow \text{NP3} \)
```
\[ \text{I am covering food with food.} \]
```

2) \( n^* \text{lwinda} \rightarrow \text{VP} \rightarrow \text{NP1} \rightarrow \text{NP2} \rightarrow \text{NP3} \)
```
\[ \text{I am filling up a pot with water} \]
```

3) \( n^* \text{kia} \rightarrow \text{VP} \rightarrow \text{NP1} \rightarrow \text{NP2} \rightarrow \text{NP3} \)
```
\[ \text{I am triggering a gone with the hand} \]
```

4) \( n^* \text{tsiwa} \rightarrow \text{VP} \rightarrow \text{NP1} \rightarrow \text{NP2} \rightarrow \text{NP3} \)
```
\[ \text{I am cleaning a pumpkin with a knife} \]
```

(Note: Sentences 1 and 2 are labelled for semantic functions, while sentences 3 and 4 are labelled for syntactic functions)
The sentences above show that the verb relates the two objects to each other and makes them to interact with each other.

The foregoing analysis has presented the action verb from the viewpoint of the number of arguments which the basic action verb can take. But apart from classifying action verbs according to the number of arguments and according to whether these action verbs are basic or not, the action verb can also be considered as being either benefactive, locative or experiential.

3.1.1.4: Action benefactive Verbs: /-a, 0, D/

Some 221 action verbs were discovered from the data, to fall under the class of benefactive verbs. According to Cook (1970), "...benefactive verbs deal with gain, loss or transfer of property. The beneficiary case which refers to the person who possesses or loses something... is required by the meaning of benefactive verbs. The benefactor may simply possess or lose something; or this possession or loss may come about as the result of an action by an agent." The above definition will be applied to the benefact verbs and thus, when later on in this chapter I will be treating process and state verbs, the definition will still hold true. According to Cook's definition therefore the following can be regarded as benefactive verbs.

1. Cook in Boskey, 1979: Semantic structures and Relations in Dutch, P. 63

\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text{dwing} & \text{"to weed"} & \text{shwe} & \text{"to seize"} \\
\text{fά} & \text{"to give"} & \text{fi} & \text{"to sell"} \\
\text{fά'ά} & \text{"to work"} & \text{twogά} & \text{"to read"} \\
\text{lάng} & \text{"to tear"} & \text{bο'} & \text{"to lose"} \\
\text{kώ'zά} & \text{"to receive"} & \text{yί'zά} & \text{"to be selfish"} \\
\text{lό'gά} & \text{"to take"} & \text{hά'nsά} & \text{"to refund"} \\
\text{lό'gά} & \text{"to cultivate"} & \text{kwά'tά} & \text{"to help"} \\
\end{array}
\]
tsw he  "to snatch"  yùù  "to buy"
biš'ò  "to dress a wound"  yhrà  "to steal"
ghrà  "to cure"  dañ  "to win"
tswì'ñ  "to donate"
tšàñ  "to decide"

When some of the verbs above are used in sentences, the benefactive case is suggested by the existence of a directional preposition which immediately precedes this benefactive case. This directional preposition is "mbo" which has the following interpretations:

mbo : "to"
"for"
"on the behalf of"
"from"

The use of the benefactive action verbs can be illustrated by the sentences below:

with "to" ṃ fà ṃ ṃ mbo wo
A      O      B   "I am giving meat to you"

ṃ fìì ṃ mbo wo
A      O      B   "I am selling meat to you"

with "for" ṃ yùù ṃ ṃ mbo wo
A      O      B   "I am buying meat for you"

with "from" ṃ yhrà ṃkañ mbo wo
A      O      B   "I am stealing money from you"

ṃ tsw he ṃ mbo wo
A      O      B   "I am snatching meat from you"

.../-
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chè</td>
<td>&quot;to go&quot;</td>
<td>båñå</td>
<td>&quot;to hang up&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shwi'î</td>
<td>&quot;to pour&quot;</td>
<td>lłántå</td>
<td>&quot;to hide oneself&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to throw&quot;</td>
<td>swiinså</td>
<td>&quot;to crucify&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f'så</td>
<td>&quot;to splash&quot;</td>
<td>nîpå</td>
<td>&quot;to put in&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to illustrate the occurrence of the locative cases of these action verbs, the following sentences will be used.


From the sentences above, it can be seen that the locative case appears at the semantic surface structure. At the syntactic surface structure, this semantic locative case is labelled N22 or N23 as it is the case with verbs. Show that the locative case must occur at the surface structure of sentences there also exist some locative action verbs whereby the locative is implied by the deep semantic structure of the sentence but the surface syntactic structure delates the locative. In the data for this work 14 verbs were discovered with such behaviour. They are:-
**SENTENCES** | **MEANING** | **CASES AT DEEP SEMANTIC LEVEL** | **CASES DELETED AT SURFACE SYNTACTIC LEVEL**  
---|---|---|---  
मा हेरामुः | I am carrying a child on my back | घ, त्र, ल | ल  
मा टिम्पामुः | I am tethering a goat to a stake | घ, त्र, ल | ल  
मा सामामुः | I am sueing you to the court | घ, त्र, ल | ल  
मा फुमामुः | I am baking plums in ash | घ, त्र, ल | ल  
मा बीलमोः | I am carrying a stone on my head | घ, त्र, ल | ल  
मा खुरेः | I am returning from the farm | घ, ल | ल  

It is evident from the table above that while the deep semantic structure of an action verb can imply the occurrence of a locative case, the surface syntactic structure deletes this case.
Following Cook's classification, verbs can also be classified as experiential verbs.

3.1.1.6: Experiential action verbs:

According to Cook (1976:68), "experiential verbs deal with the experience of sensation, emotion or cognition." In view of this definition and following some examples of this type of verbs presented by Cook in English, I discovered 7 cases of experiential verbs in Dafut. These are:

- твонг "to read to someone"
- сонг "to tell someone"
- айн "to teach, show"
- квонг "to hit someone"
- л'инг "to swim"
- ля "to wound oneself"

The action experiential verbs above demonstrate only cases of cognition whereby the verb suggests that one of the participants acquires some knowledge about something transmitted by the agent or subject of the verb. The following sentences will illustrate the above assertion:

\[ \text{мъ твонг } \text{аболан } \text{мбо } \text{во } \] "I am reading a book to you"

\[ \text{мъ сонг } \text{kунг } \text{мбо } \text{во } \] "I am telling you my name"

\[ \text{мъ айн } \text{kанди } \text{мбо } \text{во } \] "I am showing you the road"

The three sentences above which use the verbs: "твонг", "сонг" and "аийн" show that the experiencer in each sentence tends to gain knowledge transmitted by the agent. At the surface syntactic level, it should be noted that the experiencer case (E) will be represented by NP2.
The foregoing analysis has put into focus the action verbs. It has been demonstrated that action verbs can be classified as follows: basic action verbs, one-, two- or three-place action verbs and as benefactive, locative and experiential action verbs. The classifications of the action verb was at each level accompanied by a semantic as well as a syntactic analysis of the verb. Through this analysis, sentences were constructed to demonstrate the different structures into which the verbs can enter during speech. Thus, after this analysis of the action verb, I will proceed by giving the same treatment to process verbs.

3.1.1.2: Process verbs:

According to Chefe (1970)¹ a process verb is one which suggests a change in the state or condition of the noun which accompanies the verb. Furthermore, Chefe asserts that this process verb cannot be used in the imperative mood but it can be used with the progressive tense. Following this definition, the following characteristics can be attributed to process verbs:

1) They contain a noun which is affected by the action suggested by the verb. The object changes its state involuntarily.
2) They have a dynamic quality.
3) The process verb has one or two objects.
4) The process verb can enter into the following surface syntactic structures:
   1) \( \text{NP}_2 + \text{VP} \)
   2) \( \text{NP}_2 + \text{VP} + \text{NP}_3 \)
5) The process verb can enter into the following surface semantic structures:
   1) \( \text{o}_1, \text{o}_2 \)
   2) \( \text{o}_1, \text{o}_2 \).

According to Chefe's definition of process verbs, 66 cases of process verbs were discovered from the corpus on Bantu verbs destined for this work. In this number, 39 of the verbs were found to be basic process verbs. These basic process verbs will be analysed as one- and two-place basic process verbs.

It was discovered from the corpus that 36 verbs were one-place process verbs. These one-place basic process verbs portray a change in the state of the object accompanying the verb. The verbs include the following:

- yədə: "become dry"
- təwə: "to cease"
- mū: "to sprout"
- ɣhə: "to grow"
- bə: "to reden"
- bəd: "to weavel"
- bu: "to go off"
- bəw: "to pop"
- sən: "to melt"
- kw̃: "to fade"
- lw: "to age"
- yw: "to revive"
- yə: "to whither"
- lwii: "to sleep"
- yədə: "become white"
- fûlə: "to grow"
- kwə: "to die"
- łuqə: "to foam"
- kəłə: "to harden"
- lůu: "to become full"
- məpə: "to swell"
- bəwə: "to explode"
- təskə: "to dwindle"
- tə: "to perseh"
- bə: "to drip"
- lwitə: "to burn out"
- bəwə: "to begin to rot"
- yənə: "become light"
- fi: "become dark"

When the above verbs are used in a sentence, the lone object which accompanies its changes its state. This is illustrated in the following sentences.

- məfə ɣhələ: "This fire is glowing."
- hətə yə mərə: "My hand is swelling."
- mə kwə: "I am dying"
- atələ yə kwələ: "The cooh is fading"
- fəkwə fə lwitə: "The wood is burning out"

From the sentences above, it can realise that at the semantic level, the verb has only one object while at the syntactic level, the verb requires only one noun phrase (NP2) which is also the object of the verb.

### 3.1.1.2.2: Two-place basic process verbs

-  ( - 0, 0 ), (NP2 - VP-NP)

According to Moskey (1979) one can have two-place basic process verbs. In this case, it should be noted that there exist two objects at both the surface semantic and syntactic structures of the verb.
According to Moskay (1979:63), there is no causative element linking the objects, as it is the case with action verbs, but there is rather a dynamic situation which is predicated between the objects in the semantic and syntactic structure with this type of central verb. From this notion by Moskay (1979), it will therefore, be noted that it is simply the same object which either transforms to a different form or acquires a quality different from the original. Applying this notion to the Bafut verbs, 4 verbs were discovered in the data, for this work, being two-place basic process verbs. These verbs are:

bāŋæ “become”
bā‘æ “to frighten”
bì’s “to fear”
kwìns “to change into”

Applying the above verbs into sentences, one will have the following constructions:

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{bāŋæ} & \text{sì} \\
\text{bò} & \text{è} \\
\end{array}
\]

“I am becoming a wizard”

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{bāŋæ} & \text{bà} \\
\text{bò} & \text{ì} \\
\end{array}
\]

“I am frightening you”

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{bì’s} & \text{bè} \\
\text{bò} & \text{ì} \\
\end{array}
\]

“I am afraid of you.”

An examination of the above sentences shows that though there are two objects accompanying these verbs, there is however, no interaction between them, as it is in case with action verbs. Apart from being classified as either one-two- or three-place basic verbs, process verbs can also be classified as either locative, benefactive or experiential process verbs. We therefore proceed by treating the process verbs as such.

**4.1.2.3: Benefactive Process verbs**

According to Moskay (1979:63) a process benefactive verb is one which involves a person who undergoes a gain or a loss of an object. The verb therefore suggests that the person is entering into a state of gain or loss. Applying this explanation to the Bafut verbs, we discovered that there are 6 cases of verbs which can be considered as benefactive process verbs. These verbs are:

kùnr “to receive”

fùn “to become poor”

taïku “to take courage”

zìns “to condescend”

li’ìns “to forget”
These verbs and the benefactive cases they take are demonstrated in the following sentences:

```
è kw r mbà   "I am receiving meat"
```

```
è d fùm      "I am becoming poor"
```

```
è zhùs       "I am convalescing"
```

```
è 1+ n po    "I am forgetting you"
```

Sentences 1 and 3 above show that the person concerned is entering into a state of gain. On the other hand, sentences 2 and 4 show that the person concerned is entering into a state of loss. This interpretation corresponds to Moskey's definition of a process benefactive verb. Judging from these sentences, one can say that benefactive process verbs have the following surface semantic and syntactic structures:

1) Surface semantic structure: \[ \text{NP}_2 \rightarrow \text{VP} \]

2) Surface syntactic structure: \[ \text{NP}_2 + \text{VP} + \text{NP}_3 \]

---

11.2.4: Locative process verbs.

According to Moskey (1979:83), process locative verbs ... involve movement of an object towards or at a location. The object does not however, move of its own volition or have control of itself. Through this definition, it can be inferred that the object is usually compelled to move or be subjected to a change by a causative force which appears neither at the surface semantic structure nor at the surface syntactic structure of the verb. Taking Moskey's definition as a premise, and applying it to Befut verbs, we discovered verbs, from the data, which can be treated as locative process verbs. These are:

```
yo       "to fall"
t+ +n     "to slide"
mwi im   "to accumulate"
n n      "to crowd"
l r      "to float"
ko       "to drown"
b+ k     "to roll"
```
When the above verbs are used in sentences, they reveal the following surface structures:

1) Surface semantic structure: $\mathcal{L} - 0, L$
2) Surface syntactic structure: $\mathcal{L} - NP_2 + NP_3$

These structures are evident in the following examples:

1) mə wə nshyə
   "I am falling to the ground"

2) mə 1 r thə ki
   "I am floating on water"

3) mə t+ n faə
   "I am sliding here"

The examples above show that in Bafut, the locitive process verb cannot have more than two arguments. After treating the locitive process verbs wə, we will still be in the realm of process verbs, proceed to the treatment of experiential process verbs.

2.1.1.2.5: Process experiential verbs:

According to Moskey (1979:48)'s definition of an experiential verb, already mentioned earlier, “discovered that one can find verbs in Bafut which are acceptable to this definition. Only 4 verbs however were discovered from the data to apply themselves to this definition. They are:

fən  "to suffocate"
zh  "to see"

ya  "to hurt, pain"

mə jət  "to remember"

The above verbs, when used in sentences require either one argument or two arguments. The can therefore occur in sentences with following structure:

1) Surface semantic structure: $\mathcal{L} - 0, L$ or $\mathcal{L} - 0$
2) Surface syntactic structure: $\mathcal{L} - NP_2 + NP_3$ or $\mathcal{L} - NP_2 + VP + \emptyset$

The following sentences will illustrate these above structures:

1) mə zh no
   "I am seeing you"
2) mə mə jə t no
   "I am remembering your"
3) mə shə u fən
   "my breath is being suffocated"

1. Stephen Moskey, (1979): semantic structures and Relations in Dutch, p. 83
Sentences 1 and 2 above present experiential process verbs which need two arguments at their surface levels, while sentences 3 and 4 treat verbs which require an argument at their surface structures. It should be noted that when only one argument is needed, this must, at the semantic level be the experiencer while at syntactic level, it is an object noun phrase (NP2) which precedes the verb. It should be noted that the experience to which the experiencer is subjected is simultaneous.

In the foregoing analysis, we have presented the process verbs from the following perspectives:

1) The process verb as a basic verb
2) The characteristics of process verbs.
3) A classification of the basic process verb into one- and two-place process verbs.
4) The process verb as a benefactive, locative and experiential verb.

Where it was necessary, we also presented the types of sentence structures within which the process verb can occur, both at the semantic and syntactic levels.

The treatment of the process verb, we will proceed to the treatment of the statives following the same scheme used for the process verbs.

1.0: non-state verbs

While action and process verbs can be globally defined as dynamic verbs, there are verbs which are not.

"According to Chafe" (1970:98), "state verbs can be used in terms of their opposition to state verbs as he says:

A "rule-of-thumb": nonstates can be distinguished from states by the fact that the question of what happened? ... what's happening? ... a nonstate is a happening following this definition, one can insinuate the following characteristics for states:

1) State verbs have a timeless quality—that is no reference is made to how the act noun which accompanies the verb reached the state suggested by the verb.
2) The object of state verbs exists in a no-change position.
3) State verbs can be used in the imperative and progressive moods.

Using the above definition to the Debut verbs which serve as a basis for the present work, 42 state verbs were discovered. A sample of these state verbs is presented below:

"to stand"  "to be bitter,"  "to be black"
"to be sweet"  "to be white"  "to itch"
"be cold"  "to be white"  "to itch"
"to be bad"  "to lie down"  "to leak"
"to end"  "to leak"  "to sit"
"to be sour"  "to be immune"
"to be stench"
When the above verbs were used in sentences, it was realised that only one-place basic state verbs existed. The state verbs take on two arguments or two noun phrases only when either the benefactive, locative or experiencer cases are added. In view of this realisation, we will treat the state verbs in this order.

1) One-place basic state verbs.
2) Benefactive state verbs.
3) Locative state verbs.
4) Experiential state verbs.

3.1.3.1. One-Place basic state verbs: \( \sqrt[\text{-o(s)}] (-), \sqrt[\text{-NP}_2+\text{VP}]. \)

One-place basic state verbs are those state verbs which require only one object at both the semantic and syntactic surface structures of the verbs. These verbs therefore enter into sentences of the following surface structures:

1) Semantic structure: \( \sqrt[\text{-o(s)}] (-) \)
2) Syntactic structure: \( \sqrt[\text{-NP}_2+\text{VP}] \)

The object of the state verb will be marked by the subscript (-s). This is to differentiate the stative object from the objects of action and process verbs. This distinction is according to Mackey (1979). From the corpus, 17 one-place basic state verbs were discovered. These verbs are:

- fù u "to be white" m+r+n "to be immune"
- bwe "to be rotten" kh à "to be blunt"
- fii "to be dark" wà k "to glitter"
- b+ "to be brt" gh "to be sick"
- lîi "to be sweet" dz n "to be sorry"
- lwi "to be bitter" br "to flame"
- kà "to be enough" tawà à "to be smart"
- bèle "to be sour"

When some of the above verbs were used in sentences, the following results
1)  
\[ \text{mda} \ y\dot{\text{a}} \ fu \ u \ 0(s) \]
"The house is white"

2)  
\[ \text{mda} \ y\dot{\text{a}} \ ha \ s \ 0(s) \]
"The soup is sour"

3)  
\[ \text{ma} \ u \ gh \ 0(s) \]
"I am sick"

The sentences above illustrate the fact that the fact that the object which occurs in the verb is affected by the condition suggested by the verb, in a static way. This implies that there is neither an agentive force nor a subject noun phrase tends to affect the object through the verb.

3.1.1.3.2: Benefactive state verb: \[ \sim - B, O(s) \] or \[ O(s) \] deletable. \[ NP2+VP3 \]
According to Moskew (1979:63), a benefactive state verb is one which involves a beneficiary who either has some thing in his possession or lacks something; Nothing in the verb shows how the beneficiary has come apply to be in the benefactive state. Taking Moskew's explanation as a premise and using it to the Bafut verbs, 7 benefactive state verbs were discovered. These verbs are:

- bo: "to lack"
- tsin+: "to have"
- f: "to be blind"
- f+r: "to be sterile"
- kw r+ ki: "to be baptised"
- ben: "to be pregnant" (used only with animals)
- kwet+kaa: "to be confirmed" (as a religious rite)

When the above verbs were applied in sentences, it was realised that they can enter into the following sentence structures.

1)  
\[ \text{Semantic structure: } \sim - B, O(s) \] or \[ \sim - B \]

2)  
\[ \text{Syntactic structure: } \sim - NP2+VP+NP3 \] or \[ \sim - NP2+VP+O(s) \]

The above situation is evident in the sentences below:

1)  
\[ \text{ma} \ bo \ \text{kab} \ 0(s) \]
"I lack money"

2)  
\[ \text{ma} \ f \ 0(s) \]
"I am blind"

3)  
\[ \text{ma} \ tsin+ \ \text{kab} \]
"I have money"

4)  
\[ \text{ma} \ kw r+ ki \ 0(s) \]
"I am baptised"
In sentences 1 and 2 above, it can be realized that the persons involved incur a loss while in sentences 3 and 4, the persons involved incur. It should be noted that though the objects incur either a loss or a gain of something, no reference is made concerning how these objects enter into such a state. It should also be noted that the label - B which represents the benefactive case at the semantic level is represented by NP2 at the syntactic level.

3.1.1.3: Locative state verbs:

Generally, locative verbs involve the spatial orientation or situation of an object or person and these verbs require locative case in their semantic structure though this case can be deleted at the surface syntactic structure of the verbs. But as regards locative state verbs, and according to Moskey (1979), locative state verbs

"...involve an object which is situated at or relative to some location without reference to how the object came into the position". Owing to the fact that locative verbs require locative case in their surface semantic and even syntactic structures the structure of sentences with locative state verbs can be schematized as follows:

1) Surface semantic structure: \[-(O(s), L)\]

2) Syntactic surface structure: \[-NP2 + VP + NP3\]

In view of the above definition of locative state verbs, the following 11 verbs were discovered as such, when the definition was applied to the reflex verbs which constitute the data for this work.

tədə
to be standing
lmdə
to end
dzdu
to cluster
tswi
to be sitting
bòtsə
to be crouching
miintə
to be resting
túmsə
to be bending down
tinsə
to be held still
swiinə
to be hanging down
ləışə
to hang
mbənə
to lie down

When these locative state verbs were discovered from the data, they were applied in sentences in order to justify their quality as locative state verbs. The following sentences illustrate this situation.

1) ə na tswi nənə
     \[O(s) \rightarrow V \rightarrow L\]
     "I am sitting on the ground"

2) ə na swiinə tūnəmə
     \[O(s) \rightarrow V \rightarrow L\]
     "I am hanging down from a tree"

3) ə na mənsə nənənə
     \[O(s) \rightarrow V \rightarrow L\]
     "I am lying down on the ground"

4) ə na mənəntə fəsə
     \[O(s) \rightarrow V \rightarrow L\]
     "I am resting here"

All the sentences above, which contain locative state verbs tend to precise the position where the static object is situated. It should be noted, as it was the case with earlier sentences with state verbs, that the semantic case: Object (O) and locative (L) are syntactically represented as NP2 and NP3 respectively. The sentences only present the object as existing at a particular position but no reference is made as to how this object came to that position. Neither is reference made as to how long the object has been or will remain at such a position.

1.1.3.4: Experiential state verbs:

In selecting experiential state verbs from data, judgement was based on the general definition of experiential verbs and also on the specific definition of experiential state verbs as found in Moskey (1979:48). The general definition of experiential verbs holds that they are verbs which deal with the experience of sensation, emotion or cognition and that this experience may be spontaneous or intentional. Pertaining more specifically to experiential state verbs and according to Moskey (1979), state experiential verbs involve an experiencer who is disposed towards something in a static way. Adopting this definition to the Befut verbs we discovered 10 verbs which can be treated as experiential state verbs. These verbs are as follows:

- bwinə: "to feel weak"
- dórə: "to be drowsy"
- yə'ə: "to hear"
- fə́: "to be cold"
- lə́: "to be hot"
- yə́: "to itch"
- stə́: "to feel cold"
- nə́: "to stench"
- tsə́: "to brsg"

After sorting out the experiential state verbs, they were used in sentences in order to test whether the experience which the object undergoes is spontaneous or intentional. The following sentences are hoped to bring about classifications on the point.

1) má bwinə "I am feeling drowsy"

2) má dórə "I am happy"
The illustrative sentences above show that experiential state verbs are used in sentences with following structures:

1) Semantic structure: \( \text{B} \rightarrow \text{E} \) (experience, to be)

2) Syntactic structure: \( \text{NP}_2 + \text{VP} \)

A second realisation is that the experience which the experiencer in the sentence undergoes comes about spontaneously. That is, there is no agentive force which inflicts the sensation on the experiencer, as it is the case with action verbs.

3.2.0: Conclusion:

So far, the present chapter has been devoted to the classification and subclassification of the basic verbs in Bafut. This classification has been at both semantic and syntactic levels simultaneously with these classifications, at attempt made at every stage to provide at the syntactic level, the word order of the elements which make up the simple declarative sentences which were used for illustrations.

At the semantic level, the Bafut verbs were classified and discussed as either basic process, action or state verbs. Further on, these basic verbs were discussed as either one-place, two-place or three-place basic verbs. Later on, and still at the semantic level, the verbs were also classified as either benefactive, experiential or locative verbs, and the action, process and state verbs were treated as such.

At the syntactic level, the main distinction between the Bafut verbs was that made between transitive and intransitive verbs. The semantic action and process verbs were roughly collapsed with syntactic transitive verbs while the semantic state verbs were syntactically regarded as intransitive verbs.

Following the classification, and illustrative simple declarative sentences following syntactic word order was established for the sentences:

1) \( \text{NP}_1 + \text{VP} + \text{NP}_2 \) This structure is for one and two-place action, and process verbs which need only one object noun phrase which is \( \text{NP}_2 \).
This structure is for verbs which needed a subject or agent and two objects.

This is the structure for intransitive verbs with one object.

This is the structure for state or intransitive verbs which need two object noun phrases.

It should be noted that the treatment of the verbs in this chapter dealt only a non-derived verbs. In the next chapter, therefore, we will present verbs which been derived from these non-derived verbs through the use of some fixes. The effects of these suffixes on the verb both at the semantic and syntactic, will be examined.
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In chapter 1, and among other aspects of the Bafut verb, we briefly presented the different suffixes which the verb can take. In chapter 2, we provided a classification of the Bafut verb at both the semantic and syntactic levels. In the present chapter, we will make a combination of both the verbs and the different suffixes. Through this combination, we are going to devote our attention to the semantic and syntactic effects or implications which these suffixes have on the hitherto non-derived verbs. At the semantic level, we will, through illustrations show how these suffixes modify the meaning of the verbs. At the syntactic level, an attempt will be made at showing how the suffixes can convert verbs of intransitive sentences into transitive verbs, thus, generating transitive sentences. Since we cannot satisfactorily dissociate semantics from syntax, we will simultaneously treat the semantic and syntactic effects of the suffixes on the verbs.

4.1.0: The -tø derived suffix:

In 1.3.2.1.1, we mentioned that at the semantic level, the -tø derived suffix has three effects on the non-derived verb, while at the syntactic level, it neither reduces nor increases verb valency. The following are illustrations of these situations.

1. Diminutive - tø

This suffix suggests that either the action is "short-lived" or that the extent of the action is weakened. These meanings will be represented by "a little" or "a bit" as illustrated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verbs</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verbs</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nβα</td>
<td>to drink</td>
<td>nβtøα</td>
<td>to drink a little...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzβα</td>
<td>to eat</td>
<td>dzβtøα</td>
<td>to eat a little of...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yβtøα</td>
<td>to cry</td>
<td>yβtøtøα</td>
<td>to cry a bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r̃tøα</td>
<td>to uncover</td>
<td>r̃tøtøα</td>
<td>to uncover a bit...a bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dz̃tøα</td>
<td>to crush</td>
<td>dz̃tøtøα</td>
<td>to crush a bit...a bit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Repetitive - tø

This suffix indicates that instead of executing an action as a single action, the same action is carried out several times. This situation is illustrated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sαtøα</td>
<td>to count</td>
<td>Sαtøtøα</td>
<td>to count several times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sα</td>
<td>to pierce</td>
<td>Sαtøα</td>
<td>to pierce several times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bαβα</td>
<td>to break</td>
<td>bαβαtøα</td>
<td>to break into several pieces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tαtøα</td>
<td>to shoot</td>
<td>tαtøtøα</td>
<td>to shoot several times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bαtøα</td>
<td>to insert</td>
<td>bαtøtøα</td>
<td>to insert time and again</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gβtøα</td>
<td>to unfasten</td>
<td>gβtøtøα</td>
<td>to unfasten and again</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nβtøα</td>
<td>to trample</td>
<td>nβtøtøα</td>
<td>to trample several times</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quantitative - ტა

When this suffix is used with a verb, it presupposes that the action suggested by the verb affects several objects. The presence of this suffix is therefore signalled by "many..." or "Several..." as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verbs</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ბენჯ</td>
<td>to hang</td>
<td>ბენტა</td>
<td>To hang many..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ჭაღ</td>
<td>to burn</td>
<td>ჭარტა</td>
<td>to burn many..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ტერ</td>
<td>to stand</td>
<td>ტერტა</td>
<td>to stand many.........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ჭერ</td>
<td>to unhook</td>
<td>ჭერტა</td>
<td>to unhook many........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ბაჰ</td>
<td>to cling to</td>
<td>სიორტा</td>
<td>to cling in great number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>დაბა</td>
<td>to cross</td>
<td>დარტა</td>
<td>to cross many.........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ჯელ</td>
<td>to tether</td>
<td>ჯელტა</td>
<td>to tether many.........</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The difference between the repetitive - ტა and the quantitative - ტა suffixes resides in the fact that the former refers to the same action which is being repeated. While the latter suffix does not necessarily suggest that the action affects one and the same object.

As it was mentioned earlier, the - ტa derived suffix neither reduces nor increases the valency of the verb, at the syntactic level. Since verbs taking this suffix are predominantly bivalent, they remain as such even when they take on the - ტa suffix.

The following sentences will adequately illustrate this situation:

A: 1. მა ჭარტა ჭარტა ჯელტა ჯელტა

   "I am piercing my leg"  

   NP1 VP NP2

   2. მა ჭარტა ჭარტა ჯელტა ჯელტა

   "I am tethering a goat"  

   NP1 VP NP2

B: 1. მა ჭარტა ჭარტა ჯელტა ჯელტა

   "I am piercing my leg several times"  

   NP1 VP NP2

   2. მა ჭარტა ჭარტა ჯელტა ჯელტა

   "I am tethering many goats"  

   NP1 VP NP2

As it can be realised from the groups of sentences above, whether the verb is non-derived or derived, it still enters into sentences of the (NP1 + VP + NP2) pattern. The valency of the verb is therefore not changed in its derived form. Thus, transitive verbs, as in 'A' above, remain transitive verbs even when they receive the
In 1.3.2.1.4, we discovered 171 verbs which could take on the \(-n\) derived suffix. At the semantic level, this suffix modifies verbs in three ways: at the syntactic level, it makes verbs which are used in transitive sentences capable of entering only into intransitive sentences.

At the semantic level, the modifications of the meanings of the verbs are as follows:

1. **Spontaneous - \(n\)**:
   - When the spontaneous \(-n\) is affixed to verbs, it modifies the meaning in the sense that the action, suggested by the verb, is capable of taking place without the assistance of any discernible agentive force. Spontaneity is shown therefore by the addition of "by itself" to the basic meaning of the verb. This situation will be illustrated with the examples below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kūn(^3)</td>
<td>to demolish</td>
<td>kūn(^n)</td>
<td>to be demolished by itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kū(^n)</td>
<td>to spill</td>
<td>kū(^n^3)</td>
<td>&quot;to be spilled by itself&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yē(^n)</td>
<td>to pull off</td>
<td>yē(^n^3)</td>
<td>&quot;to pull off by itself&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gē(^n)</td>
<td>to bend</td>
<td>gē(^n^3)</td>
<td>to bend by itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tā(^n)</td>
<td>to shake</td>
<td>tā(^n^3)</td>
<td>&quot;to shake by itself&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yā(^n)</td>
<td>to tilt</td>
<td>yā(^n^3)</td>
<td>&quot;to tilt by itself&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the following sentences, spontaneity, as expressed by the \(-n\) suffix can be better illustrated:

A: 1. má kū\(^n\) ndā "I am demolishing a house"

   2. ndā kūn\(^n\) "A house is being demolished by itself"

In sentence 1 above, there is an agent which demolishes the house while in sentence 2, the house crumbles on its own without being affected by any agentive force.

2. **Reciprocal - \(n\)**:
   - This suffix modifies the meaning of the verb by showing that the agents involved in the action, react on each other. The following are samples of verbs with the "reciprocal" \(-n\) suffix:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tsā(^l)ā^{-s}\</td>
<td>to greet...</td>
<td>tsā(^l)ā(^n)</td>
<td>to greet each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhī(^n)</td>
<td>to know...</td>
<td>zhī(^n^3)</td>
<td>to know each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ghā(^n)</td>
<td>to speak to...</td>
<td>ghā(^n^3)</td>
<td>to speak to each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bā(^n)</td>
<td>to hate....</td>
<td>bā(^n^3)</td>
<td>to hate each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lī(^n)</td>
<td>to poison...</td>
<td>lī(^n^3)</td>
<td>to poison each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsō(^n)</td>
<td>to peck....</td>
<td>tsō(^n^3)</td>
<td>to peck each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kū(^n)</td>
<td>to love....</td>
<td>kū(^n^3)</td>
<td>to love each other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the table above, it can be realised that the presence of a case of "reciprocity" is signalled by "each other".

3. Simultaneous - ng:

The - ng suffix, which suggests "simultaneity," shows that the same activity is carried out by different people but at the same time. The samples below will assist in illustrating instances whereby verbs take on the derivational - ng suffix which marks simultaneity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dûnš’</td>
<td>to groan</td>
<td>dûnš’</td>
<td>to groan at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzû</td>
<td>to eat</td>
<td>dzû</td>
<td>to eat together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kôŋš’</td>
<td>to drown</td>
<td>kôŋš’</td>
<td>to drown together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nuţš’</td>
<td>to grumble</td>
<td>nuţš’</td>
<td>to grumble at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mɛ’Enš’</td>
<td>to bleat</td>
<td>mɛ’Enš’</td>
<td>to bleat at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yŏbš’</td>
<td>to wall</td>
<td>yŏbš’</td>
<td>to wall at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzš’</td>
<td>to belch</td>
<td>dzš’</td>
<td>to belch at the same time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it is evident in the table above, the existence of the simultaneous - ng is indicated by either "at the same time" or by "together".

The foregoing discussion has focussed on the semantic effect of the - ng derivational suffix on verbs. At the syntactic level, we will, through sentences show how this suffix changes bivalent verbs into monovalent verbs, thus turning transitive sentences into intransitive sentences. In illustrating this situation, we will first of all construct sentences using the non-derived verbs and later on, we will use the verbs in their derived forms.

A. Sentences with non-derived verbs: \((\text{NP}_1 + \text{VP} + \text{NP}_2)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mē tsâ’at nô</td>
<td>&quot;I am greeting you&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mē tsâ’ ngô’ô’</td>
<td>&quot;I am shaking a stone.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mē bâ’a nô</td>
<td>&quot;I am hating you&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mē yô̄a ngô’ô’</td>
<td>&quot;I am tilting a stone&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sentences, with the non-derived verbs above have in their surface structure one subject noun phrase, a verb phrase and an object noun phrase. These sentences therefore have an \((\text{NP}_1 + \text{VP} + \text{NP}_2)\) surface structure. But with an affixation of the - ng derivational suffix, one of the noun phrases is deleted, as illustrated by the sentences below.

B. Sentences with derived verbs: \((\text{NP}_2 + \text{VP})\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s+ tsâ’anš’</td>
<td>&quot;You and I are greeting each other&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ngô’ô’ tsâ’nš’</td>
<td>&quot;A stone is shaking by itself&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s+ nâŋô’ô’</td>
<td>&quot;You and I are hating each other&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ngô’ô’ yô̄ô̄’</td>
<td>&quot;A stone is tilting by itself&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the sentences in 'B' above, it can be seen that when the verbs take on the - ng derivational suffix, one of the arguments, which accompanied the non-derived verbs in the sentences in 'A', is deleted. Thus, the non-derived verb which is
transitive, becomes intransitive when it receives the -n derivational suffix.

In this case, we have a change from a \((NP_1 + VP + NP_2)\) sentence pattern to a \((NP_2 + VP)\) sentence pattern. Thus, the sentences in 'B' above, which fall into the \((NP_2 + VP)\) pattern show that with the affixation of the -n derivational suffix, there is no need for an agentive force to effect action. As mentioned by Laro (1979) in her study of extensions in Mankon, the -n suffix suggests that the action is capable of being carried out spontaneously. Thus, the noun phrase preceding any verb, with this suffix, functions as the object being subjected to the action and not as the subject effecting the action.

While both the "Spontaneous" -n and the "reciprocal" -n suffixes turn transitive verbs into intransitive verbs, at the syntactic level, the "Simultaneous" -n does not change verb valency. It only suggests a plural subject. This situation will be illustrated by the following sentences:

A. Sentences with non-derived verbs:
\[
(NP_1 + VP) - m\dot{a} \, d\dot{u}m\dot{a}\quad "I \, am \, groaning"
\]
\[
m\dot{a} \, yib\dot{e}\quad "I \, am \, wailing"
\]
\[
m\dot{a} \, dz\dot{e} \, d\dot{a}\quad "I \, am \, belching"
\]
\[
(NP_1 + VP + NP_2):
\begin{align*}
& m\dot{a} \, dz\dot{u} \, m + dz\dot{a} & "I \, am \, eating \, food" \\
& m\dot{a} \, k+\dot{a} \, n + k+\dot{a} & "I \, am \, running \, a \, race"
\end{align*}
\]

The above sentences show the verbs with a singular subject while when these verbs take on the "Simultaneous" -\(n\), they will need a plural subject as shown below:

B. Sentences with verb + Simultaneous -\(n\):
\[
(NP_1 + VP):\quad s+ \, d\dot{u}n\dot{a}\quad "You \, and \, I \, are \, groaning \, at \, the \, same \, time"
\]
\[
s+ \, y\dot{u}b\dot{e}n\dot{a}\quad "You \, and \, I \, are \, wailing \, at \, the \, same \, time." \\
s+ \, d\dot{z}\dot{e}b\dot{e}n\dot{a}\quad "You \, and \, I \, are \, belching \, at \, the \, same \, time"
\]
\[
(NP_1 + VP + NP_2):\quad s+ \, dz\dot{u}n\quad m + dz\dot{a}\quad "You \, and \, I \, are \, eating \, food \, at \, the \, same \, time" \\
s+ \, k+\dot{a} \, n + k+\dot{a}\quad "You \, and \, I \, are \, running \, a \, race \, at \, the \, same \, time"
\]

From the above examples, it can be inferred that the "Simultaneous" -\(n\) is exclusively used with intransitive verbs or partially intransitive verbs. By "partial intransitive verbs" we mean, those verbs whose objects can be deleted at the surface syntactic level, without marring the meaning of the sentences in which they are used. Thus, a verb remains either intransitive or partially intransitive, whether it takes on the simultaneous -\(n\) or not.

4.3.0: The -K\(\theta\) derived suffix: \((NP_1 + VP + NP_2) \rightarrow (NP_2 + VP)\)

We mentioned in 1.3.2.1.2 that the -K\(\theta\) derived suffix has four semantic implications which are: "distributive," "repetitive," "quantitative" and spontaneous." At the syntactic level, the -K\(\theta\) derived suffix like the -n derived suffix, turns transitive sentences into intransitive sentences. Thus, a sentence with an \((NP_1 + VP + NP_2)\) structure will have an \((NP_2 + VP)\) pattern when the verb takes on the -K\(\theta\) derived suffix. First of all, we will present the semantic implications of the -K\(\theta\) derived suffix.
1) **Distributive -kd:**

This suffix modifies the meaning of the verb by showing that several people are performing an action separately. This is not necessarily at the same time. Thus, the action is plural as shown by the sample below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dzō</td>
<td>to eat</td>
<td>dzůkd</td>
<td>to eat separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fā'ā</td>
<td>to work</td>
<td>fā'ākd</td>
<td>to work separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dwēnā</td>
<td>to weed</td>
<td>dwēnk</td>
<td>to weed separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yppgā</td>
<td>to learn</td>
<td>yppgākd</td>
<td>to learn separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wē'e</td>
<td>to wear</td>
<td>wē'ek</td>
<td>to wear individually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ghōnā</td>
<td>to be sick</td>
<td>ghōnk</td>
<td>to be separately sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kō</td>
<td>to peel</td>
<td>kōk</td>
<td>to peel separately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mūd</td>
<td>to sprout</td>
<td>mūuk</td>
<td>to sprout separately</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The -kd derived suffix above is translated as "separately" to signify that the action suggested by the verb is divided among different individuals or groups of individuals.

2. **Repetitive -kā:**

This suffix shows that an action is done several times or over and over. Thus, the suffix means "several times" or "continuously" or "one after the other." Samples of verbs with the repetitive -kā are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wyō</td>
<td>to fall</td>
<td>wyōkā</td>
<td>to fall several times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwō</td>
<td>to die</td>
<td>kwōkā</td>
<td>to die one after the other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzēē</td>
<td>to urinate</td>
<td>dzēēkā</td>
<td>to urinate several times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wē</td>
<td>to laugh</td>
<td>wēkā</td>
<td>to laugh several times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shweāa</td>
<td>to dangle</td>
<td>shweāakā</td>
<td>to dangle continuously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tāŋkā</td>
<td>to deny</td>
<td>tāŋkā</td>
<td>to deny several times</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the examples above, it can be inferred that the repetitive -kā is used exclusively with intransitive verbs. Due to the repetitive quality of this suffix, it at times suggests completed action. This therefore makes the -kā suffix to be similar to the -mg suffix as shown below:

- kwē "to chop" kwēkā "to chop completely"
- sā'ā to tear sā'ākā "to tear completely"
- tsūrā to leak tsūrākā "to leak completely"

There is also a quantitative -kā which indicates that the action suggested by the verb falls on many objects. This can be illustrated by the samples below:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived form</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sāng</td>
<td>to castrate</td>
<td>siŋkŋ</td>
<td>to castrate many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sā</td>
<td>to rank</td>
<td>tāŋkŋ</td>
<td>to rank several</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tuwʒ</td>
<td>to list (names)</td>
<td>twikŋ</td>
<td>to list many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sérŋ</td>
<td>to split</td>
<td>səŋkŋ</td>
<td>to split many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sāl'á</td>
<td>to dress (a wound)</td>
<td>bəlakŋ</td>
<td>to dress many (wounds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tōŋ</td>
<td>to clear</td>
<td>bu'ukŋ</td>
<td>to clear many</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḥrŋ</td>
<td>to float</td>
<td>irëŋkŋ</td>
<td>to float (in great numbers)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the -kŋ derived suffix indicates that the action suggested by the verb is capable of taking place on its own, we have the spontaneous -kŋ. This case is illustrated by the following examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sāng</td>
<td>to uproot</td>
<td>mūgakŋ</td>
<td>to be uprooted by itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sāt'</td>
<td>to split</td>
<td>səŋkŋ</td>
<td>to be split by itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shui</td>
<td>to peel off</td>
<td>zheikŋ</td>
<td>to be peeled off by itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dzwā'í</td>
<td>to shatter</td>
<td>dzwā'íkŋ</td>
<td>to be burst by itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḡē</td>
<td>to loose</td>
<td>ŋēŋkŋ</td>
<td>to be loose by itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sāl'á</td>
<td>to tear</td>
<td>səl'ákŋ</td>
<td>to be torn by itself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḥrŋ</td>
<td>to whither</td>
<td>yōŋkŋ</td>
<td>to be whither by itself</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As we saw with the spontaneous -ŋ, where the element of spontaneity was expressed by "by itself," so also is the presence of the spontaneous -kŋ denoted by the modifying "by itself." This addition to the basic meaning of the verb is the effect of the spontaneous -kŋ on the verb, at the semantic level.

The -kŋ derived suffix also has a syntactic effect on the verb in that it changes passive transitive verbs into intransitive verbs. Thus sentences which hitherto were transitive, because they had a transitive non-derived verb, become intransitive when the verb in the sentence receives the -kŋ derived suffix. In order to illustrate this situation, the verbs in the table above will be used. Firstly, the verbs will be used in their non-derived forms. Secondly, these same verbs will be used in their derived forms.

**Sentences with non-derived verbs:** \((NP_1 + VP + NP_2)\)

1. \(mā \ sārə \ bī \)  \(NP_1 \ VP \ NP_2\)
   "I am splitting a stick"

2. \(mā \ sāl'á \ sāq'ə \)  \(NP_1 \ VP \ NP_2\)
   "I am tearing a dress"

3. \(mā \ mūŋə \ ngēk\)  \(NP_1 \ VP \ NP_2\)
   "I am uprooting grass"
The sentences above show that the non-derived verbs enter the \((N_P_1 + V_P + N_P_2)\) sentence pattern. The sentence therefore has two noun phrases which include a subject noun phrase \((N_P_1)\) and an object noun phrase \((N_P_2)\). But when the non-derived verbs take on the intransitive -\(k\) suffix, we realised that \(N_P_1\) disappears from the syntactic structure of the sentence. The following sentences will testify this fact:

Sentences with derived verbs: \((N_P_2 + V_P)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{\(\bar{\text{\(s\)}}\) \(s\text{\(\bar{\text{\(a\)}}\)}\) } \\
&\text{\(s\text{\(\bar{\text{\(a\)}}\)}\) } \\
&\text{\(m\text{\(\bar{\text{\(o\)}}\)}\) }
\end{align*}
\]

\("A stick is splitting by itself"

\("A dress is tearing by itself"

\("Grass is being uprooted by itself"

As it can be seen from the sentences above, the derived verbs tend to require only an object noun phrase. The intransitivity of the sentences and the spontaneity of the action related by the sentences show a harmonisation of both the semantic and syntactic implications of the suffixes on the verbs. The derivational -\(k\) suffix, as seen through a comparison of sentences A and B above provokes a change from an \((N_P_1 + V_P + \text{\(g\)}}\) sentence structure to simply an \((N_P_2 + V_P)\) sentence pattern.

4.0: The -\(s\) derived suffix: \((N_P_2 + V_P)\)

As we mentioned in 1.3.2.1.3, the -\(s\) derived suffix adds a causative element to a non-derived verb. This causative element tends to increase verb valency. Thus, unlike the -\(n\) and the -\(k\) derivational suffixes which usually turn bivalent verbs into monovalent verbs, the -\(s\) derivational suffix instead turns monovalent verbs into bivalent verbs. There are, however, cases where bivalent or transitive verbs also take on the -\(s\) derived suffix. In this case, the valency of the verb is not increased but it is the causative element which is added to the basic meaning of the verb. Similarly, some verbs with the formal suffix, were also found to have a double interpretation. These cases will be exploited later on in this section. In all, 177 verbs were found to have the causative element accompanying their basic meaning.

Firstly, let us examine the transitive -\(s\) suffix. This suffix tends to convert intransitive verbs into transitive verbs. In this syntactic function, therefore, verbs which hitherto could be used only in sentences of the \((N_P_2 + V_P)\) pattern, can be used in the \((N_P_1 + V_P + N_P_2)\) structure when they take on the transitive -\(s\) suffix. In all, 83 verbs were discovered to be capable of receiving the transitive -\(s\) suffix. The following are samples which will be used in illustrative sentences.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Derived verb</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>yá</td>
<td>to fall</td>
<td>wú:á</td>
<td>Make someone to fall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>síl</td>
<td>to sleep</td>
<td>bwlíns</td>
<td>make someone to sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>syá</td>
<td>to pass</td>
<td>tsyáns</td>
<td>to make someone to pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qá</td>
<td>to cry</td>
<td>yó:ás</td>
<td>make someone to cry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s'á</td>
<td>to itch</td>
<td>yó:ós</td>
<td>make something to itch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tó</td>
<td>to be hot</td>
<td>lò:ns</td>
<td>make something to be hot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sél</td>
<td>to sit</td>
<td>tsélí</td>
<td>make someone to sit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s'l</td>
<td>to be bitter</td>
<td>lwíns</td>
<td>make something to be bitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l'l</td>
<td>to be sweet</td>
<td>lwáns</td>
<td>make something to become sweet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wé</td>
<td>to laugh</td>
<td>lwá</td>
<td>make someone to laugh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gháá</td>
<td>to speak</td>
<td>gháláons</td>
<td>make someone to speak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tsé</td>
<td>to flame</td>
<td>bérá</td>
<td>make something to flame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t'á</td>
<td>to foam</td>
<td>lú:áns</td>
<td>make something to foam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The change from intransitivity to the transitivity of the above verbs can be illustrated with the following groups of sentences:

Sentences with non-derived verbs: \((NP_2 + VP)\)

```
ma wá
V = m
NP_2 VP
```

"I am falling"

```
ma bwlí
NP_2 VP
```

"I am sleeping"

```
ma wá
NP_2 VP
```

"I am laughing"

```
mi wá l'l
NP_2 VP
```

"The water is sweet"

The four sentences above with the non-derived verbs are intransitive sentences since the action suggested by the verb is effected on the lone noun phrase which is the object noun phrase \((NP_2)\) of the verb. But when these same intransitive verbs receive the transitive -s suffix, there is an increase in the noun phrases to be obligatorily taken by the verbs. The following sentences will exemplify this situation:

Sentences with derived verbs: \((NP_1 + VP + NP_2)\)
"You are making me to fall"

"You are making me to sleep"

"You are making me to laugh"

"I am sweetening the water"

The sentences of B above show that when a hitherto intransitive verb, as in A, takes on the -s suffix, this verb becomes transitive. When this intransitive verb takes on the suffix, it can no more be used in sentences of an (NP2+ VP) pattern as in A but obligatorily in sentences of an (NP1 + VP + NP2) pattern as in B. This transitive quality of the -s suffix manifests a syntactic effect of this suffix on the verb. There are, however, cases where transitive verbs also receive the causative -s.

From the data, we discovered 23 of such rare cases. Samples are as follows:

\[
\text{shu'li' } \quad \text{"to pour" } \quad \text{shu'li'sa} \quad \text{"to make something to pour"}
\]

\[
\text{li'mu} \quad \text{"to wrap" } \quad \text{li'mu'sa} \quad \text{"to make something to wrap"}
\]

\[
\text{mi'sa} \quad \text{"to pile" } \quad \text{mi'sa'sa} \quad \text{"to make something to pile"}
\]

\[
\text{fi'li} \quad \text{"to remove" } \quad \text{fi'li'sa} \quad \text{"to send out something"}
\]

\[
\text{tsa'ga} \quad \text{"to wipe" } \quad \text{tsa'ga'sa} \quad \text{"to make something to wipe"}
\]

\[
\text{gha'sa} \quad \text{"to grind" } \quad \text{gha'sa'sa} \quad \text{"to make something to beground"}
\]

\[
\text{ya'ga} \quad \text{"to tilt" } \quad \text{ya'ga'sa} \quad \text{"to make something to tilt"}
\]

\[
\text{ba'ga} \quad \text{"to hate" } \quad \text{ba'ga'sa} \quad \text{"to make someone to hate another"}
\]

The examples above illustrate non-derived transitive verbs which take on the causative -s. This suffix however does not add to the valency of the verb but it rather modifies the meaning of the verb by adding the causative meaning to the basic meaning of the verb. The following sentences will clarify this point more:

1a. \[
\text{má } \quad \text{shu'li' } \quad \text{gka} \quad \text{"I am pouring water"}
\]

1b. \[
\text{má } \quad \text{shu'li'sa } \quad \text{gka} \quad \text{"I am making water to pour"}
\]
From the sentences above, it can be seen that whether the verb has a derivational suffix or not, it enters the (NP₁ + VP + NP₂) pattern. But the difference comes in the sentences with the verbs having the causative -ša suggest that NP₁ is not exactly involved in the action but it is the cause of the action.

It was discovered that some verbs with the -š formal suffix are capable of having a double interpretation. Firstly, the verbs can be interpreted without the causative element. Secondly, these same verbs can also be interpreted with the causative element in their meaning. We discovered 11 verbs from the data capable of being subjected to this double interpretation. The following examples are illustrative of this situation:

(a) ma' ššiša šašiša "I am wrapping a dress"
(np₁ vp np₂)

(b) ma' ššiša šašiša "I am making a dress to wrap"
(np₁ vp np₂)

Whether the verbs above are interpreted as having the causative element in their meaning or not, the fact is that the verbs undergo neither a semantic nor a syntactic modification.

15.0 The -maš derived suffix

Unlike the -ša derived suffix which has an exclusively syntactic effect on the Bafut verbs, the -maš derived suffix has an exclusively semantic effect on the verbs. All the 600 verbs of our data were capable of taking on this suffix.

When the -maš derived suffix is affixed to a verb, it modifies the meaning of the verb by showing that the action suggested by the verb was actually carried out. Thus, this suffix demonstrates a "completion" of action and therefore creates a distinction between the "perfective" aspect of the verb and its "imperfective" aspect. This distinction was succinctly presented in 153.2.1.5, when we took recourse in Coombs's definition of the "perfective" as well as the "imperfective" aspects of the verb. The following verbs will be used in sentences to illustrate the "perfective" function of the -maš derived suffix:

"to raise something" or "to make something to be raised"
"to roll something" or "to make something to roll"
"to level something" or "to make something to be level"
"to appease" or "to make something to become appeased"
"to squint" or "to make (one's eye) to squint"
"to raise (the shoulders)" or "to make (the shoulders) to be raised"
"to confuse" or "to make someone to be confused"
Some of the above verbs will be used firstly in their non-derived forms and secondly in their derived forms with the difference between them unravelled:

A. Verbs in their non-derived forms:

1. mə əzmə nkirət "I have bent a rope"
2. mə dzə mə dzə "I have eaten food"
3. mə twə ə nkirət "I am twisting a rope"
4. mə yəsə "I am getting married"

B. Sentences with derived verbs:

1. mə twə ə ə nkirət "I have already twisted a rope"
2. mə əzmə mə nkirət "I have already bent a rope"
3. mə dzə mə mə dzə "I have already eaten food"
4. mə yəsə nkirət "I have already married"

A juxtaposition of the sentences in A and B above, shows that in A the action suggested by the verb is either in process as in A3 and A4 or the action has been affected as in A1 and A2. In B, where the verbs have the -ma suffix, the action is also seen as having been executed. But the difference between A1, A2 and the sentences in B is that in B, it is emphasised that the action suggested by the verb actually took place and was therefore complete. The completed action in B is demonstrated by the adverb "already". But in A1 and A2, we are not really sure whether the action was actually completed.

From the foregoing analysis, we therefore concluded that the -ma derivational suffix has a unique semantic function. This function is that the suffix emphasises that an action was actually carried out in its complete form. It should be recalled here that in section 3.3, we evoked a resemblance between the -k derived suffix and the -ma derived suffix. In 3.3, we said, therefore, that due to the repetitive function of -kə, there are instances where this repetitiveness suggests a completed action.

4.6.0: The -la derived suffix

In section 1.3.2.1.6, we said that there were 112 verbs, out of 600 verbs in the data, which received the -la derived suffix. This suffix was found to modify the meaning of the verb by adding three separate meanings to the verbs when the -la suffix is affixed on the verbs, it may mean "on several parts" or "randomly" or roughly.

When the suffix denotes "randomness" it shows that the action suggested by the verb is done irregularly. The following are examples to demonstrate this function of the -la derived suffix:
At certain times, when the -la suffix is affixed to a verb, it shows that the action suggested by the verb affects several parts of the same object. The examples below will illustrate this situation:

**Verbs**

- **kó Pays**: "to scratch"  
- **kó Pays**: "to scratch at random"
- **kwé É**: "to cough"  
- **kwé É**: "to cough at random"
- **mé É**: "to bleat"  
- **mé É**: "to bleat at random"
- **tsu É**: "to rattle"  
- **tsu É**: "to rattle at random"
- **bú É**: "to sow"  
- **bú É**: "to sow at random"
- **by É**: "to glance"  
- **by É**: "to glance at random"
- **ky É**: "to comb"  
- **ky É**: "to comb at random"

Since the -la suffix above shows that action falls on "several parts" of an object, we can say that this suffix has a rapport with the distributive -ka derivational suffix.

There is also a third semantic function of the -l derived suffix. This is when the suffix shows that the action introduced by the verb is done without much care. Thus, we labelled this function as: "roughly." The examples below will serve as further clarifications:

**Verbs**

- **yó É**: "to become dry"  
- **yó É**: "to become dry on several parts"
- **mí É**: "to touch"  
- **mí É**: "to touch on several spots"
- **nó É**: "to press"  
- **nó É**: "to press on several spots"
- **só É**: "to pierce"  
- **só É**: "to pierce on several spots"
- **bú É**: "to begin to rot"  
- **bú É**: "to begin to rot on several parts"
- **fé É**: "to slap"  
- **fé É**: "to slap on several parts"
- **lé É**: "to bite"  
- **lé É**: "to bite on several spots"
- **tsa É**: "to decorate"  
- **tsa É**: "to decorate on several spots"

Since, at the syntactic level, our distinction has so far been between transitive as against intransitive verbs, we noticed that the -la derived suffix has no syntactic effect on the verbs. As noticed from the illustrations, above, the -la suffix affects the verbs uniquely at the semantic level, by modifying the meaning of the verbs in three ways.

### 6.7.0 Reduplication of the verb root

The reduplication of the verb root, as seen in section 1.3.2.1.7 of this work,

---

*Note: The content above includes a mix of English and possibly a language similar to the examples provided, necessitating careful reading and understanding of the context.*
was discovered as serving as a suffix which affects the radical solely at the semantic level. When the verb root is reduplicated, it may serve a "repetitive" function, a 'quantitative' function or it may serve an "emphatic" function.

When the reduplicated verb root serves a repetitive function, it shows a plural action which is repeated time and again. The following verbs will illustrate the repetitive function of the reduplicated verb root:

kuwā "to beat"       kuwāŋkuwā "to beat often"
nurā "to groan"      nurāŋnurā "to groan time and again"
ti'īna "to slide"     ti'īnantì'īna "to slide several times"
tī "to spit"         tīntū "to spit time and again"
ti "to push"         ti'intī "to push time and again"
sā'ī "to dismantle"  sā'īnsa'ī "to dismantle time and again"
wā "to slaughter"    wānā "to slaughter time and again"
fūŋ "to be poor"     fūnafūŋ "to be often poor"
kā "to be tired"     kāŋkā "to be often tired"

As the verbs above indicate, the repetitive function of the reduplicated verb root is signalled by "time and again," "often," or "several times."

A second function of the reduplicated verb root is that it suggests that the action affected by the verb affects many objects. Thus, the reduplicated root has a "quantitative" function and therefore derives the meaning of "much," "many" or "in great numbers." The following examples testify this function:

ku'ē "to pluck"       ku'ēnk'uē "to pluck many....."
fārā "to prune"       fārāŋfārā "to prune many....."
yū "to buy"          yūŋyū "to buy many....."
dzō "to eat"          dzōndzo "to eat much....."
ti's "to wedge"       tī'snti's "to wedge many....."
sāŋ "to count"        sāŋsāŋ "to count many....."
bī "to plant"         bīmbī "to plant a great number of...."
nō "to drink"         nōnō "to drink much....."
sāgū "to sift"        sāgūsāgū "to sift a large amount of...."

At times, due to the repetitive function of the reduplicated verb root, it tends to take on an "emphatic" function. We discovered 35 verbs whose reduplicated verb roots indicate the "emphatic" meaning. The following verbs will throw this function of the reduplicated verb root, into relief.

yārā "to select"       yārāŋyārā "to select thoroughly"
kī "to run"           kīŋkī "to run very much"
yāmā "to be selfish"  yāmāŋyāmā "to be too selfish"
tai "to decorate"     tai'intsai ' to decorate very well"
tawē k "to be proud"  tawēntawēk "to be too proud"
tauŋ "to pound"        tauŋtauŋ "to pound very well"
The "emphatic" function of the reduplicated verb root is indicated by "thoroughly," "too much," "very well." The following sentences can also serve in illustrating this function.

1. má yămrô "I am selfish" 1. mà yămrô nyāmâyô "I am too selfish"
2. mà fì ghô "I resemble you" 2. mà fì ghô mřîmîfî "I resemble you very much"
3. mà tawīrêg "I am proud" 3. mà tawīrêntawīrêg "I am too proud." 4. mà yarâ m'kûô "I am selecting beans" 4. mà yarâ m'kûô nyārênyârê:

A juxtaposition of the sentences above will show the type of modification which the reduplicated verb root has on the verb. This modification is strictly semantic. Thus, the "emphatic" reduplicated verb root like in its "quantitative" and "repetitive" functions, has no syntactic effect on the various Bafut verbs.

4.8.0: Conclusion:

As this chapter drives to a close, we deem it necessary to make a recapitulation of what has so far been discussed in the chapter. This chapter has been devoted to the examination of the effects of the different derivational suffixes on the verbs both at the semantic and syntactic levels. The combination of the non-derived verb and the suffixes has necessitated the following observations:

Firstly, that some of the suffixes affect the verbs both at the semantic as well as the syntactic levels. These suffixes include the -nà and the -kà derivational suffixes. At the semantic level, these suffixes were seen to modify the basic meanings of the verbs in several ways, while at the syntactic level, these suffixes tended to reduce verb valency. That is, they turn transitive verbs into intransitive verbs.

Secondly, it was observed, that some of the suffixes had only a semantic implication on the verbs. These types of suffixes include: the -nà, the -là, the -tà and the reduplicated verb roots. These suffixes only helped in modifying the basic meanings of the verbs.

Thirdly, we observed that while some suffixes affect the verbs strictly at the semantic level, another suffix affects the verb strictly at the syntactic level. We discovered that the -sà causative suffix had this function. Thus, unlike the -nà and -kà suffixes which reduced verb valency, the causative -sà instead increased verb valency. Thus, hitherto intransitive verbs become transitive verbs when the causative -sà suffix was affixed to them.
CHAPTER 5
GENERAL CONCLUSION

We went through the introduction, the body, and now, we have arrived at the
necssary, of this paper. At this juncture, we deem it necessary to pinpoint some
bservations gathered in the course of the work and also to situate this work in the
gninal, of its future validity.

In the course of the work, we were made to understand that Bafut belongs to the
sub-group of Eastern Grassfield Bantu languages. In this language, we realised
at the verb has a central role in the linguistic expressions of this language. This
yrambly was illustrated by the ability of the verb to specify the arguments with
ich it must occur and the repercussions which the verb has on the arguments whenever
is verb is modified by suffixes.

We also observed that there are suffixes which can be employed to modify either
he verb's meaning or its structure. These suffixes were: derivational, as well as
nclal.

Taking cue from the above observations, we can say, without any complex, that
have been able, through this semantic - syntactic study of the Bafut verb, to achieve
r basic two-fold objectives which are:

- A demonstration of the centrality and the exigences of the Bafut verb when it
  is used in linguistic expressions.
- An extension of the devotion given to the bantu verb in general and the Bafut
  verb in particular. This therefore throws more light on one aspect of the
  Bafut grammar, which is, the verb.

Though we cannot feign that the present work is very exhaustive, to be final, we
ope however that it will have a far from negligible vitality in the future.

Firstly, the ideas propounded in this work, will serve as a substantial basis for
parative study between bantu languages and more particularly, the bantu languages
the Ngemba sub-group.

Secondly, the work will serve as a practical starting point for any further
inguistic work, which might be done in future, pertaining to the Bafut verb.

Thirdly, the accompanying list of verbs will obviously serve as a source of data
lection for any work on the Bafut grammar.

Owing to the above envisaged contributions of the present work, we hope that it
welcomes.

APPENDIX 2: Earlier Works On The Bafut Language

Jacqueline - 1979 - "A la recherche de tons perdus: Structure du nom en Ngemba"
916. Pinyin
APPENDIX 3: Bafut - English Verb List

(All verbs are given in their citation or infinitive forms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bafut Verb</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ba'ë</td>
<td>&quot;to cling to&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bòa</td>
<td>to hate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëlë</td>
<td>to carry on the back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bë</td>
<td>to dry (with fire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëg</td>
<td>to glance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëg</td>
<td>lë</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to scald</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bë'ë</td>
<td>to dress a wound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to take in (used for animals only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to weave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëtë</td>
<td>to cater for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëlë</td>
<td>to give way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bë'ë</td>
<td>to be sour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to pop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwëna</td>
<td>to bid someone goodbye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to hail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to decisive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to spur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to go off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to confuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to disclose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to upset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bë'ë</td>
<td>to unlock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bë</td>
<td>to plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bë'ë</td>
<td>to break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to crouch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to write</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëë</td>
<td>to accept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëtë</td>
<td>to ask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëtë</td>
<td>to make pointed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëtë</td>
<td>to set a trap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëtë</td>
<td>to appease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëtë</td>
<td>to raise from the ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to lose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to be soft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to explode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to return</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to get up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to be drowsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to tumble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to be rotten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to flame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to weavel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to clear (affirm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to scream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to sour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to pick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to mould</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to nail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to hunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bëwë</td>
<td>to meet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
bôg to lock
bôg to insult
bông to transform
bông to dance
bông to await
bông to be
bông to hang up
bô'ûng to bark
bô's to begin to rot
bô's to frighten
bô's to raise an alarm
bô's to make an appointment
bô's to refund
bô's to put off
bô's to be bad
bô's to retreat
bô's to fear
dâng to cross
dâ'êkè to stagger
dão to weed
d'ê to trouble
d'ê to show, teach
d'ê to scatter
d'ê to break through
d'ê to sneeze
d'ê to be sorry
d'ê to hold downwards
d'ê to slump
d'ê to spurt
d'ê to belch
d'ê to urinate
d'ê to pin down
d'ê to feed
d'ê to punch
d'ê to cork
d'ê to give birth
d'ê to under-rate
To confound (someone)
To crush
d'ê to burst
d'ê to rumble
d'ê to cheat
dzô to cluster
d'ê to harvest prematurely
fâ to give
fâ to disengage
fâ to water
fâ to prune
fâ to stuff
fâ to whip
fâ to compare
fâ to cool (by blowing with air)
| pà́̂ to work | félâ to point at |
| fú̂̂ to send away | félâ to point at |
| fú̂ to fan | félâ to point at |
| fúnà to squint | félâ to point at |
| fî́ to remove | félâ to point at |
| fî́ to be dark | félâ to point at |
| fû́́ to bubble | félâ to point at |
| v to clasp | félâ to point at |
| fû́̂̃ to meander | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fú̂ to be blind | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fú̂̃ to go out | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fû́ to roof | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fû́ to lock | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fû́ to loose | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fû́̂̃ to found | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| f to resemble | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fû́̂̃ to slap | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fû́̂̃ to go out | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fû́̂̃ to be sterile | fû́̂̃ to meander |
| fû́ to blame | fû́̂̃ to meander |

**bh**

| gá́́ to force | ghá̂̂ to speak |
| gá̂̂ to bend | ghá̂̂ to speak |
| gú́́ to crouch | ghá̂̂ to speak |

**bh**

| ghấ to speak | ghấ to speak |
| ghấ to stroll | ghấ to speak |
| ghấ to babble | ghấ to speak |
| ghé to go | ghấ to speak |
| ghé to make | ghấ to speak |
| ghé to gnaw | ghấ to speak |
| ghé to glow | ghấ to speak |
| ghé to envy | ghấ to speak |
| ghé to call out | ghấ to speak |

<p>| kà́ to swear | kon to drown |
| kà́ to be tired | kon to drown |
| kà to be tired | kon to drown |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kannada</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kēà</td>
<td>to streak</td>
<td>kēà</td>
<td>to cut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kēà</td>
<td>to drain</td>
<td>kēà</td>
<td>to die</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kāēśà</td>
<td>to rumple</td>
<td>kēśà</td>
<td>to filter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyś</td>
<td>to pluck</td>
<td>kōléà</td>
<td>to behave childishly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyā</td>
<td>to comb</td>
<td>kōś</td>
<td>to remove (from the fire)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwaāṅkē</td>
<td>to stumble against</td>
<td>kwē'ē</td>
<td>to cough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwāntś</td>
<td>to warm</td>
<td>kūgō</td>
<td>to nod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwāng</td>
<td>to clang</td>
<td>kōntō</td>
<td>to scratch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kēntś</td>
<td>to gather (strength)</td>
<td>kōs</td>
<td>to shave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kāṅś</td>
<td>to fry</td>
<td>kēē</td>
<td>to mend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kāṅaś</td>
<td>to roll</td>
<td>kōś</td>
<td>to crack (groundnuts, agusi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kāūś</td>
<td>to herdan</td>
<td>kīī</td>
<td>to operate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwā'āṅś</td>
<td>to schedule</td>
<td>kūgō</td>
<td>to cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kārś</td>
<td>to claim (debt)</td>
<td>kēnō</td>
<td>to hit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwāēśà</td>
<td>to trim</td>
<td>kūnō</td>
<td>love</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kārēśā</td>
<td>to encircle</td>
<td>kōś</td>
<td>to pull down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwārēśā</td>
<td>to breed over</td>
<td>kūnō</td>
<td>to peel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwēkś</td>
<td>to quack</td>
<td>kūnō</td>
<td>to spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kārś</td>
<td>to turn round</td>
<td>kōś</td>
<td>to eat (something hard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kāṭś</td>
<td>to 'i'</td>
<td>kōś</td>
<td>to spill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwāṭś</td>
<td>to repeat</td>
<td>kwē'ē</td>
<td>to measure (quantity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwāṭkāà</td>
<td>to have confirmation</td>
<td>kōōnō</td>
<td>to pluck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kōō</td>
<td>to sound</td>
<td>kōōnō</td>
<td>to bear fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwēē</td>
<td>to return (from somewhere)</td>
<td>kōōnō</td>
<td>to gulp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kōū</td>
<td>to enter</td>
<td>kōū</td>
<td>to run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kō'ūtśō</td>
<td>to shift</td>
<td>kācś</td>
<td>to catch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kā'ūtśō</td>
<td>to kindle</td>
<td>kwūś</td>
<td>to fold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kūlī</td>
<td>to illuminate</td>
<td>kāś</td>
<td>to tie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kūśēśà</td>
<td>to climb up</td>
<td>kīś</td>
<td>to scrub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwīlīnś</td>
<td>to fade</td>
<td>kū</td>
<td>to block</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kū'ū</td>
<td>to be blunt</td>
<td>kōś</td>
<td>to grow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kē'ēśà</td>
<td>to limp</td>
<td>kōś</td>
<td>to bring up (offspring)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kwīlīnś</td>
<td>to retaliate</td>
<td>kōś</td>
<td>to spy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kīrēśā</td>
<td>to mark</td>
<td>kwēś</td>
<td>to make something loose balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kēntś</td>
<td>to stop</td>
<td>kīnŚ</td>
<td>to trigger (a gun)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kūtś</td>
<td>to shut off (the palm)</td>
<td>kōz</td>
<td>to receive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyēśà</td>
<td>to hatch</td>
<td>kwīnś</td>
<td>to hurry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kēcś</td>
<td>to cut (bound off 8th)</td>
<td>kīś</td>
<td>to take 8th (as hostage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to hit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to look grudgingly (at 5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
kitš to console with
kwEntš to knock(on a door)
kweš to help
kwii to respond
koro to bundle

lá to cook
lánaš to level
lánš to tense
láš to glide
l+gš to cultivate
l+g+nš to sweat
lu to be bitter
luš to burn out
luisšntš to be angry
lušš to hide (6th)
lšntš to hide (oneself)
lšš to keep
lšš to curse
lěčš to sprain
lšš to misse
l+gš to lick
lšš to look
lšš to be sweet
lšš to check on something
lšš to wrap
lšš to foam
lšš to stir(something)
lšš to be full

kušš to add
kušš to seem
kušš to be baptized
kušš to be enough

kwimš to age
kwimš to float
kwimš to end
kwimš to be hot
kwimš to fill
kwimš to search
kwimš to poison
kwimš to beg
kwimš to wound(oneself)
kwimš to pass the night
kwimš to hang
kwimš to tap(wine)
kwimš to fish
kwimš to bite
kwimš to wail
kwimš to announce
kwimš to rinse
kwimš to jump
kwimš to entreat
kwimš to estimate
kwimš to leave
kwimš to sling
kwimš to feel weak
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Hebrew</th>
<th>Arabic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>to forget</td>
<td>לא למכיר</td>
<td>لاتس لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to flutter</td>
<td>להסٱ</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to take</td>
<td>למק</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to confound</td>
<td>למלת</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to throw</td>
<td>למט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to agitate water</td>
<td>מיקונת</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to finish</td>
<td>לסי</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to blot</td>
<td>לברך</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be immune to</td>
<td>ולמה</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to finish(by:WS^j)</td>
<td>למקות</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to rest</td>
<td>למת</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to-stranger</td>
<td>מערז</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to train</td>
<td>למד</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to swallow</td>
<td>לעת</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to yank</td>
<td>לטל</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to ignore</td>
<td>לסלג</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to snub</td>
<td>למלג</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to repair</td>
<td>לnero</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to stretch out (the 1 legs)</td>
<td>מתרקל</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to melt</td>
<td>לברך</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to mow</td>
<td>לברך</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to hasten up some one</td>
<td>לברך</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to stretch (the body)</td>
<td>מתרקל</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to trample</td>
<td>לעת</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to put</td>
<td>לעת</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to drink</td>
<td>לעת</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to creep</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to open</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to glitter</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to spark</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to write</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to pinch</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to stir</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to lift</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to lay bare</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to push aside</td>
<td>גפי</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to provoke</td>
<td>למלת</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to wriggle</td>
<td>מימ</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to accumulate</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to pile</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to touch</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to swell</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to upright</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to throw (into the mouth)</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to sprout</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to immerse</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to press</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to sight</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to crowd</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to lie down</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to suck</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to lay down</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to suckle</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to crush</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to season</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to stencil</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to excrete</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to excrete</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to tickle</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to drizzle</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to imitate</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to light up (a fire)</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to handle with care</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to smile</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to wind round</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to raise the shoulders.</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to raise the</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتס</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to point at</td>
<td>לשלט</td>
<td>لاتس</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to disentangle

to judge

to thin out

to spread out

to dry

to melt

to split

to carry a child (with its legs crooked)

to disperse

to multiply

to straighten

to suck in

to hang down

to crucify

to pull out in strands

to pump air

to conceal

to come out in a lump

to kick

to rock

to put across

to struggle

to be resistant

to price

to deny

to destroy

to conspire

to frown

to occupy space

to occupy space (untidily)

to peck, errange

to churn

to descend

to stem

to to feel cease

to sift

to scatter

to count

to slice

to say

sneak away

to sue

to constrain

to centralize

to insert

to pull off

to borrow as a habit

to hiccup

to send out air

to bellow

to struggle

to provoke

to pull

to contest

to drink (large quantity)

to slide

to cut

to crock (nuts)

to peel

to postpone

to hold still

to foster

to stand

to reward

to fight

to send

to prune

to dig
<p>| tél | to sort out | tógtâ | string together |
| tei | to set upright/stand | tóqâ | to indoctrinate |
| t'sí-gâ | to mortgage | twôgâ | to read |
| tûé | to cook (for a long time) | twôqâ | to call |
| tûé | to twist | tôjâ | to burn |
| tûi | to push | tôjâ | to hoot |
| tûjâ | to shrill | tôkie | to refuse |
| tû'â | to wedge | tôkie | to shoot |
| tû'â | to resolve | tôkie | to bend down |
| tô | to spit | tôkie | to fetch (sthl.liquid) |
| tô | to pay | tôkie | tp bury |
| tákâ | to chew | tô'î | to decorate |
| tákâ-tswâgâ | to sigh | tswi | to sit |
| tâbâ | to invig | tskwâm | to name |
| tak'î | to disrespect | tswâbâ | to have |
| tak'f | to be restless | tswâ'bâ | to drip |
| tak'itâ | to greet | tsâ'itâ | to cook (wood) |
| tswâ | to hold | tsâgâ | to shake |
| tswâla | to clareve | tsâgâ | to wipe |
| tswâgâ | to bedraggle | tsâgâ | to stop crying |
| tswâ'â | to donate | tsâgâ | to make somethin round |
| tswâ'â | to cause | tsâgâ | to fill up(a hole) |
| tswâ'â | to be smart | tsâgâ | to grow (wild) |
| tswâ | to press | tsâgâ | to circumcise |
| tswâ | to escort | tsâgâ | to decide |
| tswâ | to chop | tsâgâ | to go fetch (water) |
| tswâ | to trim | tsâgâ | to perish |
| tswâ | to snatch | tsâgâ | to peck |
| tswâ | to boast | tsâgâ | to dwindle |
| tswâ'kwa'â | to get | tsâgâ | to sex |
| tswâ | to wait(for a long time) | tsâgâ | to hop |
| tswâ | to take courage | tsâgâ | to pull our, wrench |
| tswâ | to set upright | tsâgâ | to borrow |
| tswâ | to rectify | tsâgâ | to damp, to stip |
| tswâ | to hush | tsâgâ | to be wayward |
| tswâ | to shut | tsâgâ | to leg |
| tswâ | to pound | tsâgâ | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese Characters</th>
<th>Pinyin</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tsu'ta</td>
<td>to whisper</td>
<td>to rattle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tshu'</td>
<td>to knot</td>
<td>to wash.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ru</td>
<td>to slaughter</td>
<td>to laugh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wsho</td>
<td>to shave</td>
<td>to wear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wina</td>
<td>to overtake</td>
<td>to tattoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wi'ing</td>
<td>to ponder, bow over</td>
<td>to awake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wi'eto</td>
<td>to remember</td>
<td>to fall, fall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>se</td>
<td>to carry(shoulder-etc)</td>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yeg</td>
<td>to scatter</td>
<td>to learn with the back again</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yejg</td>
<td>to scatter</td>
<td>to tilt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yeru</td>
<td>to become light</td>
<td>to steal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'</td>
<td>to select</td>
<td>to cry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'ia</td>
<td>to distribute</td>
<td>to yawn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'iw</td>
<td>to sweep</td>
<td>to boom out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'iu</td>
<td>to pull off</td>
<td>to become dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'ii</td>
<td>to go over</td>
<td>to follow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'iw</td>
<td>to spin</td>
<td>to itch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'iw</td>
<td>to whistle</td>
<td>to wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'izho</td>
<td>to revive</td>
<td>to quarrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'izho</td>
<td>to bribe</td>
<td>to burble</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'its</td>
<td>to try</td>
<td>to whither</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'iw</td>
<td>to reach</td>
<td>to to marry, a point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ye'itso</td>
<td>to taste</td>
<td>to buy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yug</td>
<td>to press down</td>
<td>to obey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yug</td>
<td>to be grip</td>
<td>to heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yu'</td>
<td>to be selfish</td>
<td>to heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yug</td>
<td>to sing</td>
<td>to wait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yug</td>
<td>to wake up somebody</td>
<td>to listen.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Zh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chinese Characters</th>
<th>Pinyin</th>
<th>English Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>zhua</td>
<td>to see</td>
<td>to speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhia</td>
<td>to know</td>
<td>to roast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhi</td>
<td>to come</td>
<td>to convalesce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhewo</td>
<td>to swell(after death)</td>
<td>to breathe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhwa</td>
<td>to hesitate</td>
<td>to kill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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